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Mission Statement:
At Houghton Mifflin Education, we are dedicated to the preparation and training of educators. To this end, we provide quality content, technology, and services to ensure that new teachers are prepared for the realities of the classroom. Our aim is to bridge the gap from preservice to practice to foster teachers’ lifelong career success.

By providing a clear understanding of the teaching profession and a balanced treatment of controversial issues, the Tenth Edition of *Foundations of Education* is a comprehensive body of knowledge on the various foundations of education that helps students prepare for the realities of the classroom.

Goals and Themes of the Tenth Edition
As *Foundations of Education* enters its Tenth edition, three goals directed the revision:

Goal #1. Include contemporary and substantive subject matter  
To meet this goal, we have worked to refine the themes that recur throughout the book:

- **Diversity** We continue to place emphasis, throughout this revision, on student diversity and multiculturalism. We discuss the importance of diverse populations in the teaching profession, the current status of desegregation and other important equal opportunity trends, and educational responses to the increasing diversity of students in the United States. In light of current world and U.S. events, we have expanded the information on Muslim populations.

- **Technology** We have systematically placed emphasis on the growing role of technology in education. Our emphasis on technology includes sections on the history of technology in education, the place of technology in school reform, and the effects of digital technologies on children.

- **Standards and Accountability** We have added new information to several chapters that addresses the growing emphasis on holding students, teachers, and schools accountable for performing at levels specified by local, state, and national standards. We also provide basic information in several chapters on the No Child Left Behind Act and its provisions dealing with accountability and educational reform.

- **Developing One’s Own Philosophy of Education** In this edition, we continue to stress, in several sections throughout the book, the development of a personal philosophy of education and the relevance of a personal philosophy to the realities of day-to-day teaching.
New coverage includes:

**Chapter 1:** New sections on “Adequacy of Preparation Programs” in teacher education, “Job Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction” among teachers, “Reforming Schools by Improving Teacher Qualifications and Functioning,” and “School Reform and Teacher Empowerment.”

**Chapter 9:** New section on “Intelligent Design,”

**Chapter 10:** New section on the “Media Effects on the Socialization of Girls.”

**Chapter 16:** New section on The Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) and the Advancement Via Individual Determination Program (AVID).

Other current and important topics that receive particular emphasis in the Tenth Edition include professional development, school-based management, character education, the history of education in China and India, legal protections regarding assaults on teachers and students, school choice and charter schools, curriculum and testing standards, promising instructional innovations and interventions, approaches for helping disadvantaged students and for equalizing educational opportunity, collaboration between schools and other institutions, research on class size, and international achievement patterns.

We have also worked diligently to obtain the latest available data on contemporary topics such as teacher employment trends, student and school demographics, school finance trends, school governance changes, developments regarding school choice, and student performance.

**Goal #2. Increase the effectiveness of the text for student learning and to further bridge the gap between preservice and practice and provide material that instructors need when preparing their students for teaching careers.** The Tenth Edition of *Foundations of Education* includes many special features designed to help students easily understand and master the material in the text and provide professors with the tools to create in-depth and lively classroom discussions.

- **NEW! Video Case** feature boxes refer to the online HM Video Cases (available at college.hmco.com/pic/ornstein10e) and provide questions to help students relate key chapter topics to video content, to certification exams, and to their own practice as teachers.

- **Online Study Center**

- **Focus and Refocus Questions.** Focus Questions appear at the beginning of each chapter to provide students with an advance organizer of chapter material. Related to the Focus questions, Refocus Questions, which appear after
major sections of chapters, are designed to help students reinforce their comprehension by connecting the concepts discussed in the book to their own personal situations.

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What trends show that teaching is becoming a full-fledged profession?
- In what ways is teaching not fully a profession?

**REFOCUS** Is your institution accredited by NCATE? Find out and learn more about the NCATE standards at their website [www.ncate.org](http://www.ncate.org).

- NEW! The *From Preservice to Practice* feature (previously titled *In this Case*) helps students apply and think critically about concepts discussed in each chapter. In these boxed inserts, students read vignettes that describe situations in which new teachers might find themselves and answer questions that encourage critical and applied thinking about how they might best respond in each situation.

**FROM PERSERVICE TO PRACTICE**

*Funding Woes*

Why did our school district lose state money this fall?” Karen said. “We live and work in a property-poor school district, Lemuel! We should be getting more state money per pupil than the wealthy districts. That’s what the equity lawsuits were all about!”

“You’re right, Karen, it’s not fair. But that’s the way it is. We both chose to work here,” replied Lemuel, “but I know that loss of low-income families has decreased our state money. The new housing development for seniors is nice, but it certainly has caused our student enrollment to decline. My principal, Mr. Schoebel, says that we’ve lost more than two hundred students.”

“We’ll also lose federal money,” he went on. “Many of these students qualify for free and reduced lunches and Title I programs, and others are eligible for after-school tutoring based on No Child Left Behind funding. Other federal programs that bring money to this district link to low-income students as well. I think disabled students and bilingual students benefit from federal funds, but I’m not sure if there is any link between these groups and the low-income group.”

Karen sighed, “I just wish that education received the priority it needs to serve all students well. I know that the money comes from local, state, and federal sources, but it seems that in this state, local government is paying more and more as time goes on.” No Child Left Behind legislation has helped with funds for improving student academic performance and in guaranteeing every child a “highly qualified teacher.”

“Homeowners here are carrying a large share of the budget through the property tax, too,” noted Lemuel. “That affects us renters. My landlord just sent around a letter telling his tenants that his property taxes had increased 12 percent. That’s a huge increase, and he says he has to pass along the costs by raising our rent. Most of the increase comes with the new school district tax rate. The hospital and utility districts increased their taxes, too, but not much compared to the school district.”

“Even with more from local taxes,” noted Karen, “I think our school district still has less than other area schools. How will the administration respond to all of this? I’m guessing they’ll increase class sizes in the upper grades and maybe postpone or cancel building renovations.”

“I’ll bet you’re right, Karen,” agreed Lemuel. “Wealthy districts have figured out ways to generate local money beyond property taxes. Several of them have established foundations. The millionaires that live in the community contribute heavily each year and get a tax write-off.”

“Too bad we don’t have a few more generous millionaires!” laughed Karen. Then, turning serious, she asked, “Do you think the revenue loss will affect us, as beginning teachers?”

“Probably not this year, but it may in the future. Most of a school’s budget is in personnel. That’s you and me. In the meantime, I guess the best thing we can do is just keep focusing on the students.”

**Questions**

1. Why is it important for beginning teachers like Karen and Lemuel to have a basic understanding of school finance?
2. How does student enrollment relate to school financing in your state?
3. How does school district wealth relate to school financing in your state?
4. What percentages of your local school district’s money are derived from local sources? From state sources? From federal sources? If you don’t know, estimate the amounts. Then check to see how close your estimates are.
Topical overview charts, found in every chapter of the text, summarize and compare key topics giving students a concise tool for reviewing important chapter concepts.

### Overview 8.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Income Sources</th>
<th>Spending Patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>• Property tax</td>
<td>Funding goes to local schools in the district. Districts vary widely in their ability to fund their schools, and state aid does not always equalize the discrepancies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Product rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Special taxes and user fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Personal income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Sales tax</td>
<td>States vary in ability to finance education. Local districts are funded using combinations of four plans: flat grant, foundation, power-equalizing, or weighted student. Many states are working to make their distribution plans more equitable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Other taxes: excise taxes, severance taxes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lotteries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>• U.S. Treasury</td>
<td>Funding is distributed primarily to states for designated purposes, such as reading improvement and special education. Current No Child Left Behind regulations require states to show adequate yearly progress in student achievement and provision of highly qualified teachers in every district.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technology @ School features help keep students up-to-date on relevant developments regarding educational technology and provide information that they may find valuable to apply during their teaching careers. Some examples of this feature include “Helping Students Develop Media Literacy” (Chapter 10), and “Protecting Students from Undesirable Material on the Web” (Chapter 14).

### Technology @ School

**Helping Students Develop Media Literacy**

**Standards & Assessment**

Media literacy involves skill in learning from and critically evaluating different forms of electronic and print media. Helping students develop media literacy will be an important part of your job as a teacher. Most of your students will not only spend time watching television, they also will play computer and video games and use the Internet for many purposes. One way to avoid negative outcomes such as potential school achievement problems, unfavorable socialization, and unquestioning acceptance of media values, is to encourage active listening, viewing, and surfing.

You can teach about many aspects of media literacy. For example, you might help your students understand basic issues regarding the functioning and effects of mass media. You can discuss points raised in the article “The Seven Great Debates In the Media Literacy Movement” at [http://interact.uoregon.edu/MediaLit/JCP/articles_ml/r hobbs/debates.html](http://interact.uoregon.edu/MediaLit/JCP/articles_ml/r hobbs/debates.html). Renee Hobbs offers more suggestions in the article “Teaching Media Literacy: YO! Are You Hip To This?” at [http://interact.uoregon.edu/MediaLit/JCP/articles_ml/r hobbs/teachingml.html](http://interact.uoregon.edu/MediaLit/JCP/articles_ml/r hobbs/teachingml.html). The article “Educational Standards and Media Literacy” at [www.medialit.org/reading_room/article458.html](http://www.medialit.org/reading_room/article458.html) provides advice for implementing media literacy instruction that addresses a wide range of curriculum standards.
Taking Issue charts present controversial issues in the field of education, offering arguments on both sides of a question so that students can understand why the topic is important and how it affects contemporary schools. This feature covers issues such as alternative certification, merit pay, magnet schools, character education, and establishing a national curriculum. Instructors may wish to use these charts as the basis for class discussion or essay assignments.

**Taking Issue**

**State Competency Tests for Students**

One feature of the back-to-basics movement has been a rise in statewide testing of students. The failure of many students to master even the most basic skills, especially in reading, writing, mathematics, and history, has prompted state, and even federal, lawmakers to demand proof that schools are meeting minimum standards. As a teacher, you will almost certainly be involved in statewide testing of your students. All states now employ statewide testing at one or more stages in the educational process. Many states, in fact, have established minimum competency tests that students must pass before graduating from high school.

**Question**

Should every state require students to pass a statewide competency test to receive a high-school diploma?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arguments PRO</th>
<th>Arguments CON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Statewide testing for high-school graduation forces schools to improve their minimum standards. Students are no longer passed automatically through the system, and every student is taught the skills required for basic literacy.</td>
<td>1 Statewide testing is cumbersome, costly, and may not lead to much improvement in minimum standards. The effort must come from the local level, where educators know the strengths and weaknesses of their own schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 The rise in minimum standards brought about by statewide testing is especially important for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. To break the cycle of poverty and joblessness, these students must be given the skills needed for productive employment.</td>
<td>2 Statewide tests discriminate against minorities and the urban and rural poor, who fail the tests in disproportionate numbers. This failure stigmatizes them unjustly and further damages their prospects for employment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Besides improving minimum standards, statewide testing helps to shift curriculum emphasis back to the basics. All of our students need a firmer grounding in such essential subjects as reading, writing, and mathematics.</td>
<td>3 When schools try to focus on “basics,” they often neglect other important elements of education, such as problem solving and creative thinking. These higher-order abilities are increasingly important in a technological society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Testing for graduation shows the public that schools are being held accountable for their performance. The test results help to identify schools that are not doing their jobs properly.</td>
<td>4 Test scores by themselves cannot identify ineffective schools, and it is dangerous to use them for that purpose. There are too many complicating factors, such as the students’ home environment and socioeconomic background.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Using the data provided by statewide testing, educators can discover where the overall problems lie. Policies can be modified accordingly, and curricula can be designed to address the problem areas.</td>
<td>5 Most teachers already know where the problems lie. Moreover, soon after a statewide test is established, many teachers begin to “teach the test.” Thus, the data obtained from such examinations become meaningless and misleading.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW! Certification Connection is an end-of-chapter feature that links chapter content to the Praxis Exam and other certification exams.

**Certification Connection**

Chapter 5 discusses the historical developments that affect education today. The Praxis II, Principles of Learning, and Teaching may ask questions about section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and some questions about specific disabilities. Recent developments, which influence the future of education, include section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and research on autism. To prepare for students with disabilities, download information on Section 504. Identify the types of accommodations and modifications children covered by 504 needs to be educationally successful in a public setting. Research autism; use the information on autism to reflect on the accommodations that are necessary for the autistic child. In your journal, reflect on children with disabilities that you have known. What made them special?
To help you easily locate the features just listed, special indexes for each of them appear on the inside cover at the front of this book. In addition, other key pedagogic features of the preceding edition have been retained, including the following:

- **Marginal notations** reinforce central points throughout the text.
- **End-of-chapter features** include summary lists that facilitate understanding and analysis of content, a list of key terms, Annotated lists of selected readings and resources for further learning that may be of special interest to readers, and discussion questions to stimulate class participation in examining text material.
- **An extensive glossary** at the end of the book defines important terms and concepts.

**Goal #3. Draw on the Internet and other electronic media to enhance learning.** Our updating has drawn, to a considerable extent, on resources available on the Internet. Students can explore areas of personal interest by scrutinizing the printed versions of many sources we cite—including news sources such as the *New York Times* and *Education Week* and journal sources such as the *American School Board Journal* and *Scientific American*—at college, community, and university libraries. But in general, instructors should recognize that a substantial proportion of our citations are available to their students on the Internet. (For *Education Week*, the *Phi Delta Kappan*, and many other sources, articles can be accessed easily by searching with university library resources such as EBSCO Academic Search Premier.) To facilitate access, we frequently provide URLs that students can access from any computer linked to the World Wide Web. (The web sites were active at the time we prepared this text.) For many scholarly papers and for articles in periodicals, we provide initial URLs; the reader then can click on “Archives” or “Back Issues” (or similar terms) on the first screen to find the designated issue, or can use a search function provided in the initial screen. All web sites mentioned in the text are links on our companion web site.

**Organization**

The text consists of sixteen chapters divided into six parts. Part One (“Understanding the Teaching Profession”) considers the climate in which teachers work today and its impact on teaching. Changes in the job market and in the status of the profession and issues such as teacher empowerment, school-based management, and alternative certification are treated in detail.

The four chapters in Part Two (“Historical and Philosophical Foundations”) provide historical and philosophical contexts for understanding current educational practices and trends by examining the events and ideas that have influenced the development of education in the United States. These chapters allow students to develop a philosophical understanding early in the course, providing a knowledge base that will help them comprehend and think critically about the material on more modern foundations that appear later in the text.

Part Three (“Political, Economic, and Legal Foundations”) presents an overview of the organization, governance, and administration of elementary and secondary education; the financing of public education; and the legal aspects of education.

Part Four (“Social Foundations”) examines the relationships between society and the schools that society has established to serve its needs. The three chapters in this part discuss culture and socialization; the complex relationship between social class, race, and educational achievement; and the various programs aimed at providing equal educational opportunity for all students.
Part Five ("Curricular Foundations") examines the ways in which changes in societies have led to changes in educational goals, curriculum, and instructional methods. Throughout these chapters we explicitly point out how the particular philosophical ideas discussed in Chapter 4 are linked to goals, curriculum, and other facets of contemporary education. This section concludes with a look at emerging curriculum trends.

Part Six ("Effective Education: International and American Perspectives") provides a comparative look at schools and their development throughout the world and an in-depth analysis of current efforts to improve school effectiveness in the United States.

Ancillaries

Accompanying the text are the following ancillaries:

- An Online Instructor's Resource Manual, prepared by David E. Vocke of Towson University. The manual has been thoroughly updated and revised to reflect new text content; it offers for each chapter of the text a chapter outline, a chapter overview, student objectives, lecture and discussion topics, student projects, selected references and resources, a transition guide, and model syllabi.

- An Instructor's HM Testing CD offers a computerized test bank. It contains hundreds of test items, developed according to sound principles and standards of test construction. The multiple-choice items have been extensively revised and include many items that test for higher-order thinking skills.

- An expanded companion Web site (college.hmco.com/pic/ornstein10e) contains many valuable resources for both students and instructors using the text, including web links, online Instructor's Resource Manual, PowerPoint slides, ACE self-quizzes, HM Video Cases, Getting to the Source primary source material readings related to the content of each chapter of the textbook, glossary flashcards, video clips, and more.

- Houghton Mifflin Video Cases let preservice teachers experience the complex multiple dimensions of true classroom dilemmas that teachers face every day. Each case includes a 3- to 5-minute video and audio module presenting actual classroom scenarios, as well as key "artifacts" that provide background information and allow preservice teachers to realistically and thoroughly analyze the problems and opportunities in the case.

- Eduspace, Houghton Mifflin's online learning tool powered by Blackboard, provides text-specific online course content. In addition to a handy gradebook and other course management tools, the Foundations of Education Eduspace course includes interactive components such as the HM Video Cases, a discussion board, reflective journal questions, test items, and additional materials to aid students in studying and reflecting on what they have learned.
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PART ONE

Understanding the Teaching Profession
Some of your relatives or friends may have questioned you about your interest in becoming a teacher. “Are you sure you want to deal with kids?” Or “Why don’t you pick a business specialty with a big salary and forget about children unless you have some of your own?”

Perhaps you tried to explain the importance of helping children and young people become capable and responsible adults. You may have pointed out that teachers in today’s schools are gaining more power and more responsibilities, not to mention higher salaries. Of course, you may still be pondering your own motives for teaching, as well as the potential opportunities, rewards, and difficulties of a teaching career. This chapter will examine such topics, including motivations for becoming a teacher, teacher supply and demand, pay scales, career preparation, and efforts to improve the teaching work force and to give teachers more decision-making power. To help focus your thoughts, keep the following questions in mind:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What are the usual reasons for becoming a teacher, and how do your reasons compare with them?
- What are the current employment trends for teachers?
- What salaries and benefits do teachers earn? How do these compare with other occupations?
- How are teachers prepared? How are they certified?
- What are the current trends in teacher education?
- What do teachers find satisfying and dissatisfying about their work?
- What are some current developments in teacher work-force quality and teaching conditions?
The path to becoming a teacher starts when you first choose teaching as a career. In this section, we’ll review some motives for choosing a teaching career and the challenges that accompany this choice. We’ll also examine the growing concern that too few minority college students are becoming teachers.

**Motivations for Choosing Teaching**

We have many motives, both idealistic and practical, for choosing a career in teaching. Often, a person’s reasons for wanting to teach stem from his or her personal philosophy of education, a topic we will revisit throughout the book. If you are thinking of entering the teaching profession, ask yourself why. Your motives may include (1) love of children, (2) desire to impart knowledge, (3) interest in and excitement about teaching, and (4) desire to perform a valuable service to society. Perhaps you hope for job security, pension benefits, and relative ease in preparing for teaching compared with the training required by some other professions.

One study asked future teachers from a representative sample of seventy-six schools and colleges of teacher education to state their reasons for selecting the teaching profession. Ninety percent of the respondents cited “helping children grow and learn” as a reason. Next highest was “seems to be a challenging field” (63 percent), followed closely by “like work conditions” (54 percent), “inspired by favorite teachers” (53 percent), and “sense of vocation and honor of teaching” (52 percent). These reasons resembled those cited in several other studies conducted during the past twenty years. Some of these studies also concluded that admiration for one’s elementary and secondary teachers is often important in shaping decisions to become a teacher.¹ This chapter’s From Preservice to Practice box also looks at the reasons people decide to become teachers.

**The Challenge of Teaching All Students**

You probably are strongly motivated to perform effectively when you become a teacher, but you are likely to encounter some difficulties in achieving this goal when you begin teaching. As we point out below and in subsequent chapters: There will be numerous jobs open in the schools, but many of them will require teaching disadvantaged students who live in difficult circumstances with which you may be unfamiliar.

Many of these jobs will involve working with special-education populations, students who are just learning English, and/or distinctive racial or ethnic minority groups with whom you may have had little contact. You probably will be well prepared to teach subject matter in your chosen field, but many of the students you encounter will be performing poorly in reading comprehension and will need much help to improve their understanding and learn how to learn.

Despite the difficulties inherent or implicit in these kinds of situations, you will be expected to help make sure that all students perform at an adequate level in accordance with national and state laws, particularly the federal No Child Left Behind Act. Although historically there have been few schools and classrooms with significant numbers of hard-to-teach students in which all (or nearly all) of them are performing adequately, the number has been growing in recent years. We devote attention to these schools and classrooms in subsequent material dealing with effective teaching and with unusually effective schools.

Teaching Force Diversity: A Growing Concern

Although the U.S. school population is becoming increasingly diverse, the teaching force has not kept pace. For example, African American, Asian American, and
Hispanic American students make up almost 40 percent of the public-school student population, but the proportion of elementary and secondary teachers from these minority groups is generally estimated at 15 percent or less. The disparity is particularly acute in the largest urban districts, where minority students sometimes comprise 90 percent or more of enrollment.

This underrepresentation of minority groups in the teaching force is expected to grow even more severe in the future. Currently, only about 10 percent of teacher-education majors are African American or Latino; yet members of these minority groups are predicted to constitute a still higher percentage of elementary and secondary students in the near future. In recent years the shortage of Asian American teachers has also become an important problem. Asian Americans now constitute nearly 4 percent of the population of K–12 students, but they account for only 2 percent of the teaching force.2

Increasing teaching force diversity to better reflect the student population is widely viewed as an important goal. For one thing, teachers from a cultural or ethnic minority group generally are in a better position than are nonminority teachers to serve as positive role models for minority students. In many cases, minority teachers also may have a better understanding of minority students’ expectations and learning styles (see the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement and the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity), particularly if minority teachers working with low-income students grew up in working-class homes themselves. For example, Lisa Delpit and other analysts have pointed out that many African American teachers may be less prone than nonminority teachers to mistakenly assume that black students will respond well to a teacher who is overly friendly. In addition, teachers from Asian American, Latino, and other minority groups are in demand for working with students who have limited English skills.3

Officials of the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE) have stated that data on the low proportion of minority teachers constitute a “devastating” crisis. Along with other organizations, the AACTE has proposed and helped initiate legislation for various new programs to increase the number of minority teachers: increasing financial aid for prospective minority teachers, enhancing recruitment of minority candidates, and initiating precollegiate programs to attract minority students.4
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Supply/Demand and Salaries

Will you find work as a teacher? How much money will you earn? These two questions are related, following the economic principle of supply and demand. When the supply of teachers exceeds demand, salaries tend to decline. Conversely, high demand and low supply tend to increase salaries. As discussed in the chapter on The Teaching Profession, supply and demand also affect the social status and prestige accorded to a particular occupation.

Job Opportunities

In the 1960s and 1970s, a falling birthrate resulted in a surplus of teachers. As college students and teacher educators recognized the substantial oversupply, enrollment in teacher-education programs decreased, and the percentage of college freshmen in-
interested in becoming teachers declined from 23 percent in 1968 to 5 percent in 1982. Since then, the trend has reversed. The percentage of college students interested in teaching rose by nearly 100 percent during the late 1980s and 1990s and has remained relatively high since then.\(^5\)

Analysts predict many candidates in upcoming years, but also many teaching jobs. Several million new teachers will be needed in the next decade, for the following reasons:\(^6\)

1. When the post–World War II baby boom generation began to produce its own children, a “mini” baby boom developed. Those children are now in K–12 schools. In addition, many immigrant families have entered the United States in recent years. As a result, school enrollment has been increasing (see Table 1.1).

2. A significant proportion of the current teaching force will reach retirement age in the coming decade.

3. Educational reformers in many locations are attempting to reduce class size, expand preschool education, place greater emphasis on science and mathematics, and introduce other changes that require more teachers.

4. Higher standards for becoming a teacher are limiting the supply. Many states are requiring more teachers to be certified for the courses they teach.

Other educators, however, argue against a widespread shortage of teachers in the next ten years. For one thing, recent shortages have mainly involved large urban districts and specialized fields such as math and science; many districts have reported no general shortage of potential teachers. In addition, it may be that fewer teachers are leaving the profession than in earlier years, and increased enrollment of students may be leveling off. Improved salaries may also bring ex-teachers back to the schools and attract people who trained as teachers but did not enter the profession.\(^7\)

Given the arguments on each side of the issue, it is difficult to determine whether major teacher shortages will be widespread in the next decade. However,


shortages should continue to exist in “special-needs” fields such as education of students with disabilities, remedial education, bilingual education, science and mathematics, and foreign languages. In addition, teachers will remain in short supply in many rural areas and in some city and suburban communities that register significant population growth, particularly in the South and Southwest.8

Opportunities in Nonpublic Schools Prospective teachers may find numerous job opportunities in nonpublic schools during the next decade. As Table 1.1 shows, private schools enroll more than 10 percent of the nation’s elementary and secondary students. Like the public schools, many private schools are upgrading their instructional programs, often by hiring more teachers who specialize in such areas as science, math, computers, education of children with disabilities, and bilingual education.

In the past three decades, Catholic school enrollment has declined, but many other nonpublic schools have been established. Enrollment has increased most in the independent (nonreligious) sector and in schools sponsored by evangelical and fundamentalist church groups. Moreover, many Catholic schools have been increasing the percentage of lay teachers on their faculties, and this trend is likely to continue.9

Regardless of whether a large teacher shortage does or does not develop in the next ten years, astute prospective teachers will take certain steps to enhance their opportunities for rewarding employment. Some of these are outlined in Overview 1.1.

Pay Scales and Trends

Traditionally, teachers had relatively low salaries. In 1963, for example, the average teacher salary in current dollars was less than $36,000. By 2005 this figure had risen to more than $46,000. Today experienced teachers in wealthy school districts frequently earn $80,000 to $100,000. Moreover, teachers have opportunities to supplement their income by supervising after-school programs, athletics, drama, and other extracurricular activities, and some advance to administrative positions with annual salaries well over $100,000. In addition, keep in mind that public-school teachers usually have excellent benefits (such as pensions and health insurance) compared to other workers.10

Teaching pay varies considerably among and within states. Figure 1.1 shows the range of variation among states. Average overall salaries in the three highest-paying states (California, Connecticut, and Michigan) were much higher than those in the three lowest-paying states (North Dakota, Mississippi, and South Dakota). Of course,
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we must take into account comparative living costs. It is much more expensive to live in New York, for example, than to live in the northern plains states. Salaries differ widely within states, too, where average state pay scales are high. Salary schedules in wealthy suburban districts generally are substantially higher than those in most other school districts.

The greatest variation in salaries relates to years of experience and education. Teachers with more experience and more education earn more than those with less of either. Table 1.2 shows the range based on years of experience and additional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.2</th>
<th>Selected Steps in the Salary Schedule for the St. Mary’s County, Maryland, Public Schools, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree and Standard Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year</td>
<td>$40,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth year</td>
<td>42,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenth year</td>
<td>50,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirtieth year</td>
<td>56,474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All teachers must earn an advanced certificate within ten years of initial state certification.

Source: Internet site of the St. Mary’s County, Maryland, Public Schools at www.smcps.k12.md.us.
education in a typical salary schedule—that of the St. Mary’s County, Maryland, public schools. The salary schedule negotiated for 2007 provided $40,055 for the first-year teacher with a standard certificate and $77,354 for a teacher at the highest level of experience and education. Although numbers change from district to district and state to state, the wide difference between upper and lower pay levels is fairly common.

Although a teacher at the top of the salary schedule can earn an attractive salary (especially considering that the academic year is less than ten months long), starting salaries still tend to be lower than in some other professions. Recognizing this problem, many political and educational leaders have been working to increase salaries for both first-year and experienced teachers in order to attract and retain high-quality staff. Figure 1.2 shows the results of such efforts. During the inflationary 1970s, teachers’ salaries declined relative to inflation and to the average salary of all workers, but gains in both these measures have been registered since 1980.

## Preparing Teachers

During the U.S. colonial period and well into the early nineteenth century, anyone who wanted to become a teacher usually obtained approval from a local minister or a board of trustees associated with a religious institution. A high school or college diploma was considered unnecessary. If you could read, write, and spell and were of good moral character, you could teach school. By the 1820s, future teachers had begun attending normal schools (discussed in the chapter on Historical Development of American Education), although formal certification remained unnecessary. Eventually, the normal schools became teacher colleges, and most of the teacher colleges are now diversified colleges and universities. Today, all public school teachers must be certified. Except for alternative certification or temporary certification, all states require a bachelor’s degree or five years of college work for entrance into teaching.

### Certification

Prospective teachers who wish to teach in a U.S. public school must be certified by the state in their chosen subject areas or grade levels. At one time, most states granted certification based on documentation that the candidate possessed appropriate professional preparation and good moral character. However, increasing public dissatisfaction with the quality of education led to changes in certification practices. In past decades teaching certificates usually were issued for life. Now some states issue certificates valid for only three to five years. Although teachers currently holding life certificates are unaffected, those with renewable certificates usually must furnish proof of positive evaluations or university coursework to have their certificates renewed.

### Variation in Certification Requirements

Certification requirements vary widely from state to state. The resulting variance in teacher-preparation programs leads to problems in determining how well prepared entering teachers are. The required
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semester hours in general education (that is, arts and sciences) for a secondary certificate varies nationwide from about thirty hours to about seventy-five hours. The minimum hours required in professional teacher-education courses and the number of semester or quarter hours needed to teach an academic subject also vary in accordance with state requirements. Add to this the fact that courses with the same title may have drastically different content from one institution to another, and you’ll see why state and institutional requirements, even when taken together, do not guarantee that teachers have studied a uniform set of skills and concepts.

**Reciprocity of Teacher Certificates**

Differences in certification requirements between states also traditionally inhibited the movement of teachers throughout the country. If you were certified to teach in New York, for example, you might not meet the requirements for teaching in Illinois. Organizations concerned with the quality of education generally criticized this lack of reciprocity among states. Many educators argued that easing interstate movement of teachers would help (1) balance teacher supply and demand, (2) improve opportunities for teachers, (3) reduce inbreeding and provincialism in local school systems, and (4) increase morale among teachers.
Reciprocity compacts of varying success were established between some states as early as 1900. In recent years, regional agreements have developed that recognize preparation requirements across states. Most states have signed interstate contracts in which they agree to issue comparable certificates or licenses to teachers who have completed a state-approved program at an institution accredited by the region covered in the contract. In addition, various organizations are developing nationwide approaches to improve teachers’ geographic mobility.12

Alternative Certification Most states have introduced alternative certification programs, partly to attract more talented candidates to teaching and partly in reaction to current or anticipated shortages in teaching fields such as science and math. These programs help prospective teachers pursue certification without following the traditional preparation path at schools and colleges of education. A New Jersey program, for example, seeks to attract “talented persons who did not study education in college.” Nationwide, more than 200,000 teachers have been certified through alternative certification programs. Many new teachers within this group pursue teaching careers after leaving the armed forces.13

Alternative certification programs promote intense supervision and compressed formal coursework during the first few years of teaching assignment. Such programs almost always require professional development activities and courses while learning to teach. Several systematic examinations of alternative certification programs have provided some encouraging indications that they often attract well-educated individuals and frequently meet their goal of intense supervision. However, some assessments have raised questions. For example, data on several alternative certification programs indicate that many participants received little or none of the training or supervision that school districts were supposed to provide. Some participants acquired large debts and were unable to find teaching jobs afterward. In addition, mentoring for alternatively certified teachers can place a heavy burden on school districts.14

Probably the best-known alternative certification program is a national effort called Teach for America. Designed to attract recent graduates from colleges at which students have high achievement scores, Teach for America has spent tens of millions of dollars to recruit potential teachers, train them intensively for eight weeks, and place them in school districts with severe urban problems. Some initial reports were promising. For example, in some years more than one-quarter of the participants were minority individuals, and many of the secondary-school participants had much-needed skills in math or science. Tens of thousands of teachers have been trained, and many are still teaching or have other jobs in school districts.

12The organizations include the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education Certification (NASDTEC), the Council of Chief State School Officers, and the Educational Testing Service. See the NASDTEC Communicator and other documents available at www.nasdtec.org.
Several studies have reported promising results regarding the contributions of TFA participants. But other studies indicated that many of these potential new teachers were frustrated by conditions in difficult schools and/or withdrew before completing their teaching assignments.15

Despite the growing popularity of alternative certification programs, most teachers attend more traditional teacher-education programs. The Taking Issue box presents some arguments for and against alternative certification programs.
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Trends in Preservice Education

Over the past two decades, many teacher-education programs have placed significant emphasis on earlier field experience. In recent years major developments also have included movements toward fifth-year and five-year programs; increased emphasis on producing “reflective” teachers; growing use of computers and other technology; requirements that future teachers learn about methods for teaching students with disabilities and other “special” populations; and programs to prepare teaching candidates for the diverse cultural and ethnic settings of contemporary American schools.

Early Field Experience

Many teacher-education programs have become more practical by requiring future teachers to spend a significant amount of time in elementary or secondary schools early in their preparation. If you are a student in one of these programs, you will likely find that your professional courses, which address subjects such as educational psychology or pedagogical methods, coordinate closely with classroom observation, assignments as a teacher aide, or other field experiences in local schools. Institutions that require early and continual field experience have constructed a sequence by which students move from observation to service as a teacher’s aide and into relatively full-scale teaching responsibility, much as in the traditional “practice teaching” semester.16

Fifth-Year and Five-Year Programs

During the 1980s several states and numerous schools and colleges of education either introduced fifth-year programs or expanded teacher education across five years of preparation. Fifth-year programs include few or no professional-study components during the four years in which the future teacher earns a bachelor’s degree; professional preparation is concentrated in the fifth year. In contrast, five-year programs spread professional preparation across the undergraduate years and focus increasingly on clinical experience and training.17

Reflective Teaching

In accordance with recent emphasis on improving students’ thinking and comprehension skills, many institutions emphasize reflective teaching as a central theme in teacher education. Reflective teachers frequently observe and think about the results of their teaching and adjust their methods accordingly. Closely related terms such as inquiry-oriented teacher education, expert decision making, and higher-order self-reflection also describe this concept. Hundreds of schools of education have reorganized their programs to prepare reflective teachers, but the programs are diverse and show little agreement on what reflective teaching should mean.18

Computer and Technology Use

Most likely, your teacher-education program offers you some training and access to a computer lab. National surveys of teacher-education...
programs indicate that more than 90 percent have established computer or technology laboratories. These laboratories encompass a wide variety of activities and objectives, such as orienting future teachers in computer use, introducing hardware and software developed for elementary and secondary schools, and strengthening interest and capability in technology for lesson design or delivery. Many students also encounter technology in their teaching methods courses. Some programs, however, apparently lack sufficient funds and/or workable institutional arrangements needed to succeed in preparing future teachers for using contemporary technologies.19

Requirements for Teaching Disabled Students  Many states and teacher-training institutions now require that all future teachers receive some preparation in working with students who have significant disabilities. As a teacher, you will likely have special-needs students in your classes. The law demands that disabled students be mainstreamed in regular classes as much as is possible and feasible, and the growing trend is toward full inclusion of disabled students no matter how extensive their special needs. (See the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity for information about mainstreaming, inclusion, and related topics.) As a consequence, most teachers can expect certain responsibilities for working with special-needs students. Typical teacher-training requirements involve the following:20

- Cooperative, interdisciplinary efforts in which both higher-education faculty and knowledgeable field educators help future teachers learn approaches for working with students with disabilities
- Requirements in many states that all future teachers complete one or more courses in education for special-needs students and/or that existing courses incorporate substantial amounts of material on the subject

Preparation for Teaching in Diverse Settings  Increasing enrollment of racial and ethnic minority students in U.S. schools is prompting programs to prepare future teachers by adding components to help candidates function successfully in diverse settings. Similar efforts are underway in teacher licensing. For example, the Praxis III teacher performance assessment approach, developed by the Educational Testing Service (ETS), specifies that a candidate for a teaching license should be able to demonstrate a “comprehensive understanding” of why it is important to become familiar with students’ background knowledge and experiences.21

Adequacy of Preparation Programs  It is difficult if not impossible to characterize the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the myriad of teacher preparation programs in the United States. They range

from very large to tiny, from relatively well funded to financially skimpy, and from brand new to nearly a century old. Nevertheless, analysts are trying.

For example, a group of business and civic leaders called The Teaching Commission examined various aspects of teacher quality and issued a major report titled “Teaching at Risk.” Regarding a perceived need to “reinvent” teacher preparation programs, the Commission assigned a grade of C for effort and a grade of D for results. Among other findings, it concluded that too many teachers have too little knowledge of mathematics, science, and other subjects they are teaching, that alternative certification programs are not adequately providing skilled teachers where needs are greatest, and that the training of future teachers “adds far too little value” to their skills and capabilities.22

An organization named the Education Schools Project similarly released the results of a five-year study of teacher education programs. Its report, titled “Educating School Teachers,” concluded that as many as one-quarter to one-third do an excellent job, but that most future teachers are being prepared in programs that too often have inadequate curricula, low standards, and faculty out of touch with the schools. The report included recommendations (among others) that “failing” schools of education should be closed, “quality” programs should be expanded, scholarships should be provided to attract the “best and brightest” into teaching, and quality control should be strengthened.23

As indicated in the preceding paragraphs, critics of teacher education generally admit that there are many excellent programs and training components among the more than one thousand institutions that prepare teachers in the United States. Some descriptions and analyses of excellent programs and promising practices can be found at the Internet sites of the National Commission on Teaching and America’s Future (www.nctaf.org/strategies/assure/index.htm), the Rand Corporation (www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/MG506), and the Education Schools Project (www.edschools.org/pdf/Educating_Teachers_Report.pdf). A former president of the American Educational Research Association has identified ten encouraging, emerging trends that typify exemplary programs; these include the following: viewing teacher preparation as an all-university responsibility; recognizing multiple pathways into teaching; using research to guide the curriculum; and going beyond test scores to measure program effectiveness.24

Prospective Teachers: Abilities and Testing

In recent years, much discussion has centered on improving the quality of the teaching work force, particularly on improving the abilities of prospective teachers and on testing their competence for teaching.

Teacher Abilities

Discussions of the “quality” of the teaching work force frequently focus on “ability” scores derived from standardized tests such as the Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT)

24Marilyn Cochran-Smith, “Ten Promising Trends (and Three Big Worries),” Educational Leadership (March 2006).
and the American College Test (ACT). Among potential teachers, such test scores declined in the 1970s, as they did for students majoring in business and numerous other subjects. For example, between 1973 and 1981, the average SAT verbal score of college students intending to teach fell from 418 to 397. Since 1982, however, test scores of college students who say they intend to become teachers have appreciably increased and generally resemble those of students majoring in business, psychology, and the health professions. In addition, some recent studies have found that teachers’ average test scores are about the same as those of other college-educated adults.25

Testing Teachers

Some efforts to improve the teaching force focus on basic skills testing of preservice teachers, new teachers, and sometimes experienced teachers. Drawing on the argument that teachers low in reading, mathematics, communications, and/or professional knowledge probably are ineffective in their teaching, many states have introduced requirements that prospective teachers pass some form of minimum skills test in reading and language, math, subject-area specialty, and/or professional knowledge. More than forty states now use the Praxis test developed by the Educational Testing Service for this purpose. To become a certified teacher, you likely will need to pass a series of Praxis exams.26

Testing of prospective and current teachers remains a controversial topic. Many political leaders see testing as one of the few feasible steps they can take to improve public confidence in the teaching force. Opponents argue that the process unjustifiably excludes people who do poorly on paper-and-pencil tests. Many opponents believe that existing tests are biased against minorities and other candidates not from the cultural mainstream. Critics also cite data indicating that scores on standardized tests taken by future teachers correlate poorly with subsequent on-the-job measures of teaching effectiveness.27

Proponents of testing generally counter that all or nearly all teachers must be able to demonstrate that they can function at least at the seventh- or eighth-grade level in reading, writing, and math—the minimum level currently specified on some tests—to perform effectively in their jobs. Many proponents also argue that research has provided enough information to justify minimum standards and to allow for the creation of more valid exams.28 In any case, testing remains highly popular, and you should make sure that your teacher preparation program and general studies help you prepare to pass any exams that you must take.

---


Controversy regarding testing of prospective teachers became nationally prominent in 1998 after Massachusetts administered its first statewide test for this purpose. Thirty percent of the candidates failed the reading and writing test, and 63 percent of candidates for mathematics certification failed the subject-matter test in their field. After the chairman of the state board of education stated that “the real story . . . is that so many prospective public school teachers failed a test that a bright 10th grader could pass without difficulty” and that “no responsible person would subject anyone’s children, much less his own, to teachers who had failed these topics,” legislators and educators in Massachusetts and elsewhere initiated ongoing debates and arguments concerning appropriate test performance levels for entering and exiting teacher-preparation programs and for obtaining and retaining teaching certificates.29

Job Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction

Are people who become teachers generally satisfied with their work? Job conditions strongly affect satisfaction, and, as we’ll see in this section, job conditions are changing in response to many calls for educational reform. Several of these changes seem likely to improve teachers’ job satisfaction.

Teacher Satisfaction

In polls conducted for the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, teachers have been asked, “All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with teaching as a career?” Most of the respondents have answered either “very satisfied” or “somewhat satisfied.” About half have reported that they were more enthusiastic about teaching than when they began their careers. Furthermore, the percentage of satisfied teachers has increased from 33 percent in 1986 to 56 percent in 2006. Similar results have been documented in several other recent polls.30 One important reason for teachers’ job satisfaction is that they often feel successful in advancing their students’ learning and growth. Recent increases in teacher salaries, widespread recognition of teachers’ expertise, and the quality of their interpersonal relationships with students and parents also promote satisfaction. Because teachers appear mostly positive about these and other aspects of their jobs, it is not surprising that they generally indicate they have high satisfaction in their work.

Many teachers do, however, report dissatisfaction with their work. Nationwide surveys show that significant percentages believe they have insufficient time for counseling students, planning lessons, and other instructional functions. Other

Notes:
complaints include ambiguity in supervisors’ expectations; unresponsive administrators, decrepit facilities, and obligations to participate in staff development perceived as irrelevant or ineffective; lack of supplies and equipment; extensive paperwork and record keeping; and insufficient input on organizational decisions. Improvements in teacher salaries and teaching conditions may reduce these aspects of dissatisfaction in the future.31

State and District Standards and Teacher Stress

Teaching is a difficult profession that usually involves significant stress. In recent years the introduction of state and district standards for student performance has substantially increased this stress. Standards are often accompanied by accountability mechanisms involving standardized testing and publication of achievement scores for schools and, sometimes, individual classrooms. All states now require some degree of uniform testing in all school districts. Many of these tests carry “high stakes,” such as whether students pass from one grade to another, become eligible to graduate, or must attend summer school, and whether or not schools may be closed or intensely scrutinized because of low test scores.

With such consequences, many teachers feel severe pressure to improve their students’ test scores. This reaction is particularly prevalent at low-performing schools, but it also occurs even at some high-performing schools in locations where states or districts set high requirements for improved performance every year. Faculty in many schools wind up devoting much of the school year to preparing for tests and to emphasizing test-preparation materials in obtaining and using teaching resources, practices known collectively as “teaching to the test.” As we point out elsewhere in this book, this situation has raised controversial questions as to whether the standards movement facilitates or impedes improvements in student performance, as teachers narrow their instructional focus to the tested skills. Although some teachers report finding ways to provide engaging, quality instruction within frameworks that require continuous attention to the many learning objectives specified on state and

Online Study Center

After reading this section, watch “Teacher Accountability: A Student Teacher’s Perspective.”

This video shows a roundtable discussion about how teachers approach accountability in a positive and nonthreatening way that benefits both teachers and students. After watching the video, answer the following questions:

1. This chapter describes some of the stresses of the teaching profession—such as being accountable for student progress and performance. What specific strategies are used by the educational professionals in the video to cope with the challenges of the accountability movement?

2. Based on your reading and on this video, do you agree with the master teachers in this video case who believe that standardized testing “offers the opportunity to reflect upon your teaching practice?” Why or why not?

district tests, even these teachers typically experience high-level stress as they learn to function effectively within such frameworks.\(^32\)

**Coping with Stress**

As we’ve seen, teaching has its difficult and stressful moments. Research also indicates that elementary and secondary teaching has become more stressful in recent years. In response, many professional organizations and school districts offer courses or workshops emphasizing coping techniques and other stress-reduction approaches.

In national surveys, most teachers express satisfaction with their careers. Common frustrations of teachers, however, include insufficient time, ambiguous expectations, and new demands for teacher accountability. The first year of teaching can be especially stressful, and new teachers should make stress-reducing activities a priority. (© Elizabeth Crews)

Counselors point out that exercise, rest, hobbies, good nutrition, meditation or other relaxation techniques, efficient scheduling of personal affairs, and vacations can help individuals cope with high-stress jobs. You may also reduce stress if you participate in professional renewal activities or support groups, separate your job from your home life, and keep an open mind attitude toward change. Because first-year teachers experience special stress as they enter new jobs, professional organizations, school districts, and even the U.S. Department of Education offer supportive programs. The Technology @ School box in this chapter describes one such effort.

**Reforming Schools by Improving Teacher Qualifications and Functioning**

As you see, most teachers are motivated by a desire to work with young people and to enter a challenging and honorable field and most are satisfied with most aspects of their jobs. Some dissatisfaction arises, however, mostly with various “nonteaching” considerations and with the demands imposed by the contemporary movement to raise standards of performance. As we shall see next, nationwide efforts are under way to address some of the conditions that teachers find difficult and to reform schools by improving teachers’ qualifications and functioning.

**National Reports**

Among many calls for educational reform over the past two decades, the most widely known come from a series of national reports on the state of education in the United States. One of the most prominent reform efforts is the federal No Child Left Behind Act. This and other reform efforts that we describe here have changed, and will continue to change, job conditions for teachers in fundamental ways.

Since the mid-1980s numerous reports have focused on problems of education in the United States. A Nation at Risk (1983), the best known and most influential of the
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national reports, was prepared by the National Commission on Excellence in Education sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. Arguing that the United States is “at risk” in the sense that its “once unchallenged preeminence in commerce, industry, service, and technological innovation is being overtaken by competitors throughout the world,” the commission concluded that one major aspect of decline has been a “rising tide of mediocrity” in the schools. Here, we should note the report’s suggestions for making teaching a more rewarding and respected profession:33


Proposals for the teaching profession

- Set higher standards for entry into the profession
- Improve teacher salaries so they are “professionally competitive, market-sensitive, and performance-based,” thus making them part of a system that rewards superior teachers (in other words, institute merit pay, a practice discussed in the chapter on The Teaching Profession)
- Add an additional month of teacher employment with pay
- Institute a career ladder so that qualified people progress from beginning teacher to experienced teacher and finally to the level of master teacher
- Involve master teachers in preparing and supervising probationary teachers

Standards & Assessment

The No Child Left Behind Act

In 2001 teacher-quality-improvement activities became an integral part of the national school reform movement with passage of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLBA). We will discuss major components of NCLB dealing with student achievement elsewhere, particularly in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity. Here we review the key sections dealing with requirements that teachers in school districts receiving federal funding must be “highly qualified.”

Requirements in these sections were explained in a 2004 U.S. Department of Education document (see “A Toolkit for Teachers,” available at www.ed.gov/teachers/nclbguide/nclb-teachers-toolkit.pdf). The toolkit notes that the NCLBA “represents a sweeping overhaul of federal efforts to support elementary and secondary education” and “sets the goal of having every child making the grade on state-defined education standards by the end of the 2013–14 school year.” As part of the overhaul, NCLB “outlines the minimum qualifications needed by teachers and paraprofessionals who work on any facet of classroom instruction. It requires that states develop plans to achieve the goal that all teachers of core academic subjects be highly qualified by the end of the 2005–06 school year.”

Under NCLB, a “highly qualified teacher” must have (1) a bachelor’s degree, (2) full state certification and licensure as defined by the state, and (3) “demonstrated competency as defined by the state in each core academic subject he or she teaches.”

New elementary teachers can demonstrate competency by “passing a rigorous state test on subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading or language arts, writing, mathematics and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum.” New middle- and high-school teachers can demonstrate competency “either by passing a rigorous state test in each subject they teach, or by holding an academic major or course work equivalent to an academic major, an advanced degree or advanced certification or credentials.” Those already employed as teachers at any level can demonstrate competency by meeting the requirements for new teachers or by meeting a state-defined “high, objective, uniform state standard of evaluation (HOUSS).” States have defined and established their HOUSS standards for competency among current teachers. Many are using point systems that allow teachers to count a combination of years of successful classroom experience, participation in
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high-quality professional development that evaluates what the teacher has learned, service on curriculum development teams, and other activities related to developing knowledge in an academic area.

Developments with respect to implementation of NCLB teacher-quality goals have included the following:\(^{35}\)

- The federal government has begun to distribute millions of dollars for activities such as devising and implementing alternative certification programs for teachers and administrators, establishing teacher merit pay, providing bonus pay for teaching in high-need subjects and high-poverty schools, testing teachers in their subjects, and forming a Teacher Assistance Corps to help states carry out their quality-improvement initiatives.

In 2006 Secretary of Education Margaret Spellings issued a *Fifth Annual Report on Teacher Quality*, in which she provided data on state efforts to comply with the NCBLA. She acknowledged that states had not been able to meet the goal of providing a highly qualified teacher in every classroom. Some excerpts from the report include the following:

> Forty-four states require new teachers to pass at least one assessment for teacher certification. Academic content-related tests constitute the largest share of the testing (nearly 60 percent) reported.

> There is little difference between the assessments required for traditional and alternative route program completers within a given state; their pass rates are also comparable.

> Even though the overall teacher pass rate on state assessments is at 96 percent, the minimum passing scores remain generally lower than the national median scores for these tests.

Much controversy has arisen regarding state progress toward ensuring highly qualified teachers in all classrooms. For example, although many states have reported that more than 90 percent of courses are taught by highly qualified teachers, some observers have cited various data indicating that numerous staff teaching science, math, and other specialty subjects were working “out-of-field,” or teaching in areas where they had not demonstrated competency, particularly in high-poverty schools. They have concluded that either the state data were incorrect or criteria for defining “highly qualified” had been set very low, or both.

Many organizations and individuals have expressed impatience and/or skepticism regarding NCLB implementation regarding teacher quality. For example, the Education Trust has criticized the federal government for doing little to ensure that teachers in urban schools are becoming truly qualified to raise the achievement of low-income students and minority students. Observers also point out that many rural districts face insuperable difficulties in meeting NCLB requirements for highly qualified teachers, and that action involving HOUSSE implementation generally has ignored or perhaps even magnified problems in the recruitment of minority teachers.

---

The NBPTS and the INTASC

In 1987, in accordance with a recommendation of its Task Force on Teaching as a Profession, the Carnegie Corporation helped establish the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS), a nonprofit organization that issues certificates to teachers who meet its standards for professional ability and knowledge. The standards, which focus on both content knowledge and effective teaching methods, are considerably more rigorous than those for state certification tests. Assessment methods include interviews, portfolios, computer and video simulations, and other innovative elements. To qualify for national board certification, teachers must have a bachelor’s degree, a state teaching license, and at least three years of successful teaching. Many states provide a salary supplement or bonus to teachers who receive the certification. More than forty thousand candidates have earned NBPTS certificates.36

Standards for teacher performance—in this case for beginning teachers—are also being developed by the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) with funding from the U.S. Department of Education. Look for current information concerning INTASC at www.ccsso.org. More than thirty states are participating in INTASC.

Holmes and Other Groups

We already have mentioned the Teaching Commission and the Education Schools Project, and their reports. Since 1986, teaching reform also has been a primary concern of the Holmes Group, a consortium of deans of education at major research universities. Renamed the Holmes Partnership in 1996, Holmes commissioned a series of reports, including Tomorrow’s Teachers (1986), Tomorrow’s Schools (1990), and Tomorrow’s Schools of Education (1995). In line with reforms emphasized in other reports, the Holmes Partnership has stressed the need for teacher-education students to have early experience in schools. Consequently, the group has focused on the creation of professional development schools (PDSs). Like a traditional “laboratory” school, the PDS is designed to link a local school district with a college or school of education, but in a more comprehensive and systematic fashion. College faculty members function as classroom teachers and serve as mentors for new teachers. According to advocates, PDSs allow experienced teachers, beginning teachers, teacher educators, and administrators to work together to create a community of learners and to improve educational opportunities for low-achieving students. There are now more than one thousand professional development schools.37

Other groups, including the American Federation of Teachers, the American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE), and the National Education
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Association, have been working to establish plans for schools similar to PDSs. Surveys indicate that many schools and colleges of education are cooperating with professional development schools or similar institutions. On the other hand, progress has been hampered by lack of funds and other obstacles to collaboration among both school districts and higher-education institutions.\(^{38}\)

Additional support for teaching profession reform has come from the Renaissance Group, a consortium of higher-education institutions composed primarily of former teacher-training colleges. The Renaissance Group contends that teacher training should be integrated throughout a student’s university experience rather than reserved for the student’s final year and should incorporate extensive, sequenced field and clinical experience. In 1999, ten members of the group obtained an $8.55 million grant to enhance their teacher-education programs.\(^{39}\)

### Reactions to the National Reforms

Reactions have been mixed toward the plethora of national reports and subsequent developments described above. We noted that there have been much controversy and dissatisfaction with respect to implementation of teacher-quality provisions in the NBCLA. No doubt these developments have helped focus attention on the problems of education; the specific proposals have generated a great deal of support. However, many educators believe that the reports and legislation have been too simplistic in their diagnoses and solutions, and some of the proposals have met with substantial resistance and criticism.\(^{40}\)

For example, many teachers and administrators as well as researchers have criticized the emphasis on “lead teacher” and “career ladder” approaches that give some teachers greater authority and remuneration than their colleagues. In addition, critics of professional development schools have focused on the high costs of PDSs and the lack of available funds, as well as the divergent interests that hamper collaboration between school districts and higher-education institutions. There is concern, as well, that teachers participating in reform activities will be overburdened by numerous and conflicting demands for change.\(^{41}\)

Despite the lack of consensus, nearly all state governments have taken actions consistent with one or another of the national reports and all have initiated activities to comply with NCLB. Most states have raised teacher salaries, stiffened entrance and exit requirements for teacher education, and/or expanded testing of new teachers.

---


\(^{39}\)Information about the Renaissance Group is available at [http://education.csufresno.edu/rengroup](http://education.csufresno.edu/rengroup).


School Reform and Teacher Empowerment

Some reform efforts deal specifically with teacher empowerment activities, which can range from increasing the role of teachers in schoolwide decision making to providing teachers with more autonomy in the classroom. Important efforts to empower teachers include the following:42

- **School-based Management.** School-based management agreements reached between teacher associations and school boards in many districts give teachers a large role in determining school policies and practices. Such provisions typically give faculties opportunities to select instructional methods and materials and to determine how funds will be spent in their schools. (School-based management is discussed in detail in the next chapter on The Teaching Profession.) For example, some Dade County, Florida, schools have adopted a self-governance approach in which teachers and administrators work together to redesign the educational programs in their schools. To a significant extent, faculties can determine staff numbers and functions. As part of this project, the board of education suspended requirements in such areas as maximum class size, length of the school day, and number of minutes per subject.

- **Lead Teachers.** Arrangements are being introduced to broaden teachers’ responsibilities. For example, in 1987 the Rochester, New York, school district established the position of “lead teachers” who work 10 percent more hours and devote as much as half their time to serving as mentors, to planning instructional improvements, or to other leadership roles. Lead teachers are selected by panels consisting of four teachers and three administrators.

- **Professional Practice Communities.** Professional Practice Communities are being formed and supported so that teachers can share good ideas, work together in figuring out how to improve instruction for their students, and coordinate activities to enhance learning throughout their schools and classrooms. For example, much of the in-service training in many schools is now designed and even delivered by the teachers themselves, and teachers in many schools and districts collaborate in selecting curriculum standards to emphasize and the sequence to be followed in order to attain prescribed standards for student performance.

It is premature to reach conclusions about the success or failure of such arrangements. While they may be helping individual teachers and groups of teachers enhance their effectiveness, it is not clear that they will make a substantial difference on a large scale. These reform efforts encounter many obstacles, and educators involved in them are still learning how to translate teacher empowerment into improved school and student performance.

---

Outlook for Teaching

Until the school reform movement of the 1980s, college students majoring in education confronted a buyer's market for teachers, and many questioned the wisdom of entering a field apparently declining in salary, status, and general attraction. Now national attention has focused on education, and there is good news regarding teachers' prospects. The pattern of teacher oversupply has been alleviated, and governments at all levels are acting to improve teacher recruitment and preparation. Individuals dedicated to helping young people learn and grow in school should have considerable professional opportunities to realize their ambitions. In the years to come, the teaching profession should continue to experience a renewed excitement and an even greater sense that the work is of vital importance to American society.

REFOCUS Which of the reform efforts described here would you most like to see in a school district in which you wanted to teach? Which of the reforms do you think might cause teachers dissatisfaction or stress? Why?

Summing Up

1 Although we see many reasons for entering the teaching profession, research indicates that most teachers do so to help young children and to provide a service to society.
2 Many educators are focusing on ways to increase diversity in the teaching work force to better reflect the student population.
3 Demand for new teachers will likely continue.
4 Teacher salaries have improved rapidly in recent years.
5 Requirements for teacher certification vary from state to state and among institutions of higher learning.
6 In general, teacher education is becoming more practical and reality oriented. Trends in this direction include provision of early field experience in elementary and secondary classrooms. Other important trends include the introduction of five-year and fifth-year programs and the interest in developing reflective teachers. Teachers also are increasingly prepared to use up-to-date technology, to work with students who have special needs, and to teach in widely diverse settings.
7 Although admitting that it is not possible to generalize about myriads of teacher-preparation programs, several major reports have concluded that many programs are not doing an adequate job in training future teachers.
8 Most teachers are satisfied with most aspects of their jobs, despite some dissatisfaction with starting salaries and certain other aspects of the profession.
9 Concern remains widespread over the quality of the teaching work force. Major national reports on education and the No Child Left Behind Act have led to higher standards for licensing and to establishment of the highly paid position of “lead” teacher.
10 Many school districts are working out approaches for empowering teachers in order to make schools more effective.
11 Increasing public concern for education, changes occurring in the schools, and improvements in the outlook for teachers are bringing new excitement and importance to the role of the teacher.
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Chapter 1 introduces the teacher candidate to the career of teaching. Consider that as you mature as a teacher, reflective practice becomes a mechanism for change and improvement of your own teaching. In most cases, teachers reflect daily on what the students learn and how the students engage the learning processes of the classroom. The process helps the teacher to improve instruction and become more self-reliant as they mature in teaching.

As an exercise to prepare you for writing short answers on the Praxis II examination, think about your most recent classroom experience. Reflect on your interactions with the students and what motivation you provided that encouraged the students to learn. As you reflect, think about what you could do differently. Sometimes, teachers find it is helpful to ask, “If I could do this over, what would I do differently?” A second question that might help you to reflect is, “What did the students learn from this experience?”

**Discussion Questions**

1. Have your reasons for becoming a teacher changed over time? If so, what caused the change or changes? What might be most likely to change your motivation in the future?
2. Do you believe that the trends in teacher education identified in this chapter are desirable? Do you think they will improve education in the schools? What conditions are necessary to make them effective?

**Suggested Projects for Professional Development**

1. Collect and analyze information on teacher salary schedules in several nearby school districts. Compare your data with information other members of your class acquire from additional districts. What patterns do you see? What might be the advantages and disadvantages of teaching in these districts?
2. Investigate funding for teacher education at your campus. Does your school or college of education serve as a “cash cow” that provides substantial funding for other campus units?
3. Interview an elementary-school teacher and a high-school teacher about their satisfaction with their work and their reasons for being satisfied or dissatisfied. Compare your findings with those of other students in your class.
4. Individually or as a team member, prepare a report on projects and organizations that work to ensure that teachers possess a high level of preparation for their jobs. You might, for example, research the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (www.ccsso.org/Projects) and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (www.nbpts.org).
5. Find out what your state has done with respect to reviewing and modifying certification requirements, and to defining competency of new and employed teachers in response to the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. Have these actions produced changes in your preparation program? Are they raising issues for beginning teachers, or controversies regarding the status of current teachers in your state? (Information may be available at your state department of education’s Web site, which you can find on the first screen at www.ccsso.org).
Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

The federal government maintains various sites on the World Wide Web. Many topics in this chapter (and in this book) can be explored at www.ed.gov. Various professional organizations, such as the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (www.ascd.org) and Phi Delta Kappa (www.pdkintl.org), also sponsor relevant sites.


Teacher Quality Bulletin is a weekly publication available by e-mail or online from the Teacher Quality Clearinghouse at www.nctq.org.


Materials at www.new-teacher.com are relevant for future as well as new teachers.

The Fall, 2005 issue of Northwest Educator (available at www.nwrel.org/nwedu) emphasizes the theme “Teachers Working Together.”

Publications


Draper, Sharon M. Teaching from the Heart. Westport, Conn.: Heinemann, 2000. A winner of the Teacher of the Year award recounts her career and what she has learned from it.

Herndon, Joseph. The Way It Spozed to Be. New York: Bantam, 1968. A classic when it was published, this book, which describes the satisfactions and difficulties of teaching in the inner city, remains relevant in the new millennium.

Journal of Teacher Education. Regularly provides information and analysis regarding important issues in preservice and in-service education.


Until the twentieth century, teachers received relatively little preparation and had little say in the terms of their employment. Teacher training consisted of one or two years and sometimes less at a normal school or teacher college, and teachers had to follow strict rules and regulations concerning their behavior outside the school. Unorganized and isolated from one another in small schools and school districts, teachers could be summarily dismissed by a board of education. Many were told they could not teach material that a community member might find objectionable.

Times have changed. Today, teachers aspire to be professionals with expert knowledge concerning instruction, content, and methods in their particular fields. In addition, they are well organized as a group and have gained greater rights to be judged on their work performance rather than on their behavior outside school. Often, too, they participate in decision making about work conditions. In many cases, they are forging stronger links with school administrators, university researchers, government officials, and the communities they serve. The first part of this chapter describes ways in which teachers are striving for full professional status, and the second discusses how teacher organizations have grown in power and prominence. As you read this chapter, think about the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What trends show that teaching is becoming a full-fledged profession?
- In what ways is teaching not fully a profession?

* This chapter was revised by Dr. James Lawlor, Towson University.
How does merit pay help or hinder the teaching profession?
What are the goals and activities of the two main professional organizations, the NEA and the AFT?
What are other important professional organizations for teachers?
What professional organizations might education students and beginning teachers join?

Is Teaching a Profession?

The question of whether or not teaching is a profession in the fullest sense has greatly concerned educators for many decades. Some have tried to identify the ideal characteristics of professions and, by rating teachers on these items, determine whether teaching is a profession. The following are characteristics of a full profession, based on the works of noted authorities over a thirty-five-year period.¹

1. A sense of public service; a lifetime commitment to career
2. A defined body of knowledge and skills beyond that grasped by laypersons
3. A lengthy period of specialized training
4. Control over licensing standards and/or entry requirements
5. Autonomy in making decisions about selected spheres of work
6. An acceptance of responsibility for judgments made and acts performed related to services rendered; a set of performance standards
7. A self-governing organization composed of members of the profession
8. Professional associations and/or elite groups to provide recognition for individual achievements
9. A code of ethics to help clarify ambiguous matters or doubtful points related to services rendered
10. High prestige and economic standing

The general consensus is that teaching is not a profession in the fullest sense because it lacks some of the above characteristics, but it may be viewed as a “semiprofession” or an “emerging profession” in the process of achieving these characteristics.² Several sociologists contend that nursing and social work are also semiprofessions.

In particular, teaching seems to lag behind professions such as law and medicine in four important areas: (1) a defined body of knowledge and skills beyond that grasped by laypersons, (2) control over licensing standards and/or entry requirements, (3) autonomy in making decisions about selected spheres of work, and (4) high prestige and economic standing. In the following sections we explore these four aspects of teaching.

A Defined Body of Knowledge

All professions have a monopoly on certain knowledge that separates their members from the general public and allows them to exercise control over the vocation. Members of the profession establish their expertise by mastering this defined body of knowledge, and they protect the public from quacks and untrained amateurs by denying membership to those who have not mastered it. In the past “education” or “teaching” has had no agreed-upon specialized body of knowledge. Nor has teaching been guided by the extensive rules of procedure and established methodologies found in professions such as the physical sciences and health care. As a result, too many people, especially the lay public, talk about education as if they were experts—the cause of much conflicting and sometimes negative conversation.

The ill-defined body of knowledge also allows teacher-education course content to vary from state to state and even among teacher-training institutions within a given state. Teacher preparation usually consists of three major components: (1) liberal (or general) education, (2) specialized subject-field education—the student’s “major” or “minor,” and (3) professional education. Almost all educators agree that preparing good teachers rests on these three components. Strong arguments arise, however, over the relative emphasis that each component should receive. How much time, for example, should the education student devote to liberal-education courses versus courses in a specialized subject field and professional education? Viewpoints also differ concerning the extent to which clinical experience, which emphasizes practice in actual school settings, should be incorporated in professional education courses. Thus, your teacher-education program may differ from one at a different college or university.

James Koerner described the problem further in his highly critical book *The Miseducation of American Teachers*. Koerner argued that by requiring too many education courses—as many as sixty hours at some state teacher colleges—and by making these courses too “soft,” colleges of education were producing teachers versed in pedagogy at the expense of academic content. Although critics have helped reduce the number of required education courses, the controversy continues, making it especially difficult to establish clear national standards for teacher preparation.

The situation is gradually changing, however. The National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) has set standards that specify courses to be taken and faculty qualifications for teaching those courses. Until recently, many teacher-education institutions still failed to meet NCATE’s standards; as recently as 2003, 45 percent of the twelve hundred colleges involved in training teachers were not accredited by NCATE. By 2006 that percentage had increased to 52 percent. However, most NCATE members have worked diligently to meet NCATE standards.
standards. Now, 60 percent of colleges are either accredited or are being considered for accreditation. Most of the remaining five hundred teacher-education institutions use NCATE standards to conduct state-level evaluations. Thus, by 2006, thirty-nine states had adopted NCATE unit standards for state evaluation of teacher-education programs, and all fifty states had adopted program standards in subject-matter areas or aligned them very closely. NCATE standards are increasingly the norm in teacher preparation. Moreover, the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (AACTE) decided in 1995 to promote the pursuit of NCATE accreditation. To further this end, AACTE is expanding technical assistance, such as consultants to nonaccredited institutions, during the accreditation process.

Controlling Requirements for Entry and Licensing

Whereas most professions have uniform requirements for entry and licensing, teaching historically has lacked this. As indicated in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher, recent reforms have required prospective teachers in most states to pass minimum competency tests, and bodies such as the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards are establishing methods for measuring a person’s ability to teach. However, certification requirements still vary greatly from state to state, and the trend toward teacher testing has generated widespread controversy. You may wish to research the qualifications and testing required in your state to compare with others nearby.

Moreover, many teachers working in the secondary schools appear to be teaching out of license—in other words, outside their recognized areas of expertise. This problem is especially acute in science, mathematics, and special education.

The outlook is further clouded by the trend toward alternative certification, discussed in Chapter 1 on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher. This process—by which teachers are recruited from the ranks of college-educated retirees, industrial personnel, and experienced people seeking second careers—is intended to eliminate teacher shortages in certain subject areas such as mathematics, science, special education, and computer instruction or to upgrade the quality of new teachers. Alternative certification is often praised as practical and innovative by laypeople and school board members. Most teacher organizations, on the other hand, see alternative certification as a threat to the profession. One critic wrote, “The assumption that those who know something can automatically teach... [will] not solve the problem of teacher quality.” The AACTE has taken a middle position, supporting alternative licensing procedures only at the master’s degree level and in conjunction with supervised field training.
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Whatever they may think about differing requirements for certification, teachers traditionally have had little to say in these matters. However, teacher organizations are beginning to cooperate with state legislatures and departments of education to modify certification standards and establish professional practice boards (discussed later in this chapter). The more input teachers have—the more control they exercise over their own licensing procedures—the more teaching will be recognized as a full profession.

**Autonomy in Deciding About Spheres of Work**

In a profession, every member of the group, but no outsider, is assumed to be qualified to make professional judgments on the nature of the work involved. Indeed, control by laypersons is considered the natural enemy of professions; it limits the professional’s power and opens the door to outside interference. Professionals usually establish rules and customs that give them exclusive jurisdiction over their area of competence and their relationships with clients.

Teachers, in contrast, have traditionally had little input in curriculum decisions, and they are vulnerable when they seek to introduce textbooks or discuss topics that pressure groups consider controversial. In fact, school officials often hire outside “experts” with little teaching experience to help them select books, write grant proposals, or resolve local school-community issues. Even school reform initiative often comes from government officials, business leaders, and civic groups rather than from teachers.

**High Prestige and Economic Standing**

Occupational prestige refers to the esteem a particular society bestows on an occupation. Do you consider teaching a high-prestige occupation? Occupations rate high in prestige if they are generally perceived as making an especially valuable contribution to society. Occupations that require a high level of education or skill and little manual or physical labor also tend to be prestigious. On these aspects of social status, the job of elementary or secondary teacher historically has ranked relatively high.

Perhaps the best-known studies of occupational prestige are those conducted by the National Opinion Research Center (NORC). In these studies of more than five hundred occupations, the highest average score for a major occupation was eighty-two for physicians and surgeons, and the lowest was nine for shoe shiners. Elementary-school teachers were rated at sixty and secondary school teachers at sixty-three—both above the ninetieth percentile. In addition, the percentage of teachers who say they “feel respected in today’s society” has increased substantially in recent decades. In one cross-national study, 70 percent of U.S. respondents believed that high-school teachers are either “very respected” or “fairly respected.”
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One reason why teachers have maintained or even increased their occupational prestige is that their average level of education has risen greatly over the past century. Another reason may be the complex nature of teaching. Brian Rowan, comparing teachers’ work with other occupations, found that work complexity related directly to occupational prestige. Teaching, more complex than 75 percent of all other occupations, ranked quite high in prestige. The complexity of teachers’ work is manifested in their need to apply principles of logical or scientific thinking to define problems, collect data, establish facts, and draw conclusions. To be a teacher, you must be highly proficient in language (reading, writing, and speaking), and, most of all, you must work effectively with many kinds of people—children, adolescents, parents, colleagues, and superiors. This work with people sets teaching apart from most other occupations. However, society accords higher prestige (and, of course, higher pay) to professionals such as physicians, academics, lawyers, and engineers, mainly because they must deal with information generally regarded as more abstract (complex) and because these fields require more rigorous academic preparation and licensure.\textsuperscript{13}

Although teachers’ salaries since 1930 have increased more than those of the average industry worker, teacher pay remains lower than that of the average college graduate, such as an engineer, nurse, accountant, or business major.\textsuperscript{14} In addition, teachers still earn far less than lawyers, business executives, and some other professionals with similar levels of formal education. For example, your classmates who become business executives with a level of formal education similar to yours as a teacher might earn $150,000 per year, and some might earn $500,000 or more. Nevertheless, the status-consistency hypothesis holds that a group tends to compare its achievements (both prestige and salary) with those of other groups, striving to match the rewards of people with similar jobs and similar years of education.\textsuperscript{15} If this is true, we can expect teachers to compare their lot with other groups’ and feel somewhat dissatisfied. In the past this dissatisfaction has been a major reason for teacher militancy, and it has motivated some teachers to leave the profession.\textsuperscript{16}

To its credit, educational reform has put teachers in the limelight and has brought pressure on school districts to increase salaries. Though optimistic projections have not always been fulfilled,\textsuperscript{17} the earnings gap between teachers and other highly educated groups may now begin to close. With help from their own professional organizations, coupled with pressure to upgrade educational standards, teachers should continue to experience increased status.

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{13}Eric Hoyle, “Teaching: Prestige, Status and Esteem,” \textit{Educational Management and Administration} (April 2001), pp. 139–152.
\item \textsuperscript{15}David J. Hoff, “Politics Pulls Teacher to Forefront,” \textit{Education Week} (January 2006), pp. 1, 21, 24.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
Although teaching, as we have seen, probably should not be considered a fully professionalized occupation, certain trends have helped it move in that direction. **Collective bargaining**, for example, can enhance teachers’ capacity to make decisions about their classroom work. Let’s look at several other major aspects of a long-range trend toward professionalizing teaching.

### The Scope of Collective Bargaining

By 1980 teachers had won the right to have their representatives formally bargain with their employers in most of the United States. If you went to public schools, your teachers’ contracts were probably negotiated through collective bargaining. The extent and nature of collective bargaining varied from negotiations conducted in the absence of a law allowing or forbidding it to full-scale contract bargaining backed by the right to strike. In contrast, the private school sector has no collective bargaining.

In some ways, collective bargaining may be considered a nonprofessional or even antiprofessional activity. In law, medicine, or the ministry, for example, few professionals work in organizations in which collective bargaining determines terms of employment. Collective bargaining, however, can significantly enhance teaching’s professionalism by giving teachers greater authority to determine their work conditions and their effectiveness as teachers.

Collective bargaining has increasingly affected concerns other than the fundamental salary issue. Today, the focus is often on peer review, career ladders, merit pay, standards setting, and school-based management, subjects discussed in later sections of this chapter. Teachers’ bargaining units often feel the “push/pull” of addressing bread-and-butter issues as opposed to professional concerns. In upcoming years, movements toward school reform, school restructuring, and teacher empowerment will give teachers more professional autonomy, union strength, and higher salaries, in exchange for greater accountability and reduced adversarial bargaining. Continuing in this vein, collective bargaining can go beyond resolving conflicts between school boards and teachers and raise the overall status of the profession.

### Professional Practice Boards

Educators are unlikely to achieve complete autonomy in setting professional practice standards, but their role has increased. Today all states except two (Maine and South Dakota) have established state **professional practice boards**, or similar bodies, which set standards for teacher certification.
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Some educators favor a single national board rather than independent state boards. This has always been the position of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT), and the idea has been welcomed by many national task force groups. As mentioned in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher, the Carnegie Corporation has helped to found the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS). The NEA now supports this organization because two-thirds of the NBPTS directors are “teaching professionals”—that is, representatives of teacher unions, subject-area associations, and teachers noted for classroom excellence. Currently, the NBPTS has granted national certification to more than fifty thousand teachers in twenty-four certificate fields. Although NBPTS certification is voluntary and cannot be required as a condition of hiring, many educators hope that local school boards and superintendents will develop incentives to encourage teachers to apply for national certification. All fifty states have already initiated support in the form of certification fee reimbursement or salary supplements. For more information on national board standards and the twenty-four certification areas, see .nbpts.org/about.

Mediated Entry

Mediated entry refers to the practice of inducting persons into a profession through carefully supervised stages that help them learn how to apply professional knowledge successfully in working environments. For example, aspiring physicians serve one or more years as interns and then as residents before being considered full-fledged professionals.

Dan Lortie has studied the teacher’s job from a sociological perspective and has concluded that teaching ranks between occupations characterized by “casual” entry and those that place difficult demands on would-be members. For example, secretarial knowledge and skills are significantly less demanding than those of a medical doctor or even a nurse. The lack of more carefully mediated entry means that new teachers have relatively little opportunity to benefit from the principles and practices developed by earlier educators. Too often teachers report learning to teach through trial and error in the classroom. They also report that the beginning years of teaching can be a period of anxiety, loneliness, and fear, even of trauma. Although almost any occupation or profession produces problems and anxieties at first, a more systematic mediated entry would probably alleviate some stress.
More colleges and universities are using professional development schools (described in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher) as clinical settings where aspiring teachers gain a year of classroom experience before student teaching (residency). This multisemester approach—in actual classrooms under the guidance of experienced teachers and their university professors—provides a more systematic induction into the teaching profession.27

The teaching profession now recognizes the need to develop a period of induction and transition into teaching, especially given that approximately 45 percent of new teachers leave the profession by the end of year five. During your first few years of teaching, you may be considered a probationary teacher. Since the early 1980s, more than thirty states have mandated statewide initiatives or have provided funds for this purpose. Some school districts, such as Toledo, Ohio, provide probationary or intern teachers with feedback and assistance from experienced teachers. Performance-assessment approaches can help determine whether new teachers have mastered some of the most important teaching skills. Other districts assign specially trained mentor teachers or support teams to work closely with new teachers, particularly those assigned to teach “high-risk” students.28 Mentor teachers may receive released time or stipends for helping new teachers. In many other school districts, all teachers are evaluated, but expectations and training sessions differ for probationary teachers and experienced teachers.29 Some colleges and universities provide transitional guidance for graduates who will teach, either through direct
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supervision or through staff development or both. Overall, the trend toward more carefully mediated entry should continue; major teacher unions and several education reform groups support it, as does federal legislation such as No Child Left Behind, which mandates “highly qualified teachers.” (We define and discuss highly qualified in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher.)

**Staff Development**

Your teacher training does not end when you begin teaching full time. Teaching demands rigorous and continuous training, which we often refer to as staff development, or further education and training for a school district’s teaching staff. To stay up to date in their preparation and to acquire new classroom skills, teachers have traditionally participated in various kinds of in-service training, or completion of a master’s degree. In most states completion of a master’s degree, either in a content field or in professional education coursework, automatically makes one a “highly qualified teacher” (HQT).

Both the NEA and the AFT support the concept of staff development as integral to a teacher’s professional growth. U.S. teachers are an aging group (the average teacher is about fifty years old and has twenty years of experience), and many states now require teachers to participate in staff development programs in order to retain their teaching certificates. Younger teachers, those with less than ten years’ experience, tend to use staff development programs to pursue new degrees (mostly master’s degrees), whereas veteran teachers with ten or more years’ experience are more likely to participate in specialized workshops or in-service training. Staff development topics in high demand include improving students’ reading and writing skills, working with special-needs students, inclusion students, working with diverse populations, active learning strategies, curriculum revision, site-based management, and legal issues and concerns.

Another important focus for staff development is improving teachers’ knowledge and skills in using educational technology. This effort ranges from teaching basic computer literacy—such as word processing, creating PowerPoint presentations, and developing grade spreadsheets—to teaching more sophisticated use of Internet resources—such as interactive video, CD-ROM videodisks, and distance learning. The AFT has also developed its Educational Research and Dissemination (ER&D) program to expose teachers to the growing body of important education research findings. A series of collegial workshops explore the latest research and practical classroom applications. Universities have trained more than fifteen hundred teachers to act as workshop leaders, and the program continues to grow.

---


31TK?

Technology @ School box describes, teachers can also use the Internet for professional development.

New varieties of staff development programs are giving teachers a major voice in decisions that affect their professional careers. These programs also help to establish the concept that teaching, like other full-fledged professions, requires lengthy and ongoing training.

**Merit Pay**

Real changes in teacher remuneration are under way. A growing number of school boards have taken the position that merit pay (a supplement to a teacher’s base salary to reward superior performance) is a cost-effective method of motivating teachers and encouraging excellence in teaching. However, teacher unions and other critics have expressed reservations about merit pay plans. Some argue that teachers’ work is complicated and difficult to measure, and assessments of merit are too often subjective, especially when left in the hands of a single person—the
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**Critiques of merit pay**

Technology @ School box describes, teachers can also use the Internet for professional development.

Novice teachers especially need job search information, such as résumé writing and interviewing tips, as well as information on substitute teaching jobs, certification, and professional expectations and behavior (at http://u.s.geocities.yahoo.com/search?p=student+teacher+resource. Click on “Student Teacher Resource Source” for all sorts of lesson plans, job searching tips, and resources.)

This site covers all of these topics and more. New teachers can also consult this site for tips on organizing classrooms, bulletin board ideas, teaching strategies, resources for educators, class management, block schedules, motivating students, and even workshops on assessment rubrics.

Preservice teachers can find free résumé advice, sample résumés, and assistance with building a personal résumé at “schoolcareer.com.” Job search information is also available at this site. You will want to visit Kathy Schrock’s “Guide to Educators” (http://school.discovery.com/schrockguide/) as well, where you will find a wide range of Internet resources, such as teacher helper, search tools, puzzle makers, clip art, brain boosters, new sites this month, teaching tools, videos, homework helpers, and the curriculum center, where you will find classroom activities supporting core curriculum topics. These are only a few of the many resources available at this site.

Beginning and veteran teachers can find Internet resources in just about any subject area, including math, science, social studies, English/language arts, art, and music. A great deal of information is available for teacher lesson plans that make use of newspaper articles, technology applications, writing activities, analysis of magazine and journal articles, slide shows, videos, assessment rubrics and workshops, some of which are actually online. Many of these sites have links to additional resource sites. (Look at Kathy Schrock’s “Guide for Educators—Internet Information” at http://school.discovery.com/schrockguide/ for helpful links that are updated each week.) Searching Google for “Teacher Internet Resources” will yield additional excellent sites.

As you move from preservice to the full-time practice of teaching, you will want to familiarize yourself with professional development activities for certified teachers available at PBS Teacher Line (teacherline.pbs.org). Here teachers can select from more than ninety online courses by clicking on “About Courses” and “Course Catalog.” For teacher resources click on “Resources” and select resources for math, science, reading, language arts, and technology and curriculum integration.
school principal. Teachers and their professional organizations feel more comfortable with peer evaluations. Where merit plans have been implemented, according to some reports, teachers have often believed that the wrong people were selected for preferential pay. Some observers fear that such rewards go to relatively few teachers at the expense of many others and threaten unity and collegiality among educators. Moreover, merit pay funding has often been inadequate. The need, critics say, is to increase all teachers’ salaries, not just a few, and not to pit teachers against one another. The Taking Issue box presents some arguments for and against merit pay.

Even as the arguments continue, the concept of merit pay has spread to many school districts and to entire states. Today, merit pay plans are sometimes linked with career ladders, which establish clear-cut stages through which a teacher may advance. North Carolina in 1991 implemented a statewide merit plan called “differential pay,” whereby local school districts receive up to 3 percent above their normal salary totals to allocate to teachers on the basis of merit or additional responsibilities. Overall, the trend toward raising the ceiling on teachers’ salaries and making distinctions based on merit should attract brighter students into the profession and keep good teachers from leaving classrooms for more competitive salaries in other fields.

**School-Based Management**

Many educational reforms, as we have seen, involve a movement toward teacher empowerment—increasing teachers’ participation in decisions that affect their own work and careers. One such reform is school-based management (also known as site-based management, site-based decision making, or collaborative decision making), a system in which individual schools rather than superintendents or boards of education make many decisions about curriculum, instruction, staff development, allocation of funds, and staffing assignments. School teachers, administrators, and often parents together develop their own plan for the school’s future.

The assumption underlying school-based management is that people who share in responsibilities and decisions will believe in what they are doing and will work more effectively toward common goals. This concept of reform also recognizes that teachers are experts whose talents should be put to use in planning. The reform plans in Dade County, Florida, and Rochester, New York (described in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher), include a generous dose of school-based management. Other districts with similar plans include Louisville, Chicago, Denver, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, and Baltimore.
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The fate of school-based management rests especially on the relationship between principals and their teachers, on the willingness of teachers to take responsibility for directing their own behavior, and on the amount of extra time teachers are willing to devote to working out problems and reaching consensus. Advocates of school-based management claim that most teachers welcome the increased involvement and that teacher morale and the overall climate of the school dramatically improve.

### Taking Issue

**Merit Pay**

Traditionally, teachers have earned salaries based on their years in teaching and their highest degree obtained. Recent plans, however, offer extra pay to teachers considered above average in teaching skills, work habits, leadership, or student achievement.

**Question**

Should individual teachers receive special pay increases based on merit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arguments PRO</th>
<th>Arguments CON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Teachers whose students consistently score high on achievement tests or have healthy social attitudes must be outstanding teachers or models for citizenship. Such teachers merit extra compensation for their work.</td>
<td>1 Factors related to achievement and social attitudes are so diverse that it is impossible to differentiate the teacher’s contribution from home, social-class, and peer-group influences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Teachers who provide their students with creative and interesting education experiences, work hard in preparation, and give many hours of their own time to their students also deserve special compensation</td>
<td>2 Hard work can perhaps be measured, but many “creative” activities do not necessarily correlate with good teaching. If creativity is a criterion, merit pay may be rewarded more for the teacher’s apparent inventiveness than for students’ learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Merit pay reduces teaching conformity by encouraging teachers to develop different teaching approaches, become more independent in thought, and exceed text or teaching-guide presentations.</td>
<td>3 Those who evaluate teachers’ merit may unconsciously favor people who do not challenge district policy or seem not to threaten school stability with innovative approaches. Thus, merit pay may encourage conformity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Some merit pay plans allow teachers to earn $75,000 or more. Without such opportunities to earn above the base salary, capable and ambitious people will choose incentive-producing careers such as business.</td>
<td>4 Businesses can offset extensive merit pay rewards by raising prices, but schools must raise taxes. Taxpayers often will not or cannot support such rewards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Merit pay promotes excellence in teaching by acting as an incentive for teachers to improve their performance. Each teacher is encouraged to develop better teaching behaviors and a deeper concern for student welfare. Business and most other professions offer such motivators, why not teaching?</td>
<td>5 Incentive pay, by definition, goes to only a few. Such a plan penalizes equally qualified teachers who miss out for lack of enough positions. Moreover, competition for merit pay pits one teacher against another, encourages political games, and destroys the collegial cooperation essential to good education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Critics contend that the result of collaboration is often not useful. Considerable time, they say, is devoted to discussing daily teaching problems such as classroom management, equipment needs, clerical routines, and working conditions; thus little time remains for the larger issue of school effectiveness. In addition, some administrators argue that many teachers untrained in shared leadership, instead of cooperating, may revert to a hostile collective-bargaining stance.38 In addition, some districts have found it difficult to develop meaningful parental involvement in school-based decision making.39

Expanding school-based management will require patience and a willingness to work out differences in expectations. Once in practice, however, shared decision making helps empower teachers and further enhances their professional status.

Teacher Organizations

Although today’s working conditions need improvement, they sharply contrast with the restrictions teachers once endured. For example, a Wisconsin teacher’s contract for 1922 prohibited a woman teacher from dating, marrying, staying out past 8 P.M., smoking, drinking, loitering in ice cream parlors, dyeing her hair, and using mascara or lipstick.40 A critical factor in the development of teaching as a profession has been the growth of professional organizations for teachers. The National Education Association (NEA) and the American Federation of Teachers
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40Chicago Tribune, September 28, 1975, sect. 1, p. 3.
(AFT), the two most important, usually are considered rivals, competing for members, recognition, and power. Overview 2.1 sums up major differences between the two organizations. Although some educators believe that this division produces healthy professional competition, others consider it detrimental to the teaching profession—a splitting of power and a waste of resources. Still others argue that teachers will not attain full professional status until one unified voice speaks for them.

Regardless of which teacher organization you prefer or are inclined to join, the important step is to make a commitment and to be an active member. Organizational membership will increase your own professionalism and gain you collegial
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**The SBDM Team**

During a break in the teachers' lounge, Anna Solemini, a first-year teacher, listened to her colleagues talk about the recent staff development day. Several complaints focused on the lack of helpful professional development provided by the school administration. Julia Smith, an experienced teacher, questioned, "Why did they make all of us sit there and listen to another presentation on learning styles? Most of us have heard that at least three times!"

Robert Garza, another long-time staff member, said, "I wish they would pay attention to what we want for professional development. The site-based decision-making team seems to consistently ignore the survey that we complete in the spring. I know I requested help to improve teachers’ use of technology. A couple of my friends requested help with classroom management. A presentation on learning styles doesn’t focus on what we want to learn.”

Another teacher added an important point that helped Anna better understand some of the differing views. She explained that the site-based decision-making team (SBDMT) decided topics for professional development and that perhaps ideas from the teachers were not well presented to that team. The teachers wanted to know if an actual tabulation of the surveys had been created and presented to the team. After all, each of them had completed a survey last spring to select a couple of topics for this fall’s professional development. Her new colleagues also expressed the opinion that if asking for their views on professional development was a way of empowering teachers as professionals, ignoring the survey results was equally a way to deprofessionalize the teachers.

After hearing about some of the SBDMT’s other roles, Anna began to think that serving on the SBDMT could be a service to the school. The experience could help her develop professionally, too. She asked Julia how she might become involved. Julia said, “Don’t worry. Until you have several years of experience and can influence other teachers, the administration won’t even consider you for that position.”

“But I thought that the teachers were elected to the positions on the SBDMT,” said Anna. “What factors do the teachers consider important?”

“The same items I have already noted—experience and influence.”

“But I think that it could be a great learning experience for a beginning teacher like myself,” said Anna.

“Well, I think you will probably find this first year challenging enough without taking on the site-based decision-making team. If you believe you can find time for all those meetings and really want to do this, though, make that known to our principal and to our fellow teachers. Just try not to be too idealistic. I am sure you have a lot of new ideas from your recent training, but decisions in the real world can get a little stickier.”
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**Questions**

1. What further information should Anna seek before trying to join the site-based decision-making team?
2. What do you think about Julia’s view that only experienced teachers will be selected or elected to serve on the SBDMT?
3. Why might some teachers object to serving on an SBDMT?
4. In your opinion, is Anna’s plan likely to be more or less effective than complaints in the teachers’ lounge?
relationships. Your support also helps to improve salary, working conditions, and benefits for many teachers. In addition, reading the journals, magazines, or newsletters that most professional organizations publish, as well as visiting their Web sites, will keep you abreast of the latest developments in the field. See Suggested Resources at the end of this chapter.

**National Education Association (NEA)**

The National Education Association is a complex, multifaceted organization involved in education on many local, state, and national levels. Unlike the AFT, the NEA includes both teachers and administrators at the national level. As shown in Table 2.1, membership totaled 2.8 million in 2006. Among NEA members in 2004, more than 2 million were classroom teachers. This figure comprises three-fourths of the nation’s 3.1 million public-school teachers. Primarily suburban and rural in its membership, the NEA represents the fifth-largest lobbying force in the country. It’s 50 state affiliates, along with more that 13,000 local affiliates, are among the most influential state-level education lobbies. Finally, and importantly, the NEA opposes teachers going on strike, in marked contrast with the position of the AFT.

The NEA offers a wide range of professional services. The research division conducts annual studies on the status of the profession; it also publishes research memos and opinion surveys on an annual basis. The NEA’s major publication is a
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NEA Today. Most of the fifty state affiliates publish a monthly magazine as well.

**American Federation of Teachers (AFT)**

Formed in 1916, the AFT is affiliated with the AFL-CIO labor organization. Originally open only to classroom teachers, in 1976, to increase membership, the AFT targeted professional employees such as nurses and nonprofessional school personnel such as cafeteria, custodial, maintenance, and transportation workers. Membership in 2006 stood at just over 1.3 million (Table 2.1), of whom 650,000 were teachers.

In the past, the AFT has supported less research and publication than the NEA, but the union does publish a professional magazine, *American Educator*, and a monthly newspaper, *American Teacher*. In addition, local affiliates each produce a monthly newsletter. Unlike the NEA, the AFT has always required its members to join the local (3,000 affiliates), state (forty-three states), and national organizations simultaneously. 44

The AFT expanded rapidly in the 1960s and 1970s when its affiliates spearheaded a dramatic increase in teacher strikes and other militant actions. The AFT became the dominant teacher organization in many large urban centers where unions have traditionally flourished, where militant tactics have been common, and where teachers in general have wanted a powerful organization to represent them. In rural and suburban areas, where union tactics have received less support, the NEA remains dominant.

In addition to the NEA and AFT, more than 325 other national teacher organizations exist. 45 In the following sections we describe some of the basic types.

### Table 2.1 Membership in the NEA and AFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NEA Membership</th>
<th>AFT Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>714,000</td>
<td>59,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>205,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2,050,000</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2,700,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---


Specialized Professional Organizations

At the working level, the classroom, the professional organization that best serves teachers (and education students) is usually one that focuses on their major field. Each such subject-centered professional association provides a meeting ground for teachers of similar interests. These professional organizations customarily provide regional and national meetings and professional journals that offer current teaching tips, enumerate current issues in the discipline, and summarize current research and its relationship to practice. The first column of Overview 2.2 lists fifteen major organizations that focus on specific subject matter.

Other organizations, also national in scope, focus on the needs and rights of particular kinds of students, ensuring that these children and youth are served by well-prepared school personnel. Fifteen such organizations are listed in the second column of Overview 2.2. These associations hold regional and national meetings and publish monthly or quarterly journals.

Still another type of organization is the professional organization whose members cut across various subjects and student types, such as the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development and Phi Delta Kappa. These organizations tend to highlight general innovative teaching practices, describe new trends and policies affecting the entire field of education, have a wide range of membership, and work to advance the teaching profession in general. Each organization publishes a well-respected journal: Educational Leadership by ASCD, and the Phi Delta Kappan by PDK.

Religious Education Organizations

In grades K–12 there are approximately 375,000 non-public-school teachers, of whom 135,000 belong to religious education associations. One of the largest religious teacher organizations is the National Association of Catholic School Teachers (NACST), founded in 1978 and now comprising more than 5,000 teachers, mainly from large cities.46 Few Catholic K-12 schoolteachers belong to either the NEA or the AFT.

The largest and oldest Catholic education organization is the National Catholic Education Association, comprising 7,799 institutions and 200,000 Catholic educators. Most members are administrators who serve as principals, supervisors, or superintendents of their respective schools. Few teachers are members.47 It is estimated that there are currently more than 2.4 million students in private elementary and secondary schools in the United States.

Parent-Teacher Groups

Parent-teacher groups provide a forum for parents and teachers to work together in resolving educational problems on the local, state, and national levels. As a teacher, you can take an active part in these associations and work with parents on curriculum and instructional programs, student policy, and school-community relations.

47Wunder, NCEA, March 16, 2001; see www.pta.org/aboutus (2006); Also see www.nea.org/about (2006)
Founded in 1897, the Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)—the most prominent of the groups—is a loose confederation of fifty-four branches and 23,000 local units in all fifty states, with more than six million members (mostly mothers) in 2006. Every PTA unit devises its own pattern of organization and service to fit its school and neighborhood. (Some units now use the acronym PTSA, emphasizing their inclusion of students.) PTA membership is open to anyone interested in promoting the welfare of children and youth, working with teachers and schools, and supporting PTA goals.48

Our Children: PTA Today and What’s Happening in Washington are the official monthly magazines of the association.49 The “Where We Stand” section of the PTA Web site (go to www.pta.org and click on “news and events,” “issues and action,” and “parent resources” for the PTA position on issues and pending legislation) offers their online press room and legislative information.

As the nation’s largest child-advocacy organization, the National PTA is constantly assessing children’s welfare to respond to changes in society and in children’s needs. For years, the National PTA has lobbied to reduce violence on television and to improve the quality of children’s television programming. It also has active
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programs related to reading, urban education, sex education and AIDS education, child nutrition and safety education, and drug abuse prevention, as well as improving school discipline and combating censorship of school and library materials.

Organizations for Prospective Teachers

Students considering teaching careers may join professional organizations. These organizations can help you answer questions; investigate the profession; form ideals of professional ethics, standards, and training; meet other students and educators at local and national meetings; and keep up with current trends in the profession.

Overview 2.3 lists professional organizations that students can join. Ask your professors for appropriate information if you are interested in joining any of these organizations. Some offer student membership rates. Your college library most likely carries the respective journals for each organization. The first or second page of each issue lists membership information, costs, and the Internet address.
Chapter 2 The Teaching Profession

It is generally agreed that teaching, although not yet a full profession, is moving toward becoming one.

Collective bargaining is an integral part of the teaching profession, giving teachers greater authority to determine working conditions and their effectiveness as teachers. Many education trends are raising the level of teacher professionalism. State professional practice boards and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, for example, enable teachers to participate in setting criteria for entering the profession. Mediated-entry and staff development programs help establish the idea that teaching is a full-fledged profession requiring lengthy and continued training. Merit pay and school-based management provide opportunities for increased salaries and more professional responsibilities.

The NEA and AFT now represent a large majority of classroom teachers; these organizations have improved teachers’ salaries and working conditions and have gained them a greater voice in decisions that affect teaching and learning in schools.

Many professional organizations are open to undergraduate students or to graduate students and teachers. All provide valuable information and services to educators at different career levels.

Summing Up

1. It is generally agreed that teaching, although not yet a full profession, is moving toward becoming one.
2. Collective bargaining is an integral part of the teaching profession, giving teachers greater authority to determine working conditions and their effectiveness as teachers.
3. Many education trends are raising the level of teacher professionalism. State professional practice boards and the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, for example, enable teachers to participate in setting criteria for entering the profession. Mediated-entry and staff development programs help establish the idea that teaching is a full-fledged profession requiring lengthy and continued training. Merit pay and school-based management provide opportunities for increased salaries and more professional responsibilities.
4. The NEA and AFT now represent a large majority of classroom teachers; these organizations have improved teachers’ salaries and working conditions and have gained them a greater voice in decisions that affect teaching and learning in schools.
5. Many professional organizations are open to undergraduate students or to graduate students and teachers. All provide valuable information and services to educators at different career levels.
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Certification Connection

Chapter 2 introduces teaching as a profession. A professional is a lifelong learner, one that continues to learn outside of the university. One of the main ways that a professional learns is from professional journals. To prepare for the Praxis II questions about teacher professionalism, go to your library and find the journal that best fits your major. Read one article on current research in your subject or area of certification. In your journal, reflect on how that practice or research might affect your teaching as practice for the Praxis II.

Discussion Questions

1. In your opinion, is teaching a profession or not? What changes might make teaching a true profession? What does teacher professionalism mean to you?
2. What special relationships does your college of education have with area school districts and/or schools? How do these relationships enhance your preparation as well as the work of the teachers and administrators? How could these be improved?
3. Are staff development programs essential for maintaining high-quality teaching? If so, what should their main focus be? Who should design these programs and how?
4. Do you agree with proponents of school-based management that teachers should have a greater role in managing schools? As a teacher, what kinds of decisions would you like to be involved in? In what, if any, areas of school management would you rather not be involved, and why?
**Projects for Professional Development**

1. Using local newspapers, professional journal articles, and conversations with teachers and administrators, identify an educational issue or trend of importance in a local school district, for example, inclusion or standards-based achievement. Why is the issue or trend important? What are the differing views on the issue (for/against; pro/con)? What implications do you see for teachers and administrators as professional people? Talk with your instructor about selecting several classmates to present their issues and analyses as a panel before the class.

2. Survey local public-school teachers and your education faculty regarding their views on merit pay. Compare and contrast your results with the views expressed in the Taking Issue feature. What is your opinion? What are you uncertain about? How can you find more information to clear up these uncertainties?

3. Either by telephone or over the Internet, contact your local NEA and AFT affiliates and ask for information on membership costs, benefits, and services and for position statements on key educational issues. Talk with teachers in the schools you visit, asking them which of the organizations tend to represent teachers in your area and why. Make a chart to display your information and share it with the class.

4. Talk with teachers in the schools you visit and your education professors to find out which professional organizations they belong to—and why. Review the list of specialized professional organizations in Overview 2.2 and select two or three that most interest you. Using the Internet addresses listed in Suggested Resources, contact these organizations about student membership costs, special benefits, publications, and special programs.

**Suggested Resources**

**Internet Resources**

Information about many of the organizations discussed in this chapter can be found on the World Wide Web. For example, the NEA maintains a home page at [www.nea.org](http://www.nea.org); the AFT is at [www.aft.org](http://www.aft.org); and the National PTA is at [www.pta.org](http://www.pta.org). In addition, the Usenet offers access to many news and discussion groups related to education; some of them, such as [k12.chat.teacher](http://k12.chat.teacher), focus on topics of particular concern to elementary and secondary school teachers. In exploring specific topics such as staff development and educational technology, the biggest problem often is deciding which of the many good sites to visit first. A general Internet search will provide a good start. For staff development, try the National Staff Development Council at [www.nsdcd.org](http://www.nsdcd.org). For educational technology, search the federal government site at [www.ed.gov](http://www.ed.gov). For information on national board certification standards, consult the NBPTS site at [www.nbpts.org](http://www.nbpts.org).

**Publications**


Elliot, Emmerson J. *Assessing Education Candidate Performance: A Look at Changing Practices*. Washington, D.C.: National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education, 2003. *Describes a shift from what institutions offer their candidates to what candidates receive—that is, evidence that candidates have the knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary to teach and put these attributes into action so that all students will learn.*


Ornstein, Allan C. *Teaching: Theory into Practice*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1995. Designed to help students reflect on teaching in both the theoretical and the practical sense.


PART TWO

Historical and Philosophical Foundations
Taking a global historical perspective, this chapter discusses educational origins and developments in Chinese, Egyptian, Hebraic, Arabic, and European cultures. By looking back through time, we discover the origins of contemporary educational institutions and methods of teaching and learning and see how history can guide future practice. Throughout history, teachers have faced many reoccurring and unresolved questions about the nature of knowledge, education, schooling, and teaching and learning. How have personal and group rights to participate in schooling changed, for example, and how have educational opportunities been limited by gender, race, and socioeconomic class biases? As you read this chapter, consider the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- How were knowledge, education, schooling, teaching, and learning defined in the major historical periods?
- What concepts of the educated person were dominant during each period of history discussed in this chapter?
- How did racial, gender, and socioeconomic factors affect educational opportunities in the past?
- When and how has schooling been used for cultural transmission or change?
- What curricula (the content of education) and what teaching methods were used in the various historical periods?
- How did the ideas of leading educators contribute to modern education?

The study of the world origins of American education provides an opportunity to think historically about education. You can begin to think historically about the origins of your own education by
constructing your educational autobiography. You can trace the origin of your ideas and beliefs about education by looking into how your grandparents, how your parents, and how you were educated. As you examine your own educational origins, you can then build a bridge between your experiences and the broader historical developments discussed in this chapter. To begin to construct your educational autobiography, you might (1) interview your grandparents, parents, and others about their education; (2) identify and examine family artifacts, photographs, records, and other memorabilia that relate to education; (3) think deeply and reflectively about your own educational experiences. Then, you can record your findings and begin to write your own autobiography. As you proceed through the chapter, you can find ideas that might prompt you to add to or revise your educational autobiography.

**Education in Preliterate Societies**

- **Cultural transmission**: Our narrative begins in preliterate times, before the invention of reading and writing, when our ancestors transmitted their culture orally from one generation to the next. We can find the origins of informal learning in our own families and appreciate why it remains so powerful even today. Although we live in a time when information is stored and retrieved electronically, an examination of preliterate education can help us understand why schools often tend to resist change as they train the young in essential “survival” skills.

- **Trial-and-error learning**: Preliterate people faced the almost overwhelming problems of surviving in an environment that pitted them against drought and floods, wild animals, and attacks from hostile groups. By trial and error, they developed survival skills that over time became cultural patterns.

  For culture to continue, it must be transmitted from adults to children. By **enculturation**, children learn the group’s language and skills and assimilate its moral and religious values.

- **Moral codes**: Over time, groups developed survival skills and passed these on to their young. They marked the passage from childhood to adulthood with ritual dancing, music, and dramatic acting to create a powerful supernatural meaning and evoke a moral response. Thus children learned the group’s prescriptions (acceptable behaviors) as well as its proscriptions or taboos (forbidden behaviors).

- **Oral tradition**: Lacking writing to record their past, preliterate societies relied on oral tradition—storytelling—to transmit their cultural heritage. Elders or priests, often gifted storytellers, sang or recited narratives of the group’s past. Combining myths and actual historical events, the oral tradition developed group identity by telling young people about the group’s heroes, victories, and defeats. The songs and stories helped the young learn the group’s spoken language and its values.

  Stories and storytelling remain an important and engaging educational strategy today, especially in preschools and primary grades. Through stories, children meet their culture and its heroes, legends, and past.

  As toolmakers, humans made and used spears, axes, and other tools, the earliest examples of technology. Similarly, as language users, we created and manipulated
symbols. Beginning to express these symbols in signs, pictographs, and letters and creating a written language constituted our great cultural leap to literacy—and then to schooling. Once writing was invented, children needed to be taught to read and write.

With writing and reading, it became possible to record the past and to create a history. In certain places around the world, groups developed their own written languages, which supplemented the earlier oral traditions of prehistory. To illustrate the development of education, we look at three great ancient cultures: the Chinese, the Egyptian, and the Hebraic. We need to see them first in the context of their own cultural traditions and then relate them to our life and times.

Education in Ancient Chinese Civilization

Chinese civilization's long history and vast influence offer significant insights into education's evolution. With the world's largest population, modern China is an important global power. Historically, it was a great empire whose civilization reached high pinnacles of political, social, and educational development. The empire was ruled by a series of dynasties, spanning more than forty centuries, from 2200 BCE
Many educational traditions—especially Confucianism—that originated in imperial China still have influence today. (See Overview 3.1 for key periods in China and other countries.)

The Chinese educational heritage reveals persistent efforts to maintain unbroken cultural continuity. Like many people, the early Chinese were ethnocentric and believed their language and culture to be superior to all others. Scorning foreigners as barbarians, the Chinese were inward looking, seeing little of value in other cultures. Eventually, imperial China’s reluctance to adapt technology from other cultures isolated and weakened it and, by the nineteenth century, made it vulnerable to foreign exploitation. The challenge of how to adapt to new ideas, especially in science and technology, and maintain one’s own cultural identity remains an important educational issue in China today and in other countries as well. This issue raises questions that you as a teacher must ask yourself: How can you provide students with an appreciation for the cultural and scientific achievements of the past as well as openness to social and technological change? What is the relationship between cultural continuity and change, and how does education promote one or the other?

Confucian Education

Unlike the Egyptian and Judaic cultures discussed later in the chapter, Chinese philosophy was unconcerned with more universal questions about the afterlife and immortality of the soul. To examine the origins of Chinese education, we go back to the third century BCE, when China was beset by political and cultural upheaval. During such periods of social turmoil, educational controversies focus on either preserving or changing the culture. Three competing philosophies—Legalism, Taoism, and Confucianism—proposed different paths for education. During the Ch’in dynasty, Legalism, associated with the scholar, Shih Huang Ti, became imperial China’s official philosophy. Arguing that the emperor’s edicts were the unquestioned law, Legalism advocated a highly disciplined authoritarian government that would uphold order at all costs. Suspicious of dissent, Legalists imposed a strict censorship to repress alternative philosophies such as Taoism and Confucianism. The Legalists’ aim in education was to indoctrinate people to accept law and order as they defined it.

Taoism, associated with Lao Tzu, presented a philosophical alternative to Legalism that still influences Chinese culture and education. In Tao Te Ching, The Way and Virtue, Lao Tzu embarked on a philosophical search for the path needed to find the true reality often hidden by appearances. All things, Lao Tzu claimed, come from and follow an unseen, underlying, unifying force that moves through the world. Unlike the Legalists who sought to control others, Lao Tzu advised people to stop trying to control other people and events, go with the stream of life, and live simply and spontaneously. Educationally, Taoism encourages self-reflection and introspection to find one’s true self.

When the Han dynasty came to power in 207 BCE, Confucianism replaced Legalism as China’s official philosophy. Unlike Western philosophers, Confucius...
# Overview 3.1

## Key Periods in Educational History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Group or Period</th>
<th>Educational Goals</th>
<th>Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliterate societies 7000 BCE–5000 BCE</td>
<td>To teach group survival skills and group cohesiveness</td>
<td>Children in the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China 3000 BCE–CE 1900</td>
<td>To prepare elite officials to govern the empire according to Confucian principles</td>
<td>Males of gentry class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt 3000 BCE–300 BCE</td>
<td>To prepare priest-scribes to administer the empire</td>
<td>Males of upper classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judaic 1200 BCE to present</td>
<td>To transmit Jewish religion and cultural identity</td>
<td>Children and adults in the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek 1600 BCE–300 BCE</td>
<td>Athens: To cultivate civic responsibility and identification with city-state and to develop well-rounded persons; Sparta: to train soldiers and military leaders</td>
<td>Male children of citizens; ages 7–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman 750 BCE–CE 450</td>
<td>To develop civic responsibility for republic and then empire; to develop administrative and military skills</td>
<td>Male children of citizens; ages 7–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic CE 700–CE 1350</td>
<td>To cultivate religious commitment to Islamic beliefs; to develop expertise in mathematics, medicine, and science</td>
<td>Male children of upper classes; ages 7–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval CE 500–CE 1400</td>
<td>To develop religious commitment, knowledge, and ritual; to prepare persons for appropriate roles in a hierarchical society</td>
<td>Male children of upper classes or those entering religious life; girls and young women entering religious communities; ages 7–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renaissance CE 1350–CE 1500</td>
<td>To cultivate humanist experts in the classics (Greek and Latin); to prepare courtiers for service to dynastic leaders</td>
<td>Male children of aristocracy and upper classes; ages 7–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reformation CE 1500–CE 1600</td>
<td>To instill commitment to a particular religious denomination; to cultivate general literacy</td>
<td>Boys and girls ages 7–12 in vernacular schools; young men ages 7–12 of upper-class backgrounds in humanist schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Instructional Methods
- Informal instruction; children imitating adult skills and values
- Memorization and recitation of classic texts
- Memorizing and copying dictated texts
- Listening to, memorizing, reciting, analyzing, and debating sacred texts; reading and writing for literacy.
- Drill, memorization, recitation in primary schools; lecture, discussion, and dialogue in higher schools
- Drill, memorization, and recitation in primary schools; declamation in rhetorical schools
- Drill, memorization, and recitation in lower schools; imitation and discussion in higher schools
- Drill, memorization, recitation, chanting in lower schools; textual analysis and disputation in universities and in higher schools
- Memorization, translation, and analysis of Greek and Roman classics
- Memorization, drill, indoctrination, catechetical instruction in vernacular schools; translation and analysis of classical literature in humanist schools

### Curriculum
- Survival skills of hunting, fishing, food gathering; stories, myths, songs, poems, dances
- Confucian classics
- Religious or technical texts
- The Torah, laws, rituals, and commentaries
- Athens: reading, writing, arithmetic, drama, music, physical education, literature, poetry
- Sparta: drill, military songs, and tactics
- Reading, writing, arithmetic, Laws of Twelve Tables, law, philosophy
- Reading, writing, mathematics, religious literature, scientific studies
- Reading, writing, arithmetic, liberal arts; philosophy, theology; crafts; military tactics and chivalry
- Latin, Greek classical literature, poetry, art
- Reading, writing, arithmetic, catechism, religious concepts and ritual; Latin and Greek; theology
- Vernacular elementary schools for the masses; classical schools for the upper classes

### Agents
- Parents, tribal elders, and priests
- Government officials
- Priests and scribes
- Parents, priests, scribes, and rabbis
- Athens: private teachers and schools, Sophists, philosophers
- Sparta: military officers
- Private schools and teachers; schools of rhetoric
- Mosques; court schools
- Parish, chantry, and cathedral schools; universities; apprenticeship; knighthood
- Classical humanist educators and schools such as the lycée, gymnasium, and Latin school
- Vernacular

### Influences on Modern Education
- Emphasis on informal education to transmit skills and values
- Written examinations for civil service and other professions
- Restriction of educational controls and services to a priestly elite; use of education to prepare bureaucracies
- Concepts of monotheism and a covenant between God and humanity; religious observance and maintaining cultural identity.
- Athens: the concept of the well-rounded, liberally educated person
- Sparta: the concept of serving the military state
- Emphasis on education for practical administrative skills; relating education to civic responsibility
- Arabic numerals and computation; reentry of classical materials on science and medicine
- Established structure, content, and organization of universities as major institutions of higher education; the institutionalization and preservation of knowledge
- An emphasis on literary knowledge, excellence, and style as expressed in classical literature; a two-track system of schools
- A commitment to universal education to provide literacy to the masses; the origins of school systems with supervision to ensure doctrinal conformity; the dual-track school system based on socioeconomic class and career goals
(551–479 BCE) did not deal with theological or metaphysical issues about the human being’s relationship to God or the universe. He believed it was much more important to establish the conditions for an ethical society than to seek to answer unanswerable questions. Unlike the authoritarian Legalists and the politically disengaged Taoists, Confucius created an educational system based on an ethical hierarchy of responsibilities that began with the emperor and flowed downward, touching everyone in society. His ideal of hierarchical relationships can be depicted as an ethical ladder on which the person standing on each rung is connected to the people standing above and below. Everyone in the hierarchy should clearly know her or his status, duties, and responsibilities, and the proper way of behaving toward others.

As part of his ethical system of education, Confucius emphasized civility—polite, correct, and proper behavior. Some critics of American schools contend that they are failing to inculcate civility in students. Confucius believed that people learn to behave ethically when they have a clear model of behavior before them that they can imitate. Teachers need to personify this model of civility and to practice it in their classrooms. Confucius believed there was a proper way to behave on all occasions that governed all people in society and that no one should be excused from this propriety. Behavior is related to rituals, the performance of rites or procedures that are done in the same way each time they are performed. Since a person is defined as a father, mother, brother, sister, ruler, or subject, Confucius’ ethical or character education means to learn how to perform the appropriate behaviors associated with the person’s role and rank. By understanding the roles and practicing the correct behaviors in the network of human relationships, social harmony is instilled and maintained within the community.

Confucius established an academy to educate students to be officials in the imperial government. He established clear standards for admission to his school and for the students’ preservice education, the period of training before they became government officials. He believed that high academic standards for admission would select students who were properly motivated for intense study. Confucius deliberately connected his ethical theory to the students’ future practice as government officials. He taught them the forms of polite behavior, court etiquette, and ceremonies. Like other effective teachers throughout history, Confucius had a well-defined system of classroom management. He held high expectations for his students. He maintained a proper distance but was approachable to his students. In China, teacher–student relationships, like other relationships, were well-known and followed with precision. He corrected and criticized his students in a positive and constructive way. Mentoring was important in Confucius’ philosophy of education. As a teacher, Confucius’ students esteemed him as “the master.”

The Confucian teacher was to guard and transmit the heritage to maintain cultural continuity and social stability. The Confucianist core curriculum included studying selected great books such as the *Classics of Change*, *of Documents*, *of Poetry*, *of Rites*, and the *Spring and Autumn Annals*. Summarizing Confucius’ philosophy, these texts were used in Chinese education from 1313 to 1905 CE.

The concept of hierarchical ethical relationships has important implications for education, especially character formation. Confucius’ concept of hierarchical
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relationships in which some individuals are superior and others subordinate differs significantly from the idea common in the United States today of human relationships based on equality.

In conditions of equality, individuals continually redefine their relationship and create new openings or boundaries for each other. Character education in situations of equality carries the ethical prescriptions that we should treat each person as an equal and that we should respect and even value their differences from us.

In contrast, Confucianist ethics set up definite patterns of behavior rather than flexible or fluid ones. People are accorded various levels of respect based on their position, status, and achievements. Character education means to learn one’s roles in the network of relationships that form the community and to fulfill the prescribed role behaviors that will ensure social harmony.

Because change, novelty, and innovations can bring about the unexpected—and unexpected change was a social problem during his time—Confucius based his ethical system on tradition. A certain practice or behavior that contributed to maintaining peace, security, and tranquility in the past was worthy of being encased in a ritualized way of behaving and transmitted to and practiced by people in the present. According to Confucius, “A man worthy of being a teacher gets to know what is new by keeping fresh in his mind what he is already familiar with.” As a teacher, how will you help your students develop positive human relationships and values? Will these values reflect traditional standards or will they be open-ended?

In China, teacher–student relationships, like other relationships, were well known and followed with precision. Students were to hold their teachers in high regard and respect. Confucius’ own students referred to him as “the master.” This respect for education, learning, and teachers became an important characteristic of education in China and in East Asia where Confucianism is a major intellectual and educational force. In China, Japan, South Korea, and Singapore, Confucius is highly esteemed as the world’s greatest philosopher and educator.

**China’s Contribution to World and Western Education**

An important educational legacy from ancient China was its system of national examinations. Chinese educators developed comprehensive written examinations to assess students’ academic competence. Students prepared for the examinations by studying ancient Chinese literature and Confucian texts with master teachers at imperial or temple schools. The examinations emphasized recalling memorized information rather than solving actual problems. The need to score high on the national examinations meant that teachers had to stay closely aligned with the Confucian texts and not allow for discussion that deviated from them. Alternative thinking was regarded as a waste of time that detracted from memorizing and reciting the texts. The hold of the national examinations over education in imperial China is a stark example of “teaching to the test.” The examination process, like the society, operated hierarchically and selectively. Students had to pass a series of rigorous examinations in ascending order; if they failed, they were dismissed from the process. In imperial days, only a few finalists were eligible for the empire’s highest civil
service positions. The educational and examination systems were reserved exclusively for upper-class males. Women, ineligible for government positions, were excluded from schools as well.

Currently, national examinations, especially for university entrance, dominate education in modern China and Japan. Other countries such as the United Kingdom have also developed national tests.

In the United States, the Education Act of 2001, “No Child Left Behind,” mandates annual testing of students in grades 3–8 to measure academic achievement in reading and mathematics. The Act’s premise is that this kind of testing will hold schools and teachers accountable for their students’ academic achievement. Critics, however, contend that standardized tests will discourage alternative teaching strategies and reduce instruction to teaching to the test. You may have taken standardized tests as a student. As a teacher, you will most likely administer them, and thus you must determine the extent to which external examinations will affect your teaching.

### Education in Ancient Egypt

Ancient Egypt—one of the world’s earliest civilizations—developed as a river-valley culture. Because of the Nile River’s life-sustaining water, agricultural groups established small village settlements, which were organized into tribal kingdoms, on the riverbanks. About 3000 BCE these kingdoms consolidated into a large empire, which eventually became a highly organized and centralized political colossus.

An important Egyptian religious and political principle affirmed the divine origin of pharaoh, the emperor. The concept of divine eminence gave social, cultural, political, and educational stability to the Egyptian empire by endowing it with supernaturally sanctioned foundations. Knowledge and values were seen as reflecting an orderly, unchanging, and eternal cosmos. The concept of a king-priest also gave the priestly elite high status and considerable power in Egyptian society. The educational system reinforced this status and power by making the priestly elite guardians of the state culture.

### Religious and Secular Concerns

Educationally, the Egyptians were both worldly and otherworldly. Although preoccupied with the supernatural, they also developed technologies to irrigate the Nile Valley and to design and build the massive pyramids and temples. To administer and defend their vast empire, they studied statecraft, and their concern with mummification led them to study medicine, anatomy, and embalming. The Egyptians also developed a system of writing, a hieroglyphic script that enabled them to create and transmit a written culture.

Egypt required an educated bureaucracy to administer the empire and to collect taxes. By 2700 BCE the Egyptians had established an extensive system of temple and court schools to train scribes, many of whom were priests, in reading and writing. Schools often were part of the temple complex, which furthered the close relationship between formal education and religion. After a primary education, boys stud-
ied the literature needed in their future professions. Special advanced schools existed to prepare priests, government officials, and physicians.

In the scribal schools, students learned to write the hieroglyphic script by copying documents on papyrus, sheets made from reeds growing along the Nile. Teachers dictated to students, who copied what they heard. The goal was to reproduce a correct, exact copy of a text. Often students would chant a short passage until they had memorized it thoroughly. Advanced students studied mathematics, astronomy, religion, poetry, literature, medicine, and architecture.11

**Egypt’s Historical Controversies**

Ancient Egypt’s role in shaping Western civilization is controversial. In 332 BCE Alexander the Great conquered Egypt and incorporated it into Hellenistic civilization, which in turn had been shaped by ancient Greek culture. The conventional historical interpretation was that ancient Egyptian civilization was a highly static despotism and that its major cultural legacy was its great architectural monuments. This interpretation saw Greek culture, especially Athenian democracy, as the cradle of Western civilization.

A highly controversial interpretation by Martin Bernal argues that the Greeks borrowed many of their concepts about government, philosophy, the arts, sciences, and medicine from ancient Egypt. Furthermore, the Egyptians, geographically located in North Africa, were an African people, and the origins of Western culture are therefore African.12 Though they recognize the interactions between Egyptians and Greeks, Bernal’s critics contend that he greatly overemphasizes Egypt’s influence on ancient Greece.13 While historians continue to debate the matter, tentative findings indicate that Egyptian–Greek contacts, particularly at Crete, introduced the Greeks to Egyptian knowledge and art.

This intriguing historical controversy has important ideological significance. Whoever interprets the past gains the power of illuminating and shaping the present. In particular, the controversy relates to a current debate about Afrocentrism and an Afrocentric curriculum in schools. Cultural interaction occurred between many societies, and some of the roots of Greek thought may be traced to Egypt or elsewhere.

**The Hebraic Tradition in Education**

Along with the Enlightenment tradition discussed later the chapter, American education, like Western culture, is rooted in the Judeo-Christian tradition. (The Christian dimension is discussed in the sections on the medieval and reformation periods in this chapter.) Here, we examine Hebraic or Judaic education, an ongoing tradition for the Jewish people and an important reference point for Christians and Muslims. All three religions—Judaism, Christianity, and Islam—are monotheistic in
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13For the ongoing controversy over ancient Egypt’s possible influence on Greece, access: “Ancient Egypt-Mathematics and the Liberal Arts,” at [http://math.truman.edu/~thammond/history/AncientEgypt.htm](http://math.truman.edu/~thammond/history/AncientEgypt.htm).
their belief in one God, a spiritual Creator of all existence, and in their emphasis on a sacred book, the Bible or the Koran, whose contents were revealed by God to prophets. With their emphasis on reading and studying sacred scriptures, all three religions emphasized literacy, to read the book, and education, to learn its contents.

In the Hebraic tradition, the Jewish people are specially chosen by God, who revealed the truth and the law to them. From these revelations came a holy covenant, a religiously based and sanctioned agreement, that bound the Jews to the Creator. Moses, who led the Jewish people from bondage in Egypt to the promised land in Judea, received divine revelations on Mount Sinai. These revelations form an essential part of the “Torah,” the sacred scripture taught and studied by Jews, from childhood on throughout their lives. The written Torah includes the Five Books of Moses—Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy. These texts, as well as the books of the prophets and other scriptures, were most likely edited during the eleventh and twelfth centuries BCE. Based on the Torah, Jewish education stressed recitation and commentary on the sacred texts and the study of laws and their moral and ethical prescriptions and proscriptions.

Judaic education aimed at inculcating the young with their cultural tradition through a carefully designed process of transmitting religious beliefs and rituals from one generation to the next. It emphasized that learning was based on the sacred covenant between God and humankind that included observing the commandments and properly following religious rituals and prayers. Learning was regarded as intrinsically valuable because it was about God’s covenant with the Jewish people and was also an instrument for shaping behavior according to religiously sanctioned group norms. This covenantal learning was lifelong, beginning in childhood and continuing through adulthood.

For children, Judaism’s basic educational aims were to learn how to pray, to know and observe the commandments, and to identify with their people’s special place in history. At first, as in most early societies, parents, responsible for their children’s education, were the initial teachers. Parents, especially the father, were to teach the Torah and religious observances to their children. In turn, children were taught to honor their father and mother, as the commandments prescribed. As Jewish society became more settled and specialized, the parental role was supplemented by teachers (elders, priests, and scribes) who taught in more formal, school-like, settings.

By the seventh century BCE, rabbis, men especially learned in scripture, emerged as teachers among the Jewish people in Israel and Babylonia. In the rabbinical schools, the method of teaching involved careful listening to sacred readings by the rabbi, reading, memorization, and recitation. Learning how to listen to the reading of a sacred text was intended to bring its message into the learner’s mind. The goal was that from listening, reading, and memorizing, the meaning and message of the lesson would be internalized and understood by the students. To build group cohesion and identity, children were told about events in the history of the Hebrew people—such as their Exodus from Egypt. Rituals were taught that commemorated these events.

---

15 Ibid., p. 13.
16 Ibid., p. 33.
17 Ibid., pp. 34–35.
The Hebraic tradition brought the concept of monotheism into Arabic and Western cultures. Jesus Christ, whom Christians believe is the Son of God, was raised in the Judaic culture. Jews who converted to Christianity, such as Saint Paul, carried Christianity throughout the Roman empire. Muslims revere Mohammed, who was familiar with both Judaism and Christianity, as the prophet of Islam. As religions of the book, all three religious traditions invested in and influenced education.

Education in Ancient Greek and Roman Civilizations

The educational history of ancient Greece and Rome illuminates the origins of culture and education. The Greeks and Romans sought to answer such persistent educational questions as: What is true, good, and beautiful? What models should education use in preparing good citizens? How should education respond to social, economic, and political change?

Generations have thrilled to the dramatic suspense of Homer’s epic poems, the Iliad and the Odyssey. Appearing about 1200 BCE, Homer’s epics helped Greeks define themselves and their culture. Like ritual ceremonies in preliterate societies, Homer’s dramatic portrayal of the Greek warriors’ battles against the Trojans served important educational purposes: (1) it preserved the culture by transmitting it from adults to the young; (2) it cultivated Greek cultural identity based on mythic and historical origins; and (3) it shaped the character of the young.\(^\text{18}\) Agamemnon,

Ulysses, Achilles, and other warriors dramatically personified life’s heroic dimensions. Using these heroes as role models, young Greeks learned about moral and ethical values, the behaviors expected of warrior-knights, and the character defects that led to one’s downfall.19

Ancient Greece also illuminates education’s role in forming good citizens. Just as Americans may disagree on the precise formula for educating good citizens, the Greeks also debated the issue. Unlike the centralized Chinese and Egyptian empires, ancient Greece was divided into small and often competing city-states that, like Athens and Sparta, defined citizenship and civic responsibilities and rights differently. Athens, a democracy, emphasized its citizens’ shared public responsibility. Sparta, Athens’ chief rival, was an authoritarian military dictatorship.20 Unlike the various schools and educational alternatives found in Athens, Sparta had a strictly state-controlled educational system in which all its male citizens were trained to be soldiers. Indeed, the Spartan child was regarded as the state’s property.

The Greeks understood the importance of interrelating enculturation—immersion and participation in the city-state’s total culture—with formal education. Through enculturation Greek youths were prepared to become citizens of their society. Formal education, in turn, provided the knowledge needed to fulfill more completely the society’s expectations of its citizens. For example, the Athenians believed that a free man needed a liberal education to perform his civic duties as well as to develop personally.21

The economies of the Greek city-states relied heavily on slave labor. The majority of slaves, including women and children, were prisoners of war or those judicially condemned to servitude. Although a few educated slaves tutored wealthy children in Athens, most slaves performed agricultural or commercial work. The Athenians believed that slaves did not need the liberal education appropriate for free men. Contemporary debates between proponents of vocational and liberal education go back to the Athenian distinction between a liberal education for free people and vocational training for slaves. A liberal education came to be defined as dealing with the arts, humanities, and sciences, while a vocational education dealt with learning skills related to specific practical work.

In male-dominated Greek society, only a minority of exceptional women received any formal education. In Athens, where women had severely limited legal and economic rights, few attended schools. More fortunate young women were taught at home by tutors. Others, such as priestesses of cults, learned religious rituals at temple schools. In contrast to the sequestered Athenian women, Sparta’s young women enjoyed a more open life style and education. Sparta’s state-controlled education system emphasized military and athletic training, and young Spartan women received the physical and gymnastic training that prepared them to be healthy mothers of future Spartan soldiers.

The life and career of the poetess Sappho (630–572 BCE) sharply contrasted with the sequestered education of most Greek women. An early proponent of women’s freedom, Sappho’s verses tell of love between women. She believed that
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women should be educated for their own personal self-development rather than for their traditionally ascribed roles as future wives and mothers. She founded a women’s school in Mytilene, on the island of Lesbos, where she taught young aristocratic women the cult rituals related to worship of Aphrodite as well as cultural and decorative arts and skills, such as singing, dancing, playing the lyre, writing poetry, and the practice of etiquette.22

**The Sophists**

In the fifth century BCE, new wealth brought to Athens by colonial expansion generated social and educational change. A rising commercial class challenged the older aristocrats and wanted a new kind of education that would prepare them to take political power. The **Sophists**, a group of educators, designed a new approach to teaching that responded to this change. Their method differed from the older Homeric education that relied on stories and models from the past and from the philosophical approach that relied on abstract and highly generalized thinking about the nature of reality.

In designing their new education, the Sophists promised to create a popular public image for their students that would lead them to status and power. The way to power, the Sophists said, would come from the ability to speak effectively and persuade your audience to accept your argument. This kind of speaking ability, or oratory, was a key factor in Athens, where it could be used to persuade the assembly and courts in one’s favor.23

The Sophists sought to develop their students’ communication skills so they could become successful advocates and legislators. The Sophists’ most important subjects were logic, grammar, and rhetoric—subjects that later developed into the liberal arts. Logic, the rules of correct argument, trained students to organize their presentations clearly, and grammar developed their powers of using language effectively. **Rhetoric**, the study of persuasive speech, was especially important for future orators.

The Sophists claimed that they could educate their students to win public debates by teaching them (1) how to use crowd psychology to know what would appeal to an audience; (2) how to organize a persuasive and convincing argument; and (3) skill in public speaking—knowing what words, examples, and lines of reasoning to use to win the debate or the case.

If they were alive today, the Sophists would probably argue that their approach to education gives people what they want—the ability to organize ideas and to present them so forcefully that people would be persuaded to accept their claims. Critics of the Sophists, such as Socrates and Plato, however, accused them of teaching students to argue for any side of an issue in order to win the case rather than being committed to the truth. The Sophists were like modern image-makers who use the media to “package” political candidates and celebrities or to sell products to consumers. Although today political debates take place on television rather than live in the Athenian town center, the Sophists would argue their techniques remain useful. It is still important to know one’s audience, to appeal to their needs, and to use
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skilled persuasion to convince them. They would consider modern focus groups, public opinion polls, and negative advertising to be useful persuasive tools.

Protagoras (485–414 BCE), a prominent Sophist, devised a highly effective five-step teaching strategy. He (1) delivered an outstanding speech so that students knew their teacher could actually do what he taught; this speech also gave them a model to imitate. Then Protagoras had the students (2) examine the great speeches of famous orators to enlarge their repertoire of possible models; (3) study the key subjects of logic, grammar, and rhetoric; and (4) deliver practice orations, which he assessed to provide feedback to students. Finally, (5) the student orators delivered public speeches. Protagoras’s method resembles present-day preservice teacher-education programs, in which prospective teachers take courses in the liberal arts and professional education, practice a variety of teaching methods, and engage in clinical experience and student teaching advised by an experienced cooperating teacher.

The Sophists approach to education raised serious controversies still with us today. The Sophists were moral relativists, arguing that what we need to know depends on the circumstances in which we live. In many respects, the Sophists were the precursors of the cultural relativism found in pragmatism, postmodernism, and critical theory, discussed in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education. Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle all challenged the Sophists’ relativism and insisted on the existence of enduring truths that all people must know. Isocrates, a teacher of oratory, tried to resolve the controversy by saying that students and citizens need to know not only what is true but also how to apply it to the situations in which they live.

**Socrates: Education by Self-Examination**

Unlike the Sophists, who claimed that knowledge depended on the situations in which people used it, Socrates (469–399 BCE) believed that knowledge was based on what was true universally—at all places and times. Socrates is important in educational history because he firmly defended the academic freedom to think, question, and teach. He was also significant as the teacher of Plato, who later systematized many of Socrates’ ideas.

Socrates stressed the ethical principle that a person should strive for moral excellence, live wisely, and act rationally. Moral excellence, Socrates believed, was far superior to the Sophists’ technical training.

Socrates’ concept of the teacher differed from that of the Sophists. He did not believe that knowledge or wisdom could be transmitted from a teacher to a student because he believed the concepts of true knowledge were present, but buried, within the person’s mind. A truly liberal education would stimulate learners to discover ideas by bringing to consciousness the truth that was latent in their minds.

Socrates encouraged students to use critical self-examination to find and bring to consciousness the universal truth that was present in each person’s mind. As a teacher, Socrates asked leading questions that stimulated students to think deeply about the meaning of life, truth, and justice. In answering these questions, students engaged in rigorous discussion, or dialogue, in which they clarified, criticized, and
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reconstructed their basic concepts. This rigorous dialogue approach, still known as the Socratic method, is challenging for both teachers and students. Frequenting Athens’ marketplace, Socrates attracted a group of young men who joined him in critically examining all kinds of issues—religious, political, moral, and aesthetic. But as a social critic, Socrates made powerful enemies. Then, as now, some people, including those in high places, feared that critical thinking would challenge the status quo and lead to unrest. In 399 BCE, after being tried on the charge of impiety to the gods and corrupting Athenian youth, Socrates was condemned to death, a sentence he refused to escape.

---

**Universal Truth or Cultural Relativism?**

In classical Greece, the question of whether education should reflect universal truth or the beliefs of different peoples living at particular places and times was debated. Plato, who argued that truth was unchanging, debated this issue with the Sophists, who considered everything relative to time and circumstances. The issue is debated today by those who want schools to instill basic morality and by others who want students to clarify their values.

Those who take a universal perspective contend that what is true today has always been true. Relativists argue that changing values make life satisfying at a particular place and time.

**Question**

Should we base education on universal truths or on beliefs and values as they relate to different cultures at different times and places?

**Arguments PRO**

1. Truth is universal and eternal. As human beings search for truth, their quest will bring them to the same general ideas and values. What is true is true in all places and at all times. Public opinion polls do not make, nor change, the truth.
2. Although different races and ethnic and language groups inhabit the Earth, they are all members of the same human family and thus share common hopes and dreams.
3. Education, as Socrates and Plato argued, should engage students in seeking answers to the great questions, such as What is true, good, and beautiful? Especially in the new computer-driven Information Age, we need educational programs based on enduring truth and value.
4. Schools should emphasize the universal truths and values found in religion, philosophy, mathematics, science, and other subjects that transcend particular cultural and political barriers.

**Arguments CON**

1. What is called “truth” is really a tentative knowledge claim that is relative to various groups living in particular places at different times. What is true at a given time is that which solves a problem in living.
2. Society is relative and changing. Human behavior needs to be flexible to adapt to social, economic, political, and technological change.
3. Education is a pragmatic tool, a means of personal and social adaptation. As such, it emphasizes new ways of learning to prepare people to be efficient users of new technologies. It is more important for students to be computer competent than to ponder unanswerable questions about the true, the good, and the beautiful.
4. Schooling, based on people’s needs, will differ from culture to culture and from time to time. That is why the constructivist approach, by which students create their own conceptions of reality, is so useful in today’s schools.

---

Plato: Eternal Truths and Values

Socrates’ pupil Plato (427–346 BCE) followed his mentor’s educational path. Plato founded the Academy, a philosophical school, in 387 BCE. He wrote *Protagoras*, a discourse on virtue, and the *Republic* and the *Laws*, treatises on politics, law, and education. Rejecting the Sophists’ relativism, Plato argued that reality existed in an unchanging world of perfect ideas—universal concepts such as truth, goodness, justice, and beauty. Individual instances of these concepts, as they appear to our senses, are but imperfect representations of the universal and eternal concepts that reside in an absolute idea, the Form of the Good. Plato’s philosophy was an early form of Idealism, which is discussed in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education.

Plato’s theory of knowledge is called **reminiscence**, a process by which individuals recall the ideas present but latent in their minds. Reminiscence implies that the human soul, before birth, has lived in a spiritual world of ideas, the source of all truth and knowledge. At birth, these **innate** ideas are repressed within one’s subconscious mind. For Plato, learning means that one rediscovers or recollects these perfect ideas.

Those who subscribe to universal truth and values assert that genuine knowledge is intellectual, changeless, and eternal, not relative and sensory. Because what is true is always true, education should also be universal and unchanging. The debate over this idea is presented in the Taking Issue box.

**Plato’s Ideal Society** In *Plato’s Republic*, the philosopher projected a plan for a perfect society ruled by philosopher-kings, an intellectual elite. Although Plato’s utopian state was never implemented, his ideas are useful in portraying an idealized version of a certain kind of education. The *Republic* divided inhabitants into three classes: (1) the philosopher-kings, the intellectual rulers; (2) the auxiliaries, the military defenders; and (3) the workers, who produced goods and provided services. A person’s intellectual capacity would determine his or her class assignment. Similar to those who argue, today, that test results ought to determine the kind of education that a person should receive, the educators in Plato’s Republic sorted people into groups based on their perceived intellectual ability and educated or trained them accordingly. In contrast, the Sophists argued that they could educate anyone who followed their method.

Once assigned to a class, individuals in the Republic would receive the education appropriate to their social role. The philosopher-kings, educated for leadership, also were responsible for identifying the intellectually able in the next generation and preparing them for their destined roles. The second class, the warriors, courageous rather than intellectual, would be trained to defend the Republic and to take orders from the philosopher-kings. The third and largest class, the workers, would be trained as farmers and artisans. With an educational track for each group, the Republic prepared its members for their appropriate functions, which in turn contributed to the community’s harmony and efficient functioning. Modern-day critics of tracking students in schools argue that screening devices, such as Plato’s, reproduce the existing class situation rather than encouraging social mobility.

---

Unlike other Athenian males, Plato believed that women possessed the same intellectual ability as men and should have the same educational privileges and civic responsibilities accorded men.31 Women, too, fell within the three classes to which Plato assigned human beings. Women who possessed high-level cognitive powers could become members of the ruling philosophical elite; others of lesser intellect would be assigned to lower ranks. Like men, women would receive the education or training appropriate to their abilities and their destined occupations.

Plato's Curriculum Plato’s curriculum fits the educational objectives of a hierarchical rather than an egalitarian society. Fearing that parents would pass on their ignorance and prejudices to their children, Plato wanted children reared by experts in child care. Children, separated from their parents, would live in state nurseries where the environment was controlled so that they acquired what Plato’s regarded as positive moral values.

From ages six to eighteen, children and adolescents attended schools to study music and gymnastics. “Music” was broadly defined to include reading, writing, literature, arithmetic, choral singing, and dancing. After mastering reading and writing, students would read the approved classics. Plato, who believed in censorship, wanted an officially approved literature. Regarding literature as a powerful force in character formation, Plato believed young people should read only officially selected poems and stories that epitomized truthfulness, obedience to authorities, courage, and control of emotions. After mastering basic arithmetic, students learned geometry and astronomy, which cultivated higher-level abstract thinking. Gymnastics, useful for military training, included fencing, archery, javelin throwing, and horseback riding, which developed physical coordination and dexterity.

From ages eighteen to twenty, students pursued intensive physical and military training. At twenty, the future philosopher-kings would be selected for ten years of additional higher education in the more abstract and theoretical subjects of mathematics, geometry, astronomy, music, and science. At age thirty, the less intellectually able among this group would become civil servants; the most intellectually capable would continue the higher philosophical study of metaphysics, searching for the principles that explained ultimate reality. When their studies were completed, the philosopher-kings would rule the Republic. At age fifty, the philosopher-kings would become the Republic’s elder statesmen.

Aristotle: Cultivation of Rationality Plato’s student Aristotle (384–322 BCE), the tutor of Alexander the Great, founded the Lyceum, an Athenian philosophical school, and wrote extensively on physics, astronomy, zoology, botany, logic, ethics, and metaphysics. His *Nicomachean Ethics* and *Politics* examine education in relation to society and government.32 Unlike his mentor Plato, who believed that reality exists in the realm of pure ideas, Aristotle held that reality exists objectively. Whereas Plato founded philosophical idealism, Aristotle established realism. While Aristotle’s realism sought to prepare the learner to live life as it is by emphasizing an objective reality, Plato’s idealism encouraged the learner to aim for a better and higher world that lies above the
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Aristotle noted that objects exist outside of our minds but believed that, by sensation and abstraction, we can acquire knowledge about them. Aristotle insisted that humans possess intellect—the power to think and to reason. As rational beings, they have the potential to know and to live according to the natural laws governing the universe.

For Aristotle, knowing begins with one’s sensation of objects in the environment. From this sensory experience, one forms concepts about objects. The Aristotelian emphasis on sensory experience as the beginning of knowing and of instruction was later stressed by eighteenth- and nineteenth-century educators such as Pestalozzi.

**Aristotle on Education** In his *Politics*, Aristotle argues that the good community rests on its members’ rationality. The purpose of education is to cultivate liberally educated, rational people who can use their reason to govern their society. Aristotle distinguished liberal education from technical or vocational training. While he believed the liberal arts enlarged a person’s horizons, consciousness, and choices, Aristotle saw vocational training as limited to learning specific skills. Contemporary debates between liberal and career educators often reflect the same issues Aristotle and other Greek theorists examined, and as a teacher you may encounter much the same debate when students ask you why they should learn something they are convinced they will never use. What is your rationale for teaching certain skills and subjects but not others?

Aristotle recommended compulsory schooling. Infant schooling was to consist of play, physical activity, and appropriate stories. Children from ages seven to fourteen learned basic numeracy and literacy and proper moral habits to prepare them for future study in liberal arts. Their curriculum also included physical education and music to cultivate proper emotional dispositions. From age fifteen through twenty-one, youths would study mathematics, geometry, astronomy, grammar, literature, poetry, rhetoric, ethics, and politics. At age twenty-one, students would proceed to more theoretical subjects, such as physics, cosmology, biology, psychology, logic, and metaphysics. Aristotle, like Plato, endorsed the idea that education was intended to prepare a person for higher studies. Later, Dewey and other progressives attacked the doctrine of education as preparation.

Believing women were intellectually inferior to men, Aristotle was concerned only with male education. Girls were to be trained to perform the household and child-rearing duties necessary for their future roles as wives and mothers.

**Aristotle’s Theory of Knowledge** Aristotle, a Realist, differs from Plato, an Idealist, in that his concepts of knowledge arise from our knowing about objects rather than from preexisting ideas in the mind. Knowledge, in the school curriculum, focuses on the classification of objects into subjects. For example, if you were to teach botany according to Aristotle’s method, you could teach about trees as a class, a general category in botanical reality, and also about the particular trees that are individual members of the class.

An Aristotelian school’s primary goal is to cultivate each student’s rationality. As academic institutions, schools should offer a prescribed subject-matter curriculum based on scholarly and scientific disciplines. In their preservice preparations, teachers need to acquire expert knowledge of their subjects and learn the methods needed to motivate students and transmit this knowledge to them. Aristotle’s philosophy has had great significance in Western education. Along with Christian doctrine, it became a foundation of medieval Scholastic education, discussed later in
this chapter, and of Realism and Perennialism, discussed in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education.

Isocrates: Oratory and Rhetoric

The Greek rhetorician Isocrates (436–388 BCE) is significant for his exceptionally well constructed educational theory, which emphasized both knowledge and rhetorical skills. His theory took a middle course between the conflicts of the Sophists and Plato. Isocrates’ treatise *Against the Sophists* explained the method used at his school.

Isocrates considered education’s primary goal was to prepare clear-thinking, rational, and truthful statesmen. Civic reform, he believed, required educating virtuous and effective leaders. Of the liberal studies, Isocrates held that rhetoric, defined as the rational expression of thought, was most important in cultivating morality and political leadership. Rhetorical education should combine arts and sciences and effective communication. Opposing the Sophists’ emphasis on public relations skills and giving the audience what they wanted to hear, Isocrates conceived of rhetoric as arguing for good policies that truly advanced the welfare of society. Isocrates’ students, who attended his school for four years, studied rhetoric, politics, history, and ethics. They analyzed and imitated model orations and practiced public speaking. As a model teacher, Isocrates believed that he was responsible for influencing students by his own demonstration of knowledge, skill, and ethical conduct.

Although Isocrates opposed the Sophists’ crass opportunism, he also rejected Plato’s contention that education was purely theoretical and abstract. For Isocrates, education contributed to public service guided by knowledge. Isocrates influenced the rhetorical tradition in education, in particular, the Roman educational theorist Quintilian. By recognizing rhetoric’s humanistic dimension, Isocrates also contributed to the ideal of the liberally educated person.

Education in Ancient Rome

While Greek culture and education were developing in the eastern Mediterranean, the Romans were consolidating their political position on the Italian peninsula and then conquering the entire Mediterranean area. As Rome grew from a small republic to a great empire, the Romans were preoccupied with war and politics. After they became an imperial power, they concentrated on the administration, law, and diplomacy needed to maintain the empire. Whereas the Greeks debated philosophical issues, the Romans concentrated on educating practical politicians, able administrators, and skilled generals.

Roman law, originating with the Twelve Tables, developed into an extensive legal system that adjudicated personal and property rights and served as the basis for later Western law. Highly skilled in architecture and engineering, the Romans constructed an extensive network of roads that facilitated trade and the rapid movement of their military legions throughout the empire. They built a system of aqueducts that carried fresh water from the mountains to Rome and the other cities.
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They developed architectural designs that used arches and columns to support massive temples and public buildings.

As in ancient Greece, only a minority of Romans was formally educated. Schools were private and attended only by males who could pay tuition. Whereas upper-class girls often learned to read and write at home or were taught by tutors, boys from these families attended a *ludus*, a primary school, and then secondary schools taught by Latin and Greek grammar teachers.\(^{34}\) Boys were escorted to these schools by educated Greek slaves, called *pedagogues*, from which the word *pedagogy*, meaning the art of instruction, is derived.

Rome’s educational ideal was exemplified in the orator. The ideal Roman orator was the broadly and liberally educated man of public life—the senator, lawyer, teacher, civil servant, and politician. To examine the Roman ideal of oratory, we turn to Quintilian.

**Quintilian: Master of Oratory**

Marcus Fabius Quintilianus (CE 35–95), or Quintilian, was one of imperial Rome’s most highly recognized rhetoricians.\(^{35}\) The emperor appointed him to the first chair of Latin rhetoric.

Quintilian’s *Institutio Oratoria*, a systematic educational treatise, discussed (1) the education preparatory to studying rhetoric, (2) rhetorical and educational theory, and (3) the practice of public speaking or declamation. Quintilian emphasized the need to base instruction on the learner’s readiness and stage of development. Anticipating the modern teacher’s preservice preparation, he recognized the importance of students’ individual differences, advised that instruction be appropriate to their readiness and abilities, and urged that teachers motivate students by making lessons interesting and engaging.

Quintilian developed an early version of stage-based learning that corresponded to the patterns of human development. He recognized the importance of early childhood in shaping the patterns of adult behavior. For the first stage, from birth until age seven, when children impulsively sought to satisfy their immediate needs and desires, he advised parents to select well-trained and well-spoken nurses, pedagogues, and companions for their children.

In Quintilian’s second stage of education, from seven to fourteen, the boy should learn from sense experiences, form clear ideas, and train his memory. He now learned to write the languages that he already spoke. The primary teacher, the *litterator*, who taught reading and writing in the *ludus*, must possess worthy character and teaching competence. Instruction in reading and writing should be slow and thorough, with children learning the alphabet by tracing from a set of ivory letters. Like Montessori many centuries later, Quintilian advised that children learn to write by tracing the letters’ outlines. Anticipating modern education, he urged that the school day include breaks for games and recreation so students could refresh themselves and renew their energy.

For the third stage of education, from fourteen to seventeen, Quintilian emphasized the liberal arts. Bilingually and biculturally, students studied Greek and Latin grammar, literature, history, and mythology. Students also studied music, geometry, astronomy, and gymnastics.

---

\(^{34}\)Anthony Corbell, *Education in the Roman Republic: Creating Traditions* (Leiden, Netherlands and Boston, Mass.: Koninklijke Brill, 2001).

Prospective orators undertook rhetorical studies, the fourth stage, from ages seventeen to twenty-one. In rhetorical studies Quintilian included drama, poetry, law, philosophy, public speaking, declamation, and debate. Declamations—systematic speaking exercises—were of great importance. After being properly prepared, the novice orator spoke to a public audience in the forum and then returned to the master rhetorician for expert criticism. The teacher corrected the student’s mistakes with a sense of authority but also with patience, tact, and consideration. Quintilian’s program of rhetorical studies resembled contemporary preservice teacher education. The practice oration was like practice teaching and the supervisor’s critique of the beginning teacher’s classroom skills resembles the master rhetorician’s critique of the novice orator’s speaking abilities.

### The Greek and Roman Contributions to Western Education

Western culture and education inherited a rich legacy from ancient Greece and Rome. Many of the cultural and educational structures that shaped Western civilization developed in classical Greece and Rome. Believing it possible to cultivate human excellence, the Greeks and Romans gave education an important role in promoting a society’s political well-being. Some Greco-Roman educational practices, however, including the distinction between liberal education and vocational training, have led to curricular controversies lasting throughout Western educational history.

Many ideas of the Greeks and Romans influenced Arab scholars, who preserved and interpreted them. As Europeans encountered Arabic scholarship, these ideas were transmitted to European and later American culture.

### Islam, Arabic Learning, and Education

Islamic civilization, originating with the Arabs, became a global cultural and educational force through its ability to absorb, reinterpret, and transmit knowledge from one world region to another. The origins of Islamic culture began with Mohammed (569–632), an Arab religious reformer and proselytizer, who is revered by his followers as the last and most important of God’s prophets. Mohammed began his religious mission in Arabia, in Mecca, in 610, where he preached the need for faith, prayer, repentance, and living an upright, moral life. He organized his ideas into Islam, a new religion, with a sacred book, the Koran, or Qur’an. Like Judaism and Christianity, Islam, a monotheistic religion, affirms the existence of one God.

Written in Arabic, the Koran, Islam’s most sacred book, prescribes the pillars of faith and religious observance. Prayers are to be said five times each day at dawn, noon, midafternoon, sunset, and nightfall. It enjoins Muslims to provide charity for the poor. Annually, in the month of Ramadan, fasting from food, drink, and sexual relations is prescribed from dawn until sundown. The pilgrimage to Mecca—the Hajj—is an obligation for those who are physically and financially able to perform it.

Today, Islam is the religious faith of one-eighth of the world’s population. It is the dominant religion in the Arab countries of the Middle East and North Africa.

---


and its influence extends to Indonesia, Malaysia, and Pakistan, as well as other countries in Asia. In addition, Muslims, followers of Islam, live in countries throughout the world, although often as minorities.

By 661 Arabian forces had occupied and established Islam as the official religion in Palestine, Syria, Persia, and Egypt. The cities of Baghdad, Cairo, Damascus, and Cordoba became renowned centers of Islamic culture and education. Baghdad, in particular, a prominent educational center, attracted Arab, Greek, Persian, and Jewish scholars.

Mohammed’s followers extended Islamic influence through conquest and conversion. After their conquest of North Africa, the Arabs established control of much of Spain. Here, Islamic Arabs and western Christians not only struggled for power and territory but also borrowed ideas from each other. By 661 Arabian forces had occupied and established Islam as the official religion in Palestine, Syria, Persia, and Egypt. The cities of Baghdad, Cairo, Damascus, and Cordoba became renowned centers of Islamic culture and education. Baghdad, in particular, a prominent educational center, attracted Arab, Greek, Persian, and Jewish scholars.

Mohammed’s followers extended Islamic influence through conquest and conversion. After their conquest of North Africa, the Arabs established control of much of Spain. Here, Islamic Arabs and western Christians not only struggled for power and territory but also borrowed ideas from each other. During the Moorish period, Cordoba, with a population of 500,000 people, 700 mosques, and 70 libraries, became a leading Arab cultural and educational center. The Islamic, or Moorish, kingdoms of Spain persisted until 1492, when they were conquered by the armies of Christian Spain.

Islamic scholars translated the texts of leading ancient Greek authors such as Aristotle, Euclid, Archimedes, and Hippocrates into Arabic. The translated works became important in Islamic education and, through contacts between Arabs and Europeans, were reintroduced into Western education. In particular, Ibn-Rushd, or Averroës (1126–1198) wrote important commentaries on Aristotle that influenced medieval European scholastic educators.

Islamic scholars contributed to astronomy, mathematics, and medicine. In mathematics, Arab scholars adopted the number system from the Indians but made improvements and adaptations that became fundamental to Western mathematics. The Arabic numeral system, which includes the digits 0–9, was transmitted to Europe through Islamic scholars and significantly influenced the development of European mathematics. Islamic scholars also made significant contributions to algebra, geometry, and trigonometry, shaping the foundations of modern mathematics.

Islamic scholars also made important contributions in the field of astronomy. They preserved and expanded upon ancient Greek astronomical knowledge, developing new instruments and techniques. The work of Islamic astronomers was influential in the later development of European astronomy and the introduction of the mechanical clock to Europe.

Islamic scholars further advanced the field of medicine. They systematized and expanded upon ancient medical knowledge, particularizing in areas such as anatomy, pharmacology, and surgery. Islamic medical texts were translated into Latin and widely disseminated in Europe, contributing to the later Renaissance and the flowering of the scientific method. Islamic medicine also influenced the development of Western medical schools and the modern hospital system.

Islamic scholars played a pivotal role in the transmission and development of knowledge across disciplines. Their contributions to fields such as mathematics, astronomy, and medicine laid the groundwork for significant advancements in Western thought and science.
the crucial addition of zero. This innovation made it possible to replace the cumbersome Latin system.

In the twenty-first century, interaction has increased between the Arabic and Islamic cultures and the Western world. Some of these interactions have been clouded by suspicion and hostility because of terrorist attacks, such as that of 9/11, and the war in Iraq. However, there have also been positive interactions abroad and in the United States, where there have been efforts at dialogue and mutual understanding. In particular, many Americans are learning more about the Arabic civilization and the Islamic religion. Many American schools and colleges now include units and courses on Arabic culture and the Islamic religion.

Medieval Culture and Education

Historians designate the millennium between the fall of Rome and the Renaissance (c. 500–1400) as the Middle Ages, or medieval period, in that it spanned the time between the end of the Greco-Roman classical era and the beginning of what we call the modern period. The medieval period was characterized first by a decline in learning and then by its revival by Scholastic educators.

After the Roman Empire in the west collapsed, the Catholic Church, headed by the pope in Rome, partially filled the resulting political, cultural, and educational vacuum. European formal primary education fell to the church in parish, chantry, and monastic schools. At the secondary level, both monastic and cathedral schools offered a general religious studies and a liberal arts curriculum. Universities such as Paris, Bologna, Salerno, Oxford, and Cambridge provided higher education, namely in theology, law, and medicine. Merchant and craft guilds also established vocational schools to train their apprentices in specific trades. Knights, the military aristocrats, learned military tactics and the chivalric code in the castles.

As in the earlier Greek and Roman eras, class and gender limited schooling to only a small minority. The majority of students were men, studying for religious careers as priests or monks. The vast majority of people were serfs, who were usually illiterate and worked on the estates of feudal lords.

Women’s education in medieval society varied according to their socioeconomic class. Although medieval Christianity stressed women’s spiritual equality and the sacramental nature of marriage, women continued to be consigned to traditional gender-prescribed roles. Girls of the serf and peasant classes learned household and child-rearing chores by imitating their mothers. Women of the noble classes also followed the prescriptions of their class and learned the roles appropriate to the code of chivalry, which often meant managing the domestic life of castle or manor. The medieval church provided an educational opportunity for women through its religious communities. Convents, like monasteries, had libraries and schools to prepare nuns to follow the religious rules of their communities. Despite these limited possibilities for women’s education, medieval schools and universities were reserved for men, guaranteeing male dominance of society.


Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179CE), a noted scholar, was educated as a nun in the Benedictine order.44 (In the Catholic Church, religious orders were named after their founders. For example, the Benedictines followed the rules laid down by St. Benedict.) Hildegard was the abbess, the superior, of a Benedictine convent in Germany, where she directed the nuns’ religious and educational formation. A scholar, teacher, writer, and composer, Hildegard, like most medieval educators, followed a Christian religious frame of reference. Her religious texts, *The Ways of God* and *The Book of Divine Works*, were written to guide the spiritual development of women in her community. A versatile educator, Hildegard composed religious hymns and wrote medical tracts about the causes, symptoms, and cures of illnesses.

**Aquinas: Scholastic Education**

By the eleventh century, medieval educators had developed Scholasticism—a method of theological and philosophical scholarship, and teaching. The Scholastics adhered to the scriptures and doctrines of the Christian faith and human reasoning, especially Aristotle’s philosophy, as complementary sources of truth. The Scholastics believed that the Bible and the teachings of the Church were revealed supernatural truths. The human mind could deduce natural principles that, when illuminated by scriptural authority, also led to the truth.

Scholastic philosophy and education reached its zenith in the *Summa Theologicae* of Saint Thomas Aquinas (1225–1274), a Dominican theologian at the University of Paris. Aquinas was primarily concerned with reconciling authorities—that is, linking Christian doctrine with Aristotle’s Greek philosophy. Aquinas used both faith and reason to answer basic questions about the Christian concept of God, the nature of humankind and the universe, and the relationship between God and humans.45 For Aquinas, humans possess both a physical body and a spiritual soul. Although they live temporarily on Earth, their ultimate purpose is to experience eternity with God in heaven. Aquinas agreed with Aristotle that human knowledge begins in sensation and is completed by conceptualization. (See Overview 3.2 for the ideas of Aquinas and other educators discussed in this chapter.)

In *de Magistro* (*Concerning the Teacher*), Aquinas portrayed the teacher’s vocation as combining faith, love, and learning. Teachers need to be contemplative and reflective scholars, expert in their subjects, active and skilled instructors, and lovers of humanity. For preservice teacher education, Aquinas suggests that prospective teachers have a vocation, a calling to teach, and possess an in-depth knowledge of their subject matter.

Scholastic teachers were clerics, and schools were governed and protected by the church. The curriculum was organized into formal subjects, following the Greco-Roman liberal arts tradition; for example, in higher education the subject disciplines were logic, mathematics, natural and moral philosophy, metaphysics, and theology. In their teaching, Scholastics used the syllogism—deductive reasoning—to create organized bodies of knowledge. They emphasized basic principles and their implications. In addition to formal schooling, Aquinas recognized the importance of informal education through family, friends, and environment.46

---

44For a biographical sketch and an excerpt from Hildegard’s writings, see Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education*, pp. 104–112. For a biography, see Fiona Maddocks, *Hildegard of Bingen: The Woman of Her Age* (New York: Doubleday/Random House, 2001).
Aquinas's philosophy, called Thomism, has influenced education in Catholic schools, where it serves as the basis of a school-faith community. In the United States, Catholic schools are the largest nonpublic school system. Thomism also influenced humanists such as Robert Hutchins, Jacques Maritain, and Mortimer Adler, who are discussed in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education.

The Medieval Contribution to Western Education

The medieval educators recorded, preserved, and transmitted knowledge by presenting it in a scholastic framework based on the Christian religion and Aristotle's philosophy. Parish, monastic, and cathedral schools and universities all transmitted knowledge as organized subjects.

Renaissance Classical Humanism

The Renaissance, a transitional period between the medieval and modern ages, began in the fourteenth and reached its zenith in the fifteenth century. It was characterized by a revived emphasis on the humanistic aspects of the Greek and Latin classics. Like the medieval Scholastics, Renaissance educators, called classical humanists, looked to the past rather than the future. Unlike the Scholastics, however, classical humanists based their teaching more on literature than on theology.

In Italy, an artistic and literary center of the Renaissance, humanists saw themselves as critics and “custodians of knowledge.” Dante, Petrarch, and Boccaccio, the great writers of their age, wrote in the Italian language rather than in Latin. Italian nobles established humanist schools to educate their children in the revived classical learning.

From their study of the Greek and Latin classics, humanist educators discovered models of literary excellence and style and constructed the courtier as the ideal of the educated person. Baldesar Castiglione (1478–1529) in The Book of the Courtier portrayed the courtier as a tactful and diplomatic person, who having received a liberal education in the classical literature, served his ruler with style and elegance.

The Renaissance humanist educators were literary figures—writers, poets, translators, and critics. Artist-teachers, critics of society and taste, they brought wit, charm, and satire as well as erudition to their work. They sought to educate critically minded people who could challenge existing customs and expose and correct mediocrity in literature and life. In northern Europe, classical humanist scholars, by critically examining medieval theological texts, paved the way for the Protestant Reformation.

But Renaissance humanists often kept a distance between themselves and the mass of people, distilling their conception of human nature from a carefully aged

---

49 Ibid.
51 Burke, The Fortunes of the Courtier.
literature. As a vintage wine is used to grace an elegant dinner, humanist education was for the connoisseur. It was not provided to everyone but reserved for an elite.

The Renaissance did not dramatically expand school attendance. Humanist preparatory and secondary schools educated children of the nobility and upper classes. Elementary schools served the commercial middle classes. Lower-socioeconomic-class children received little, if any, formal schooling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theorist</th>
<th>Philosophical Orientation</th>
<th>View of Human Nature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confucius 551–478 BCE (Chinese)</td>
<td>Developed ethical system based on hierarchical ordering of human relationships and roles; emphasized order and stability through subordination.</td>
<td>Human beings need the order of a highly stable society in which people accept the duties that come with their station in life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socrates 469–399 BCE (Greek)</td>
<td>Social and educational iconoclast; tended toward philosophical idealism and political conservatism.</td>
<td>Human beings can define themselves by rational self-examination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plato 427–346 BCE (Greek)</td>
<td>Philosophical idealist; sociopolitical conservative.</td>
<td>Human beings can be classified on the basis of their intellectual capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aristotle 384–322 BCE (Greek)</td>
<td>Philosophical realist; view of society, politics, and education based on classical realism.</td>
<td>Human beings have the power of rationality, which should guide their conduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocrates 436–388 BCE (Greek)</td>
<td>Rhetorician; oratorical education in service of self and society.</td>
<td>Humans have the power to use speech (discourse) for social and political improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintilian CE 35–95 (Roman)</td>
<td>Rhetorician; oratory for personal gain and public service.</td>
<td>Certain individuals have the capacity for leadership, based on their disposition, liberal knowledge, and oratorical skill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hildegard of Bingen 1098-1179 (German)</td>
<td>Medieval abbess; Christian spirituality and natural medical science.</td>
<td>Human beings need spiritual development and natural knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquinas CE 1225–1274 (Italian medieval theologian)</td>
<td>Christian theology and Aristotelian (realist) philosophy.</td>
<td>Human beings possess both a spiritual nature (soul) and a physical nature (body).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erasmus CE 1465–1536 (Dutch Renaissance humanist)</td>
<td>Christian orientation; the educator as social and intellectual critic.</td>
<td>Human beings are capable of profound achievements but also of great stupidity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luther CE 1483–1546 (German Protestant)</td>
<td>Protestant theological orientation; salvation by faith and individual conscience.</td>
<td>Human beings are saved by faith; individual conscience shaped by scripture and Reformed theology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Views on Education and Curriculum

Education prepares people for their sociopolitical roles by cultivating reverence for ancestors and traditions; curriculum of ancient Chinese classics and Confucius’ Analects; highly selective examinations.

Use of probing intellectual dialogue to answer basic human concerns; education should cultivate moral excellence.

Reminiscence of latent ideas; music, gymnastics, geometry, astronomy, basic literary skills; philosophy for ruling elite of philosopher-kings.

Objective and scientific emphasis; basic literary skills, mathematics, natural and physical sciences, philosophy.

Rhetorical studies; basic literary skills; politics, history, rhetoric, declamation, public speaking.

Basic literary skills; grammar, history, literature, drama, philosophy, public speaking, law.

Women should have a multidimensional education in religion, nature studies, and music.

Education should be based on human nature, with appropriate studies for both spiritual and physical dimensions.

Education for a literary elite that stressed criticism and analysis.

Elementary schools to teach reading, writing, arithmetic, religion; secondary schools to prepare leaders by offering classics, Latin, Greek, and religion; vocational training.

Contribution and Influence

Confucianist ethics shaped Chinese culture for centuries, creating a value system of enduring importance.

Socratic dialogue as a teaching method; teacher as a role model.

Use of schools for sorting students according to intellectual abilities; education tied to civic (political) purposes.

Emphasis on liberally educated, well-rounded person; importance of reason.

Use of knowledge in public affairs and in political leadership; teacher education has both content and practice dimensions.

Role of motivation in learning; recognition of individual differences.

Teacher as mentor and guide to the individual’s spiritual, natural, and moral development.

Teacher as moral agent; education related to universal theological goals; synthesis of the theological and philosophical; basis of philosophy used in Roman Catholic schools.

Role of secondary and higher education in literary and social criticism; emphasis on critical thinking.

Emphasis on universal literacy; schools to stress religious values, vocational skills, knowledge; close relationship of religion, schooling, and the state.

Erasmus: Critic and Humanist

Desiderius Erasmus (1465–1536), the leading classical humanist scholar of the Renaissance, described the model teacher as a cosmopolitan Christian humanist. Erasmus emphasized the unifying features of Christianity that were common to all

believers rather than the doctrines that separated them. Although he could be sarcastic in his criticisms, Erasmus also had a gentle disposition when it came to the education of children. Advising parents and teachers to be worthy cultural and ethical models for their children, Erasmus recognized the importance of shaping a child’s predispositions to education early in life.

Erasmus believed that a teacher’s worldview and academic preparation were highly important for successful teaching as a humanist educator. Teachers needed to have an ecumenical and global outlook that was not limited by narrow interests. As part of their preservice preparation, teachers needed to be well educated in the liberal arts, especially in the classical Greek and Latin languages and literature and in history and religion.

As a humanist, Erasmus was most concerned with the teaching of literature. He suggested motivating students to read good books by having them explore an author’s meaning in their own lives. He encouraged teachers to use conversations,

---

**Overview 3.3**

**Significant Events in the History of Western Education, to CE 1650**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Political and Social Events</th>
<th>Significant Educational Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>1200 BCE Trojan War</td>
<td>c. 1200 BCE Homer’s <em>Iliad and Odyssey</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>594 BCE Athenian constitutional reforms</td>
<td>399 BCE Trial of Socrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>479–338 BCE Golden Age of Greek (Athenian) culture</td>
<td>395 BCE Plato’s <em>Republic</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>445–431 BCE Age of Pericles</td>
<td>392 BCE School established by Isocrates in Athens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>431–404 BCE Peloponnesian War between Athens and Sparta</td>
<td>387 BCE Academy founded by Plato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>753 BCE Traditional date of Rome’s founding</td>
<td>330 BCE Aristotle’s <em>Politics</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>510 BCE Roman republic established</td>
<td>449 BCE References appear to the existence of Latin primary schools, <em>or ludi</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>336–323 BCE Alexander the Great</td>
<td>167 BCE Greek grammar school opened in Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>272 BCE Rome dominates Italian peninsula</td>
<td>CE 96 Quintilian’s <em>Institutio Oratoria</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>146 BCE Greece becomes Roman province</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49–44 BCE Dictatorship of Julius Caesar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 BCE Roman empire begins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE 476 Fall of Rome in the West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>713 Arab conquest of Spain</td>
<td>1079–1142 Abelard, author of <em>Sic et Non</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 Charlemagne crowned Holy Roman Emperor</td>
<td>1180 University of Paris granted papal charter and recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1096–1291 Crusades to the Holy Land</td>
<td>1209 University of Cambridge founded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1182–1226 St. Francis of Assisi</td>
<td>1225–1274 Thomas Aquinas, author of <em>Summa Theologiae</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Promoting books and literature
games, and activities to illustrate a book’s meaning. Erasmus developed the following method for teaching literature: (1) present the author’s biography; (2) identify the type, or genre, of the work; (3) discuss the plot; (4) reflect on the book’s moral and philosophical implications; (5) analyze the author’s writing style.53

Erasmus’ conveyed his opposition to war and violence in The Education of the Christian Prince (1516).54 He advised those who would tutor a prince to make sure that he learned as much as he could about the people of his kingdom—about their traditions, customs, work, and problems. Unlike Machiavelli, who urged that the king should rule by fear and manipulation, Erasmus advised the prince to gain

---

54Robert D. Sider and John B. Payne, eds., Collected Works of Erasmus (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1994).
the love of his subjects and to study the arts of peace, especially diplomacy, and avoid war.

The Renaissance Contribution to Western Education

Renaissance humanists emphasized knowledge of Latin and Greek as hallmarks of the educated person. For centuries, this classical humanist preference shaped Western secondary and higher education. In Europe and the United States, many colleges and universities required knowledge of Latin for admission until the late nineteenth century.

It is also important to note that Erasmus and other Renaissance educators were moving to a humanistic, or human-centered, conception of knowledge. Rather than approaching their human subject through scientific inquiry, however, humanist educators explored their concerns through literature. The humanists’ approach was later challenged by Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Spencer, and Dewey (discussed in the chapter on Pioneers of Modern Teaching), who all argued against instruction that emphasized literature exclusively while neglecting experience.

The invention of the printing press in 1423 in Europe advanced literacy and schooling dramatically. Before the printing press, students painstakingly created their own copy of a text by taking dictation from teachers. The university lecture was essentially an experience in which students recorded their professor’s words.

By mid-fifteenth century, Europeans were experimenting with movable metal type in printing. Johannes Gutenberg, a German jeweler, invented a durable metal alloy to form letters for the printing press. His Bible, in 1455, was the first major book printed thus. Printing spread throughout Europe, multiplying the output and cutting the costs of books. It made information accessible to a larger reading population. The printing press inaugurated the “information revolution.” It was a momentous technological innovation whose consequences recollect that of the advent of computer information dissemination. (See Overview 3.3 for the invention of the printing press and other significant events in the history of education.)

The Religious Reformation and Education

The Protestant religious reformation of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries was stimulated by significant social, economic, and political changes in Europe. Humanist criticism of scholastic authorities weakened the Catholic Church’s central authority to enforce religious conformity. Economic innovations generated the rise of the middle classes who began to resist the older aristocratic political authorities. The emergence of centralized national states shifted people’s loyalty to their own monarchs and away from the Pope. Protestant religious reformers—including John Calvin, Martin Luther, Philipp Melanchthon, and Ulrich Zwingli—sought to free themselves and their followers from papal authority and to interpret their own religious doctrines and practices. While doing so, the Protestant reformers formulated

Their own educational theories, established their own schools, structured their own curricula, and reared their children in the reformed creeds.

Luther, Melanchthon, Calvin, and other Reformation leaders concerned themselves with questions of knowledge, education, and schooling because they wanted these powerful weapons to advance the Protestant cause. On questions of knowledge, they asserted that every person had the right to read the Bible as the central source of religious truth. Regarding Bible reading as essential to salvation, the Protestant reformers promoted universal primary schooling to advance literacy.

Protestants established **vernacular schools** to instruct children in their common spoken language—for example, German, Swedish, or English rather than Latin. These primary schools, conducted under denominational control, offered a basic curriculum of reading, writing, arithmetic, and religion. Catholic liturgies remained in Latin rather than vernacular languages, although, to compete with Protestants, Catholic schools also began to teach vernacular languages along with Latin.

Both Protestants and Catholics used schools to indoctrinate children with “correct” religious beliefs and practices. Only members of the officially sanctioned church were hired as teachers, and teachers were carefully supervised to make certain they taught approved doctrines. In fact, teacher supervision and licensing developed during the Reformation period. To ensure doctrinal conformity, religious educators developed the catechistic method of instruction. In question-and-answer form, catechisms summarized the particular denomination’s doctrines and practices. Although memorization had always been a feature of schooling, the catechistic method reinforced it. The belief was that if children memorized the catechism, they would internalize the doctrines of their church. The question-and-answer format gained such a powerful hold on schools that it was also used in teaching secular subjects such as history and geography.

For example, Calvin’s *Catechism of the Church of Geneva* used the question and answer method:

Master: What is the chief end of human life?
Scholar: To know God by whom men were created.

In the nineteenth century, the same method appeared in Davenport’s *History of the United States*:

Q. When did the battle of Lexington take place?
A. On the 19th of April, 1775; here was shed the first blood in the American Revolution.57

By emphasizing popular literacy and increasing school attendance, the Protestant Reformation increased participation in schooling and raised literacy rates. For example, only 10 percent of men and 2 percent of women in England were literate in 1500. By 1600 the numbers had risen to 28 percent for men and 9 percent for women, and by 1700 nearly 40 percent of English men and about 32 percent of English women were literate. Literacy rates were higher in northern Europe than in southern Europe, in urban than rural areas, and among upper rather than lower socioeconomic classes.58

---

As these figures suggest, reformers wanted both girls and boys to attend the primary vernacular schools, and their efforts increased school attendance for both sexes. Nevertheless, Protestant reformers continued to reserve the prestigious classical humanist preparatory and secondary schools for upper-class boys. Preparatory and secondary schools such as the German gymnasiu...
To design and implement educational reforms, Luther relied heavily on the humanist educator, Philipp Melanchthon (1497–1560). In 1559, Melanchthon drafted the School Code of Württemberg, which became a model for other German states. The code specified that primary vernacular schools be established in every village to teach religion, reading, writing, arithmetic, and music. Classical secondary schools, gymnasien, were to provide Latin and Greek instruction for those select young men expected to attend universities.

The Reformation’s Contribution to Western Education

The Protestant Reformation reconfirmed many institutional developments from the Renaissance, especially the dual-track system of schools. While vernacular schools provided primary instruction to the lower socioeconomic classes, the various classical humanist grammar schools prepared upper-class males for higher education. European colonists in turn brought this two-track school structure to the New World.

Through their stress on Bible reading, Protestant reformers also bequeathed to later educators the all-important emphasis on literacy. This attitude helped accelerate the movement toward universal schooling.

Religion has had a tremendous impact on education and schooling from ancient times through the twenty-first century. Many schools were controlled by churches, temples, and mosques. In the United States, too, early schools and colleges were closely tied to religions. Currently, about 4,354,420 U.S. elementary and secondary students attend religiously affiliated schools.61

In the eighteenth century, however, religious influence over education was challenged by the naturalism and rationalism of the eighteenth-century Age of Reason, the Enlightenment, which spread throughout Europe and the Americas.

The Enlightenment’s Influence on Education

Unlike the Medieval scholastics and Renaissance humanists who based their ideas about education on the past, the Enlightenment philosophers, scientists, and educators examined the present and looked forward to the future. Rather than relying on tradition, Enlightenment educators emphasized using reason and the scientific method to improve the present situation and to create a better future.62 They used the scientific method of empirical observation to discover how nature and the universe worked. In education, they observed children, especially their stages of development, play, and activities to construct a natural method of instruction. The educational reformers—Rousseau, Pestalozzi, and the progressive educators, discussed in the chapters on Pioneers of Modern Teaching and Philosophical Roots of Education, were influenced by the Enlightenment view that children were naturally good and that teachers should base instruction on children’s interests and needs.

The leaders of the American Revolution such as Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson, whose ideas are discussed in the chapter on the Historical Development of American Education, were especially influenced by the Enlightenment’s political ideology. The Declaration of Independence and the Constitution embodied such
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Enlightenment principles as the natural rights of life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness and republican government free from absolutism.

Enlightenment ideas took root in the United States, where they developed into an optimistic faith in political democracy and universal education. They influenced Franklin’s emphasis on utilitarian and scientific education and Jefferson’s arguments for separation of church and state and education in state-supported schools. Convinced of their ability to direct their own future, Americans saw education as the key to progress.63

School attendance for both boys and girls began to increase during the Reformation as a result of the Protestant emphasis on literacy to read the Bible.

Schools in Western European societies developed into a two-track set of institutions based on socioeconomic class differences. Common people attended primary schools, and upper-class males attended preparatory schools that equipped them for university entrance. Girls attended primary schools but were generally excluded from secondary and higher education.

### Discussion Questions

1. Observe classes in kindergartens and the primary grades and note how holiday observances, stories, and art are used to introduce children to their culture. How are these examples similar to or unlike education in preliterate societies?

2. Reflect on Confucius’ emphasis on appropriate and proper relationships. Today, it is common to talk about “having a relationship” or “being in a relationship.” How does the modern sense of relationship compare and contrast with that of Confucius? Also, examine the Confucian ethical principle of behaving according to one’s rank in society. Compare and contrast the concept of Confucian ethical behavior with that of modern American society.

3. Throughout most of history, the teacher’s role was to transmit the cultural heritage, especially a particular group’s language, knowledge, beliefs, and values. What is your reaction to the teacher as a transmitter of culture? Is it adequate or too limited?

4. Whereas the Arabic scholars deliberately borrowed knowledge from other cultures, the ancient Chinese resisted cultural borrowing. Do you favor or oppose the borrowing of “foreign” concepts in American education?

5. How do you think the Sophists would feel about such trends as focus groups, public opinion polls, sound-bite commercials, and the negative advertising used in modern political campaigns?

6. Reflect on how gender and class had an impact on education, especially school attendance, in the past. Do factors such as race, class, and gender affect educational opportunity and school attendance and retention in American education?

7. Reflect on the influence of religion in the history of education. Examine the role of religion in American society, especially issues related to separation of church and state.
Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Visit the Web site of the Asia Society, http://askasia.org, for information on Asian culture and education. Use this site to explore articles on Confucius and other Asian philosophers and educators.

2. Visit the education center of IslamiCity (www.islamicity.com) for information and resources on Islam and Islamic history in Arabia and the Middle East. Determine how Islam’s history has influenced contemporary interest in Arabic and Islamic education.


4. The “Gallery of Educational Theorists,” created by Edward G. Rozycki, is a useful Web site for students of education history and philosophy. The site is www.newfoundations.com/GALLERY/Gallery.html. The site analyzes theorists such as Aquinas, Aristotle, Luther, and Plato, all treated in this chapter, as well as other world educational figures, in terms of such questions as What is knowledge? What is learning? and How is knowledge to be transmitted? Use this site to help you develop your own philosophy of education.

5. Information, sources, and links related to educators treated in this chapter can be found at www.infed.org/thinkers. This site examines educators in terms of their theories of informal education. Use the information provided to answer such questions as What is informal education? How does informal education differ from formal education? What were the ideas of leading educators in informal education?

6. A biography and other information related to Erasmus, the Renaissance humanist, are provided at www.ciger.be/erasmus. Access the site and determine why an entire site is devoted to Erasmus.

7. To gain a global perspective on professional development, interview international students on education in their country, especially on the status of the teacher and teacher-student relationships.

8. To gain a historical perspective on professional development, interview experienced teachers on how the teaching profession has changed since they began teaching.

9. In school situations, some teachers’ and students’ behaviors are regarded as appropriate and others as inappropriate. Make a list of appropriate and inappropriate behaviors and why this behavior is so designated. Determine how students learn to make distinctions between the appropriate and the inappropriate. Then, hypothesize about how Confucius, Plato, Aquinas, Erasmus, and Luther might evaluate your list.

Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

For biographies of educators and an analysis of their theories from the perspective of informal education, consult www.infed.org/thinkers.

The “Gallery of Educational Theorists,” created by Edward G. Rozycki, a very useful Web site for students of education history and philosophy, is found at www.newfoundations.com/GALLERY/Gallery.html.

For a discussion of Confucius and Confucianism, visit www.askasia.org.

For the history, mythology, art, and culture of ancient Greece, visit http://www.ancientgreece.com.

For a discussion of the ancient Greek Athenians, visit http://arwhead.com/Greeks.

For a discussion and comparison of the ancient Greek Spartans and Athenians, visit http://www.essene.com/History/greek1.html.

For a discussion of the Sophists and other Greek philosophers, visit www.radicalacademy.com.

For a discussion of Islam and Islamic history, visit www.islamicity.com.

For a discussion and sources of Aquinas and Thomist philosophy, visit www.aquinasonline.com.

Publications

Barzun, Jacques. From Dawn to Decadence: 500 Years of Western Cultural Life, 1500 to the Present. New York: Harper-Collins, 2001. A highly recognized historian and educator presents a large-scale reexamination of Western cultural life, institutions, trends, and revolutions that have shaped Western civilization.

Black, Robert. Humanism and Education in Medieval and Renaissance Italy: Tradition and Innovation in Latin Schools from the Twelfth to the Fifteenth Century. New York: Cam-
bridge University Press, 2001. *Examines how Latin schools in the medieval and Renaissance periods were agencies of educational transmission and change.*


Murphy, Madonna M. *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers.* Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/ Merrill/ Prentice Hall, 2006. Provides a well-organized and comprehensive treatment of the world’s leading educators from antiquity to the present, with biographical sketches, timelines, commentaries, and primary source readings.


This chapter examines how the leading educational pioneers constructed their philosophies and theories of education. These pioneers developed formative ideas about schools, curriculum, and methods of instruction that continue to shape the preservice preparation of teachers and their practice in today's classrooms.

Early pioneers such as Johann Amos Comenius, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, and Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi challenged the inherited concepts of child depravity and passive learning that had long dominated schooling. The child depravity theory insisted that children are born with a tendency to evil and that this inclination to misbehavior could be exorcised by authoritarian teachers. In contrast, the early educational pioneers asserted the naturalistic theory that children are naturally good and that nature provides the cues for their education.

Later educators such as Friedrich Froebel, Maria Montessori, Herbert Spencer, John Dewey, Jean Piaget, and Paulo Freire argued that (1) education should follow the natural stages of human growth and development and (2) children learned by interacting with the objects and situations in their everyday environments. Froebel's kindergarten and Montessori's prepared environment were deliberate efforts to construct learning environments based on children's development. Both Dewey and Piaget emphasized the importance of children's interactions with their environments as the most effective kind of learning. Herbert Spencer argued for a utilitarian education to enable individuals to adapt successfully to their environments. Freire called for an education to raise the consciousness of oppressed people so that they could free themselves from the social, economic, and political conditions that oppressed them. Johann Herbart devised a method to systematize teaching.
You might think of these pioneers as educational mentors from the past who can illuminate your ideas about teaching and learning. A mentor is a significant person whose life, ideas, and behavior serve as a model, or an exemplar, for another person. You can relate these pioneers to your contemporary mentors, especially your teachers, who influenced your views about education and, perhaps, your decision to become a teacher. You can identify the mentors who shaped your ideas about education. Then, you can reflect on how the pioneers in this chapter contributed to your ideas about teaching and learning. As you read this chapter, consider the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- Who qualifies as an educational pioneer? Can this pioneer contribute to my ideas about teaching and learning?
- How did the pioneers develop their ideas about education? Are there elements in their theories that I can use in constructing my own philosophy of education?
- How did they redefine knowledge, education, schooling, teaching, and learning? Have their ideas caused me to redefine my ideas about these areas?
- Which ideas or practices from the pioneers are present in today’s teaching and learning? Are these ideas present in preservice teacher preparation and in classroom practice?
- What contributions from the pioneers are useful to you in developing your philosophy of education? How can I relate the pioneers to other mentors—teachers, parents, and peers—who influenced my ideas about what it means to be a teacher?

---

**Comenius: The Search for a New Method**

Jan Komensky (1592–1670), known as Comenius, was born in the Moravian town of Nivnitz.\(^1\) He lived during Europe’s post-Reformation religious wars between Catholics and Protestants—a time of hatred and violence. He belonged to the Moravian Brethren, a small, often persecuted, Protestant church. A bishop and educator of the Brethren, Comenius was forced to flee his homeland and lived in exile in other European countries. Hoping to end religious intolerance, he constructed a new educational philosophy, *pansophism*, to cultivate universal understanding. A pioneering peace educator, he believed that universally shared knowledge would overcome ethnic and religious hatreds and create a peaceful world order.\(^2\)

---

\(^1\)For a biography of Comenius, see Daniel Murphy, *Comenius: A Critical Reassessment of His Life and Work* (Dublin, Ireland: Irish Academic Press, 1995).

Comenius was a transitional figure between the Renaissance humanist educators discussed in the chapter on World Roots of American Education and later naturalistic reformers. His emphasis on using the senses, rather than passive memorization, to learn was followed by later educators such as Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Montessori, and Dewey. His book, *Gate of Tongues Unlocked*, related Latin instruction to the students’ own spoken vernacular language. Lessons began with short, simple phrases and gradually moved to longer, more complex sentences. An innovative educator, Comenius wrote one of the earliest picture books, *The Visible World in Pictures*, as a teaching aid.\(^3\)

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

Respecting children’s natural needs and interests, Comenius rejected the child depravity doctrine that children were inherently bad and that teachers needed corporal punishment to discipline them. Instead, Comenius wanted teachers to be caring persons who created pleasant classrooms. Warning against hurrying or pressuring children, he believed children learn most efficiently when they are ready to learn a particular skill or subject. Lessons should be appropriate the children’s natural stages of development. Teachers, he advised should organize lessons into easily assimilated small steps that made learning gradual, cumulative, and pleasant.

\(^3\)For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpt from Comenius’s *Great Didactic*, see Madonna M. Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers* (Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/Merrill/Prentice Hall, 2006), pp. 150–156.
Comenius emphasized the following principles that apply to the preservice preparation of teachers and to classroom practice: (1) use objects or pictures to illustrate concepts; (2) apply lessons to students’ practical lives; (3) present lessons directly and simply; (4) emphasize general principles before details; (5) emphasize that all creatures and objects are part of a whole universe; (6) present lessons in sequence, stressing one thing at a time; (7) do not leave a specific subject until students understand it completely. 

Comenius’s principles that emphasized children’s readiness, using concrete objects, and moving gradually in instruction became an integral part of teacher-education programs.

Education and Schooling

Comenius, an early multicultural educator respected religious and cultural diversity but also believed all persons were members of a common human family. He believed that schooling, by cultivating universal knowledge and values, could promote international understanding and peace. An innovator, Comenius incorporated the technological inventions of his time, such the printing press, which made it possible for him to diffuse his ideas in widely used textbooks. Comenius’s use of education to promote ethnic and religious tolerance remains important to us today, especially to a world torn by violence and terrorism.

Influence on Educational Practices Today

Comenius can serve as an historical mentor, or model, for today’s teachers. He wanted to prepare caring teachers who respected universal human rights and dignity and cultural and religious diversity. He wanted teachers in their preservice preparation to learn how to recognize children’s stages of development and readiness for specific kinds of learning. He advised teachers to use objects and pictures to encourage children to use their senses in learning. In their practice, teachers were advised not to rush or pressure children but to create a pleasant and comfortable classroom climate.

Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712–1778), a Swiss-born French theorist, lived during the eighteenth-century Age of Reason, which preceded the American and French Revolutions. He belonged to the Parisian intellectuals who questioned the authority of the established church and absolute monarchy. His books On the Origin of the Inequality of Mankind and The Social Contract condemn distinctions of wealth, property, and prestige that cause social inequalities. In the original state of nature,

---

4Gerald L. Gutek, Historical and Philosophical Foundations of Education: Selected Readings (Columbus, Ohio: Merrill, 2001), pp. 50–57.
### Overview 4.1

**Educational Pioneers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pioneer</th>
<th>Historical Context</th>
<th>Purpose of Education</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comenius 1592–1670 (Czech)</td>
<td>Seventeenth-century religious war following Protestant Reformation</td>
<td>Relate education to children’s natural growth and development; contribute to peace and understanding</td>
<td>Vernacular language, reading, writing, mathematics, religion, history, Latin; universal knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rousseau 1712–1778 (Swiss-French)</td>
<td>Eighteenth-century French Enlightenment</td>
<td>Create a learning environment that allows the child’s innate, natural goodness to flourish</td>
<td>Nature; the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pestalozzi 1746–1827 (Swiss)</td>
<td>Early nineteenth century, post-Napoleonic period and beginnings of industrialism</td>
<td>Develop the human being’s moral, mental, and physical powers harmoniously; use sense perception in forming clear ideas</td>
<td>Object lessons; form, number, sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbart 1776–1841 (German)</td>
<td>Mid-nineteenth-century rise of philosophy and psychology in Europe</td>
<td>Develop many-sided interests and moral character</td>
<td>Academic and humanistic studies, especially history and literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Froebel 1782–1852 (German)</td>
<td>Nineteenth-century resurgence of philosophical idealism and rise of nationalism</td>
<td>Develop the latent spiritual essence of the child in a prepared environment</td>
<td>Songs, stories, games, gifts, occupations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer 1820–1903 (English)</td>
<td>Darwin’s theory of evolution in 1859 and rise of nineteenth-century industrial corporations</td>
<td>Enable human beings to live effectively, economically, and scientifically</td>
<td>Practical, utilitarian, and scientific subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewey 1859–1952 (American)</td>
<td>Early–twentieth-century American progressive movement, growth of science, and rise of pragmatic philosophy</td>
<td>Contribute to the individual’s personal, social, and intellectual growth</td>
<td>Making and doing; history and geography; science; problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addams 1860–1935 (American)</td>
<td>First half of twentieth century, period of massive immigration and urban change</td>
<td>Assimilate immigrants into American society while preserving their ethnic cultural heritages</td>
<td>Wide range of practical skills for life in urban centers, along with arts and sciences and problem solving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montessori 1870–1952 (Italian)</td>
<td>Late–nineteenth- and early–twentieth-century assertion of feminism; greater attention to early childhood education</td>
<td>Assist children’s sensory, muscular, and intellectual development in a prepared environment</td>
<td>Motor and sensory skills; preplanned materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piaget 1896–1980 (Swiss)</td>
<td>Twentieth-century developments in psychology by Freud, Hall, Jung, and others</td>
<td>Organize education in terms of children’s patterns of growth and development</td>
<td>Concrete and formal operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freire 1921–1997 (Brazilian)</td>
<td>Late-twentieth-century critique of neocolonialism and globalism</td>
<td>Raise consciousness about exploitative conditions</td>
<td>Literary circles and critical dialogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of Instruction</td>
<td>Role of the Teacher</td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Influence on Today’s Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on readiness and stages of human growth; gradual, cumulative, orderly; use of objects</td>
<td>A permissive facilitator of learning; bases instruction on child's stages of development</td>
<td>Developed a more humane view of the child; devised an educational method incorporating sensation</td>
<td>Schools organized according to children’s stages of development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on sensation; experience with nature</td>
<td>Assists nature, rather than imposing social conventions on the child</td>
<td>Led a Romantic revolt against the doctrine of child deprivity; a forerunner of child-centered progressivism</td>
<td>Permissive schooling based on child freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on sensation; object lessons; simple to complex; near to far; concrete to abstract</td>
<td>Acts as a loving facilitator of learning by creating a homelike school environment; skilled in using the special method</td>
<td>Devised on educational method that changed instruction in elementary schools</td>
<td>Schooling based on emotional security and object learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic organization of instruction: preparation, presentation, association, generalization, application</td>
<td>A well-prepared professional who follows the prescribed sequence in teaching</td>
<td>Devised an education method that stressed sequential organization of instruction and moral character development</td>
<td>Teacher preparation based on a prescribed method and entry of history and literature into curriculum as a moral core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-activity; play; imitation</td>
<td>Facilitates children’s growth</td>
<td>Created the kindergarten, a special early childhood learning environment</td>
<td>Preschools designed to liberate the child’s creativity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on sensation and the scientific method; activities</td>
<td>Organizes instruction in basic activities</td>
<td>A leading curriculum theorist who stressed scientific knowledge</td>
<td>Schooling that stresses scientific knowledge and competitive values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving according to the scientific method</td>
<td>Creates a learning environment based on learners' shared experiences</td>
<td>Developed the pragmatic experimentalist philosophy of education</td>
<td>Schooling that emphasizes problem solving and activities in a context of community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin with learner’s neighborhood, culture, and needs; lead to broader social realities and connections</td>
<td>Engages in a reciprocal or mutual learning experience with students</td>
<td>Developed a progressive theory of urban and multicultural education</td>
<td>Respect for cultural diversity and pluralism in a shared American cultural context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous learning; activities; practical, sensory, and formal skills; exercises for practical life.</td>
<td>Acts as a facilitator or director of learning by using didactic materials in a prepared environment</td>
<td>Developed a widely used method and philosophy of early childhood education</td>
<td>Early childhood schooling that is intellectually and developmentally stimulating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualized programs; exploration and experimentation with concrete materials</td>
<td>Organizes instruction according to stages of cognitive development</td>
<td>Formulated a theory of cognitive development</td>
<td>Schooling organized around cognitive developmental stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of personal and group autobiographies</td>
<td>Stimulates awareness of real conditions of life</td>
<td>Formulated a theory and praxis of critical consciousness</td>
<td>Influenced critical theory and liberation pedagogy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
according to Rousseau, people were “noble savages,” innocent, free, and uncorrupted by socioeconomic artificialities. Rousseau is often criticized for his personal inconsistency regarding children. Although he wrote about respecting children’s freedom, he placed his own children in orphanages instead of rearing and educating them himself.

Rousseau conveyed his educational philosophy in 1762 through his novel *Emile*, the story of a boy’s education from infancy to adulthood.7 Attacking the child depravity doctrine and book-dominated education, Rousseau argued that children’s instincts and needs were naturally good and should be satisfied rather than repressed by authoritarian schools and coercive teachers. He wanted people freed from society’s imprisoning institutions, of which the school was one of the most coercive.

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

Like Comenius, Rousseau emphasized the crucial importance of stages of human development. In *Emile*, Rousseau identified five developmental stages: infancy, childhood, boyhood, adolescence, and youth. Each stage set its own conditions for readiness to learn and led to the next stage.8 To preserve the child’s natural goodness, a tutor would homeschool Emile on a country estate away from the conformity of a corruptive society. Homeschooling was preferred to schools that miseducated children in that they followed social conventions rather than natural inclinations.

In Rousseau’s first stage, infancy (birth to age five), Emile begins to construct his initial impression of reality; he learns directly by using his senses to examine the objects in his environment.

During childhood (ages five to twelve), Emile constructs his own personal self-identity as he learns that his actions produce either painful or pleasurable consequences. Naturally curious, Emile continues to use his senses to learn more about the world. Calling the eyes, ears, hands, and feet the first teachers, Rousseau judged learning through sensation to be much more effective than teaching children words they do not understand. The tutor deliberately refrained from introducing books at this stage to avoid substituting reading for Emile’s direct interaction with nature.

During boyhood (ages twelve to fifteen), Emile learns natural science by observing the cycles of growth of plants and animals. By exploring his surroundings, he learns geography directly rather than from studying maps. Emile also learns a manual trade, carpentry, to connect mental and physical work.

When he reaches adolescence (ages fifteen to eighteen), Emile is now ready to learn about the broader world of society, government, economics, and business. Visits to museums, theaters, art galleries, and libraries cultivate his aesthetic tastes. During the last stage of education (ages eighteen to twenty), Emile expands his horizons by visiting Paris and the major European countries. After meeting his future wife, Sophie, the book ends when Emile informs his tutor that he plans to give children the same natural education that he has received.

---


Education and Schooling

Rousseau was suspicious of schools, which he believed taught children to conform to society’s artificial rules rather than living according to nature. School-induced socialization forced children into the routines that adults preferred instead of letting them grow according to their own instincts, interests, and needs.\(^9\) By forcing children to memorize books, traditional teachers thwarted the child’s own power to learn from direct experience. Schooling teaches children to play the roles that adults prefer, rather than being their natural selves. Emile, a child of nature, followed rather than repressed his natural instincts and impulses. If pleasure was the result, Emile earned his reward. If his actions caused pain, Emile brought these consequences upon himself. Either way, he learned from the experience. Rousseau used the following key ideas in formulating his philosophy of education: (1) childhood is the natural foundation for future human development; (2) children’s natural interests and instincts will lead to a more thorough exploration of the environment; (3) human beings, in their life cycles, go through necessary stages of development; (4) adult coercion has a negative impact on children’s development. Rousseau’s ideas contributed to permissive and progressive views of childhood that continue to influence teaching and learning.

Influence on Educational Practices Today

Although his critics disparage the story of Emile’s education as a fictitious and impractical account of a one-to-one relationship between the student and teacher, Rousseau has influenced modern education. Rousseau’s argument that the curriculum should arise from children’s interests and needs profoundly affected child-centered progressive educators. (See the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education for a discussion of progressive education). Rousseau’s ideas also anticipated constructivism, in which children interpret their own reality rather than learn information from indirect sources. Despite his distrust of schools, Rousseau’s insights that teacher’s should follow children’s interests and that children should learn from their direct experience...
interaction with the environment have shaped preservice preparation and classroom practice.

**Pestalozzi: Educator of the Senses and Emotions**

The life of the Swiss educator, Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi (1746–1827), coincided with the early industrial revolution in Europe and America when factory-made products were replacing home handicrafts. Early industrialization changed family life as women and children entered the work force. Concerned about the impact of this economic change on families and children, Pestalozzi sought to develop schools that, like loving families, would nurture children’s development. His ideas about the relationship of families and schools are useful in today’s rapidly changing society. An attentive reader of *Emile*, Pestalozzi agreed with Rousseau that humans were naturally good but were spoiled by a corrupt society, that traditional schooling was a dull mess of deadening memorization and recitation, and that pedagogical reform could generate social reform. Although Rousseau was an historical mentor for him, Pestalozzi significantly revised Rousseau’s method. While Rousseau rejected schools, Pestalozzi believed that schools, if properly organized, could become centers of effective learning. He also readapted Rousseau’s single-child tutorial method into group-based instruction.

In his schools at Burgdorf and Yverdon, Pestalozzi developed a preservice teacher-education program where he served as a mentor to the future teachers whom he was training in his method. He devised a method of simultaneous group instruction by which children learned in a loving and unhurried manner.

Philosophically, Pestalozzi, a realist, asserted that the mind formed concepts by abstracting data gathered by the senses. His method of using objects in instruction influenced Froebel and Montessori, discussed later in this chapter, as well as the later progressive educators. (Refer to the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education for descriptions of realism and progressivism).

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

Pestalozzi’s approach to teaching can be organized into “general” and “special” methods. The general method created a permissive and emotionally healthy home-like learning environment that had to be in place before more specific instruction occurred. This required teachers who, emotionally secure themselves, could gain students’ trust and affection.

Once the general method was in place, Pestalozzi implemented his special method, the *object lesson*, which, following Rousseau, stressed direct sensory learning. In this approach, children studied the common objects in their environment—plants, rocks, artifacts, and other objects encountered in daily experience. To determine the form of an object, they drew and traced it. They also counted and then named objects. Thus they learned the form, number, and name or sound

---

10For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpts from Pestalozzi’s *Diary and Methods*, see Madonna M. Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*, pp. 179–186.
related to objects. The students moved gradually from these object lessons to exercises in drawing, writing, counting, adding, subtracting, multiplying, dividing, and reading. The first writing exercises consisted of drawing lessons in which the children made a series of rising and falling strokes and open and closed curves. These exercises developed the hand muscles and prepared children for writing. Guided by Rousseau’s principle about the futility of mere verbal learning or abstract lessons, Pestalozzi oriented teachers to sense experiences originating in children’s home and family life. This innovation became an important part of progressive school reform.

To ensure that instruction followed nature, Pestalozzi developed the following strategies in his preservice teacher-preparation program. Teachers should (1) begin with concrete objects before introducing abstract concepts; (2) begin with the learner’s immediate environment before dealing with what is distant and remote; (3) begin with easy and simple exercises before introducing complex ones; and (4) always proceed gradually and cumulatively. Pestalozzi’s method became an important part of elementary-school classroom practice in Europe and the United States.

**Education and Schooling**

Like Rousseau, Pestalozzi based learning on natural principles and stressed the importance of human emotions. Unlike Rousseau, however, Pestalozzi used group instruction rather than individual tutoring. Both Rousseau and Pestalozzi defined “knowing” as understanding nature, its patterns, and its laws. Pestalozzi stressed empirical learning, through which people learn about their environment by carefully observing natural phenomena.

Like Comenius, Pestalozzi believed children should learn slowly and understand thoroughly what they are studying. He was especially dedicated to children who were poor, hungry, and socially or psychologically handicapped. If children were hungry, Pestalozzi fed them before he attempted to teach them. If they were frightened, he comforted them. For him, a teacher was not only skilled in instructional method but also capable of loving all children. In fact, Pestalozzi believed that love of humankind was necessary for successful teaching. Pestalozzi’s principles are applicable to teaching children with special needs as well as children generally.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Pestalozzi’s object lessons were incorporated into the American elementary-school curriculum in the nineteenth century. His emphasis on having students manipulate the objects in their environment was a forerunner of process-based learning. His belief that education should be directed to both the mind and the emotions stimulated educators to develop instruction to encourage both cognitive and affective learning. As American educators continue to focus on the needs of at-risk children, Pestalozzi’s ideas, especially his general method, take on a renewed relevance. His assertion that emotional security is a necessary precondition for skill and subject learning strongly parallels the contemporary emphasis on supportive home–school partnerships.

Herbart: Systematizing Teaching

Johann Friedrich Herbart (1776–1841), a German professor of philosophy and psychology, devised an educational method that systematized instruction and encouraged the moral development of students. After observing Pestalozzi’s method, Herbart decided to reorganize it into a more precise sequence.

Principles of Teaching and Learning

Herbart defined interest as a person’s ability to bring and retain an idea in consciousness. He reasoned that a large mass or network of ideas generated a great number of interests. Ideas related to each other formed a network, an “apperceptive mass,” in the mind. Informed by Herbart’s psychology, teachers were advised to introduce students to an increasing number of ideas and to help them construct relationships between ideas.

In addition to his psychological principles, Herbart was concerned with students’ moral development. He emphasized the humanistic studies of history and literature as rich sources of moral values. By studying the lives of great men and women, students could discover how people made their moral decisions. Literature provided a framework for placing values into a humanistic perspective. Herbart was influential in bringing history and literature into the curriculum at a time it was dominated by the classical Greek and Latin languages.

Education and Schooling

Herbart wanted to systematize education and schooling by organizing instruction into a well-defined sequence of steps that teachers could follow. The five Herbartian steps were:

1. Preparation, in which teachers prepare students to receive the new concept or material they are going to present.
2. Presentation, in which teachers clearly identify and present the new concept.
3. Association, in which the new concept is compared and contrasted with ideas the student already knows.
4. Generalization, in which a general principle is formed that combines the new and previous learning.
5. Application, in which the student’s knowledge of the new principle is tested by appropriate examinations and exercises.

Influence on Educational Practices Today

Herbart’s method gained wide acceptance in teacher-education programs in the United States and in other countries, especially Japan. Teachers were trained to use Herbart’s steps to systematically organize instruction. Herbart’s view of moral

---

14For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpts from Herbart’s Outlines of Educational Doctrine, see Madonna M. Murphy, The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers, pp. 194–201.
15Herbart originally developed a four-step method that was restructured by the American Herbartian educators into the five steps that were generally used in the United States.
education helped make history and literature into a cultural curricular core. Despite its popularity, Herbartian education was nevertheless criticized for turning students into passive receivers of information rather than active learners.

Herbart's method was featured in preservice programs as an indispensable way to bring order and system to instruction. Today, it means that teachers need to clearly identify the skills and concepts they are going to present to students, present them in an organized and unambiguous way, and verify results by testing students.

Froebel: The Kindergarten Movement

The German educator Friedrich Froebel (1782–1852) is renowned for his pioneering work in developing a school for early childhood education—the kindergarten, or children’s garden. A visionary educator, Froebel’s educational philosophy was eclectic in that it was based on a variety of ideas. Froebel, an idealist, believed that spirituality was at the core of human nature. (For more on idealism, see the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education). Every child, he believed, possessed an interior spiritual power, a soul, striving to be externalized. Froebel constructed the kindergarten as an educational environment in which children’s inherent but latent spirituality could be brought to the surface. A German nationalist, he believed that the people of each country, including his native land, shared a common folk spirit that manifested itself in the nation’s stories, songs, and fables. Thus, storytelling and singing had an important place in the kindergarten program.

Froebel’s desire to become a teacher took him to Pestalozzi’s institute at Yverdon, where from 1808 to 1810, he interned in the teacher-training program. Pestalozzi served as a mentor for Froebel. Just as Pestalozzi had revised Rousseau’s method, Froebel revised Pestalozzi’s method. Froebel endorsed selected aspects of Pestalozzi’s method, such as using sensation and objects in a permissive school atmosphere, but he believed that Pestalozzi’s process needed a more philosophical foundation. Froebel gave Pestalozzi’s object lesson a more symbolic meaning by asserting that the concrete object would stimulate recall of a corresponding concept in the child’s mind. He readily accepted Pestalozzi’s vision of schools as emotionally secure places for children but redefined the child’s growth in spiritual terms. Like Comenius, Rousseau, and Pestalozzi, Froebel wanted teachers to be sensitive to children’s readiness and needs rather than taskmasters who heard preset recitations and forced children to memorize words they did not understand.

Principles of Teaching and Learning

A philosophical idealist, Froebel believed that every child’s inner self contained a spiritual essence that stimulated self-active learning. He therefore designed the kindergarten as a “prepared environment” in which children could externalize their interior spirituality through self-activity.

Froebel’s kindergarten, first founded in 1837 in Blankenburg, was a permissive environment featuring games, play, songs, stories, and crafts. The kindergarten’s

---

17For a brief biography, timeline, and an excerpt from Froebel’s *The Education of Man*, see Madonna M. Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*, pp. 201–209.
songs, stories, and games, now a standard part of early childhood education, stimulated children’s imaginations and introduced them to the culture’s folk heroes and heroines and values. The games socialized children and developed their physical and motor skills. As the boys and girls played with other children, they became part of the group and were prepared for further socialized learning activities.\textsuperscript{18} The curriculum also included “gifts,” objects with fixed form, such as spheres, cubes, and cylinders, which were intended to bring to full consciousness the underlying concept represented by the object. In addition, Froebel’s kindergarten featured “occupations,” which consisted of materials children could shape and use in design and construction activities. For example, clay, sand, cardboard, and sticks could be manipulated and shaped into castles, cities, and mountains.\textsuperscript{19}

**Education and Schooling**

We form our first impressions of schools and teachers in kindergarten and carry these impressions with us throughout our lives. Froebel believed the kindergarten teacher’s personality to be of paramount importance. Did the teacher really understand the child’s nature and respect the dignity of the child’s human personality? Did the teacher personify the highest cultural values so that children could imitate those values? Preservice experiences should help teachers become sensitive to children’s needs and give them the knowledge and skills required to create caring and wholesome learning environments. Froebel would encourage kindergarten teachers to resist the contemporary pressures to introduce academic subjects into kindergartens as a premature pressure that comes from adults, often parents, rather than from the children’s needs and readiness.\textsuperscript{20}

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Kindergarten education grew into an international movement. German immigrants brought the kindergarten to the United States, where it became part of the American school system. Elizabeth Peabody, who founded an English-language kindergarten, worked to make the kindergarten part of the American school system.\textsuperscript{21}

---

\textsuperscript{18}The Origins of Nursery Education, a series edited by Kevin Brehony (New York and London: Routledge, 2001), provides reprints of the following works by Friedrich Froebel: The Education of Man, vol. 1 (1885); Letters on the Kindergarten, vol. 2 (1887); Pedagogics of the Kindergarten, vol. 3 (1900); Friedrich Froebel’s Education by Development, vol. 4 (1896); Mother’s Songs and Women’s Work, vol. 5 (1900).


\textsuperscript{20}For a discussion of academic pressures on children, see Shama Olfman, All Work and No Play: How Educational Reforms are Harming Our Preschoolers (Westport, Conn.: Praeger, 2003).

Herbert Spencer (1820–1903) was an English social theorist whose ideas enjoyed great popularity and influence in late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century America. Spencer was highly influenced by Charles Darwin’s theory of evolution. According to Darwin, species evolved naturally and gradually over long periods of time. Members of certain species survived and reproduced themselves by successfully adapting to changes in the environment. As their offspring inherited these adaptive characteristics, they too survived and continued the life of the species. Those unable to adapt—the unfit—perished.

Spencer, a key proponent of Social Darwinism, the application of Darwin’s biological theory to society, believed that the “fittest” individuals of each generation would survive because of their skill, intelligence, and adaptability. Competition, a natural ethical force, induced the best in the human species to climb to the top of the socioeconomic ladder. As winners of the competitive race over slower and duller individuals, the fittest would inherit the earth and populate it with their intelligent and productive children. Unfit individuals who were lazy, stupid, or weak would slowly disappear. According to Social Darwinism, competition would improve the human race and bring about gradual but inevitable progress.

Spencer opposed public schools, which he argued would create a monopoly for mediocrity by catering to the average rather than the brightest in the school-age population. Private schools, in contrast, as they competed for the most able students would become centers of educational innovation. Like contemporary proponents of a voucher system, Spencer believed the best schools would attract the brightest students and the most capable teachers.

Principles of Teaching and Learning

Although a naturalist in education, Spencer defined nature very differently than Rousseau and Pestalozzi had. For him, nature meant the law of the jungle and the survival of the fittest. He believed that people in an industrialized society needed a utilitarian education to learn useful scientific skills and subjects. As a pioneer in modern curriculum theory, Spencer wanted education based on the activities that people needed to survive, especially the modern survival skills found in science and technology that prepared individuals to be intelligent producers and consumers.

---


26For a critique of Spencer, see Kieran Egan, Getting It Wrong from the Beginning: Our Progressivist Inheritance from Herbert Spencer, John Dewey, and Jean Piaget (New York: Yale University Press, 2002).
in an industrial society. He found that schools historically resisted change and needed to modernize their curriculum by including more science and technology.

**Education and Schooling**

Spencer strongly opposed the traditional schools’ highly verbal literary and classical curriculum. The most valuable subjects, in his opinion, were the physical, biological, and social sciences as well as applied technology in fields such as engineering. Today, he would add computer technology, genetics, and bioengineering to his list of useful subjects.

Introducing a rationale still used in modern curriculum making, Spencer classified human activities according to the degree that they advanced human survival, prosperity, and progress. Science was given a high priority since it applied to the effective performance of life activities. Spencer included five types of activities in the curriculum: (1) self-preservation activities, which are basic to all other activities; (2) occupational or professional activities, which make a person economically self-supporting; (3) child-rearing activities; (4) social and political participation activities; and (5) leisure and recreation activities.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

American educators were receptive to Spencer’s ideas. In 1918, a National Education Association committee, in its landmark *Cardinal Principles of Secondary Education*, reiterated Spencer’s list of basic life activities. Modern curriculum designers continue to reflect Spencer’s influence when they base curriculum on human needs and activities.

After dominating American social science in the late nineteenth century, Social Darwinism was pushed aside by John Dewey’s Experimentalism and progressive reform. Key Social Darwinist ideas reemerged in the contemporary neoconservative agenda, however, which includes providing vouchers to attend private schools, reducing government’s regulatory powers, and increasing economic productivity through basic skills that have market value.

Spencer would make the entry into preservice teacher-education programs more competitive so that only the best and the brightest applicants were admitted. The program would stress science and technology. Unlikely to favor teacher tenure, teaching would be competitive, with incompetent teachers eliminated and replaced by competent ones.

**Dewey: Learning Through Experience**

John Dewey (1859–1952) developed his pioneering Experimentalist philosophy of education against the backdrop of the social, political, scientific, and technological changes taking place in the United States in the first half of the twentieth century. Dewey’s philosophy incorporated elements of progressive social reform, Darwin’s theory of evolution, and Einstein’s theory of relativity. Dewey, who believed that social

---

intelligence is enhanced by cooperative group activity, rejected Spencer's emphasis on individual competition. Envisioning education as an instrument of social progress, he saw schools as intimately connected to society. While director of the University of Chicago Laboratory School from 1896 to 1904, he tested his pragmatic educational philosophy by using it in children's learning activities and projects. (For a discussion of pragmatism, see the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education.)

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

Dewey's *The Child and the Curriculum* provides a guide to principles and practices used at the University of Chicago Laboratory School. Children were seen as socially active human beings eager to explore and gain control over their environment. By interacting with their world, learners confront both personal and social problems. Such problematic encounters stimulate children to use their intelligence to resolve the difficulty and expand their knowledge in an active, instrumental manner. Arguments for and against Dewey's approach are presented in the Taking Issue box.

For Dewey, the **scientific method** is the most effective process we have to solve problems. By using the scientific method to solve problems, children learn how to think reflectively and to direct their experiences in ways that lead to personal and social growth. The following steps are extremely important in Dewey's application of the scientific method to teaching and learning:

1. The learner, involved in a “genuine experience,” encounters a problem that truly interests him or her.
2. Within this experience, the learner locates and defines the problem.
3. The learner acquires the information needed to solve the problem by reading, research, discussion, and other means.
4. The learner constructs possible, tentative solutions that may solve the problem.
5. The learner chooses a possible solution and tests it to see if it solves the problem. In this way, the learner constructs and validates his or her own knowledge.

Dewey saw knowledge not as inert information to be transmitted by the teacher to students but as an instrument to solve problems. We use our fund of human knowledge—past ideas, discoveries, and inventions—to frame hypothetical solutions to current problems and then test and reconstruct this knowledge in light of present needs. Because people and their environments constantly change, knowledge, too, is continually reconfigured or reconstructed. Once a problem has been solved, its solution enters into the knowledge fund and it can be used to solve future problems.

---

Education and Schooling

Dewey considered education a social process by which the group’s immature members, especially children, learn to participate in group life. Through education, children gain entry to their cultural heritage and learn to use it in problem solving. Seeing education’s sole purpose as social growth, Dewey said “(i) the educational process has no end beyond itself; it is its own end; and that (ii) the educational process is one of continual reorganizing, reconstructing, transforming.”

34Ibid., p. 54.
Dewey’s curriculum consists of three levels of learning activities and processes. The first level, “making and doing,” engages children in projects in which they explore their environment and put their ideas into concrete form. These first activities develop sensory and motor skills and encourage socialization through collaborative group projects. The second level broadens students’ concepts of space and time through projects in history and geography. The third level, “science,” brings students into contact with various subjects such as biology, chemistry, and social studies that they can use as resources in problem solving. These three curricular levels move learning from simple impulses to careful observation of the environment, to planning actions, and finally to reflecting on and testing the consequences of action.

Dewey saw democratic education and schooling as open-ended processes that were free from preconceived, or antecedent, principles and values in which students and teachers could test all ideas, beliefs, and values. Opposing the separation of people from each other because of ethnicity, race, gender, or economic class, Dewey believed that communities were enriched when people shared their experiences to solve their common problems.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

John Dewey exercised an enormous influence on American education. By applying pragmatism to education, he helped to open schooling to change and innovation. Dewey’s ideas about socially expanding children’s experience stimulated progressive education, which emphasized children’s interests and needs. Today, educators who relate schooling to social purposes are often following Dewey’s pioneering educational concepts.35

Dewey’s influence can also be seen in teaching that takes a “hands-on” or process-oriented approach. For example, the “whole language” approach, with its emphasis on teaching language arts through the entire educational environment, is derived from Dewey’s pioneering experimentalist philosophy. Dewey would construct the preservice education of teachers on the principles of (1) seeing education in broad social terms, and (2) in developing competencies to solve problems according to the scientific method. Practicing teachers would use group activities, collaborative learning, and process-centered strategies in their classrooms.

**Addams: Socialized Education**

Jane Addams (1860–1935)—the founder of Hull-House and a pioneering leader in social work, the peace movement, and women’s rights—developed an educational philosophy called socialized education. She based her educational theory on her efforts to improve the living and working conditions of immigrants in Chicago and to mobilize women to work for social and educational reforms. She was a pioneer of modern multicultural, international, and women’s education.

---

Rebelling against the gender restrictions that Victorian society imposed on women, Addams rejected the traditional curriculum that limited women’s educational choices and opportunities. She wanted women to define their own lives, to choose their own careers, and to participate fully in politics, society, and education.

Jane Addams established Hull-House on Chicago’s near west side in 1889 in a culturally diverse but impoverished neighborhood of immigrants from southern and eastern Europe. Addams and her coworkers, a cadre of young middle-class women, educated the immigrants and, in turn, were educated by them. Hull-House provided a settlement-house setting where immigrants learned how to obtain jobs, pay rent, find health care, and educate their children.

Principles of Teaching and Learning

Because of her work with immigrants in Chicago, Addams saw the effects of urbanization, industrialization, and technology on society. She argued that education had to take on new and broadened social purposes. Teachers needed to understand the trends that were reshaping American society from a rural to an urban society and prepare their students to deal with them in socially responsible and democratic ways.

Believing that cultural diversity could coexist with and contribute to America’s broad common culture, Addams sought to build connections between immigrants and the larger American society. Based on what she had learned in her interactions with immigrants at Hull-House, Addams wanted public schools to include the history, customs, songs, crafts, and stories of various ethnic and racial groups in the curriculum.

Education and Schooling

Addams’s “socialized education,” influenced by progressivism and pragmatism, defined education in very broad social terms. She saw schools as agencies, much like settlement houses, that had the mission of restoring the sense of community in a country undergoing a profound transition from a rural to an urban industrialized and technological society. She envisioned schools as multifunctional agencies that socialized as well as educated children. Teachers, like social workers, had multifaceted responsibilities for their students’ social well-being. The curriculum should be reconstituted to provide broadened experiences that explored children’s immediate environment and highlighted connections with a technological society.

Addams’s enlarged concept of teaching as having a social mission has important implications for preservice teacher education. It means that prospective

---

teachers need to examine issues of social change and social justice. For practicing teachers, it means that the classroom needs to be connected to the people in the community that it serves.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Addams's belief that education must be free from gender biases corresponds with the goals of contemporary women's education, especially equal rights for women and their freedom to define their lives and choose their careers. Her belief that industrialism should be infused with broad social purposes applies to the argument that technology should advance greater communication and sharing rather than generate consumer-oriented materialism. Her crusade for a world without war provides a needed message for a world wracked by violence and terrorism.

---

**Montessori: The Prepared Environment**

The Italian educator Maria Montessori (1870–1952) devised an internationally popular method of early childhood education.\(^{41}\) Like Pestalozzi and Froebel, Montessori recognized that children's early experiences have an important formative and continuing influence on their later lives. As a pioneering women's educator, she vigorously challenged those who, because of sexist stereotyping, argued that women should not be admitted to higher and professional programs of study. Defying the barriers to women's education, Montessori was admitted to the University of Rome and became the first woman in Italy to be awarded the degree of doctor of medicine.\(^{42}\)

As a physician, Montessori worked with children categorized as mentally handicapped and psychologically impaired. Her methods with these children were so effective that she concluded they were useful for all children.

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

In 1908 Maria Montessori established a children's school, the Casa dei Bambini, for impoverished children in the slums of Rome. In this school, Montessori fashioned a "specially prepared environment" that featured methods, materials, and activities based on her observations of children.\(^{43}\) She also refined her theory by doing extensive research on the theories of Itard and Sequin, two early pioneers in special education. Montessori argued that children, contrary to the assumptions of conventional educators, possess an inner need to work at what interests them without the prodding of teachers and without being motivated by external rewards and punishments. Children, she found, are capable of sustained concentration and work.

---


\(^{42}\)For a brief biography, timeline, and an excerpt from Montessori's *My System of Education*, see Madonna M. Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*, pp. 368–375.

Enjoying structure and preferring work to play, they like to repeat actions until they master a given skill. In fact, children’s capacity for spontaneous learning leads them to begin reading and writing on their own initiative.

**Education and Schooling**

Montessori’s curriculum included three major types of activities and experiences: practical, sensory, and formal skills and studies. Children learned to perform such practical activities as setting the table, serving a meal, washing dishes, tying and buttoning clothing, and practicing basic manners and social etiquette. Repetitive exercises developed sensory and muscular coordination. Children learned the alphabet by tracing movable sandpaper letters. They learned to write and then learned to read. They used colored rods of various sizes and cups to learn counting and measuring.

The Montessori school designed preplanned teaching (didactic) devices and materials to develop children’s practical, sensory, and formal skills. Examples included lacing and buttoning frames, weights, and packets to be identified by their sound or smell. Because they direct learning in the prepared environment, Montessori educators are called directresses rather than teachers. Under the guidance of the directress, children use the Montessori apparatus in a prescribed way to acquire the desired skill mastery, sensory experience, or intellectual outcome.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Montessori’s pioneering contribution to education was her emphasis on the formative power that early childhood learning has for later lifelong development. Among her significant educational contributions were her (1) concept of sensitive periods, phases of development, during which children are ready to work with materials that are especially useful in sensory, motor, and cognitive learning; (2) belief that children are capable of sustained self-directed work in learning a particular skill; and (3) emphasis on the school as part of the community and the need for parent participation and support. She anticipated the current movement to provide earlier enrichment opportunities for young children.44

Among the thousands of Montessori schools worldwide, six thousand operate in the United States. Most of these are private schools, enrolling children between the ages of two and six. Recently, some Montessori units have been established in public-school systems, especially as magnet or charter schools.45

Although some universities have Montessori training programs, most Montessori training programs are private, associated with either the American Montessori Association or the Montessori International Society. These associations follow Montessori’s admonition that preservice training should closely follow the method she designed. Prospective directresses study the Montessori method and are trained in using the didactic materials in the prepared environment.

---


The Swiss psychologist Jean Piaget (1896–1980) developed significant pioneering insights into children’s cognitive, moral, and language development. Instead of philosophical speculation, Piaget used clinical observation to discover how children construct and act on their ideas.

Principles of Teaching and Learning

Piaget discovered that children construct their concepts about reality by actively exploring their environment. According to Piaget, intelligence develops through a series of stages, characterized by the child’s set of mental structures and operations at a particular age. With each new stage, children develop new mental abilities that enable them to reconstruct the concepts they constructed at an earlier stage into a more complex cognitive map of the world. Based on his stage-learning theory of development, Piaget identified four qualitatively distinct but interrelated periods of cognitive growth:

1. The sensorimotor stage, from birth to two years when children learn by actively exploring their immediate environment. Children begin their earliest environmental explorations using their senses—their mouths, eyes, and hands. Displaying a largely nonverbal intelligence, they learn to coordinate
their senses and to construct simple concepts of space, time, and causality at the visual, auditory, tactile, and motor levels. These rudimentary concepts, however, are limited to children’s immediate situations.49

2. The **preoperational stage**, from two to seven years, when intuition combines with speech to lead to operational thinking involving concepts of space, time, and cause-and-effect relationships that extend beyond the immediate situation. Children now reconstruct their concepts by grouping and naming objects. They use signs and symbols to represent their ideas and experiences as they reorganize the mental structures and networks constructed in the first stage into a more complex, higher-order, view of reality.50

3. The **concrete-operational period**, from seven to eleven years, when children begin thinking in a mathematical and logical way. They become adept at recognizing such general characteristics as size, length, and weight and use them in more complex mental operations. As before, they reconstruct the concepts arrived at in earlier stages into more abstract and complex levels. Coinciding with the years of elementary school, children in the concrete-operational stage exercise their reasoning skills and deal with clock and calendar time, map and geographical space, and experimental cause and effect.51

4. At the **formal-operational period**, from age eleven through early adulthood, individuals deal with logical propositions and construct abstract hypotheses. They now understand and interpret space, historical time, and multiple cause-and-effect relationships. They use such multivariate thinking to construct possible plans of action.52 Now that adolescents understand cause-and-effect relationships, they can use the scientific method to explain reality and can learn complex mathematical, linguistic, and mechanical processes.53

Piaget’s stage-learning theory of development has many important applications to education. Viewing the world differently than adults, children are constantly reconstructing and repatterning their view of reality as they move through the stages of development. Thus, children’s conception of reality often differs from the kinds of curriculum and instruction adults frequently impose on them.

Early childhood and elementary education should be based on how children develop and act on their own thinking and learning processes. As they move through the stages of development, children have their own readiness for new learning based on the cognitive level they have reached. This, in turn, determines their readiness for new and higher-order learning experiences.54 Although a rich environment can stimulate readiness, we cannot force learning on children.

**Education and Schooling**

Piaget accentuated their environment as children’s setting for learning. Outside of school, children learn directly and informally from their environment. The most ef-

---

49Ibid.
50Ibid.
51Ibid.
54Brainerd, p. 260.
effective teaching strategies replicate the informal learning children use in their everyday out-of-school lives.55

As they interact with their environment, children build their knowledge of their world through a process of creative invention known as constructivism.56 As they discover inadequacies between their existing concepts and the new situations they encounter as they explore their environment, children reconstruct or reconceptualize their existing knowledge with their new information to construct more

---

55Ibid., p. 284.
56Susan Puss, Parallel Paths to Constructionism: Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky (Greenwich Conn.: Information Age Publishing, 2004).
complete higher-order concepts.\textsuperscript{57} To stimulate children’s explorations, teachers can design their classrooms as learning centers that are stocked with materials that engage children’s curiosity.\textsuperscript{58} The following principles from Piaget can guide teachers’ preservice preparation and classroom practice:

1. Encourage children to explore and experiment.
2. Individualize instruction so that children can learn at their own level of readiness.
3. Design the classroom as a learning center stocked with concrete materials that children can touch, manipulate, and use.

As you read the From Preservice to Practice box, examine the lesson described to determine to what extent the teacher is using a constructivist approach.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Piaget’s cognitive psychology connected how children learn to think and reason with teaching and learning in schools. His theory generated revolutionary changes in early childhood and elementary education, not only in the United States, but throughout the world. His ideas stimulated a movement to make classroom settings more informal and more related to how children learn. Contemporary constructivist education originated with Piaget’s pioneering assertion that children do not copy but rather construct reality.\textsuperscript{59}

**Freire: Liberation Pedagogy**

Paulo Freire (1921–1997) developed his philosophy of liberation pedagogy while working in a literacy campaign among the impoverished illiterate peasants and urban poor of Brazil, his native country.\textsuperscript{60} For Freire, literacy meant more than learning to read and write; it raised people’s consciousness about conditions of their lives, especially those that exploited and marginalized them.\textsuperscript{61} Freire’s *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* established the foundations of his theory of liberation pedagogy, an educational theory designed to empower people to resist and overcome the forces that oppressed them.\textsuperscript{62}

**Principles of Teaching and Learning**

An important goal of Freire’s philosophy is conscientização, a Portuguese word meaning to be conscious and critically aware of the social, political, and economic conditions and contradictions that affect a person’s life. To raise their consciousness,

\textsuperscript{57}Ibid., p. 271.
\textsuperscript{58}Piaget, *Origins of Intelligence*, pp. 23–42.
\textsuperscript{59}Elkind, p. 1894.
\textsuperscript{60}For a brief biography, timeline, and an excerpt from Freire’s *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, see Madonna M. Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*, pp. 383–391.
\textsuperscript{62}Among several editions, a recent one is Paulo Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (New York: Continuum, 1996).
students, in dialogue with their teachers, must study their own life stories and the collective histories of their racial, ethnic, language, economic, and social groups. They must consciously examine the objective conditions in which they live, identifying those conditions and persons who limit their freedom for self-definition.63

**Education and Schooling**

Freire asserted that the school’s curriculum and instruction can either indoctrinate students to conform to an official version of knowledge or it can challenge them to develop a critical consciousness that empowers them to engage in self-liberation. For example, an official version of history that celebrates the achievements of white Euro-American males and minimizes the contributions of women, African Americans, Latinos, and other minority groups creates a false consciousness. An education that defines a person’s worth in terms of wealth and power and sees schooling as a ticket to a success in an exploitative economic system cannot be truly humanizing.64

Freire’s teachers should be neither impartial nor uncommitted on social, political, and economic issues.65 Rather, he wants teachers to develop a critical consciousness of the real power relationships in the schools and of the conditions that affect their students. For example, teachers in schools in economically depressed areas need to know that their students’ lives are being blighted by poverty, poor access to health care and recreational services, drug abuse, and gang violence. When they understand the true reality of their school situations, teachers can resist these oppressive conditions and work to empower their students.

For Freire, real learning takes place as teachers and students engage in an open and ongoing dialogue. He attacks instruction that leads to false, rather than critical, consciousness in students’ perceptions of reality. An example is “teacher talk.” Teacher talk implies that teachers can transmit knowledge to students by telling them what is true: students memorize what the teacher says and passively deposit it in their minds for later recall. Freire calls the teacher-talking–student-listening method educational “banking” in which each bit of information is deposited to be cashed in the future, usually for an examination.66 The standardized tests used in the contemporary standards movement, such as in No Child Left Behind, are an example of the banking model. The tests, constructed from officially transmitted knowledge, sort students into groups, often isolating marginalized students and thereby reproducing the inequalities of the existing system.

**Influence on Educational Practices Today**

Freire is esteemed as a genuine educational reformer and pioneer by contemporary critical theorists. (See the Philosophical Roots of Education chapter for more on critical theory.) Freire worked to transform teaching and learning from the limited concept of transmitting information to engaging in the project of completing one’s identity and meaning in a world that needs to be made more equitable, humane, and just. According to Freire, preservice preparation should involve future teachers in dialogues

---

in which they critically assess the social, economic, and political conditions that have an impact on schools. In their classroom practice, teachers should help students to work for social justice by creating a true consciousness that exposes the conditions that marginalize them and their communities.

Thinking About Pioneers in Education as Historical Mentors

This chapter examined the significant contributions of pioneering educators and encouraged you to consider them as historical mentors in developing your own philosophy or theory of education. Often our choice of who is a pioneer and who is a mentor depends on the situations in which we find ourselves. We can look to these pioneers for insights that may aid us in understanding and solving current problems in education.

You can determine who qualifies as a pioneer and a mentor in education. As a teacher, you will encounter potential pioneers—scholars, authors, professors, practitioners, and others—who develop new theories and methods of education. As you appraise these present-day educators, try to identify those you think will become a pioneer in education, those whose ideas will aid teachers in becoming reflective and effective in their classrooms.

The historical pioneers of education can help you as well. As you construct your philosophy of education, think back to contributions made by the pioneers examined in this chapter. Which of them would you like to emulate and which of them do you reject? Did they make contributions that you may wish to incorporate into your own teaching?
Summing Up

1. The pioneers discussed in this chapter made distinctive contributions to education and methods of teaching in their countries and worldwide.
3. Pestalozzi developed simultaneous group teaching methods that used objects in children’s immediate environments. Herbart sought to systematize teaching methods. Froebel’s theory was the basis of the kindergarten. Both Pestalozzi and Froebel liberated early childhood education by encouraging teachers to be sensitive to children’s interests and needs.
4. Spencer’s sociology of education was a pioneering effort to relate the school to society and identify social and economic activities as the basis of the curriculum. Dewey’s pioneering work at the University of Chicago Laboratory School stimulated progressive educational reform. Montessori’s prepared environment is currently popular in early childhood education.
5. Addams’s theory of socialized education contributed to multicultural education, to an examination of technology’s impact on society, and to the movement for women’s rights and education.
6. Piaget’s developmental psychology illuminated thinking on children’s cognitive operations and generated change in curriculum and teaching methods.
7. Freire’s liberation theory calls for radically transforming education and schooling into forces for human liberation.
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Certification Connection

Chapter 4 outlines significant educational contributions of early pioneers from the past five centuries. As you read the chapter, pay special attention to the ideas attributed to each pioneer that relate to the changing perspectives on the ways children develop and, correspondingly, changes in beliefs about the learning process. Be able to trace the historical development of the child learning theory that influences how students learn, a significant topic in Praxis II, Principles of Learning and Teaching. Describe in your journal how the transition to theories that focused on the child as the critical factor in learning process impacts on the selection of appropriate teaching strategies for the modern classroom.

Discussion Questions

1. How would you define an educational mentor? Who were the mentors that contributed to your ideas about education, schools, and teaching? Which of the pioneers in the chapter most appeals to you as an historical mentor?
2. Do you agree or disagree with Rousseau’s critics who claimed his method presented an overly romantic and unrealistic view of children and their education? Defend your answer.
3. Do you agree or disagree with critics who allege that Jane Addams’s philosophy of socialized education diverts schools from teaching academic skills and subjects? Defend your answer.
4. Reflect on trends such as whole-language learning, collaborative learning, constructivism, portfolio assessment, use of technology, and the standards movement. How might the pioneers discussed in this chapter react to these trends?
5. Do you agree or disagree with critics who contend that Freire has contributed to class conflict in schools by pitting the poor against the rich? Defend your answer.
6. Do you support Spencer’s argument that education should stimulate competition or Dewey’s view that it should encourage cooperation? Defend your answer.
Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. **Explore Jane Addams’s Hull-House** by visiting the Web site of the Hull-House Museum at the University of Illinois at Chicago: [www.uic.edu/jaddams/hull/hull_house.html](http://www.uic.edu/jaddams/hull/hull_house.html). List the pros and cons of establishing close links between schools and social agencies.

2. **Begin a search for information and sites related to Rousseau** by visiting the Web site of the Rousseau Association at [www.wabash.edu/Rousseau](http://www.wabash.edu/Rousseau).

3. **Develop a listing of Web sites related to John Dewey’s pragmatism** by consulting the Center for Dewey Studies at Southern Illinois University at [www.siu.edu/~deweyctr](http://www.siu.edu/~deweyctr).

4. **Visit a kindergarten and note the main features of the educational environment.** Do you find an emphasis on play, developmental activities, socialization, the introduction to academic skills, and the use of technology? How might Froebel react to your findings?

5. **Visit a Montessori school** and note the main features of the educational environment. Do you find an emphasis on play, developmental activities, individualized learning, socialization, the use of didactic learning materials, the introduction to academic skills, and the use of technology? How might Montessori react to your findings?


7. **Research Freire.** You can begin by consulting [http://www.infed.org/thinkers/et-freir.htm](http://www.infed.org/thinkers/et-freir.htm). Conduct a critical dialogue, based on Freire’s model, that examines the social, political, and economic conditions of your current educational situation. How do these conditions influence education?

Suggested Resources

### Internet Resources

For the implementation of Comenius’s methods to the teaching of languages, access [http://www.comeniusfoundation.org/comenius.htm](http://www.comeniusfoundation.org/comenius.htm).

Short biographical sketches and information about Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi, Friedrich Froebel, and other educational pioneers can be found at [www.infed.org/thinkers](http://www.infed.org/thinkers).

For a short biography of Herbert Spencer, consult the Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy at [www.utm.edu/research/iep/s/spencer.htm](http://www.utm.edu/research/iep/s/spencer.htm).

For information on Maria Montessori, consult the “International Montessori Index” at [www.montessori.edu](http://www.montessori.edu) and Montessori Online at [www.montessori.org](http://www.montessori.org). Also, access the home page of the International Montessori Association at [http://www.montessori.edu](http://www.montessori.edu) and the American Montessori Association at [http://www.amshq.org](http://www.amshq.org).

Useful information related to Jean-Jacques Rousseau can be found at the following Web sites: the “Rousseau Association” at [www.wabash.edu/Rousseau](http://www.wabash.edu/Rousseau); the “lucid library” at [www.lucidcafe.com/library/library.html](http://www.lucidcafe.com/library/library.html); and the “Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy” at [www.iep.utm.edu](http://www.iep.utm.edu).


For information on Jane Addams, visit the Jane Addams Hull-House Museum at the University of Illinois at Chicago: [www.uic.edu/jaddams/hull/hull_house.html](http://www.uic.edu/jaddams/hull/hull_house.html) and “Women in History” at [www.lkwdpl.org/wihohio/adda-jan.htm](http://www.lkwdpl.org/wihohio/adda-jan.htm).

For information about Piaget, consult resources for students at the Jean Piaget Society at [www.piaget.org](http://www.piaget.org).

For essays on constructivism and education, consult [http://www.towson.edu/csme/mctp/Essays.html](http://www.towson.edu/csme/mctp/Essays.html).

### Publications


Murphy, Madonna M. *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/Merrill/Prentice Hall, 2006. Places leading educational pioneers in their historical and philosophical contexts and provides primary source listings, timelines, Web sites, and other useful resources.


CHAPTER 5

Historical Development of American Education

This chapter traces the history of American educational institutions and identifies formative contributions of individuals and groups to American education. The chapter examines (1) the colonial period, when Europeans transported their educational ideas and institutions to North America; (2) the creation of a uniquely American educational system during the revolutionary and early national eras; (3) the diffusion of universal education in the common school movement; (4) the development of secondary education from the Latin grammar school, through the academy, to today’s comprehensive high school; (5) the development of institutions of higher learning; (6) the education of culturally diverse populations; and (7) trends in the history of American education such as the development of educational technology. In reading the chapter, you can situate yourself in the history of American education by assessing how these trends and developments shaped your own education. As you read this chapter, consider the following questions:

FOCUS QUESTIONS

- How did Americans adapt European educational ideas and institutions to life in the new world?
- How did democratic ideas contribute to public schooling in the United States?
- How does the American educational ladder differ from the European dual-track system?
- How did the United States become a culturally diverse society?
- What are the trends in the history of American education?
The Colonial Period

The colonization of North America in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries produced complex cultural encounters and often violent conflicts between Europeans and indigenous peoples. Especially along the Atlantic coast, Europeans introduced diseases such as measles and smallpox, to which Native Americans had no immunity and which decimated native populations.\(^1\)

The European colonists came from many ethnic and language backgrounds. The French established settlements in Canada and the Mississippi Valley; the Spanish in Mexico, Florida, and the Southwest; the Dutch in New Netherlands, now New York State; and the English in the original thirteen colonies that became the United States after the War for American Independence. The English, who defeated the Dutch and the French, had the greatest impact on colonial American politics, society, and education.

The colonists at first re-created the European socioeconomic-class–based dual-track school system. Boys and girls from the lower socioeconomic class attended primary schools where they learned reading, writing, arithmetic, and religion. Meanwhile, upper-class boys attended Latin grammar schools, preparatory schools that taught the Latin and Greek languages and literature required for admission to colonial colleges.

**New England Colonies**

The New England colonies of Massachusetts, Connecticut, and New Hampshire were a crucible for the development of American educational ideas and institutions. In fact, Massachusetts enacted the first formal education laws in British North America. (See Overview 5.1 for significant events in American education.)

The Massachusetts colonists believed that educated persons who knew God’s commandments as preached by their Puritan ministers could resist the devil’s temptations. Controlled by the church, schools taught obedience to what was preached as divine law.

Following John Calvin’s theology, Puritanism conveyed an economic rationale, a work ethic, that defined good Puritans as industrious and thrifty business owners, farmers, and workers who attended church and read their Bibles. Indoctrinating the Puritan work ethic, schools stressed values of punctuality, honesty, obedience to authority, and hard work. This tendency to relate schools to economic productivity remains a strong influence on American education.

**Child Depravity** The Puritan concept of child nature shaped colonial New England’s child-rearing and educational beliefs. Children were regarded as depraved, or at least inclined to evil. Children’s play was seen as idleness and children’s talk as gibberish. Following the adage “Spare the rod and spoil the child,” Puritan teachers used firm discipline and often corporal punishment.\(^2\) The Puritan view of children was challenged by Comenius, Rousseau, Pestalozzi, and other educational pioneers discussed in the chapter on Pioneers in Education.
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# Chapter 5 Historical Development of American Education

## Overview 5.1

### Significant Events in the History of American Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Political Events</th>
<th>Significant Educational Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1630 Settlement of Massachusetts Bay Colony</td>
<td>1636 Harvard College founded, first English-speaking college in western hemisphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1642 First education law enacted in Massachusetts</td>
<td>1647 Old Deluder Satan Act enacted in Massachusetts, requiring establishment of schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1751 Benjamin Franklin's Academy established in Philadelphia</td>
<td>1783 Noah Webster's <em>American Spelling Book</em> published</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1785 Northwest Ordinance, first national education law, enacted</td>
<td>1788 U.S. Constitution ratified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1785 First public high school in the United States opened in Boston</td>
<td>1790 Massachusetts law requiring public high schools passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1821 First private normal school in the United States opened in Concord, Vermont</td>
<td>1825 Webster's <em>American Dictionary</em> completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1824 Bureau of Indian Affairs established</td>
<td>1827 Horace Mann appointed secretary of Massachusetts board of education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830 Indian Removal Act</td>
<td>1839 First public normal school opened in Lexington, Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1846–1848 Mexican-American War; U.S. acquisition of southwestern territories</td>
<td>1855 First German-language kindergarten in the United States established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849 Gold Rush to California</td>
<td>1856 First English-language kindergarten in the United States established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861–1865 Civil War</td>
<td>1862 Morrill Land Grant College Act passed, establishing in each state a college for agricultural and mechanical instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865 Freedmen's Bureau established</td>
<td>1865 Kalamazoo decision upheld public taxation for high schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867 Dawes Act divides tribal lands</td>
<td>1872 <em>Kalamazoo</em> decision upheld public taxation for high schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872 Tuskegee Institute established by Booker T. Washington</td>
<td>1892 Committee of Ten established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896 <em>Plessy v. Ferguson</em> decision upheld constitutionality of &quot;separate but equal&quot; schools for white and black students</td>
<td>1909 First junior high school established in Berkeley, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Political Events</td>
<td>Significant Educational Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914–1918 World War I</td>
<td>1917 Smith-Hughes Act passed, providing funds for vocational education, home economics, and agricultural subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1929 Beginning of the Great Depression</td>
<td>1918 <em>Cardinal Principles of Secondary Education</em> published</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939–1945 World War II</td>
<td>1919 Progressive Education Association organized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950–1953 Korean War</td>
<td>1930s New Deal programs during the Great Depression provided federal funds for education of the unemployed and for school construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970 End of Cold War</td>
<td>1954 <em>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka</em> ended de jure, legally enforced, racial segregation of public schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991 Gulf War</td>
<td>1957 Soviet Union launched <em>Sputnik</em>, leading to criticism and reevaluation of American public education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 Terrorist attacks on New York City and Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1958 National Defense Education Act passed, providing federal funds to improve science, math, and modern foreign language instruction and guidance services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 Iraq War</td>
<td>1964 Civil Rights Act authorizes federal lawsuits for school desegregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1965 Elementary and Secondary Education Act passed, providing federal funds to public schools, especially for compensatory education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1968 Bilingual Education Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1972 Title IX Education Amendment passed, outlawing sex discrimination in schools receiving federal financial assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1975 <em>Education for All Handicapped Children</em> (Public Law 94-142) passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1980 Department of Education established in federal government with cabinet status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1983 Publication and dissemination of <em>A Nation at Risk</em> stimulated national movement to reform education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1994 <em>Goals 2000: The Educate America Act</em> outlines national education goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996 The nation’s first educational technology plan: <em>Getting Students Ready for the Twenty-first Century: Meeting the Technology Literacy Challenge</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001 No Child Left Behind Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Old Deluder Satan”  Shortly after settling Massachusetts, the Puritans began to establish schools. In 1642, the Massachusetts General Court passed a law requiring parents and guardians to ensure that children in their care learned to read and understand the principles of religion and the commonwealth’s laws. In 1647, the General Court enacted the “Old Deluder Satan” Act, a law intended to outwit Satan, who, the Puritans believed, tricked ignorant people into sinning. The law required every town of fifty or more families to appoint a reading and writing teacher. Towns of one hundred or more families were to employ a Latin teacher to prepare young men to enter Harvard College.

The Town School  The New England colonists re-created the European dual-track system, establishing primary town schools for the majority of students and Latin grammar schools for upper-class boys. The New England town school, a locally controlled institution, educated both boys and girls from ages six to thirteen or fourteen. Attendance was irregular, depending on weather conditions and the need for children to work on family farms. The school’s curriculum included reading, writing, arithmetic, catechism, and religious hymns. Children learned the alphabet, syllables, words, and sentences by memorizing the hornbook, a sheet of parchment covered by transparent material made by flattening cattle horns. The older children read the New England Primer, which included religious materials such as the Westminster catechism, the Ten Commandments, the Lord’s Prayer, and the Apostle’s Creed. Arithmetic was primarily counting, adding, and subtracting.

The New England town school, often a crude log structure, was dominated by the teacher’s pulpit-like desk at the front of the single room. Seated on wooden benches, pupils memorized their assignments until called before the schoolmaster to recite. Most teachers were men, some of whom temporarily taught school while preparing for the ministry. Others took the job to repay debts owed for their voyage to North America. Very few colonial teachers were trained in educational methods, and they often resorted to corporal punishment to maintain discipline.

The Latin Grammar School  Upper-class boys attended Latin grammar schools, which prepared them for college entry. These boys generally had learned to read and write English from private tutors. Entering the Latin grammar school at age eight, the student would complete his studies at fifteen or sixteen. He studied such Latin authors as Cicero, Terence, Caesar, Livy, Vergil, and Horace. More advanced students studied such Greek authors as Isocrates, Hesiod, and Homer. Little attention was given to mathematics, science, or modern languages. The Latin masters who taught in these schools were often college graduates who were better paid and enjoyed higher social status than elementary teachers. Resembling the classical humanist schools, the Latin grammar school was one of colonial America’s closest links to European education.

After completing Latin grammar school, New England upper-class young men sought admission to Harvard College. Established in 1636, Harvard was founded on the Puritan belief that future ministers and other leaders needed a thorough classical and theological education. Students had to demonstrate competency in Latin and Greek to be admitted to Harvard, where the curriculum consisted of grammar, logic, rhetoric, arithmetic, geometry, astronomy, ethics, metaphysics, and natural science. In addition, theology, Hebrew, Greek, and ancient history were taught for their usefulness in studying the Bible and other religious works.

Middle Atlantic Colonies

language and the Puritan religion, the Middle Atlantic colonies had been settled by the Dutch in New York, Swedes in Delaware, and Germans in Pennsylvania. The ethnic and religious diversity in the Middle Atlantic colonies influenced education. While the more culturally homogeneous New England created town schools, the churches in the Middle Atlantic colonies established parochial schools to educate children in their particular religious creeds and practices.

**New York**  New York was initially a Dutch colony. The Dutch Reformed Church continued to operate schools after the English seized New Netherlands. Dutch-language parochial schools taught reading, writing, and religion. With English rule, the Church of England established charity and missionary schools. In New York City, a commercial port, private for-profit schools charged students fees to study navigation, surveying, bookkeeping, Spanish, French, and geography.

**Pennsylvania**  Pennsylvania, a proprietary colony founded by William Penn, became a haven for the Society of Friends, or Quakers, a religious denomination. As pacifist conscientious objectors, Quakers refused to support war efforts or serve in the military. Quaker schools were open to all children, including blacks and Native Americans. (Philadelphia had a small African American community, and some Native Americans remained in the colony.) Quaker schools taught the reading, writing, arithmetic, and religion found in other colonial primary schools but were unique in including vocational training, crafts, and agriculture. Still another difference was that Quaker teachers, rejecting the concept of child depravity and corporal punishment, used gentle persuasion to motivate their pupils.3

**Southern Colonies**

The southern colonies—Maryland, Virginia, the Carolinas, and Georgia—presented still another pattern of education. Except for flourishing tidewater cities such as Charleston and Williamsburg, the southern population was generally more dispersed than those in New England or the Middle Atlantic colonies. It was difficult for rural families to bring children together at a single location to attend school. Moreover, culture, economics, and politics in the South were profoundly shaped by the use of enslaved Africans as the plantation labor force.

The economically advantaged children of wealthy white plantation owners often studied with private tutors. Some families sent their children to private schools sponsored by the Church of England in towns such as Williamsburg or Charleston. Although slavery existed throughout the colonies, the largest population of enslaved Africans was in the South. Africans were seized by force and brutally transported in slave ships to North America to work on southern plantations. The enslaved Africans were trained as agricultural field hands, craftspeople, or domestic servants, but they were generally forbidden to learn to read or write. Some notable exceptions learned to read secretly. Over time, the African heritage became the foundation of African American religion and culture.4

The slave system also affected economically disadvantaged, nonslaveholding whites. While wealthy plantation owners occupied the most productive areas, the poorer farmers settled in less fertile backcountry or mountainous areas. The wealthy
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and politically powerful plantation elite focused on the education of their own children but provided few schools for the rest of the population.

**Colonial Education: A Summary View**

Despite regional religious and language differences, the New England, Middle Atlantic, and southern colonies were British colonies that followed Western European educational patterns. Educational opportunities were gender based in all three regions. Both girls and boys attended primary schools, but Latin grammar schools and colleges were reserved for males. Women’s education was limited to primary schooling, where they learned the basics (reading and writing) to fulfill their family and religious responsibilities. Many, especially men who controlled educational institutions, believed that women were intellectually incapable of higher studies.

The two-track or dual system of colonial schools reflected European class prejudices. Primary schools, intended for lower-class children, provided basic literacy but discouraged upward social mobility. Only a few pupils who completed primary schools advanced to Latin grammar schools and colonial colleges. The sons of the upper classes, in contrast, attended the preparatory Latin grammar schools and, if successful, entered college. During the nineteenth century, frontier egalitarianism, political democratization, and economic change would erode these European-based educational structures, creating the American system of universal public education.

In the late colonial period, Britain’s American colonies enjoyed economic growth, especially in the commercial cities of New York, Boston, Philadelphia, and Charleston. Business entrepreneurs in these cities were joined by the settlers on the frontier, especially the Scotch-Irish Presbyterians, to resist the imposition of added taxes by the British government. Soon, their resistance to taxation without their consent grew into a movement for independence.

**The Early National Period**

The American Revolution, which began in 1776, ended British rule in the thirteen colonies. Although the inherited vernacular and denominational primary schools and Latin grammar schools continued for some time, the new republic’s leaders wanted to create schools that emphasized American cultural identity and democratic political socialization.

The earliest federal educational legislation was incorporated in the Northwest Ordinance of 1785, which required that a section of each thirty-six-square-mile township be reserved for education. The Northwest Ordinance established the precedent for financing education through land grants in the nineteenth century.

Although the U.S. Constitution made no mention of education, the Tenth Amendment’s “reserved powers” clause (which reserves to the states all powers not specifically delegated to the federal government or prohibited to the states by the Constitution) left responsibility for education with the individual states. The New England tradition of local school control and general opposition to centralized political power also contributed to a state rather than a national school system in the United States.

---

During the early national period, several political and intellectual leaders such as Benjamin Franklin, Thomas Jefferson, and Noah Webster made educational proposals for the emergent republic. These plans generally argued that education should: (1) prepare people for republican citizenship; (2) include utilitarian and scientific skills and subjects to aid in developing the nation’s vast expanses of frontier land and abundant natural resources; and (3) be divested of European cultural attitudes and create a uniquely American culture. The issue of a uniquely American culture is debated in the Taking Issue box.

Franklin: The Academy

Benjamin Franklin (1706–1790), a leading statesman, scientist, and publicist, founded an academy, a private secondary school, and described its rationale in his “Proposals Relating to the Education of Youth in Pennsylvania.” His academy’s utilitarian curriculum differed notably from the traditional Latin grammar school. English grammar, composition, rhetoric, and public speaking replaced Latin and Greek as the principal language studies. Students could also elect a second language related to their future careers. For example, prospective clergy could choose Latin and Greek, and those planning on commercial careers could elect French, Spanish, or German. Mathematics was taught for its practical use in bookkeeping, surveying, and engineering rather than as an abstract subject. History and biography provided moral models for students to learn how famous people made their ethical decisions.

Prophetically, Franklin recognized the future importance of science, invention, and technology. His curriculum featured the utilitarian skills that schools had traditionally ignored, such as carpentry, shipbuilding, engraving, printing, and farming. By the mid-nineteenth century, the United States had many academies that resembled Franklin’s plan.

Jefferson: Education for Citizenship

Thomas Jefferson (1743–1826), author of the Declaration of Independence and the third president of the United States, expressed his educational philosophy in his “Bill for the More General Diffusion of Knowledge,” introduced in the Virginia legislature in 1779. Jefferson was also the principal founder of the University of Virginia. Education’s major purpose, Jefferson stated, was to promote a democratic society of literate and well-informed citizens. Committed to separation of church and state, he believed that the state, not the churches, had the primary educational role. State-sponsored schools, not private ones, would be funded by public taxes.

---

8For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpts from Jefferson’s Bill for the More General Diffusion of Knowledge, see Murphy, The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers, pp. 235–241.
Jefferson’s bill, though not passed, raised important issues for the new nation. For example, it promoted state-established public schools and sought to provide both equity and excellence in education. It would have subdivided Virginia’s counties into districts. Excluding enslaved children, the bill stipulated that free children, both girls and boys, could attend an elementary school in each district, where they would study reading, writing, arithmetic, and history. Tuition would be free for the first three years. Jefferson’s proposal also would have established twenty grammar schools throughout the state to provide secondary education to boys. In these grammar schools, students would study Latin, Greek, English, geography, and higher mathematics.

Jefferson’s bill anticipated the idea of academic merit scholarships. In each district school, the most academically able male student who could not afford to pay tuition would receive a scholarship to continue his education at a grammar school. The ten scholarship students of highest academic achievement would receive additional state support to attend the College of William and Mary.
Jefferson’s plan represented an early compromise over issues of equity and excellence in American education. While its provision of primary school for most children was a step toward equity, the concept of academic selectivity tilted toward the idea that secondary education could act as a kind of “sorting machine” that identified and educated the most academically able students.

Benjamin Rush: Church-Related Schools

Benjamin Rush (1745–1813), a leading physician and medical educator of the early republic, did not share Jefferson’s principle of separation of church and state. Seeing no conflict between science, republican government, and religion, Rush wanted the Bible and Christian principles taught in schools and in colleges. Anticipating the contemporary theory of “intelligent design,” Rush believed that science revealed God’s perfect design in creating the natural order. Unlike Jefferson, Rush did not believe that government support of church-related schools threatened freedom of religion and inquiry.

Rush’s plan for a comprehensive system of state schools and colleges combined private and public interests. Private citizens’ groups, especially members of churches, would raise money for a school and then would receive a charter from the state to be eligible for public funds. Emphasizing the nation’s Christian roots, Rush wanted schools to be denominationally controlled.

A determined promoter of women’s education, Rush rejected the sexist bias that women were intellectually inferior to men and needed only a limited education. Arguing that women’s intellectual powers were equal to men’s, he proposed a system of academies and colleges for women.

Webster: Schoolmaster of the Republic

Noah Webster (1758–1843), a leading educator and lexicographer, was one of the early republic’s leading cultural nationalists. When the Constitution was ratified in 1789, Webster wanted the United States to be culturally independent with its own “language as well as government.” Realizing that a distinctive national language and literature would build a sense of national identity, Webster sought to develop a distinctive American version of the English language with its own idiom, pronunciation, and style.

Recognizing that textbooks shape teaching and learning, Webster wrote spelling and reading books that emphasized American identity and achievements. His American Dictionary was published in 1828 after years of intensive research.

Called the “schoolmaster of the republic,” Noah Webster helped to create a distinctive American language, identity, and nationality. At the same time he encouraged a monolithic American cultural identity. In future years, immigrants were “Americanized” by learning what had become the standard form of American English. Today, educational efforts for multiculturalism and bilingualism seek to recognize American diversity and correct the excesses of cultural nationalism.
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12For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpts from Webster’s On Being an American, see Murphy, The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers, pp. 242–248.
Before public schools were established, several private voluntary alternatives to tax-supported schools, such as Sunday and monitorial schools, were tried in the early nineteenth century. During that time, many children worked in the factories of the industrializing Northeast. Sunday schools opened in larger cities such as New York and Philadelphia. Open only on Sundays when factories were closed, Sunday schools provided a minimal basic education, consisting of writing, reading, arithmetic, and religion.

The monitorial method used monitors—older and more experienced pupils trained by a master teacher—as aides in teaching classes, taking attendance, and maintaining order. For example, the master teacher would train monitors in a particular skill, such as adding single-digit numbers. These monitors would then teach that skill to classes of less experienced pupils. Designed to teach basic skills to masses of students, private philanthropists who wanted a large but inexpensive school system funded monitorial schools. Like Sunday schools, monitorial schools were popular in large eastern cities. For example, more than 600,000 children attended the New York Free School Society’s monitorial schools. In the 1840s, common schools replaced monitorial schools as people realized their educational weaknesses.

The Common School

The common school movement of the first half of the nineteenth century is highly significant in American education because it created publicly controlled and funded elementary education. The common school, the forerunner of today’s elementary public school offered a basic curriculum of reading, writing, spelling, and arithmetic. Over time, history, geography, hygiene, and singing were added. It was called a “common” school because it was open to children of all social and economic classes. Historically, however, enslaved African children in the South were excluded until the Civil War and Thirteenth Amendment abolished slavery.

Because of the tradition of local control and the Tenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution’s reservation of education to the states, the United States, unlike France and Japan, did not establish a national school system. Thus the patterns by which common schools were established differed from state to state and even within a given state. Especially on the western frontier, where there were many small school districts, resources and support for schooling varied significantly from one district to another.

The common school movement gained momentum between 1820 and 1850. The New England states of Massachusetts and Connecticut, with a tradition of town and district schools, were the earliest to establish common schools. In 1826, Massachusetts required every town to elect a school committee responsible for all the schools in the town. Ten years later, in 1836, Massachusetts established the first state board of education. Connecticut then followed its neighbor’s example. Other northern states generally adopted New England’s common school model. As the frontier moved westward and new states were admitted to the Union, they, too, established common or public elementary-school systems. In the South, however,
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with some exceptions such as North Carolina, common schools were not generally established until the Reconstruction period after the Civil War.

State legislatures typically established common schools in the following sequence:

- First, they permitted residents to organize local school districts with approval of local voters.
- Second, they deliberately encouraged, but did not mandate, establishing school districts, electing school boards, and levying taxes to fund schools.
- Third, they made common schools compulsory by mandating the establishment of districts, election of boards, and raising taxes to support schools.

The common schools laid the foundation of the American public-school system. Later in the nineteenth century, the American educational ladder was completed as high schools connected elementary schools to state colleges and universities. Horace Mann was the most prominent common school leader.

**Mann: The Struggle for Public Schools**

When the Massachusetts legislature established a state board of education in 1837, Horace Mann, (1796–1859), a prominent Whig political leader and a steadfast proponent of common schools, was appointed its secretary.14 His Annual Reports expressed his philosophy of education and opinions on educational issues. As editor of the Common School Journal, moreover, Mann won national support for public schools.15 (For Mann’s appointment and other events in American education, see Overview 5.1.)

Mann used his political acumen to mobilize support for public education. First, he convinced taxpayers that it was in their self-interest to support public schools. Mann adapted the Calvinist stewardship theory to his campaign for common schools, arguing that wealthy people had a special responsibility to support public education. He told businessmen that their support of public education would train industrious workers who would obey the law, be diligent in their work, and add to the state’s economic productivity. Tax-supported public education, Mann argued, was an investment in the country’s economic development. By building a coalition for public education, Mann convinced the working classes that common schools would be a great social equalizer, providing their children with the skills and knowledge needed to acquire better jobs and upward mobility.

Building on Jefferson’s case for civic education, Mann argued that public education was necessary for a democratic society. He believed citizens needed to be literate to vote and to participate intelligently in the processes of republican government. While Jefferson sought to improve educational opportunity for academically bright young men, Mann sought to provide greater equality of access to schools. While common schools would minimize class differences, the upper classes would still control the economic and political system. Like Webster, Mann supported an Americanization policy, arguing that the United States as a nation of immigrants needed a unifying common culture.

Mann constructed the general outlines of the public-school philosophy: (1) it would be a statewide system of schools, funded by local and state taxes; (2) it would be directly governed by elected school boards; (3) it would be staffed by trained teachers; (4) it would be free of church control.

---

15 For a brief biography, timeline, and excerpts from Mann’s Report No. 12, see Murphy, *The History and Philosophy of Education: Voices of Educational Pioneers*, pp. 259–265.
Normal Schools and Women’s Education

In addition to providing publicly supported elementary education for the majority of American children, the common school movement had two important complementary consequences: (1) it led to the establishing of normal schools, which set the patterns of preservice teacher-preparation, and (2) it made elementary-school teaching an important career path for women.

Named after the French école normale on which they were modeled, normal schools were two-year institutions that provided courses in the history and philosophy of education, methods of teaching, and practice or demonstration teaching for prospective teachers. The normal school program shaped today’s preservice programs that include courses in the cultural and psychological foundations, methods of teaching, educational technology, and supervised clinical experience and practice teaching. By the end of the nineteenth century, many normal schools were reorganized as four-year teacher-education colleges.16

The establishment of common schools created a demand for trained teachers, and many women were attracted to teaching careers in the expanding elementary-school system. The normal schools prepared women for these careers and at the same time opened opportunities for higher education hitherto denied them. Although salaries were low and conditions demanding, teaching gave middle-class women an opportunity for careers outside the home. Until the Civil War, most rural schoolteachers were men. By 1900, however, 71 percent of rural teachers were women.

Catharine Beecher: Preparing Women as Teachers

In the nineteenth century, feminist leaders such as Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Emma Willard, and Susan B. Anthony spoke out for women’s educational and political equality. Prominent among these women was Catharine Beecher (1800–1878), a teacher educator, who connected the common school to women’s education.17

Beecher founded and operated the Hartford Female Seminary, in Hartford, Connecticut, from 1823 until 1831 and then created the Western Female Institute as a model for a proposed network of teacher-education institutions. Teaching, Beecher reasoned, gave educated women a socially useful career path at a time when their opportunities for higher education and professional positions were severely limited. Importantly, it made women financially independent and gave them the opportunity to shape future generations morally.

Envisioning elementary-school teaching as a woman’s profession, Beecher contributed to the feminization of elementary teaching. Women’s colleges would open higher education to women and prepare them to staff the growing public-school system.18 She argued that ninety thousand teachers were needed to bring civilization to the untamed western frontier.19 Beecher was part of a network of women educators such as Emma Willard, Zilpah Grant, and Mary Lyon who prepared women for teaching.

As a teacher educator, Beecher had clear ideas about preservice preparation and classroom practice. In their preservice work, students would study evangelical Christian morality, discuss the civilizing mission of women as teachers, and observe experienced teachers. In practice, women teachers needed to use their “sensibility” to children to manage their classrooms, teach a common curriculum that encouraged literacy and civility, and serve as moral mentors.

The One-Room School

The local school district, often having only a single one-room school, was almost a direct democracy in which an elected school board set the tax rate and hired and supervised the teacher. Many small districts were consolidated into larger ones in the early twentieth century, as described in the chapter on Governing and Administering Public Education.

Teacher certification was simple but chaotic; each board issued its own certificates to its teachers, which other districts often refused to recognize. Today’s more uniform state certification and accreditation by NCATE is a step toward greater professionalization for teachers.

On the western frontier, the one-room log school was often the first community building constructed. By the 1870s, wood-frame schoolhouses, painted white...
or red, replaced the crude log structures. These improved buildings, heated by wood-burning stoves, had slate blackboards and cloakrooms. The teacher’s desk stood on a raised platform at the front of the room. Many classrooms had large double desks that seated two pupils. Later, these often were replaced with single desks, each with a desktop attached to the back of the chair in front of it. Thus, all the desks were immovable and arranged in straight rows, one behind the other.22

The pupils, who ranged in age from five to seventeen, studied a basic curriculum of reading, writing, grammar, spelling, arithmetic, history, geography, and hygiene. Many teachers used the recitation method, in which each pupil stood and recited a previously assigned lesson. Later in the nineteenth century, teachers who attended normal schools used the methods of Pestalozzi and Herbart, especially simultaneous group instruction, to improve their teaching. Schools emphasized the values of punctuality, honesty, and hard work. The rural one-room schoolteachers, expected to be disciplinarians as well as instructors, had “to be their own janitors, record keepers, and school administrators.”23 For more about the one-room school, see the Technology @ School box.

**The McGuffey Readers**

William Holmes McGuffey (1800–1873), clergyman, professor, and college president, wrote the widely used McGuffey readers, which affirmed the values of literacy, hard
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work, diligence, punctuality, patriotism, and civility. More than 120 million copies of McGuffey’s readers sold between 1836 and 1920.  
McGuffey readers emphasized the moral values of white, middle-class, Protestant Americans. Stressing patriotism and heroism, reading selections included the orations of Patrick Henry, Daniel Webster, and George Washington. McGuffey’s graded readers in the 1840s paved the way for a totally graded school system in which classes were arranged according to students’ ages.

The Development of American Secondary Schools

- Completing the educational ladder
- Academy replaces grammar school
- Broader curriculum and student body
- Academies for women
- A comprehensive orientation
- Taxes for public high schools

Common schools created the foundation for tax-supported and locally controlled public elementary education in the United States. Later in the nineteenth century, public high schools completed the institutional rungs of the American educational ladder, from which students could progress to colleges and universities.

The Academy: Forerunner of the High School

Initiated by Benjamin Franklin, academies replaced colonial-period Latin grammar schools and became the dominant secondary school during the first half of the nineteenth century. By 1855, more than 6,000 U.S. academies enrolled 263,000 students. While the Latin grammar schools were exclusively attended by males preparing for college entry, academies were both single-sex and coeducational and offered a wide range of programs both for the college bound and for terminal students.

Academy programs followed three patterns: (1) the traditional college preparatory curriculum with emphasis on Latin and Greek; (2) the English-language program, a general curriculum for those who would end their formal education with completion of secondary school; and (3) the normal course, which prepared elementary-school teachers. Some males attended military academies.

Some academies were founded to educate young women. For example, Emma Willard, a leader in the women’s rights movement, established the Troy Female Seminary in New York in 1821. Along with domestic science programs, women’s academies offered classical and modern languages, science, mathematics, art, music, and the teacher-preparation, or normal, curricula. While most academies were private, some were semipublic in that they received some funds from cities or states. Academies were popular secondary schools until the 1870s when public high schools replaced many of them. However, private academies still provide secondary education for a small percentage of the population.

The High School

Although a few high schools, such as the Boston English Classical School, were operating in the early nineteenth century, the high school became the dominant secondary school after 1860. In the 1870s the courts ruled in a series of cases (especially the Kalamazoo, Michigan, case in 1874) that school districts could levy taxes to establish and support public high schools.  
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spread rapidly. By 1890, public high schools in the United States enrolled more than twice as many students as private academies.

In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the states passed compulsory school attendance laws. Provision of public secondary schools thereafter became a state obligation, rather than a voluntary matter. Students could attend approved nonpublic schools, but the states had the legal right to set minimum standards for all schools.

Like many educational movements, the drive for compulsory education arose from mixed motives. The progressives were among the prime movers for compulsory education. They worked for the enactment of child labor laws, such as the Keating-Owen Child Labor Act of 1916, which restricted employment of children and adolescents so that they would attend school rather than enter the work force. There was an element of social control in the progressive agenda insofar as the high school could shape adolescents into the progressives’ model of the good American. Compulsory attendance also had its opponents among immigrant parents, who feared it was a strategy to erode their children’s ethnic heritage, and among farmers, who needed their children to work on the farm.

Urbanization and the High School The high school’s popularity resulted from the convergence of important socioeconomic and educational trends. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, concurrent with the rise of the high school, the United States dramatically changed from an agricultural and rural society to an industrial and urban nation. For example, New York City’s population quadrupled between 1860 and 1910. By 1930, more than 25 percent of all Americans lived in seven great urban areas: New York, Chicago, Philadelphia, Boston, Detroit, Los Angeles, and Cleveland. The high school was an educational response to an urban and industrial society’s need for more specialized occupations, professions, and services. Accompanying this socioeconomic change were important developments in adolescent psychology. G. Stanley Hall, for example, argued that adolescence, the high-school years, marked a crucial stage in human development that was best served in a special adolescent institution, the high school.

Reshaping the High-School Curriculum Since the high-school movement was generated by diverse social, economic, and educational factors, educators, unsure of its mission, developed differing versions of its purpose and curriculum. While liberal arts college professors saw it as a college preparatory institution, vocational educators wanted it to train people for the work force. In some large cities, high schools, called “people’s colleges,” offered both liberal arts and science as well as work-related programs. In 1892, the National Education Association (NEA) established the Committee of Ten, chaired by Harvard University President Charles Eliot, to define the high school’s mission. The committee made two important recommendations: (1) uniform teaching of subjects for both college preparatory students and those ending their formal education upon graduation; and (2) eight years of elementary and four years of secondary education. It identified four curricula as
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appropriate for the high school: classical, Latin-scientific, modern language, and English. However, there was a general college preparatory tendency in the recommendations since each curriculum included foreign languages, mathematics, science, English, and history.

By 1918, all states had enacted compulsory attendance laws, with thirty states requiring full-time attendance until age sixteen.\(^{31}\) Increasing enrollments made high-school students more representative of the general adolescent population and more culturally varied than in the past when students were primarily from the upper middle classes.

The NEA’s Commission on the Reorganization of Secondary Education in the *Cardinal Principles of Secondary Education* (1918) responded to the socioeconomic changes in the high-school student population. The commission redefined the high school as a comprehensive institution serving the country’s various social, cultural, and economic groups. It recommended differentiated curricula to meet agricultural, commercial, industrial, and domestic as well as college preparatory needs while maintaining its integrative and comprehensive social character.\(^{32}\) The Commission’s recommendations reflected the ideas of Herbert Spencer discussed in the chapter on Pioneers of Modern Teaching.

**Secondary-School Organization**

By the 1920s, high schools had developed four curricular patterns: (1) the college preparatory program, which included English language and literature, foreign languages, mathematics, natural and physical sciences, and history and social studies; (2) the commercial or business program with courses in bookkeeping, shorthand, and typing; (3) industrial, vocational, home economics, and agricultural programs; and (4) a general academic program for students whose formal education would end with graduation.

Despite variations, the typical high-school pattern followed a four-year sequence encompassing grades 9–12 and generally including ages fourteen to eighteen. Variations included reorganized six-year schools, where students attended a combined junior-senior high school after completing a six-year elementary school; three-year junior high schools, comprising grades 7–9; and three-year senior high schools for grades 10–12.

Educators designed the junior high school as a transitional institution between elementary and high school that was oriented to early adolescents’ developmental needs. As they developed in the 1920s and 1930s, junior high schools were either two-year institutions that encompassed grades 7 and 8, or three-year institutions that also included the ninth grade. The junior high school curriculum extended beyond that of elementary schools by including some vocational and commercial courses. By 1920, there were 883 junior high schools in the United States. By the 1940s, more than 50 percent of young adolescents were attending junior high schools.\(^{33}\)

In the 1960s, middle schools became another type of transitional institution between elementary and high school.\(^{34}\) They generally include grades 6–8 (ages

---


\(^{34}\)For a discussion of middle-school education, see Thomas Dickinson, ed., *Reinventing the Middle School* (New York: Routledge Farmer, 2001).
eleven through thirteen) and facilitate a gradual transition from childhood to adolescence by emphasizing programs oriented to preadolescent development and needs. Often using new architectural designs, middle schools featured learning centers, language laboratories, and arts centers. Their numbers grew rapidly from 1,434 in 1971 to 9,750 in 2000. Although most school districts today use the middle school model, some retain the junior high school approach.

The Development of Educational Technology

Since the mid-twentieth century, America’s schools have integrated educational technology into their classrooms. Using technology in classroom instruction is an important component of preservice teacher-education programs. Competency in educational technology is mandated by many state certification programs and is a standard in professional teacher accreditation.

Educational technology entered the schools in the 1930s with the introduction of radio and motion pictures. Although often add-ons rather than integrated into the curriculum, these innovations infused instruction with dynamic audio and visual elements. Alexander J. Stoddard initiated the National Program in the Use of Television in the Schools in 1957 and the Midwest Program on Airborne Television Instruction began telecasting lessons to schools in 1961. Along with educational television, programmed learning, computer-assisted instruction, educational videos, and other instructional technologies were being used in the schools by the early 1970s. Today, many high schools have their own television studio and channel. Closed-circuit television frequently augments preservice teacher education, providing student teachers with an instant videotaped critique of their teaching.

The 1990s saw large-scale development and implementation of computer-based educational technology. Electronic data retrieval, the Internet, and computer-assisted instruction marked a technological revolution in education. Tim Berners-Lee, with Robert Cailliau, developed the prototype for the World Wide Web in 1990, creating an electronic means of quickly disseminating and accessing information. An important development occurred when Marc Andreessen and Eric Bina in 1993 developed Mosaic, a software program capable of electronically displaying graphics with accompanying texts. States and local school districts rushed to increase the number of computers in classrooms, improve Internet access, and provide increased technical support for schools. Recognizing that the country was in the midst of a technological revolution, the U.S. Department of Education issued a national plan, “Getting America’s Students Ready for the Twenty-first Century: Meeting the Technology Literacy Challenge,” in 1996, with the following goals:

- Providing access to information technology for all students and teachers.
- Helping teachers to use technology effectively in instruction.
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After reading this section, watch, “Multimedia Literacy: Integrating Technology into the Middle School Curriculum.” This video shows a class in which students are using technology to do research on Costa Rica, write an essay, and then communicate what they have learned using PowerPoint presentations. As you’re watching this video, think about other ways in which technology could be integrated into the lesson and answer the following questions:

1. Would the lesson shown in this video case meet the national technology standards that are outlined on page 140–141 of your text? Why or why not?
2. How does this lesson on Costa Rica promote both technological and information literacy while it also promotes global understanding? What essential skills do students gain from completing this kind of assignment?

**This Video Case reinforces key concepts found in Section II: Instruction and Assessment of the Praxis II Exam.**

- Developing technology and information literacy skills for all students.
- Conducting more research and evaluation to improve technology instruction.
- Transforming teaching and learning through digital content and networked applications.40

Today, teacher-education units include preservice and in-service training in the use of educational technology in professional development programs.

The American College and University

The colonial colleges were established and controlled by religious denominations. Believing that an educated ministry was needed to establish Christianity in the New World, the Massachusetts General Court chartered Harvard College in 1636. By 1754, Yale, William and Mary, Princeton, and King’s College (later Columbia University) had also been established by various denominations. Other colonial colleges were the University of Pennsylvania, Dartmouth, Brown, and Rutgers. The general colonial college curriculum included (year 1) Latin, Greek, Hebrew, rhetoric, and logic; (year 2) Greek, Hebrew, logic, and natural philosophy; (year 3) natural philosophy, metaphysics, and ethics; and (year 4) mathematics and a review of Greek, Latin, logic, and natural philosophy.41

Since the opening of the University of Virginia in 1825, states have been establishing colleges and universities. The model for the modern state university is the University of Virginia, designed by Thomas Jefferson, with the purpose of encouraging the “illimitable freedom of the human mind . . . to follow truth wherever it may lead.”42 Churches continued to sponsor liberal arts colleges throughout the country, especially in the new states that entered the Union.

By the early 1850s, critics of traditional liberal arts education argued that the federal government should provide land grants to the states to establish more practical agricultural and engineering institutions. In response, the Morrill Act of 1862 granted each state 30,000 acres of public land for each senator and representative in Congress. The income from this grant was to support state colleges for agricultural and mechanical instruction. Land-grant colleges provide agricultural education, engineering, and other applied sciences as well as liberal arts and professional education. Many leading state universities originated as land-grant colleges. Still another important development in higher education came when Johns Hopkins University was founded in 1876 as a graduate research institution based on the German university seminar model.

Today, one of the most available and popular higher-education institutions is the two-year community college. Many two-year institutions originated as junior colleges in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries when several university presidents recommended that the first two years of undergraduate education take place at another institution rather than at a four-year college. After World War II, many junior colleges were reorganized into community colleges, and numerous new community colleges were established with the broader function of serving their communities’ educational needs. Important constituents in statewide higher-education systems, community colleges are exceptionally responsive in providing training for technological change, especially those related to the communications and electronic data revolutions.

The greatest growth in American higher education came after World War II with the enactment of the Servicemen’s Readjustment Act, known as the G.I. Bill in 1944. To help readjust society to peacetime and reintegrate returning military personnel into the economy, the G.I. Bill provided federal funds for veterans for education. Tuition, fees, books, and living expenses were subsidized, and between 1944 and 1951, 7,800,000 veterans used the bill’s assistance to attend technical schools, colleges, and universities. The effect was to inaugurate a pattern of rapid growth in higher-education enrollments that continues today.

Historically, the United States has been, just as it is today, a racially and ethnically diverse nation. With the exception of the Native Americans, American roots trace to other continents, especially to Europe, Africa, and Asia. This section examines the contributions of African, Latino, Asian, and Arab Americans to American society and education.

African Americans

The Civil War, the Emancipation Proclamation, Reconstruction, and the Thirteenth Amendment ended slavery in the United States. Emancipation brought with it the challenge of providing education for the freed men and women and their children, particularly in the defeated Confederate states.
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In 1865, Congress established the Freedmen’s Bureau to assist African Americans in the economic and educational transition from bondage to freedom in the South. Under the leadership of General O. O. Howard, the Bureau established schools that by 1869 enrolled 114,000 African American students. Bureau schools followed a New England common-school curriculum of reading, writing, grammar, geography, arithmetic, and music, especially singing. Many schools functioned until 1872, when bureau operations ended.45

Although the Freedmen’s Bureau prepared a few African American teachers, most schools in the South were staffed by northern white schoolteachers, who brought with them their educational philosophies and teaching methods. Though well intentioned, many of these teachers harbored stereotypes that African American students should have only a limited basic education. Rather than encouraging educational self-determination, educators such as Samuel C. Armstrong, the mentor of Booker T. Washington, emphasized industrial training and social control that kept African Americans in a subordinate economic and social position.46

Washington: From Slavery to Freedom  Booker T. Washington (1856–1915) was the leading African American educational spokesperson after the Civil War. As illustrated in his autobiography, Up from Slavery, Washington was a transitional figure. Born a slave, he experienced the hectic years of Reconstruction and cautiously developed a compromise with the white establishment.47

As a student at Hampton Institute, Washington endorsed industrial education, the educational philosophy of General Samuel Armstrong, his mentor. Armstrong believed that African American youth should be trained as skilled domestic servants, farmers, and vocational workers in trades rather than in the professions. Washington subscribed to Armstrong’s philosophy of moral and economic “uplift” through work.

In 1881, Washington was appointed principal of the educational institute that the Alabama legislature had established for African Americans at Tuskegee. Washington shaped the Tuskegee curriculum according to his belief that southern African Americans were a landless agricultural class. He wanted to create an economic base—primarily in farming, but also in vocational trades—that would provide jobs, which even if they were at the bottom of the economic ladder, would provide a foundation from which to climb slowly upward. Thus Tuskegee’s curriculum emphasized basic academic, agricultural, and occupational skills; the values of hard work; and the dignity of labor. It encouraged students to become elementary-school teachers, farmers, and artisans, but discouraged entry to higher education and involvement in law and politics. Professional education and political action, Washington believed, were premature and would cause conflict with the South’s dominant white power structure.

Washington, a dynamic and popular platform speaker, developed a symbiotic racial theory that blacks and whites were mutually dependent economically but could remain separate socially. In 1885, Washington voiced his philosophy to an approving white audience in an address at the Cotton Exposition in Atlanta, Georgia, when he said, “In all things that are purely social, we can be as separate as the fingers, yet one as the hand in all things essential to mutual progress.”48

---

Today, Washington is a controversial figure in history. Defenders say he made the best of a bad situation and that, although he compromised on racial issues, he preserved and slowly advanced African Americans’ educational opportunities. Critics see Washington as the head of a large educational network that he ruthlessly controlled to promote his own power rather than to improve the situation of African Americans in the United States. One of Washington’s outspoken critics was W. E. B. Du Bois.49

**Du Bois: Challenger to the System**  A sociologist, historian, and civil rights activist, W. E. B. Du Bois (1868–1963) attacked the rigid system of racial segregation that had been established in the South after Reconstruction ended in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.50 Challenging Washington’s leadership, Du Bois fought racial segregation and discrimination.

Unlike Washington, whose roots were in southern agriculture, Du Bois’s career spanned both sides of the Mason-Dixon Line. Born in Massachusetts, he attended Fisk University in Nashville, did graduate work in Germany, earned his doctorate at Harvard University, and directed the Atlanta University Studies of Black American Life.51 His important book, *The Philadelphia Negro: A Social Study*, examined the social, economic, and educational problems of an urban African American community.52 His *Souls of Black Folk* told how African Americans had developed a dual consciousness—one side of which expressed their African roots and the other that presented the submissiveness demanded by many white Americans.53

In 1909 Du Bois helped organize the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). His editorials in *The Crisis*, the NAACP’s major publication, argued that all American children and youth, including African Americans, should have genuine equality of educational opportunity. Du Bois and the NAACP were persistent adversaries of racially segregated schools, and his dedicated efforts helped overturn racial segregation in public schools. (We discuss desegregation’s progress in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement.)

Unlike Booker T. Washington, who compromised on racial equality, Du Bois urged African Americans to assert themselves for their civil rights. Believing that African Americans needed well-educated leaders, especially in the professions, Du Bois developed the concept of the “talented tenth,” according to which at least 10 percent of the African American population should receive a higher education. Du Bois was adamant that a person’s career should be determined by ability and choice, not by racial stereotyping. A prophetic leader, Du Bois set the stage for significant changes in American race relations.

---

Native Americans

Education among pre-Columbian Native Americans was largely informal. Children learned skills, social roles, and cultural patterns from their group’s oral tradition, from parents and elders, and from direct experience with tribal life.

Marked by suspicion and violence, encounters among Native Americans and European colonists affected both cultures. As colonists attempted to re-create European culture in North America and Native Americans sought to preserve their culture, both changed.\(^{54}\)

European colonists’ efforts to “civilize” North American indigenous peoples rested on the Europeans’ belief in their own cultural superiority. In the Mississippi Valley, French missionaries sought to convert Native Americans to Catholicism as well as to educate French colonists’ children in schools that introduced the French language and culture.

In the Spanish-controlled Southwest, Jesuit and Franciscan priests sought to alleviate exploitation of Native Americans by Spanish landlords by establishing missions to protect, control, and convert the tribes to Catholicism. Mission schools taught religion, reading, and writing.\(^{55}\) The Moravians, religious followers of John Amos Comenius, in Pennsylvania, Ohio, and North Carolina taught the Native American tribes and translated the Bible and religious tracts into Indian languages.

Among the early Native American educators, Sequoyah (1770–1831), a Cherokee, devised an alphabet in his native language. With this innovation, the Cherokees became the first Native American tribe to have a written language.

In the nineteenth century, the U.S. government forcibly relocated the majority of Native Americans to reservations west of the Mississippi River in remote areas of the Great Plains and the Southwest. After 1870, the federal Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), encouraged by well-intentioned but misguided reformers, again attempted to “civilize” Native Americans by assimilating them into white society. These “reformers” sought to eradicate tribal cultures and instill “white” values through industrial training.\(^{56}\)

From 1890 to the 1930s, the BIA used boarding schools to implement the assimilationist educational policy. Boarding schools emphasized a basic curriculum of reading, writing, arithmetic, and vocational training. Ruled by military discipline, Native American youngsters in these schools, forbidden to speak their own native languages, were forced to use English.

Native American youngsters either resisted, passively accepted, or accommodated to the boarding schools’ regimen. Active resisters repeatedly ran away from the boarding schools. Accommodationists tried to gain skill in using English without losing their tribal identities.\(^{57}\) Parents often encouraged them to accept the boarding schools’ program as a way to learn a trade in order to earn a living.\(^{58}\) Many
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students suffered a loss of cultural identity, feeling trapped in a never-never land between two different cultures.

After the boarding-school concept was discontinued in the 1930s, Native American education experienced significant change. Many Native Americans left reservations to live in urban centers, particularly inner cities. Children living on reservations attended a variety of schools: BIA schools, tribal schools, and public and private institutions. Those living in cities usually attended public schools.

The Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 replaced the assimilationist policy with one that encouraged self-determination. The act encouraged and promoted Native Americans’ right “to control their own education activities.”

Although assimilation is no longer an official government policy, many Native Americans remain alienated from the educational system. Compared to the national population, a greater percentage of Native Americans is under twenty years of age, but their participation in schooling is far lower than the national average. An extremely high dropout rate leaves Native American high-school completion far below that of the U.S. population at large.

Latino Americans

Latino Americans comprise the fastest-growing ethnic group in the United States. Latino, a collective term, identifies Spanish-speaking people whose ethnic groups originated in Mexico, Puerto Rico, Cuba, or other Latin American countries. Although Latino Americans may speak Spanish as a common language and share many Spanish traditions, each group has its own distinctive culture.

---


Mexican Americans are the largest Latino group in the United States. The Treaty of Guadeloupe Hidalgo in 1848, which ended the Mexican War, forced Mexico to cede to the United States the vast territories that now comprise Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah. This territory, along with Texas, was home to a large Mexican population. In these states, public schools followed the “Americanization” assimilationist policy then used throughout the United States. Mexican American children were taught in English, rather than their vernacular Spanish, and their Latino cultural heritage was ignored. Consequently, schooling imposed a negative self-image, often portraying Mexican Americans as conquered people of an inferior culture. Bilingual and multicultural education, replacing Americanization, contributes to developing a Mexican American historical consciousness. (For more on bilingual and multicultural education, see the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity.)

In later years, the Mexican American population increased as migrant workers crossed the U.S.-Mexican border to work in the United States. Since Mexicans provided cheap labor as ranch workers, railroad crews, and especially farm workers, employers encouraged their entry. Wages were low, housing frequently squalid, and working conditions harsh. Children of the migrant workers, even if not working in the fields with their parents, had few or no educational opportunities. Although many migrant workers returned to Mexico, others remained in the United States, either legally or illegally. Since World War II, many Mexican Americans have relocated from the Southwest to other states, often to the large Northeastern and Midwestern cities. Today, approximately 90 percent of Mexican Americans live in urban areas.

In the late 1960s, the Chicano movimiento, similar to the African American civil rights movement, pursued two goals: (1) uniting Mexican Americans to work for improved social, economic, and educational conditions; (2) preserving the Mexican American cultural heritage as a source of group identity. The League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), organized in 1929 to promote Latino civil rights, attracted middle-class professionals. Cesar Chavez organized the United Farm Workers to secure improved working conditions and higher wages for agricultural workers. The Chicano movement encouraged Mexican American political activity, economic development, and educational participation. Despite increased Mexican American attendance in elementary and secondary education, higher-education enrollments fall below the national average.

The history of Puerto Rican Americans, another large Latino group, begins with the Spanish-American War of 1898, when defeated Spain ceded the island of Puerto Rico to the United States. Puerto Rico, a U.S. possession, attained Commonwealth status in 1952.
Believing that Puerto Rico needed American-style social and economic development, U.S. officials overhauled the old Spanish school system. They made school attendance compulsory, established American-style public schools, and employed English-speaking teachers trained in U.S. teaching methods. Although some classes continued to be taught in Spanish, English was made compulsory to promote Americanization. Students were often caught between two cultural identities—their island’s indigenous Hispanic culture and the imposed English-speaking American culture.

Puerto Rican immigration to the U.S. mainland has been continuous since the early twentieth century. Today, more than two million Puerto Rican Americans live in large urban centers such as New York, Chicago, and Philadelphia. Historically, their high-school dropout rates have been high and college attendance rates low. In recent years, however, Puerto Rican Americans have become more politically active, especially in New York and Chicago, and have improved their economic and educational position.

The Cuban American experience in the United States represents a different pattern from that of other Latino groups in that its origins were those of a community in political exile from its native land. Several waves of immigration from Cuba combined to form the U.S. Cuban American community. The first exiles, 1959 to 1973, fled Fidel Castro’s repressive Communist regime. Many were upper- and middle-class Cubans who brought with them the political, economic, and educational background and organizations needed to create a distinctive Cuban American cultural community. The Mariel immigrants of the 1980s came from Cuba’s disadvantaged underclass. The Cuban American community, mirroring some aspects of the Cuba they left, has created a unique but permeable culture.

At the beginning of the twenty-first century, Latino Americans play a larger role in American social, political, and economic life with growing and influential Latino professional and business middle classes. The concept of “permeable cultures” is useful in interpreting Latino American cultures. The term permeable refers to the tendency to move back and forth from Latino to Anglo cultures. Latinos selectively create their own Hispanic-American cultural patterns.

Public schools, with the Bilingual Education Act (1968) and the Supreme Court decision in *Lau v. Nichols* (1974), replaced the assimilationist Americanization policies with bilingual and multicultural educational programs (see the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity). Recently, however, bilingual education has become politically controversial, with some states making English the official language. Led by California in 1998, several states have ended bilingual education programs. From Preservice to Practice presents some of the differing viewpoints on bilingual education that exist in the United States today.

**Asian Americans**

Whereas European immigrants entered the United States by way of the East Coast, principally New York City, Asian Americans came by way of the West Coast, especially

---
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Some Differing Viewpoints

I have a real problem with those who wish to end the bilingual program,” said Maria Manuel. “I could never have coped with school if I couldn’t have used Spanish. I moved here in the fourth grade, when I was nine years old. I was the only child in my family. My mom and dad did not speak English. My friends spoke Spanish most of the time. At least I could learn English slowly, carefully, and correctly. If I’d had to sink or swim, I wouldn’t be in the teacher-education program now.”

Another teacher-education student, Nichole Knesek, responded, “When my parents immigrated to the United States from Czechoslovakia, there was no bilingual education. They had to learn to speak English or suffer the consequences. They tell me that they are glad they had to learn English quickly. Both of them have fine business careers now. Both want to end the bilingual program. After all, English is the language of this nation. All citizens and even residents should be able to speak Standard English.”

A third student said he favored a middle approach. “Why not allow bilingual education but limit the ages we serve and their time in the program? I think we should allow bilingual education, but only through grade 2 or 3. Then students would know that they have to get serious and learn English if they want an education and to get ahead.”

“What if a student comes into the country when she or he is in eighth grade?”

“Then I think that they are old enough to sink or swim. Just look at the Vietnamese. They came at all ages, and they have succeeded well.”

A fourth student spoke up: “I think that recent initiatives to kill the bilingual program are money driven. Many states and school districts are in financial difficulty. Money seems to be the bottom line—not the educational results and not educational philosophies.”

The professor intervened at this point to ask, “Do you know how bilingual education came to be? Do you know how it operates in most of the schools here? Do you know what research studies have found about student success in bilingual education programs or in English immersion programs? We can have an endless, although enlightening, discussion by sharing our own personal viewpoints, but I think each of you needs to develop a well-researched knowledge base about bilingual education. As teachers you must be able to articulate your position in a reasoned way. At this point, I am not sure that we can say positively which method is best for those whose primary language is other than English.” (To connect the historical discussion of this chapter with the contemporary knowledge base on bilingual education, consult the sections in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity).

Questions

1. What would you need to know about the history of American education, especially Americanization, to better evaluate the viewpoints expressed by Maria and Nichole? Note the difference in attitude and historical perceptions of Maria Manuel and Nicole Knesek in the dialogue.

2. How is bilingual education a reaction against the assimilationist policy of the past and linked to the contemporary issues of a common culture versus multiculturalism?

3. What is your position on bilingual education? What facts support your stance?

Los Angeles and San Francisco. For these geographical reasons, the Asian American population concentrated historically in the western states bordering the Pacific Ocean. For a teaching resource on Chinese immigration, see Angel Island Immigrant Journeys: A Curriculum Guide for Grades 3-12 (San Francisco: Angel Island Immigration Station Foundations, 2004).
Chinese immigration began in California during the gold rush of 1848–1849 and reached its peak between 1848 and 1882, when 228,945 Chinese entered the United States. Early Chinese immigrants worked as miners, farm workers, and railroad construction workers. Enterprising Chinese merchants operated small businesses, grocery stores, and laundries in West Coast cities. In San Francisco and Los Angeles, Chinese enclaves developed with their own social, religious, cultural, and educational societies.\(^\text{74}\)

Japanese immigration began later than Chinese immigration, between 1885 and 1924. Japanese immigrants came primarily from the agricultural area of southwestern Japan where labor contractors recruited workers for Hawaiian sugar and pineapple plantations and Californian farms. Japanese immigration increased until 1910, when it declined because of economic and political issues between Japan and the United States.\(^\text{75}\)

Between 1882 and 1924, the U.S. Congress enacted laws to prohibit further Chinese and Japanese immigration and to prevent Chinese and Japanese from becoming U.S. citizens. The Chinese Exclusion Act in 1882 made the Chinese the first group to be officially excluded from immigrating to the United States.\(^\text{76}\) Immigrants who arrived before these laws took effect often faced racial discrimination that had a negative impact on their education and their entry into the work force. In 1906, for example, the San Francisco Board of Education began segregating Asian students from other pupils. Diplomatic protests from the Japanese government persuaded the board to rescind its segregationist policy.\(^\text{77}\) Before World War II, few Chinese or Japanese Americans attained sufficient levels of education to enter the professions.

World War II brought racial prejudice against Japanese Americans to the surface.\(^\text{78}\) Responding to fears that Japanese in the United States would aid the enemy, the U.S. government interned in relocation camps 110,000 people of Japanese heritage, many of whom were American citizens. The camps, sited in remote areas, lacked basic services and amenities. Although camp schools were eventually established for the young people, the internment experience produced both physical hardship and psychological alienation. The government based this repressive action on unfounded fears; not a single act of sabotage was committed by a Japanese American. Not until the 1980s did the federal government admit its wartime violation of civil liberties and compensate those it had interned. The Civil Liberties Act of 1988 provided a presidential letter of apology and monetary reparations for more than 82,000 persons of Japanese ancestry who had been interned without due process of law during World War II.\(^\text{79}\)

---


A substantial number of Filipinos had migrated to the mainland by the start of World War II. Many Filipino men served in the U.S. Navy and later settled with their families on the West Coast. Others, especially in the 1930s, came to the mainland as farm workers.

After World War II, the economic and educational status of Chinese, Japanese, and Filipino Americans improved substantially. The McCarran-Walter Act of 1952, while retaining quotas, repealed the ban on Asian immigration and citizenship. Asian immigration then increased dramatically and included many professionals with advanced education. Their arrival sparked a general rise in higher education among Asian Americans. The change has been particularly notable for Japanese Americans, whose participation in postsecondary education is higher than the European American majority or any other minority group. Nearly 90 percent of third-generation Japanese Americans attend colleges or universities.

After the 1960s, immigration increased among other Asian groups, especially Koreans and Indians. Following the collapse of American-supported governments in Southeast Asia in the 1970s, Vietnamese, Cambodians, Laotians, and Hmong have arrived with differing educational backgrounds. For example, among the South Vietnamese were former military officers, government officials, businesspersons, and professionals. The Hmong, by contrast, came from a rural culture that lacks a written language.

**Arab Americans**

The designation “Arab,” a cultural and linguistic rather than a racial term, refers to those who speak Arabic as their first language. The majority of Arabs are Muslims, followers of Islam, but millions are Christian Arabs. An Arab American is an American of Arabic descent. The majority of Arab Americans are the descendants of immigrants from Lebanon, Syria, Palestine, Iraq, Jordan, and Egypt.

The early Arab immigrants came to the United States from the Turkish Ottoman empire in the late nineteenth century, especially between 1875 and 1915. Many early immigrants from Lebanon and Syria were Orthodox or Catholic Christians who settled in ethnic neighborhoods in the northeastern states. Many became small business owners, merchants, and restaurateurs. Like other immigrant groups, they established fraternal organizations and recreational societies such as the Syrian Brotherhood Orthodox Society, often sponsored by a church or mosque. One of the earliest Arabic newspapers, *Kawkab America, The Star of America*, was founded in 1892.

A newer wave of Arab immigration, especially from Palestine, Egypt, and Jordan, began after World War II and still continues. More recent immigrants are predominately Islamic and generally have more formal education than earlier immigrants.

Arab Americans have much in common with other immigrant groups. Many older Arab Americans became assimilated by attending public schools, through membership in community and political organizations, and through business and educational activities.

---

work. While assimilating into the larger American society, they maintained their Arabic culture through language, customs, religion, music, literature, and storytelling. Many immigrants were bilingual and often established Arabic language, culture, and religion classes in churches or mosques.

The proportion of Arab Americans who attend college is higher than the national average, with many earning advanced degrees. Many Arab Americans are self-employed in family-owned businesses. About 60 percent of Arab Americans in the work force are executives, professionals, and office and sales staff.

After the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, concerns arose that Arab Americans might be victims of stereotyping and discrimination. Isolated instances of discriminatory acts occurred, but the Arab American community took a proactive stance to educate the general population about their history and culture. Educators, too, have worked to include Arab Americans within the context of multicultural education.

### Historical Trends

This section identifies historical trends such as immigration, teaching the topic of evolution, gender equity, equal educational opportunities for students with disabilities, increased professionalism of education, reduction of violence in the schools, and school responses to national crises that are discussed in greater depth in the other chapters on contemporary American education.

#### The Immigration Controversy

Although the United States has historically been a nation of immigrants, immigration policy today is highly controversial. The debate focuses on immigrants’ impact on the economy, national security, and American cultural identity. While some people believe that immigrants make a needed contribution to the viability of the economy, others contend that they are taking jobs away from American citizens. Contending that immigrants, especially illegal aliens, are an expensive burden on the country’s educational, health care, and social services, critics of the current policy want laws to restrict immigration and stop illegal immigration. Critics fear that the movement of illegal immigrants across U.S. borders threatens national security, especially in the war on terrorism. The controversy has generated such important policy questions as: Should immigration be restricted? Should illegal aliens and their children be denied educational and health care services? Should English be the official language of the United States?

#### A Persistent Issue: Teaching About Evolution

Earlier sections in the chapter examined the differences between Jefferson and Rush on the role of religion in American education and how the common school leaders

---

85 Boosahda, Arab-American Faces and Voices, p. 9.
sought to develop a compromise over religion’s place in public schools. An historically based but current issue in American education focuses on the teaching of evolution.

In 1859, Charles Darwin (1809–1882) in *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection* hypothesized that all existing plants and animals had evolved by gradual modifications that, if they aided survival, were passed on to their offspring as inherited characteristics.88

Darwin’s theory of evolution provoked deep controversies in religion, philosophy, and education. For many Christians, evolution challenged the Biblical account of Creation found in Genesis that God created all species as they now exist.89 Although some theologians interpreted Genesis allegorically, Christian fundamentalists rejected the theory of evolution.

A dramatic event took place in 1925 in Dayton, Tennessee, when John Scopes, a twenty-four-year-old high-school science and mathematics teacher, was charged with violating the Butler Act, a Tennessee law that prohibited the teaching of evolution. Scopes believed that it was impossible to teach biology without including evolution. Intensively covered by the press, the nation’s attention was riveted on the courtroom at Dayton.90 The three-time presidential candidate William Jennings Bryan led the prosecution, while the defense team was headed by the famous criminal and civil rights lawyer Clarence Darrow. Although Scopes was convicted and fined a minimal one hundred dollars, the prosecution case on the larger issue was weakened when Bryan, testifying as an expert on the Bible, argued that its chronology should be taken literally.91 The issue of evolution versus Creationism or Intelligent Design still generates intense controversy, especially in science education. Opponents of evolution, seeking an official disclaimer that evolution is a theory and not a factual account, want equal attention paid to Creationism or Intelligent Design.

Some local and state school boards in Kansas, Pennsylvania, and elsewhere have attempted to include what they argue are scientific alternatives to evolution. Among these alternatives are “creation science” that claims that adequate scientific evidence exists to support the Biblical account of Creation. Another alternative is the “intelligent design” argument, which asserts that life is too complex to have developed without the intervention of an outside force.92 (For the Supreme Court cases related to the contemporary controversy over the teaching of evolution, consult the chapter on Legal Aspects of Education.)

**Gender Equity**

Title IX of the 1972 Education Amendments to the Civil Rights Act and the Women’s Educational Equity Act of 1974 (WEEA) prohibited discrimination against women in federally aided education programs. Trends emerging from this legislation

---

90The Scopes trial continues to fascinate Americans. *Inherit the Wind*, a drama about the trial, was a popular play and motion picture.
included increased participation of women in mathematics, science, athletics, and technology programs and careers.

**Educating Students with Disabilities**

In 1975, Congress passed the Education for All Handicapped Children Act (PL 94–142), designed to improve opportunities for a group of children who had previously lacked full access to a quality education. The act established a federal mandate that children with disabilities would receive an “appropriate public education.” (A full discussion of educating children with disabilities is provided in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity.)

**The U.S. Department of Education**

In 1979, Congress enacted legislation, promoted by President Carter, to establish a U.S. Department of Education whose secretary would be a member of the president’s cabinet. Prior to this, the Office of Education was part of other federal agencies, such as the Department of the Interior or the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The department has promoted federal initiatives such as bringing more educational technology into the schools and using standardized tests to assess academic achievement as provided by the No Child Left Behind Act. (See the chapters on Understanding the Teaching Profession and Governing and Administering Public Education for more on educational governance.)

**Reducing School Violence**

A series of gun-related assaults on students in their schools focused attention on increasing violence in the schools. The nation sadly witnessed such a situation April 1999, when two students, armed with guns and bombs, killed twelve classmates and a teacher at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado. Another tragedy occurred on October 3, 2006, when an armed gunman killed five girls at a one-room Amish school in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania. On April 16, 2007, a massacre took place at Virginia Tech University, when a student armed with assault guns killed thirty-two students and faculty members, and then took his own life. Incidents of violence directed against students have occurred in other school districts and states. As discussed in the Legal Aspects of Education chapter, many school districts have since inaugurated “zero tolerance” programs that prohibit students from bringing any kind of weapon on school property. Many violence-prevention programs were also established, and teachers have received in-service training on appropriate actions to ensure student safety.

**War on Terrorism**

On September 11, 2001, terrorists hijacked and deliberately crashed commercial airplanes into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington, D.C. The attack and resulting loss of life of 3,100 persons changed how Americans view the world and life in their own country. Although global terrorism poses challenges dramatically different from earlier threats, a reflection on the past provides historical perspectives on these events.93

---

Schools Have Responded to Crises in the Past  Although the events of September 11, 2001, and the war against terrorism have the unique feature of being waged in part on American soil, past school responses to crises can guide our reactions to the current situation. During World War I, schoolchildren raised funds for liberty loans and planted liberty gardens to raise vegetables. During World War II, schools and teachers conducted scrap metal drives, purchased war saving stamps and bonds, and planted victory gardens. Though a response to war, the important lesson was that sacrifice is necessary to protect the American way of life and American democracy.

Schools Can Promote Democratic Values and Multicultural Understanding in a Time of Crisis During World War I, the teaching of German virtually disappeared from high schools throughout the country. This strong reaction against Germany deprived many students of studying an important language and culture. As noted earlier in the chapter, World War II–era fears of spying and sabotage led to the forced relocation of thousands of Japanese Americans into resettlement camps. To prevent repeating these past mistakes of racial and ethnic stereotyping, schools, teachers, and students can avoid discriminating against Arabs and Muslims and respect democratic and multicultural values.

REFOCUS Think back to the questions at the beginning of the chapter: What are recent trends in the history of American education? Identify what trends you believe will have the greatest impact on your own teaching career.

Summing Up

1. The European colonists in North America re-created conventional European educational institutions based on social-class patterns. Primary or vernacular schools for the lower socioeconomic strata of society provided a basic curriculum of reading, writing, arithmetic, and religion. Preparatory schools, such as the Latin grammar school and the colonial college, reserved for upper-class boys and men, provided a classical curriculum to prepare them for leadership roles in church, state, and society.

2. After the United States won its independence, the common school movement led to the establishment of public elementary schools throughout the country.

3. The emergence of the public high school in the nineteenth century completed the American educational ladder that connected public elementary schools to state colleges and universities.

4. Since the mid-twentieth century, the infusion of technology, especially computers, has been transforming education.

5. By the mid-twentieth century, concerted efforts were being made to provide equal educational opportunities to the children of minority groups, especially African Americans, Native Americans, and Latinos. Recent trends in American education have included more groups in the mainstream of American schooling and have emphasized greater academic achievement.

6. A more pluralistic, multicultural philosophy that values the contributions of all Americans has replaced the Americanization ideology of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries that stressed assimilation into a homogeneous cultural pattern.
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What historical trends have made American education more inclusive over time? What do you believe you can do, as a teacher, to foster inclusiveness?

Are Franklin’s, Jefferson’s, and Rush’s goals still relevant for American education?

In terms of the history of American secondary education, why is the purpose of the high school often so controversial? What do you believe should be the purpose of secondary education?

Describe your opinion on “Americanization” and cultural pluralism. What is the basis for your opinion? How does it relate to your professional development as a teacher and your personal philosophy of education?

How is the history of American education, especially the treatment of minority groups, instructive for educational policies related to the war on terrorism?

Is the American elementary school a common school in terms of race, ethnicity, class, and gender?

Certification Connection

Chapter 5 discusses the historical developments that affect education today. The Praxis II, Principles of Learning, and Teaching may ask questions about section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and some questions about specific disabilities. Recent developments, which influence the future of education, include section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and research on autism. To prepare for students with disabilities, download information on Section 504. Identify the types of accommodations and modifications children covered by 504 needs to be educationally successful in a public setting. Research autism; use the information on autism to reflect on the accommodations that are necessary for the autistic child. In your journal, reflect on children with disabilities that you have known. What made them special?

Discussion Questions

1. What historical trends have made American education more inclusive over time? What do you believe you can do, as a teacher, to foster inclusiveness?

2. Are Franklin’s, Jefferson’s, and Rush’s goals still relevant for American education?

3. In terms of the history of American secondary education, why is the purpose of the high school often so controversial? What do you believe should be the purpose of secondary education?

4. Describe your opinion on “Americanization” and cultural pluralism. What is the basis for your opinion? How does it relate to your professional development as a teacher and your personal philosophy of education?

5. How is the history of American education, especially the treatment of minority groups, instructive for educational policies related to the war on terrorism?

6. Is the American elementary school a common school in terms of race, ethnicity, class, and gender?

Projects for Professional Development

1. For sources on African American education, visit “African American Odyssey” at the Library of Congress’s American Memories Collection, at memory.loc.gov/ammem/aahome.html. Review the sources in the collection and identify those that supplement this chapter’s section on African Americans.


3. Invite representatives of African American, Latino, Asian American, and Arab American organizations to speak to your class about the educational issues facing the groups.

4. What is your opinion of the U.S. attempt to use schooling to assimilate Native American children? Access “Brainwashing and Boarding Schools: Undoing the Shameful Legacy,” at http://www.kporterfield.com/aicttw/articles/boarding_school.html. Also visit “Bibliography of Indian Boarding Schools” at the Labriola Center, ASU Libraries, Arizona State University at http://www.asu.edu/lib/archives/boardingschools.htm. Based on your research, revise or restate your opinion. What evidence have you used to form your opinion?

5. Reflect on the major historical developments treated in this chapter. Then develop a class project using oral interviews with experienced K–12 school administrators and classroom teachers that focus on major changes in their professional work. You might ask, “What was school like when you began your work as an administrator or teacher? What changes have occurred? How have you adapted to or worked to create change?”

6. Organize a group research project in which students examine representative books and materials used to teach elementary-level reading. Identify key periods such as the 1840s, 1850s, 1860s, and so on. You might begin with the McGuffey readers. Using historical research and interpretation, determine how stories, characters, and values in the books have changed over time.

7. Organize a panel discussion in which each presenter analyzes an author’s educational experiences based on his or her autobiography. Autobiographies might include themes such as a Native American’s experience at a boarding school, an African American’s experience in segregated schools, a woman’s experience in entering a male-dominated profession, or a Christian fundamentalist’s rejection of cultural relativism.

8. Reflect on the concept of a “permeable” culture—the crossing back and forth from one culture to another. Have you experienced this concept in your life and education?
Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

For a summary of government efforts to improve elementary and secondary education, consult “Government’s 50 Greatest Endeavors” at www.brookings.edu/psp/50ge/endeavors/elementarysecondary.htm.

For current as well as historical sources, especially statistical, consult the U.S. Department of Education at www.ed.gov/.

For a brief biography, a chronology, and material on Jefferson’s home, consult “Monticello Resources” at http://www.monticello.org/jeffereson/biography.html

An important source for Jefferson’s writings is the Thomas Jefferson Digital Archive at the University of Virginia: etext.lib.virginia.edu/jefferson/.

For information about women’s rights and education, consult the “National Women’s History Project” at www.nwhp.org/index.html.


For historical representations and images of Native Americans, visit bancroft.berkeley.edu/Exhibits/nativeamericans/index.html.

For the disenfranchisement and segregation of African Americans from the Reconstruction period through the modern civil rights movement, visit “The History of Jim Crow” at www.jimcrowhistory.org/home.htm.

For the contributions of significant American educators, visit http://www.pbs.org/onlyateacher.
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MacDonald, Victoria-Maria. “Hispanic, Latino, Chicano, or ‘Other’: Deconstructing the Relationship between Historians and Hispanic-American Educational History.” History of Education Quarterly 41 (Fall 2001), pp. 365–413. MacDonald provides an excellent and detailed historiographic essay on Latino educational history from the colonial period to the present. It is highly recommended for students interested in and researching the education of Latinos.


Resources, 2003. Presents a well-balanced examination of the differences between Washington and Du Bois as well as alternatives to their positions.


Teachers must meet such immediate daily demands as preparing lessons, assessing student performance, and creating and managing a fair and equitable classroom environment. Because of their urgency, these challenges may distract teachers in the early stages of their professional careers from constructing what NCATE standards call a “conceptual framework,” an intellectual philosophy of education that gives meaning to teaching by connecting its daily demands with long-term professional commitment and direction.¹ A conceptual framework contributes to a sense of professional coherence that helps teachers place immediate short-term objectives into relationship with long-term goals.

In encouraging teachers to become reflective practitioners, INTASC standards describe teaching as a dynamic process in which preservice expectations are transformed into meaningful practice that places knowledge, dispositions, and performance into a coherent whole. To become a reflective practitioner means that teachers need to think philosophically about the broad cultural and ethical implications of education.

We can define a philosophy as the most general way of thinking about the meaning of our lives in the world and reflecting deeply on what is true or false, good or evil, right or wrong, and beautiful or ugly.² This chapter provides you with a conceptual framework, a philosophical

and theoretical map upon which you can reflect on your ideas about education and construct your own philosophy of education. The following leading questions can guide you as you read the chapter and build your own philosophy of education:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What are the subdivisions of philosophy, how are they defined, and how do they reflect your beliefs and your teaching about truth and values?
- What are the leading philosophies and theories of education? Have certain philosophies and theories influenced your ideas about education, your beliefs about knowledge, and your ethical values?
- How do philosophies and theories of education influence curriculum and teaching and learning in schools, including what is taught, how it is taught, teachers’ ethical behavior with students and with each other, and their attitudes about cultural diversity and social justice?
- How do contemporary trends in education such as multiculturalism, the standards movement, and educational technology affect your overall philosophy of education?

As you progress from preservice professional education courses to classroom practice, you will often reflect on what you are teaching, why you are teaching it, and how you teach it. You will often ask yourself if your teaching makes a difference in your students’ lives. This self-reflection is a way to develop a coherent conceptual framework that leads to reflective practice in the classroom. You can begin to construct your own philosophy of education by asking the following questions:

- What is truth, and how do we know and teach it?
- How do we know what is good and bad and right and wrong, and how can we teach ethical values and encourage moral behavior in our students?
- How can schools and teachers exemplify what is true and valuable?
- How do teaching and learning reflect one’s beliefs about truth and value?

Throughout the chapter we raise “the basic questions.” These questions are not easy to answer, nor can they be answered in true-false, multiple-choice format. As you progress from preservice to practice, your answers to these questions are likely to change with your teaching experience, become more complex, and lead you to construct your own philosophy of education. Just as students maintain portfolios to record their own progress, teachers can keep journals with daily entries about their classroom, successes, and problems. Portfolios and journals provide a personal account of your teaching experiences upon which you can reflect and note your progress from preservice to reflective professional practice. You can begin to construct your own philosophy of education by writing an essay to yourself about what you believe is true and valuable and how your educational experiences up to this time have shaped these beliefs. You can determine if the philosophies and theories discussed in chapter are reflected in your own experience. You can see if your encounter with them causes you to revise or rethink your beliefs about what is true and valuable. Finally, you can make some preliminary judgments about how they influence what, why, and how you teach.

This chapter examines five educational philosophies and four educational theories. Comprehensive philosophies, such as idealism and realism present a general
worldview that includes education. Educational theories, often derived from philosophies, focus more specifically on education, schools, curriculum, and teaching and learning (See Figure 6.1.) The general philosophies examined in this chapter link closely to the more specific theories of education, which form their school-based components. For example, the theory of essentialism is closely related to the philosophy of realism. Similarly, progressivism derives from pragmatism.

In constructing your own philosophy of education, you need to think like a philosopher and become familiar with philosophy’s terminology.

Special Terminology

As its special terminology, philosophy of education uses the basic terms metaphysics, epistemology, axiology, and logic. Figure 6.2 summarizes the relationship between these terms and education.

Metaphysics examines the nature of ultimate reality. What is and what is not real? Is there a spiritual realm of existence separate from the material world? Idealists, for example, see reality primarily in nonmaterial, abstract, or spiritual terms. Realists see it as an objective order that exists independently of humankind. The subjects taught in schools represent how curriculum makers, teachers, and textbook authors describe “reality” to students.

Epistemology, which deals with knowledge and knowing, influences methods of teaching and learning. It raises such questions as, On what do we base our knowledge of the world and our understanding of truth? Does our knowledge derive from divine revelation, from ideas latent in our own minds, from empirical evidence, or from something else? Again, different philosophies hold different epistemological conceptions.

Teachers who believe that the universe exists as an orderly structure will try to reproduce this order in students’ minds by emphasizing the systematic and sequential teaching of subjects. They will seek to describe reality by transmitting subject-matter content to students. In contrast, teachers who believe the process of how we

---

3For a discussion of this special terminology, see Gerald L. Gutek, *Philosophical and Ideological Voices in Education* (Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2004), pp. 4–10.
know what we know is of most importance will use problem solving or encourage
students to construct their own view of reality.

**Axiology**, which prescribes values, is subdivided into **ethics** and **aesthetics**. **Ethics** examines moral values and the standards of ethical behavior; **aesthetics** addresses values in beauty and art. Teachers—like parents and society in general—convey their values to the young by rewarding and reinforcing behavior that corresponds to their conceptions of what is right, good, and beautiful. Moreover, the environment that teachers create in their classrooms immerses students in a moral climate that reflects the teacher’s ethical and aesthetic sensibilities.

Concerned with correct and valid thinking, logic examines the rules of inference that we use to frame our propositions and arguments. **Deductive logic** moves from general statements to particular instances and applications. For example, we begin with the premise—all deciduous trees seasonally drop their leaves; state the subpremise—the maple tree drops its leaves in the fall; and then reach a conclusion—the maple is a deciduous tree. In terms of deductive inference, if the premises are true, then the conclusion must be true. **Inductive logic** moves from the particular instance to tentative generalizations subject to further verification. It moves from limited data to a more general conclusion. For example, (a) the earth’s temperature has been increasing in the last fifty years; (b) this global warming is due to the greenhouse effect caused by emissions from the burning of fossil fuels; (c) if we continue to generate emissions from fossil fuels, the earth’s temperature will continue to rise. Curriculum and instruction are organized on conceptions of logic. Think about the differences in teaching a science course from the two examples used.4 Does something in the subject itself logically dictate how lessons should be

---

organized and presented to students (the deductive approach)? Or should teachers take their cue from students’ interest, readiness, and experience in deciding how to present instruction (an inductive approach)?

With this terminology, we can examine different philosophies and theories. After discussing the key concepts of each one, we will see how it answers the basic questions raised at the beginning of the chapter and helps a teacher construct his or her own philosophy of education. (See Overview 6.1 for the philosophies discussed in this chapter.)

### REFocus
Reflect on the terms—metaphysics, epistemology, axiology, and logic—discussed in the chapter. In beginning to build your philosophy of education, what are your beliefs about reality, knowing, valuing, and thinking?

### Overview 6.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophies of Education</th>
<th>Metaphysics</th>
<th>Epistemology</th>
<th>Axiology</th>
<th>Educational Implications</th>
<th>Proponents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idealism</td>
<td>Reality is spiritual or mental and unchanging.</td>
<td>Knowing is the recall of latent ideas.</td>
<td>Values are universal, absolute and eternal.</td>
<td>A subject-matter curriculum emphasizes the culture's great and enduring ideas.</td>
<td>Emerson, Froebel, Hegel, Plato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realism</td>
<td>Reality is objective and is composed of matter and form; it is fixed, based on natural law.</td>
<td>Knowing consists of conceptualization based on sensation and abstraction.</td>
<td>Values are absolute and eternal, based on universal laws.</td>
<td>A subject-matter curriculum emphasizes humanistic and scientific disciplines.</td>
<td>Aquinas, Aristotle, Broudy, Maritain, Pestalozzi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pragmatism (experimentalism)</td>
<td>Concepts of reality are based on experience, the interaction with environment; it is always changing.</td>
<td>Knowing results from experiencing, use of scientific method.</td>
<td>Values are situational or relative.</td>
<td>Instruction is organized around problem solving according to the scientific method.</td>
<td>Childs, Dewey, James, Peirce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existentialism</td>
<td>Reality is subjective, with existence preceding essence.</td>
<td>Knowing is to make personal choices.</td>
<td>Values should be freely chosen.</td>
<td>Classroom dialogues stimulate awareness that each person creates a self-concept through significant choices.</td>
<td>Sartre, Marcel, Morris, Soderquist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmodernism</td>
<td>Rejects metaphysics as historical constructions used for socioeconomic domination.</td>
<td>Deconstructs texts (canons) to find their origin and use by dominant persons and groups.</td>
<td>Emphasizes the values of marginalized persons and groups.</td>
<td>Schools are sites of democratic criticism and social change to empower dominated groups.</td>
<td>Derrida, Foucault</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Idealism

Idealism, one of the oldest of the traditional philosophies, begins with Plato (428–347 BC), who taught his philosophy in the ancient Greek city-state of Athens. Much later, in nineteenth-century Germany, Georg W. F. Hegel (1770–1831), a university professor, lectured to his students about an unfolding view of history and a total worldview based on idealist metaphysics. In the United States, Ralph Waldo Emerson (1803–1882) and Henry David Thoreau (1817–1862) developed an American variant of idealism, called transcendentalism, that emphasized the spirit of self-reliant individualism. Friedrich Froebel (discussed in the Pioneers of Modern Teaching chapter) developed his kindergarten based on idealist principles that emphasized the unfolding of children’s spiritual nature. Asian religions such as Hinduism and Buddhism also rest on an idealist spiritual worldview.

Key Concepts

Metaphysics

Idealists, believing that the spiritual, nonmaterial world is ultimately real, envision the universe as an expression of a highly generalized intelligence and will—a universal mind. They understand the person’s spiritual essence, or soul, as the permanent element of human nature that gives individuals the power to think and feel. This intellectual or spiritual world of ideas is everlasting, not subject to change, and is ever the same in its order and perfection. Like the Universal Spirit itself, goodness, truth, and beauty are universal and eternal.

Idealists such as the American transcendentalists use the concepts of macrocosm and microcosm to explain how they perceive reality. Macrocosm refers to the universal mind, the first cause, creator, or God. Regardless of what it is called, the macrocosmic mind is the whole of existence. It is the one, all-inclusive, and complete self of which all lesser selves are parts. The universal, macrocosmic mind is continually thinking and valuing. The microcosm, the personal mind or spirit, is a limited and lesser self but nevertheless spiritual and intellectual like the great being of which it is a part.

Epistemology

Since idealists believe that the ideas that make up reality have always existed in the Mind of the Absolute or God, our personal knowing means that we have grasped these ideas and made them conscious in our minds. Idealist epistemology emphasizes the recognition or reminiscence of a priori ideas that are already lodged deep in our minds and are there before we are conscious of them. By thinking deeply and seeking out meaning, the individual, through introspection, searches his or her own mind and discovers that it contains the ideas that are a copy of the macrocosmic mind. The teacher’s challenge is to ask the probing questions and to present ideas that challenge students to become conscious of this latent knowledge. The educational process of searching within for the truth is intended to stimulate students to create a broad, general, and unifying perspective of the universe.

Idealist teachers believe that the Absolute or God has been revealed, over time, to those who have sought the truth. These truth-seekers have recorded their
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discoveries and revelations in bodies of knowledge or subjects, especially the liberal arts. Schools, as repositories of this eternal truth have organized education into a hierarchical curriculum of academic subjects in which some subjects are more important than others. At the top of the hierarchy are the most general disciplines, philosophy and theology. These highly abstract subjects transcend the limitations of time, place, and circumstance and transfer to a wide range of situations. Mathematics is valuable, too, because it cultivates abstract thinking. History and literature rank high as sources of moral and cultural models. Somewhat lower in the curriculum, the natural and physical sciences address particular cause-and-effect relationships. Language is important as an essential tool at all levels of learning. Although idealist teachers guide students through the different subjects, their overarching goal is to achieve a transdisciplinary integration of knowledge that places these subjects into relationship with each other as a form of higher-order thinking.

Axiology Because they believe that truth, goodness, and beauty exist in a universal and eternal order, idealists prescribe values that are unchanging and applicable to all people everywhere. Thus ethical behavior reflects the enduring knowledge and values of human culture. Philosophy, theology, history, literature, and art are rich sources for transmitting values because they provide the contents through which students can encounter worthy models, especially in the classics—the great works that have endured over time.

Logic For idealists, logic, too, is based on the whole-to-part relationship between Absolute and individual minds. The part, a particular idea or principle, is derived from and agrees with the whole, which is more general. Idealist teachers would use deductive logic to organize lessons that begin with general principles or rules and use specific cases or examples to illustrate them. For example, an idealist teacher of literature might introduce the general concept of tolerance of others who are different from us by referring to Henry David Thoreau who took his own path to civil disobedience at Walden Pond.

The Basic Questions

If you were to ask an idealist teacher, “What is knowledge?” he or she would reply that knowledge concerns the spiritual principles that underlie reality. This knowledge of reality takes the form of ideas. If knowledge is about universal ideas, then education is the intellectual process of bringing ideas to the learner’s consciousness.

If you ask an idealist teacher, “What is schooling?” the answer would be that it is a setting where students are encouraged to pursue and discover truth. It is an intellectual institution where teachers and students explore the questions Socrates and Plato first asked: What is truth? What is beauty? What is the good life? To answer these questions, we need to think deeply and bring to consciousness the answers that are present in our minds. We should allow nothing to distract us from the intellectual pursuit of truth.

Who should attend school? The idealist would say everyone. While students have varying intellectual abilities, all should have the opportunity to cultivate their minds as far as possible. Gifted students need the greatest intellectual challenges that the teacher can provide. However, all students should pursue the same intellectual curriculum.

How should we teach? The idealist would say that thinking and learning are the processes of bringing latent ideas to conscious reflection. An effective means of doing this is the Socratic method, in which the teacher stimulates the learner’s
awareness of ideas by asking leading questions. Another important aspect of idealist methodology is modeling. Teachers should be models worthy of student imitation—they should have wide knowledge of the cultural heritage and lead exemplary lives.

Idealists want to safeguard the quality of education by maintaining high intellectual standards and resisting any tendency toward mediocrity. In Plato’s *Republic*, for example, intellectual standards were so high that only a gifted minority became philosopher-kings. Today’s idealists would not go that far, but their educational goals encompass developing intellectual capacity, and they generally accept the fact that not all students will go on to the highest stages of education.

Idealists would endorse standards that require teachers to have high intellectual expectations of students and require students to strive to achieve intellectual excellence. Standards, moreover, would not be geared to the statistical average but should raise expectations as high as possible. Standardization should not substitute for individual excellence.

**Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher**

Rejecting the consumerism and vocationalism that often shape values in contemporary society and education, idealism seeks to create schools that are intellectual centers of teaching and learning. It sees teachers as vital agents in guiding students to realize their fullest potential, and it encourages teachers and their students to encounter and appreciate the finest and most long-lasting works of the culture. Teachers introduce students to the classics—great and enduring works of art, literature, and music—so that they can experience and share in the time-tested cultural values these works convey.

Although technology can convey the great works of literature and art to students, idealists would insist on its use as a means, as an instrument, of education rather than an end. The content matters most, not the technical apparatus that transmits it.

How might a teacher use idealism in developing a lesson? A fifth-grade social studies teacher might illustrate the power of ideas and the higher ethical law by a unit on the life and moral impact of Mohandas Gandhi, the father of India’s independence. Students would study Gandhi’s biography and reflect on his principles of nonviolent protest against injustice that guided the movement against racism in South Africa and against British colonialism in India. An important outcome of the lesson would be the realization that Gandhi considered these principles of justice to be universal truths that governed all people, everywhere.

---

**Realism**

The ancient Greek philosopher Aristotle (384–322 BC), a student of Plato, developed realisticm, which stresses objective knowledge and values. As described in the chapter on World Roots of American Education, during the Middle Ages Thomas
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Aquinas (1224–1274) created a synthesis of Aristotle’s natural realism and Christian doctrine known as Thomism. Scientific realism brings realism into the twenty-first century. Scientific realists hold that there is a reality that exists independently of our knowing it and that the scientific method is the best way to get an accurate description of what the world is and how it works. To explain and to use our scientific findings, we have to construct theories. As scientific investigation improves, we can revise and refine our theories so that they conform most accurately to reality. Realists assert that (1) there is a world of real existence, of objects, not made by human beings; (2) the human mind can know about the real world; and (3) such knowledge is the most reliable guide to individual and social behavior. Beginning with these principles, we can examine realism’s educational implications.

Key Concepts

Metaphysics and Epistemology Realists believe in a material world that is independent of and external to the knower’s mind. All objects are composed of matter. Matter, in turn, is organized as it takes on the form or structure of particular objects.

---

Footnotes:
Human beings can know these objects through their senses and their reason. Knowing involves two stages: sensation and abstraction. First, the knower perceives an object and records sensory data about it in the mind, such as color, size, weight, smell, or sound. The mind sorts these data into qualities always present in the object and those sometimes present. By identifying the necessary qualities (those always present), the learner forms a concept of the object and recognizes it as belonging to a certain class. This classification lets the learner understand that the object shares certain qualities with other members of the same class but not with objects of a different class.

Like idealists, realists believe that studying a curriculum of organized, separate subjects is the most effective way to learn about reality. Organizing subject matter, as scientists and scholars do, is a sophisticated method of classifying objects. For example, past human experiences can be organized into history. Botany studies plants systematically according to their classifications. Political organizations such as nations, governments, legislatures, and judicial systems can be grouped into political science. The realist acquires knowledge about reality through systematic inquiry into these subjects.

Axiology In the realist's conception of knowledge, certain rules govern intelligent behavior. For example, human beings ought to behave in a rational way, and behavior is rational when it conforms to the way in which objects function in reality. From their study of reality, people can develop theories based on natural, physical, and social laws. Natural laws are universal and eternal, as are the values based on them.

Logic Realist teachers often use logic both deductively and inductively. For example, students in a botany class might examine roses that differ in color, scent, and size but conclude, through induction, that all are members of the same genus. However, when the class plants a rose garden on the school grounds as a project, the students can consult plant-care literature and deduce the correct locations and amounts of fertilizer and water for each rose they plant.

The Basic Questions
To begin our philosophical cross-examination, we again ask, What is knowledge? Realists would reply that knowledge concerns the physical world in which we live. When we know something, our knowledge is always about an object. Our concepts are valid when they correspond to those objects as they really exist in the world.12 Scientific realists would add that our concepts should be based on a scientific description of this correspondence.

Formal education, the realists would say, is the study of knowledge organized and classified into subject-matter disciplines. History, languages, science, and mathematics are a few of these organized bodies of knowledge. If we know them, we will know more about the world in which we live. This knowledge is our best guide in conducting our daily affairs.

Realists view schools as primarily academic institutions that societies establish to provide students with knowledge about the objective world. Because all persons have a rational potentiality, schooling should be available to all, with students pursuing the same academic curriculum, which will prepare them to make rational decisions.

---

Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher

In realist classrooms, the teacher’s primary responsibility is to bring students’ ideas about the world into correspondence with reality by teaching skills—such as reading, writing, or computation—and subjects—such as history, mathematics, or science—that are based on authoritative and expert knowledge. Although they appreciate that their students are emotional as well as rational persons, realists focus on cognitive learning and subject-matter mastery. Realist teachers oppose the intrusion of nonacademic activities into schools that interfere with their primary purpose as centers of disciplined academic inquiry.

In the preservice preparation of teachers, subject-matter knowledge and competency is given high priority. For example, the history teacher should be a historian with an academic major in history. In addition, realist teachers should have a general education in the liberal arts and sciences so that they understand and can demonstrate relationships between their areas of expertise and other subjects. Realist teachers use a wide repertoire of methods, such as the lecture, discussion, demonstration, and experiment. Content mastery is most important, and methodology is a necessary but subordinate means to reach that goal.

How might a high-school physics teacher with a realist philosophical orientation plan a unit on Isaac Newton’s laws of motion? First, the teacher would help students place Newton in context in the history of science and discuss his scientific contributions. Second, the teacher might illustrate the laws of motion in a laboratory demonstration. Third, the students might discuss the demonstration and frame the scientific generalization that it illustrates. Finally, students would be tested to demonstrate their understanding of Newton’s laws of motion.13

Realists would favor setting standards that specify student academic achievement goals, especially in skill areas such as reading and in subject content areas such as mathematics, science, and history. Standardized tests provide hard, comparable data about how well students are mastering curriculum subjects and how well teachers are instructing students. Standards help keep schools and teachers accountable. However, they would consider that the results of standardized tests are only a first rung in the ladder of academic achievement. While they verify mastery of basic content, students need to proceed to high-level thinking that demonstrates that they know how to apply theory to practice.

Just as realists are open to a variety of methods that facilitate content learning, they would use technology as an aid in developing and testing skill and subject-matter competencies. They would want programs to be as “realistic” and effective as possible.

Pragmatism

Pragmatism emphasizes the need to test ideas by acting on them. Among its founders were Charles S. Peirce (1839–1914), William James (1842–1910), George Herbert Mead (1863–1931), and John Dewey (1859–1952). Peirce emphasized using the scientific method as a means to validate ideas empirically; he substituted probability, what is likely to happen, for certainty. James applied pragmatic philosophy to psychology, religion, and education.14 Mead emphasized children’s development
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as learning and experiencing human organisms. Dewey, in particular, applied pragmatism to education. While the chapter on Pioneers of Modern Teaching examines Dewey’s contributions to education, the focus in this chapter is on his pragmatic or experimentalist philosophy.

Influenced by Charles Darwin’s evolutionary theory, Dewey applied the terms *organism* and *environment* to education. Dewey saw human beings as biological and sociological organisms who possess drives or impulses that sustain life and promote growth and development. Every organism lives in a habitat or environment. Education is a process of creating a learning environment to promote experiences for optimum human growth.

Whereas idealism and realism emphasized the content of subject-matter disciplines, Dewey stressed the *process* of thinking and learning as problem solving. In this experimental epistemology, the learner, as an individual or as a member of a group, uses the scientific method to solve both personal and social problems. For Dewey, the problem-solving method transfers to a wide variety of situations.

**Key Concepts**

**Metaphysics and Epistemology** Unlike more traditional philosophies that rest on a metaphysical foundation of a universal and unchanging reality, pragmatism or experimentalism dismisses metaphysics as unverifiable speculation. Rather than metaphysics, pragmatists are most concerned with epistemology, how we know what we know.

In Dewey’s experimentalism, epistemology, or knowing involves a person, in an environment. **Experience**, defined as the interaction of the person with the environment, is a key pragmatist concept. The person’s interaction with the social, cultural and natural environments constitutes the process of living, growing, and developing. This interaction may alter or change both the person and the environment. Knowing is thus a transaction, a process, between the learner and the environment.

Rejecting a priori idealist and realist perspectives, Dewey applied a test of experience. Human purposes and plans could be validated only by acting on and judging them by their consequences. Although each interaction has generalizable aspects that carry over to the next problem, each episode will differ somewhat. Intelligent people use the scientific method to solve problems and add the useful features of a particular problem-solving episode to their ongoing experiences.

Dewey also applied judgment by consequences to educational programs. Did a particular educational program, curricular design, or methodological strategy achieve its anticipated goals and objectives? The only valid test, Dewey believed, was to try out the proposal and judge the results.
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A reality that continually changes renders a curriculum based on supposedly permanent realities or universal truth untenable. Human beings must interact with the environment guided only by tentative assertions subject to further research and verification. Therefore, according to pragmatists, we need a socially and scientifically intelligent method to deal with a constantly changing reality.

**Axiology and Logic**  Pragmatic axiology is highly situational and culturally relative. A constantly changing universe means that values, too, are not static, as idealists and realists assert, but are changing and relative to time, place, and circumstance. For pragmatists, what contributes to personal and social growth is valuable; what restricts or limits it is unworthy. Rather than blindly accepting inherited values, we can clarify our values by testing and reconstructing them in our experience.

Following the scientific method, experimentalist logic is inductive rather than deduced from first principles as in idealism and realism. Rather than accepting what is claimed to be true, we can regard it as tentative and subject to further testing in our experience.

**The Basic Questions**

Because knowledge claims are tentative and subject to revision, pragmatists are more concerned with the process of constructing, using, and testing knowledge than with transmitting bodies of allegedly permanent truths.

For pragmatists, education is an experimental process—a method of solving problems that challenge people as they interact with their world. Dewey argued that human beings experience the greatest personal and social growth as they interact with the environment in an intelligent and reflective manner. The most intelligent way of solving problems is to use the scientific method.

Pragmatists favor interdisciplinary education. When you face a problem, pragmatists say, you draw the information you need to solve it from many sources, not from a single discipline or academic subject. For example, to define the problem of environmental pollution and suggest ways of solving it, we must use information that comes from sources that are historical, political, sociological, scientific, technological, and international. An educated person, in the pragmatic sense, knows
how to research and apply information from multiple sources to the problem. In contrast to the pragmatist argument for interdisciplinary problem-focused education, idealists and realists strongly disagree because they believe students must first acquire a knowledge base by studying and mastering organized subjects.

Pragmatists such as Dewey see the school as a local community of learners and teachers intimately connected to the larger society. The school exercises three major functions: to simplify, purify, and balance the cultural heritage. To simplify, the school selects elements of the culture and society and reduces their complexity to units appropriate to learners’ readiness and interest. To purify, it selects worthy cultural elements and eliminates those that limit human interaction and growth. To balance, the school integrates the selected and purified experiences into a harmony.

In a society of diverse cultural groups, the pragmatic school helps children of one culture understand and appreciate members of other cultures. Although cultural diversity enriches the entire society, pragmatists want all cultural groups to use the scientific method. They also believe that schools should build social consensus by stressing common processes of learning. As genuinely integrated and democratic learning communities, schools should be open to all and encourage the widest possible sharing of resources among people of all cultures.

Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher

While idealist and realist teachers make teaching subject matter their primary responsibility, pragmatist teachers are more concerned with teaching students the process of problem solving. Rather than transmitting subjects to students, pragmatist teachers facilitate student research and activities, suggesting resources useful in problem solving, such as those accessible through classroom technology.19
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Students in a pragmatist classroom share the experience of applying the scientific method to a full range of personal, social, and intellectual problems. Teachers expect that students using the problem-solving method will learn to apply the process to situations both in and out of school and thus connect the school to society. Communication technologies such as e-mail and the Internet also create a larger community with more opportunities to share ideas, insights, and experiences.

Pragmatist teachers work to transform classrooms into collaborative learning communities by encouraging students to share their interests and problems. Pragmatist educators also encourage both cultural diversity and commonalities. Recognizing that every culture has something of value to share with other cultures, they stress intercultural communication between members of different cultures so that students together can create the larger democratic community. Instead of simply preserving the status quo, pragmatist teachers are risk takers who see education as an open-ended process.

Pragmatists would raise serious questions about the standards movement, especially its heavy reliance on standardized testing. Such tests burden students with goals and expectations set by other people and agencies rather than those that arise from the students’ own experiences, issues, and problems. Further, “pencil-and-paper” tests measure only how well students assimilate prescribed and derived content, rather than genuinely testing problem-solving skills. Teachers whose competency is judged by how well students perform on standardized tests focus instruction on passing tests rather than solving problems.

How might we apply pragmatism to classroom teaching? Let us say a college teacher-education class is examining the use of standardized tests as a means of setting national educational standards, as in the No Child Left Behind Act. (See the Technology @ School box for more on standardized testing.) The class members do the following:

1. Establish the issue’s context: Why is this an issue? Who supports and who opposes using standardized tests to set national standards?
2. Define the problem’s key terms.
3. Conduct interdisciplinary research and locate information about the issue from various sources such as professional educators, educational psychologists, government agencies, parents’ organizations, and state and federal legislators.
4. Conjecture possible solutions, ranging from acceptance to rejection of the proposition.
5. Resolve the issue by reaching consensus and acting—for example, carry out an agreement to write a position paper and send it to newspapers, journals, and decision makers.

Existentialism

Existentialism, representing both a feeling of desperation and a spirit of hope, examines life in a very personal way. An existentialist education encourages deep personal reflection on one’s identity, commitments, and choices.

Key Concepts

The existentialist author Jean-Paul Sartre (1905–1980) stated that “Existence precedes Essence.” Sartre, a playwright and philosopher, emphasized the role of human
imagination as a way of knowing and feeling. For Sartre, we are born into a world that we did not choose to be in and that we did not make. However, we possess the personal power, the will, to make choices and to create our own purposes for existence. We are thrust into choice-making situations. Some choices are trivial, but those that deal with the purpose and meaning of life lead to personal self-definition. We create our own definition and make our own essence. We are what we choose to be. Human freedom is total, say the existentialists, as is our responsibility for choice.

This conception of a human being as the creator of his or her own essence differs substantially from that of the idealists and realists, who see the person as a category in a universal system. While the idealist or realist sees the individual as inhabiting a meaningful and explainable world, the existentialist believes that the universe is indifferent to human wishes, desires, and plans. Existentialism focuses on the concept of Angst, or dread. We each know that our presence in the world is temporary and that our destiny is death and disappearance. Nevertheless, each person must make choices about freedom and slavery, love and hate, peace and war. As we make these choices, we ask: What difference does it make that I am here and that I have chosen to be who I am?

According to the existentialists, we must also cope with the constant threat that others—persons, institutions, and agencies—pose to our choice-making freedom. Each person’s response to life reflects an answer to the question, Do I choose to be a self-determined person or do I choose to be defined by others? But existentialism does see hope behind the desperation. Each person has the potential for loving, creating, and being. Each can choose to be a free, inner-directed, authentic person who realizes that every choice is an act of personal value creation.

The Basic Questions

Because existentialists deliberately avoid systematization, it is difficult to categorize its metaphysical, epistemological, axiological, and logical positions. Ignoring metaphysical determination, existentialists assert that we create our own essence by making personal choices.

Epistemologically, the individual chooses the knowledge that he or she wishes to appropriate into his or her life. Existentialists consider axiology most important because human beings create their own values through their choices.

Existentialists realize that we live in a world of physical realities and that we have developed a useful and scientific knowledge about these realities. However, the most significant aspects of our lives are personal and nonscientific. Thus, existentialists believe that knowledge about the human condition and the personal choices we make is most important and education’s most significant goals are to awaken human consciousness to the freedom to choose and to create a sense of self-awareness that contributes to our authenticity.

At school, existentialists say, individuals should pursue discussion about their own lives and choices. Because we are all in the same predicament and have the same possibilities, we all should have opportunities for schooling. In the school, both teachers and students should have the chance to ask questions, suggest answers, and engage in dialogue.
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An existentialist teacher would encourage students to philosophize, question, and participate in dialogues about the meaning of life, love, and death. The answers to these questions would be personal and subjective, not measurable by standardized tests. An existentialist curriculum would consist of whatever might lead to philosophical dialogue. Particularly valuable are subjects that vividly portray individual men and women in the act of making choices, including emotional, aesthetic, and poetic subjects. Literature and biography are important for revealing choice-making conditions. Students should see and discuss drama and films that vividly portray the human condition and human decision making.

In addition to literary, dramatic, and biographical subjects, students should be free to create their own modes of self-expression. They should be free to experiment with artistic media and to dramatize their emotions, feelings, and insights. Educational technology that enhances personal choice and freedom might be useful in existentialist education. For example, students might benefit from expressing themselves by creating multimedia productions. Technological applications that create conformity in thinking and in accessing information, on the other hand, would be viewed with suspicion.

Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher

Teaching from an existentialist perspective is not easy because teachers cannot specify goals and objectives in advance—these are determined by each student as an individual person. Rather than imposing goals on students, the existentialist teacher seeks to create an awareness in each student of ultimate responsibility for her or his own education and self-definition. In creating this awareness, the teacher encourages students to examine the institutions, forces, and situations that limit freedom of choice. Further, existentialist teachers seek to create open classrooms to maximize freedom of choice. Within these open learning environments, instruction is self-directed.

Existentialists would oppose the standards movement, especially its emphasis on standardized testing to measure academic success, as an impediment to personal choice and freedom. Standardizing instruction in every classroom diminishes the creative uniqueness of each educational setting.

An Existentialist School: Summerhill

Although A. S. Neill’s Summerhill School in the United Kingdom defies neat philosophical classification, it exemplifies existentialist themes in its mission, curriculum, and approach to teaching and learning. Neill created his school as an educational experiment in students’ freedom to choose what and when they wanted to learn. When they were free from externally prescribed requirements and restrictions, Neill found that students actually wanted to learn and eagerly pursued their own learning agendas.

Literature, drama, and film are especially powerful in existentialist teaching. An example of existentialist teaching might be a senior high-school history class that is
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studying the Holocaust, the genocide of six million Jews in Europe during World War II. The class views Steven Spielberg's movie, *Schindler's List*, in which an industrialist, Oscar Schindler, who initially profits from the forced labor of Jewish concentration camp inmates, makes a conscious decision to save his workers from death in the Nazi gas chambers. The class then probes the moral situation of one man, Schindler, and the choice that he made in a senseless and cruel world.

**Postmodernism**

*Postmodernism* has influenced many areas of contemporary education, especially philosophy, education, women's studies, and literature. It is so pervasive that the term *postmodern* is common in ordinary language. Postmodernism contends that the modern period of history has ended and that we now live in a postmodern era. It originated in the philosophies of the German philosophers Friedrich Nietzsche (1844–1900) and Martin Heidegger (1899–1976). Nietzsche dismissed metaphysical claims about absolute truth, suggesting that they were contrived to replace worn-out myths and supernatural beliefs with newer but equally false assertions. Formulating a philosophy called phenomenology, Heidegger asserted that human beings construct their own subjective truths about reality from their intuitions, perceptions, and reflections as they interact with phenomena.

Postmodernism has several implications for *constructivism*, a psychology and method of education. Postmodernists and constructivists agree that we make, or

---


construct, our beliefs about knowledge from our experiences. Learners therefore create their view of knowledge by interacting with their environment. Knowledge, a human construction, is never complete but is tentative, conjectural, and subject to ongoing revision as learners acquire more experience. Collaborative learning, the sharing of experiences and ideas through language, makes knowledge both a personal and a social construction.27

**Key Concepts**

The French philosophers Michel Foucault and Jacques Derrida were important figures in constructing postmodernism. While he completely rejected the premodern idealist and realist claims that there are universal and unchanging truths, Foucault’s major attack was on the experts of the modern age, especially scientists, social scientists, and educators who claim that they can be objective and unbiased in their scholarly books and articles. He contends that what these experts pronounce to be objective truth is really a disguised rationale for the elites who hold power and want to use it over others, especially the poor, the minorities, and women.28 In their analysis of education, postmodernists use the concepts of subordination (a powerful elite’s control of disempowered groups and classes) and marginalization (the social, political, economic, and educational process of pushing powerless groups to the edges of the society). An example of subordination occurs when politically powerful groups mandate certain educational requirements, such as standardized testing of prescribed subjects, for other less powerful groups. Marginalization takes place when the schools teach an “official history” that focuses on the achievement of white males of the dominant group and either ignores or places the histories of women and minorities as a very minor “add-on” to the story.

Derrida developed **deconstruction** as a method to trace the origin, or the genealogy, and the meaning of texts or canons.29 (A canon is a work, typically a book, prized as having authoritative knowledge in a given culture.) A text is often a book, but it might also be a dialogue, a movie or a play, or another type of cultural representation. In education, a text is often a curriculum guide, a video, or a book, including a textbook, such as the one you are reading. The purpose of deconstruction is to show that texts, rather than reflecting metaphysical truths or objective knowledge, are historical and cultural constructions that involve political power relationships. For example, you can deconstruct this book or any textbook by answering such questions as: Who are the authors? Why did they write the book? What were their motives? Does the text endorse a particular ideology? Does that ideology support some people, groups, or classes over others?

Proponents of the Great Books curriculum, discussed later in this chapter, elevate certain books of Western culture to a high status, claiming that they provide highly significant insight into life and society. However, some postmodernists criticize these texts for emphasizing Western culture while marginalizing Asian and African cultures. Postmodernists would say that texts such as Plato’s *Republic* and Aristotle’s *Nicomachean Ethics*, though exalted as having an enduring universal moral authority, are mere historical pieces that can be deconstructed to determine

---

28For Foucault and education, see Gail McNicol Jardine, *Foucault & Education* (New York: Peter Lang, 2005); and Mark Olssen, *Michel Foucault: Materialism and Education* (Boulder, Col.: Paradigm Publishers, 2006).
how they were and are used as rationales for the domination of one group over
another. 30

In deconstructing a canon or text, postmodernists ask (1) What people, events,
and situations at a particular time gave prominence to the canon? (2) Who gives a
canon a privileged status in a culture or society, and who benefits from its accep-
tance as an authority? (3) Does the canon exclude underrepresented and marginal-
ized individuals and groups? The answers to these questions point to those who
hold actual social, economic, political, and educational power in a particular culture
and society.

Questions regarding schools focus on how curriculum is constructed. What
texts represent official knowledge in the curriculum? What texts and experiences do
we exclude? How do we interpret texts to establish and maintain power relations-
ships among different groups?

The Basic Questions

Like existentialists, postmodernist teachers work to raise their students’ conscious-
ness. While existentialists focus on consciousness about personal choice, post-
modernists focus on consciousness about social inequalities by deconstructing
traditional assumptions about knowledge, education, schooling, and instruction.
They do not regard the school’s curriculum as a repository of objective truths and
scientific findings to be transmitted to students. It is an arena of conflicting view-
points—some of which dominate and subordinate others.

Postmodernists see American public schools as battlegrounds, as contested
sites, in the struggle for social, political, and economic domination. They contend
that the official curriculum is full of rationales, constructed by powerful groups
seeking to legitimize their own privileged socioeconomic status and to disempower
other, less fortunate people. They dispute such official educational policy claims
that schools (1) fairly and equitably educate all children; (2) facilitate upward social
and economic mobility; and (3) are necessary for the continuance of a democratic
society. In contrast, postmodernists argue that public schools, like other official
institutions, help reproduce a society that is (1) patriarchal—it favors men over
women—(2) Eurocentric—its so-called knowledge is largely a construction of white
people of European ancestry—and (3) particularly in the United States, capitalist—
private property and the corporate mentality are glorified in the free-market ideol-
yogy. The experiences of other groups, such as people of color, are marginal add-ons
in the curriculum’s official narratives. 31

If we think of the school as a contested arena, we can see how postmodernists
deconstruct the curriculum. Proponents of official knowledge want a standard cul-
tural “core” curriculum in secondary and higher education that is based on the tra-
ditional canons of Western culture. Postmodernists challenge these canons as
representing male-dominated, European-centered, Western, and capitalist culture.
They argue that the contributions of underrepresented groups—Africans, Asians,
Latinos, and Native Americans; feminists; the economically disadvantaged; and
gays and lesbians—should be included in the curriculum, even at its core, if there is

30David E. Cooper, “Postmodernism,” in Randall Curren, ed., A Companion to the Philosophy
31Angeline Martel and Linda Peterat, “Margins of Exclusion, Margins of Transformation: The
Place of Women in Education,” in Rebecca A. Martusewicz and William M. Reynolds, Inside/
still a core. Postmodernists contend that a culturally diverse curriculum would reach all children, especially those marginalized in contemporary schools.

Postmodernists refer to instruction as a “representation,” which they define as cultural expressions or discussions that use narratives about reality and values, stories, images, music, and other cultural constructions. For example, a teacher in a social studies class who is presenting a unit on the history and controversy relating to immigration needs to be conscious of the textbook’s and her own biases. Postmodernists urge teachers to become conscious of their powerful role and to critically examine their representations to students. Rather than transmit only officially approved knowledge, teachers must critically represent a wider but more inclusive range of human experience. Students are entitled to hear many voices and many stories, including their own autobiographies and biographies. While postmodernists and pragmatists agree that the curriculum should include discussion of controversial issues, postmodernists do not emphasize the scientific method as do pragmatists. The scientific method, for postmodernists, represents another meta-narrative used to give an elite group power over others.

**Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher**

To empower their students, postmodernists argue that teachers must first empower themselves as professional educators. They need to deconstruct official statements about the school’s purpose, curriculum and organization, and the teacher’s role and mission. Real empowerment means that as teachers proceed from preservice to practice they take responsibility for shaping their own futures and for helping students to shape their own lives.

The process of empowering teachers and students begins in the schools and communities where they work and live. Postmodernists urge teachers to create their own site-based educational philosophy. Teachers, students, and community members must begin a local, site-based examination of key control issues by examining such questions as (1) who actually controls their school, establishes the curriculum, and sets the academic standards; (2) what motivates those who control the school; and (3) what rationale justifies the existing curriculum? This kind of critical analysis will empower people and transform society by challenging special economic and political interests and privileges.

The postmodernist emphasis on including the stories of marginalized groups encourages cultural diversity and multiculturalism in the schools. Further, postmodernist educators would deconstruct rationales for standards, asking critical questions about using standardized tests to measure student achievement, as in the No Child Left Behind Act. To find the real power relationships, they would ask who mandates the testing, develops the test, interprets the test results, and determines how test scores will be used.

Similar questions would apply to using technology in the classroom. The World Wide Web and the Internet can empower people by creating quick communication means to share ideas and common concerns with each other. Likewise, technology, if controlled by dominant groups, can indoctrinate people to accept the status quo that disempowers them. A postmodernist teacher would be sure to examine the

---
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representations in software for student use, as well as to consider issues of power involved in arranging for students to have access to technology.

What would a postmodernist lesson be like? Students in a high-school American history class might examine how Mexicans living in the territories that Mexico was forced to cede to the United States after the Mexican War were marginalized. Then, they might discuss how Latinos and other marginalized groups have made their voices heard throughout U.S. history. The lesson might include a journal assignment in which students examine areas of their own lives where they feel powerful or marginalized and suggest some actions they believe would help make their voices heard in constructive ways.

Educational Theories

In the following sections we examine four educational theories: essentialism, perennialism, progressivism, and critical theory (see Overview 6.2). Whereas philosophies present all-encompassing views of reality, theories explain more particular phenomena and processes. Educational theories examine the role and functions of schools, curriculum, teaching, and learning. Some theories are derived from philosophies and others arise from practice. In the following section, we begin with the more traditional theories of essentialism and perennialism, which are rooted in idealism and realism and take a subject-matter approach to teaching and learning. Then we move to progressivism, influenced by pragmatism, and critical theory, influenced by existentialism and postmodernism, that emphasize the process of learning and social change.

---

**Overview 6.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Curriculum</th>
<th>Educational Implications</th>
<th>Proponents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perennialism (rooted in realism)</td>
<td>To transmit the enduring truth and values of the culture</td>
<td>Fundamental skills, the liberal arts and sciences, the great books of Western civilization</td>
<td>Instruction that features transmission and reflection on enduring truths and values</td>
<td>Hutchins, Adler, Maritain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essentialism (rooted in idealism and realism)</td>
<td>To develop basic skills of literacy and numeracy and subject-matter knowledge</td>
<td>Basic skills, essential subject matter—history, mathematics, language, science, computer literacy</td>
<td>To prepare competent and skilled individuals for the competitive global economy</td>
<td>Bagley, Bestor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressivism (rooted in pragmatism)</td>
<td>To educate the individual according to his or her interests and needs</td>
<td>Activities and projects</td>
<td>Instruction that features problem solving and group activities; teacher acts as a facilitator</td>
<td>Dewey, Kilpatrick, Parker, Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical theory (rooted in neo-Marxism and postmodernism)</td>
<td>To raise consciousness about issues of marginalization and empowerment</td>
<td>Autobiographies of oppressed peoples</td>
<td>Focus on social conflicts</td>
<td>McLaren, Giroux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Essentialism establishes the school’s primary function as maintaining the basic elements of human culture by transmitting them to students as skills and subjects in a well-organized curriculum. William C. Bagley (1872–1946), a leading essentialist professor of education, stated that schools should provide all students with the knowledge they need to function in a democratic society. Failure to transmit these necessary skills and subjects puts civilization in peril. This essential knowledge includes the skills of literacy (reading and writing) and computation (arithmetic) and the subjects of history, mathematics, science, languages, and literature. Because there is much to learn but only a limited time to learn it, curriculum needs to be carefully structured and teaching must be done efficiently. Careful structuring requires the curriculum to be sequential and cumulative. It is sequential when lower-order skills generate and lead to more complex higher-order ones. It is cumulative when what is learned at a lower grade level leads to and is added to by knowledge in succeeding grades or levels.

Bagley crafted a finely tuned program of teacher education that moved teachers forward from preservice to professional classroom practice. Teachers need preparation that provides a knowledge base in the liberal arts and sciences and a repertoire of professional education experiences and methods that enables them to transmit skills and subjects efficiently and effectively to students. The successful passage from preservice to practice means that teachers can competently organize skills and subjects into units that are appropriate to students’ age and ability levels.

Arthur E. Bestor, Jr., a professor of history at the University of Illinois, refined and reiterated essentialist beliefs into the theory of basic education and helped to organize the Council on Basic Education. Bestor argued that schools should provide a sound education in the fundamental ways of thinking represented by history, science, mathematics, literature, language, and art that evolved from the human search for cultural understanding, intellectual power, and useful knowledge.

Essentialists argue that some new and sometimes experimental methods that neglect systematic teacher-directed instruction in basic skills of reading, writing, and computation and in the essential subjects have caused a decline in students’ academic performance and civility. Social promotion policies, which promoted children in public schools to higher grades to keep them with their age cohort even if they had not mastered grade-appropriate skills and subjects, have eroded academic standards. These policies produced a notable decline in scores on standardized tests such as the SAT and ACT. In addition, a morally permissive environment in the schools has weakened fundamental civic values of social responsibility and patriotism.

To correct these deficiencies, *A Nation at Risk*, a national report sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education, recommended that all high-school students complete a rigorous curriculum of “new basics” consisting of English, mathematics, science, social studies, and computer science. (See the chapter on The Purposes of Education for more details on *A Nation at Risk*.)

---

The criticisms voiced in *A Nation at Risk* generated the “standards movement,” which argues that American education will be improved by creating high academic standards, or benchmarks, for students’ academic achievement and by measuring progress toward achievement of those benchmarks via standardized tests. The standards movement affected schools throughout the United States as states enacted legislation requiring standardized testing to measure student academic achievement and teacher competency.

The enactment of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 2001, the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), gave a federal endorsement to standards. The Act carries the essentialist premise that there are key basic skills, such as reading and mathematics, and that students’ academic achievement can be measured objectively by standardized tests. Further, the Act implies that students’ scores on standardized tests indicate how well schools and teachers are doing to meet stated outcomes.

To qualify for federal aid under the terms of NCLB, states must establish annual assessments in reading and mathematics for every student in grades 3 through 8. Proponents of NCLB contend that these test results will identify schools in which large numbers of students fail to achieve to the standard. The law holds school districts accountable for improving the performance of disadvantaged students as well as the overall student population. Schools and districts failing to make adequate yearly progress are to be identified and helped. If the schools fail to meet standards for three years, their students may then transfer to a higher-performing public or private school.

**The Basic Questions**

Essentialists argue that schools and teachers must be committed to their primary academic mission and not be diverted into nonacademic areas. Although social, economic, and political issues may be examined in relevant subjects such as history and social studies, this examination should be objective and not politicized to promote a particular ideological agenda. The appropriate role of the school is to teach students the basic skills and subjects that will prepare them to function effectively and efficiently in a democratic society.

Essentialists favor a subject-matter curriculum that differentiates and organizes subjects according to their internal logical or chronological principles. The curriculum’s skills and subjects should be well defined as to scope and have a sequence that is cumulative and prepares students for future learning. Curriculum that ignores the past, rejects subject-matter boundaries, and prides itself on being interdisciplinary unnecessarily confuses students, blurs academic outcomes, and wastes valuable time and resources by failing to establish the necessary knowledge base.

Essentialists are highly suspicious of so-called innovative or process learning approaches, such as constructivism, in which students construct or create their own knowledge in a collaborative fashion and in so-called authentic assessment in which students evaluate their own progress. Essentialists, such as Bagley and Bestor, argue that civilized people learn effectively and efficiently by using the knowledge base developed and organized by scientists, scholars, and other experts. We need not continually reinvent the wheel, wasting time and resources by “discovering” what is already known.

---
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While competent teachers always try to stimulate a student’s interest, curriculum content should be based on time-tested experience of the human race. Genuine freedom comes from internalizing the discipline of learning what is needed and staying with the task. Emphasizing teacher-directed instruction, Bagley, for example, argues that children have the right to expect teachers, as trained professionals, to guide and direct their learning. Similar to the scientific realists, essentialists argue that students need to learn about the objective real world rather than misguidedly following the constructivist view that they should create their own version of reality.

**Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher**

The essentialist goal of education is to transmit and maintain the necessary fundamentals of human culture. Schools have the specific and well-defined mission of transmitting essential human skills and subjects to the young to preserve and pass on to future generations. As effective professional educators, teachers should (1) adhere to a well-defined curriculum of basic skills and subjects; (2) inculcate a core based on traditional Western and American values of patriotism, hard work, effort, punctuality, respect for authority, and civility; (3) manage classrooms efficiently and effectively as spheres of discipline and order; (4) promote students on the basis of academic achievement and not social promotion.

Essentialist logic proceeds deductively, with emphasis on first mastering facts and then basing generalizations on those facts. Consider a high-school American history class studying the differences between the two African American leaders, Booker T. Washington and W. E. B. Du Bois. First, the teacher assigns reading on both men. Then she leads a discussion in which the students carefully identify Washington’s and Du Bois’s differences in background, education, and policy. After such teacher-led research, the students reach a conclusion about why Washington and Du Bois acted as they did and assess their influence in African American and U.S. history.

---

**Perennialism**

Perennialism asserts that education, like the truth on which it rests, is universal and authentic at every period of history and in every place and culture. Neither truth, nor education, is relative to time, place, or circumstances. Education’s primary purpose is to bring each new generation in contact with truth by exercising and cultivating the rationality each person possesses as a human being. Perennialist epistemology contends that human beings, by their very nature, possess a potentiality to know and a desire to find the truth. This potentiality is activated when students come in contact with the highest achievements of the human race, especially the Great Books and the classic works in art, music, and literature. Truth exists in and is portrayed in the classic, or enduring, works of art, literature, philosophy, science, and history created in each generation and passed on as a cultural inheritance to the next generation.

---

Perennialism, which draws heavily on realist principles, is also congenial to idealism. However, leading perennialists such as Jacques Maritain, Robert Hutchins, and Mortimer Adler based their educational theories on Aristotle’s realism. For them, the school’s primary role is fostering students’ intellectual development. They oppose turning schools into multipurpose agencies, especially economic ones that emphasize vocational training. Although perennialists understand the need for vocational skills and competencies, they believe that business and industry can provide on-the-job training more efficiently than schools. Placing nonacademic demands such as social adjustment or vocational training on schools diverts time and resources from their primary purpose of developing students intellectually.

Since truth is universal and unchanging, the curriculum should consist of permanent, or perennial, studies that emphasize the recurrent themes of human life. It should contain cognitive subjects that cultivate rationality and the study of moral, aesthetic, and religious principles to develop ethical behavior and civility. Like idealists, realists, and essentialists, perennialists want a subject-matter curriculum that includes history, language, mathematics, logic, literature, the humanities, and science. Religious perennialists such as Maritain also include religion and theology in the curriculum. The theories of Robert Hutchins, Jacques Maritain, and Mortimer Adler provide insights into perennialist thinking.

Robert Hutchins, a former president of the University of Chicago, described the ideal education as “one that develops intellectual power” and not “directed to immediate needs; it is not a specialized education, or a preprofessional education; it is not a utilitarian education. It is an education calculated to develop the mind.”

Hutchins recommended intensive discussion of the great books of Western civilization to bring each generation into an intellectual dialogue with the great minds of the past. These classic works, which contain recurring themes, cultivate the intellect and prepare students to think critically. In addition to the classics, Hutchins urged the study of grammar, rhetoric, logic, mathematics, and philosophy.

As noted earlier, postmodernists attack Hutchins’s Great Books curriculum as an attempt to give Western, European culture predominance over other cultures, such as those of Asia and Africa.

Maritain, a French philosopher, based his perennialist “integral humanism” on Aristotle’s natural realism and Thomas Aquinas’s theistic realism. Maritain contends that education that ignores religion neglects an integral part of Western culture. Rejecting cultural relativism and existentialism, Maritain asserts that education needs to be guided by the ultimate direction that religion provides. Maritain’s religious emphasis fits the contemporary resurgence of faith-based values in American society. Like Hutchins, Maritain endorsed the Great Books as indispensable for understanding the development of civilization, culture, and science.

---

him, the teacher is a minister of learning who encourages students to be truth seekers who search for the knowledge that they need.

For Maritain, elementary education should develop correct language usage, logical thinking, a relationship to history, and an introductory knowledge of science. Secondary and undergraduate college education focuses on the liberal arts and sciences.

**The Paideia Proposal**

Mortimer J. Adler's *The Paideia Proposal: An Educational Manifesto* is a revival of perennialism. Paideia, a Greek word, means the total educational formation of a person. Affirming the right of all people to a general education, Adler wants all students in America’s democratic society to have the same high quality of schooling. The *Paideia* curriculum includes language, literature, fine arts, mathematics, natural sciences, history, geography, and social studies. These studies help develop a repertoire of intellectual skills such as reading, writing, speaking, listening, calculating, observing, measuring, estimating, and problem solving, which lead to higher-order thinking and reflection.

**The Basic Questions**

Perennialists assert that in a democratic society all students have the right to a high-quality intellectual education. They oppose grouping students into “tracks” that deprive some of acquiring the general education to which they are entitled. To track some students into an academic curriculum and others into vocational curricula denies genuine equality of educational opportunity.

Perennialists strongly oppose pragmatism’s and postmodernism’s cultural relativism, which contends that our “truths” are temporary statements based on how we cope with changing circumstances. Perennialists, like Allan Bloom in *The Closing of the American Mind*, condemn cultural relativism for denying universal standards by which certain actions are consistently either morally right or wrong.

**Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher**

Perennialists, like idealists and realists, see schools as environments for students’ intellectual growth. To stimulate students’ intellects, teachers in their preservice preparation need to study the liberal arts and sciences and have experience in reading and discussing the Great Books. As practicing professionals, teachers need to be intellectual mentors and models for their students.

In primary grades, the perennialist teacher would emphasize learning fundamental skills such as reading, writing, and computation to build literacy and readiness to begin the lifelong quest for truth. Perennialist secondary teachers would structure lessons around enduring human concerns explored in the great works of history, literature, and philosophy. Like idealists, perennialists emphasize the classics that have engaged the interest of people across generations.

---


Perennialists endorse high academic standards but want them based on intellectual content, especially knowledge of the classics. If the tests reflect knowledge of the enduring subjects, perennial issues, and great books, they would favor them. Electronic versions of the Great Books and other classics are an effective way of transmitting them to a larger audience. Also, chat rooms and other electronic means can enhance communicating about the classics. Technology, however, should not be regarded as a substitute for the study of the classics.

An illustration of the perennialist emphasis on recurring human concerns and values can be seen in a middle-school literature class that is reading and discussing Louisa May Alcott’s *Little Women*. The students have discussed the main characters—Marmee, Jo, Beth, Meg, and Amy—and the issues the March family faced. The class discussion reveals that the March family’s sad times and happy times exist in family life today. That evening at dinner her grandmother asks Alice, a student in the class, “What are you studying in school?” Alice replies, “We just finished reading *Little Women*.” Alice’s mother and grandmother say that they, too, read and enjoyed the
book when they were girls. In the ensuing conversation, Alice, her mother, and her grandmother share their impressions of the book. In such ways perennial themes can become memories that transcend time and generations.

**Progressivism**

Progressivism originated in the general reform movement in American society and politics life in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Opposed to traditional schooling, progressive educators designed a variety of strategies to reform education. Although it is often associated with John Dewey’s experimentalism, the progressive education movement wove together diverse strands. While child-centered progressives wanted to liberate children from authoritarian schools, social reconstructionists wanted to use schools to reform society.\(^{49}\) Whereas some progressives sought to use education for social reform, other progressives, especially administrators, concentrated on making schools more efficient and cost effective. Administrative progressives sought to build larger schools that could house more class sections and create more curriculum diversity.

Arising as a revolt against traditional schools, Progressive education opposes Essentialism and Perennialism. Educators such as Marietta Johnson, William H. Kilpatrick, and G. Stanley Hall rebelled against, rote memorization and authoritarian classroom management.

Marietta Johnson (1864–1938), founder of the Organic School at Fairhope, Alabama, epitomized child-centered progressive education. Believing that prolonging childhood is especially needed in a technological society, Johnson wanted childhood lengthened rather than shortened.\(^{50}\) Children, she said, should follow their own internal timetables rather than adults’ scheduling. Possessing their own stages of readiness, children should not be pushed by teachers or parents to do things for which they are not ready.

Anticipating contemporary constructivist learning, Johnson believed children learn most successfully and satisfyingly when engaged in the active exploration of their environment and when constructing their own meaning of reality based on their direct experiences. Johnson’s activity-based curriculum accentuated physical exercise, nature study, music, crafts, field geography, story telling, dramatizations, and games. Creative activities such as dancing, drawing, singing, and weaving took center stage, while reading and writing were delayed until the child was nine or ten years old.\(^{51}\)

Johnson designed a teacher-education program that went from preservice to practice. During preservice, caring and effective teachers needed to develop (1) a sincere affection for and understanding interest in children; (2) a knowledge base in child and adolescent development and psychology and in the skills and subjects they taught; (3) an interest in social welfare. As practitioners, teachers should create safe, developmentally friendly, and engaging classroom environments in which children learn at their own pace, according to their own interests.

William Heard Kilpatrick (1871–1965), a professor of education at Columbia University’s Teachers College, made progressivism an integral part of a teacher’s

\(^{49}\)For a recent appraisal of social reconstructionism, see Karen L. Riley, ed., *Social Reconstructionism: People, Politics, Perspectives* (Greenwich, Conn.: Information Age Publishing, 2006).


progress from preservice to practice. In restructuring Dewey’s problem solving into the project method, Kilpatrick followed three guiding principles: (1) genuine education involves problem solving; (2) learning is enriched as students collaboratively research and share information to formulate and test their hypotheses; (3) teachers can guide students’ learning without dominating it. Using these principles, Kilpatrick described four types of projects: (1) implementing a creative idea or plan; (2) enjoying an aesthetic experience; (3) solving an intellectual problem; (4) learning a new skill or area of knowledge.52

Kilpatrick believed that teachers who used the project method could transform their classrooms into collaborative, democratic, learning communities. As they worked collaboratively, students, motivated by their own interests, would be engaged in wholehearted purposeful activity in which they designed and completed a project. Unlike the prestructured essentialist and perennialist curricula, the project method was open ended in that particular outcomes and responses were not specified in advance.53

Key Concepts

The Progressive Education Association opposed (1) authoritarian teachers, (2) exclusively book-based instruction, (3) passive memorization of factual information, (4) the isolation of schools from society, and (5) using physical or psychological coercion to manage classrooms. These progressive educators positively affirmed that (1) the child should be free to develop naturally; (2) interest, motivated by direct experience, is the best stimulus for learning; (3) the teacher should facilitate learning; (4) close cooperation is essential between the school and the home; and (5) the progressive school should be a laboratory for experimentation.

Opposing the conventional subject-matter curriculum, progressives experimented with alternative curricula, using activities, experiences, problem solving, and projects. Child-centered progressive teachers sought to free children from conventional restraints and repression. More socially oriented progressives, called social reconstructionists, sought to make schools centers of larger social reforms.54 Led by George Counts and Harold Rugg, the social reconstructionists believed that teachers and schools need to investigate and deliberately work to solve social, political, and economic problems. In many ways, social reconstructionism anticipated critical theory, discussed in the next section of this chapter.55

The Basic Questions

Progressives view knowledge as public rather than metaphysical. It can come from many sources—from books, experiences, experts, the library, the laboratory, and the Internet—but it is to be used to accomplish a purpose. Progressives are open to using technology in the classroom, providing it is an open means to accessing information. When students work together collaboratively, especially on projects, the

results of learning are open ended in that they lead to more experiences and socially charged in that they bring individuals into social contact.

For progressives, children’s readiness and interests rather than predetermined subjects shape curriculum and instruction. They would resist the imposition of standards from outside of the school as another form of authoritarian control that can block open-ended problem-based inquiry. Instructionally flexible, progressive teachers use a repertoire of learning activities such as problem solving, field trips, creative artistic expression, and projects.

Constructivism, like progressivism, emphasizes socially interactive and process-oriented “hands-on” learning in which students work collaboratively to expand and revise their knowledge base.56

In professional education, progressives warn against separating preservice from practice. They are part of the same flow or continuum of experience. Preservice experiences, such as clinical observation, should be directly connected to classroom practice and not regarded as preparatory to it. In turn, practice should be considered as a continuing process of in-service professional development in which teachers construct innovative and more effective teaching strategies. The teacher is to guide students to new activities, new projects, and new problems, thus enlarging and broadening their social and cultural relationships.

56For a discussion of the challenges of translating constructivist epistemology into classroom practice, see Peter W. Airasian and Mary E. Walsh, “Constructivist Cautions,” Phi Delta Kappan (February 1997), pp. 444–449.
Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher

The West Tennessee Holocaust Project, designed by teachers and students at the Whitwell Middle School in Whitwell, Tennessee, offers an excellent illustration of the project method.57 The project’s purpose was to teach respect for different cultures and to understand the consequences of intolerance.58 Linda Hooper, the school’s principal, saw the project as providing an opportunity “to give our children a broader view of the world . . . that would crack the shell of their white cocoon.”59

In preparing for the project, students read Ann Frank’s *Diary of a Young Girl* and Elie Wiesel’s *Night*, studied aspects of Judaism, and viewed the motion picture *Schindler’s List*. Overwhelmed by the immensity of the Holocaust’s toll of six million Jews killed in Nazi extermination camps, the students experienced difficulty in understanding why and how this genocide had occurred.

The students learned that some courageous Norwegians, expressing solidarity with their Jewish fellow citizens, pinned ordinary paper clips to their lapels as a silent protest against the Nazi occupation. One student reacted, saying, “Let’s collect 6 million paper clips and turn them into a sculpture to remember the victims.” The students decided to collect 6 million paper clips and to construct a memorial to the 6 million Jewish victims of the Holocaust.

The students collected paper clips from their family and friends, set up a web page about the project, and asked for donations of clips. Although they collected 100,000 clips in the project’s first year, the goal of collecting 6 million paper clips seemed insurmountable. When Lena Gitter, a ninety-four-year-old Holocaust survivor, learned about the project, she contacted two German journalists, Peter Schroeder and Dagmar Schroeder-Hildebrand, who were doing research at the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, D.C. Intrigued that American children in a small Southern town were engaged in a unique project to honor the victims of the Holocaust, the journalists wrote articles about the project that appeared in Germany and Austria.

After that, the school was deluged with paper clips. The Schroeders visited the Whitwell school and community. Their visit was a culturally enriching experience for the students, who met a person from another country for the first time. It was especially significant since the Schroeders were from Germany, the country whose Nazi leaders had perpetrated the Holocaust. The Schroeders wrote a book about *The Paper Clip Project* that was published in Germany.60

During the project, students developed an array of skills. They recorded correspondence and contributions in a ledger, wrote letters to acknowledge contributions, and responded to e-mails sent to their website.

While none of Whitwell’s students had ever met a Jewish person when the project began, several Jewish Holocaust survivors visited and spoke to them and residents of the town. In 2005, Whitwell eighth graders visited the Holocaust Museum in Washington, D.C.

The students decided to house their paper clip collection in a German railroad car, like those that transported Jews to the extermination camps. With the help of

the Schroeders, an actual German railway car was brought to Whitwell. With the help of the entire community, the students created a permanent museum, in which the paper clips, stored in the car, are a memorial to the Holocaust victims.

The Whitwell Holocaust project illustrates the open-endedness of the project method. The project’s activities permeated the school and the community, bringing residents and students together in a common collaborative effort. It would get the attention of the president and vice president of the United States, become the subject of a book, and become an international cause. When they began the project, the Whitwell students and teachers had no idea how many lives they were to touch. A student, summing up the project, said, “Now, when I see someone, I think before I speak, I think before I act, and I think before I judge.”

Critical theory, a highly influential contemporary theory of education, urges a rigorous critique of schools and society to uncover exploitative power relationships and bring about equity, fairness, and social justice. Many of its assumptions are derived from postmodernist and existentialist philosophies, neo-Marxism, feminist and multicultural theories, and Paulo Freire’s liberation pedagogy. (Freire is discussed in the Pioneers of Modern Teaching chapter.) Henry Giroux and Peter McLaren are leading proponents of critical theory.

Key Concepts

Karl Marx, an important nineteenth-century philosopher, influenced the development of critical theory. Marx, who argued that all institutions rest on an economic base, saw human history as a class struggle for social and economic power. Critical theorists often use such Marxist concepts as class conflict and alienation to analyze social and educational institutions. Alienation refers to feelings of powerlessness experienced by people who have been marginalized and pushed to society’s edges.

According to critical theorists, critical consciousness requires recognition that an individual’s social status, including educational and economic expectations and opportunities, is largely determined by race, ethnicity, gender, and class. The dominant socioeconomic class that controls social, political, economic, and educational institutions uses its power to maintain, or reproduce, its favored position and to subordinate socially and economically disadvantaged classes. In the United States...
the historically subordinate groups are the urban and rural poor, African and Native Americans, Latinos, women, and gays and lesbians. Through a critical education, however, subordinated classes and groups can become conscious of their exploitation, resist domination, overturn the patterns of oppression, and empower themselves.

The Basic Questions

Influenced by postmodernism, critical theorists want to raise consciousness about questions dealing with knowledge, education, the school, and teaching and learning. For them, knowledge is about issues of social, political, economic, and educational power and control. In particular, critical theorists want to raise the consciousness of those who are forced into lesser, marginal, and subordinate positions in society because of race, ethnicity, language, class, or gender.

Critical theorists contend that economically, politically, and socially dominant classes control and use schools for social maintenance and control. To maintain their commanding position, children of the dominant classes attend prestigious educational institutions where they are prepared for high-level careers in business, industry, and government. Children of subordinate groups and classes are indoctrinated to accept the conditions that disempower them as the “best of all possible worlds.” Schools in economically disadvantaged urban and declining rural areas, for example, serve mainly the poor, African Americans, and Latinos. Typically underfinanced, these schools often are housed in deteriorating buildings and lack needed resources.

The typical inner-city school and many other schools as well are enmeshed in large, hierarchical educational bureaucracies. With orders coming down from the top, teachers have little or no power in making decisions about how schools will run. Within the school, teachers tend to be isolated from each other in self-contained classrooms. Further, parents and others in the local community are kept at a distance, with little involvement with the school. The curriculum, too, is determined by higher-level administrators, with little room for local initiatives that relate to the life experiences of students or community people.

Critical theorists see curriculum as existing in two spheres: the formal official curriculum and the “hidden” curriculum. The officially mandated curriculum contains skills and subjects purposely mandated and transmitted to students. The “hidden” curriculum imposes approved behaviors and attitudes on students through the school environment. The dominant classes use the official curriculum to transmit their particular beliefs and values as the legitimate version of knowledge for all students. Transmission, instead of critical thinking and analysis, reproduces in students the officially sanctioned and mandated version of knowledge. For example, the official version of history portrays the American experience as a largely European American series of triumphs in settling and industrializing the nation. Women, African and Native Americans, and Latinos are marginalized “add-ons” to the official narrative.

68For the tensions between “official” and “non-official” history, see Peter N. Stearns, Peter Seixas, and Sam Wineburg, eds., Knowing, Teaching, and Learning History: National and International Perspectives (New York: New York University Press, 2000).
The hidden curriculum is a key element in school-based social control. “Hidden” because it is not stated in published state mandates or local school policies, it permeates the public-school milieu. For example, sexist attitudes that males have a greater aptitude than females in mathematics and science preserve gender-specific patterns of entry into education and careers in those fields.

Although the privileged classes have historically dominated schools, critical theorists do not see their domination as inevitable. They believe that teachers, as critically minded activists, can transform schools into democratic public spheres in which the consciousness of the exploited is raised and the dispossessed are empowered.

Critical theorists argue that students construct their own meaningful knowledge and values in their local contexts, the immediate situations and communities in which they live and in the schools they attend. Teachers should begin consciousness-raising with the students in their classes by examining the conditions in their neighborhood communities. Students can share their life stories to create a collaborative group autobiography that recounts experiences at home, in school, and in the community. They can further connect this group autobiography to the larger histories of their respective economic classes and racial, ethnic, and language groups. For example, The Freedom Writers Diary provides a compelling narrative of how Erin Gruwell, a beginning English teacher at the Woodrow Wilson High School in Long Beach, California, effectively used autobiographical writing as an educational method. Gruwell’s students, categorized as “at risk” students who were expected to drop out of school, wrote their autobiographies in diaries. They wrote about the conditions that they were experiencing in their own situations—violence, gang warfare, drug abuse, and poverty. As they came to know themselves, they were self-empowered. Contrary to the educational establishment’s prediction, these students all completed high school.

The United States’ multicultural society provides many more versions of the American experience than the officially approved story. Members of each race, ethnic, and language group can tell their own story rather than having it told for them. After exploring their own identities, students can develop ways to recognize stereotyping and misrepresentation and to resist indoctrination both in and out of school. They can learn how to take control of their own lives and shape their own futures.

**Implications for Today’s Classroom Teacher**

Critical theorists want teachers in both their preservice preparation and classroom practice to focus on issues that relate to power and control in school and society. They urge teachers to (1) find out who their real friends are in the struggle for control of schools; (2) learn who their students are by helping them explore their own self-identities; (3) collaborate with local people for school and community improvement; (4) join with like-minded teachers in teacher-controlled professional organizations that work for genuine educational reform; (5) participate in critical theory
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dialogues about political, social, economic, and educational issues that confront American society.

Critical theorists find that teachers’ power in determining their own professional lives is severely limited. State boards, not teachers’ professional organizations, largely determine entry requirements into the profession. Where standardized tests are used to determine schools’ effectiveness and teachers’ competency, teachers are judged by criteria mandated by state legislators and prepared by “experts” external to the particular school and classroom.
Michael Apple, a neo-Marxist curriculum theorist, warns that much discussion about educational technology in the classroom is rhetorical rather than motivated by a desire for genuine change. Unless educational technology is used to uncover the root issues of discrimination and poverty, he believes it is likely to bring an externally derived, “impersonal, prepackaged style” to education rather than one based on the schools’ real internal conditions.72

Teachers using a critical-theory approach might design a unit in which middle-school social studies students explore their racial and ethnic heritages. Students begin by sharing their impressions of their heritage by telling stories about their families, their customs, and celebrations. Then, parents and grandparents are invited in as guest speakers to share their cultural experiences with the students. Students then create a multicultural display that includes family photographs, artifacts, and other items that illustrate the lives and cultures of the people who live in the local community.

Constructing Your Personal Philosophy of Education

Now that we have examined the major philosophies and theories of education, we return to the questions about knowledge, education, schooling, and teaching and learning raised at the chapter’s beginning. You can complete your project of constructing your own philosophy of education by reconsidering your initial beliefs about what is true and valuable. Throughout the chapter, the Refocus questions asked if you planned to incorporate elements of the philosophies and theories examined in this chapter as you construct your own philosophy of education. Did your encounter with them cause you to revise or rethink your initial philosophical beliefs? In completing your philosophical project, you can reconsider such questions as:

- Do you believe that knowledge is based on universal and eternal truths, or is it relative to different times and places?
- What is the purpose of education? Is it to transmit the culture, to provide economic and social skills, to develop critical-thinking skills, or to criticize and reform society?
- What are schools for? Are they to teach skills and subjects, encourage personal self-definition, develop human intelligence, or create patriotic and economically productive citizens?
- What should curriculum contain? Should it include basic skills and subjects, experiences and projects, the Great Books and the classics, inquiry processes, and/or critical dialogues?
- What should the relationship be between teachers and students? Should it include transmitting heritage, teaching and learning skills and subjects, examining great ideas, encouraging self-expression and self-definition, constructing knowledge, or solving problems?

To provide an orientation for developing your philosophy of education, the text defined the terms **metaphysics, epistemology, axiology (ethics and aesthetics),** and **logic,** and showed how these subdivisions of philosophy relate to questions of education, schooling, knowledge, and teaching and learning.

To provide a frame of reference for developing your philosophy of education, we examined the philosophies of **idealism, realism, pragmatism, existentialism, and postmodernism** and the theories of **essentialism, perennialism, progressivism, and critical theory.**

By studying these philosophies and theories of education, you can build your personal philosophy of education and examine the underlying philosophical bases of curriculum and teaching and learning.
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**Key Terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophies</th>
<th>Theories</th>
<th>Metaphysics</th>
<th>Epistemology</th>
<th>Axiology</th>
<th>Ethics</th>
<th>Aesthetics</th>
<th>Deductive Logic</th>
<th>Inductive Logic</th>
<th>Idealism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(161)</td>
<td>(161)</td>
<td>(161)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>(164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrocosm</td>
<td>Microcosm</td>
<td>A Priori Ideas</td>
<td>Socratic Method</td>
<td>Realism</td>
<td>Pragmatism</td>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Existentialism</td>
<td>Postmodernism</td>
<td>Constructivism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(164)</td>
<td>(164)</td>
<td>(164)</td>
<td>(165)</td>
<td>(166)</td>
<td>(169)</td>
<td>(170)</td>
<td>(173)</td>
<td>(176)</td>
<td>(176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deconstruction</td>
<td>Essentialism</td>
<td>Perennialism</td>
<td>The Paideia Proposal</td>
<td>Cultural Relativism</td>
<td>Progressivism</td>
<td>Social Reconstructionism</td>
<td>Constructivism</td>
<td>Critical Theory</td>
<td>Hidden Curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(177)</td>
<td>(181)</td>
<td>(183)</td>
<td>(185)</td>
<td>(185)</td>
<td>(187)</td>
<td>(188)</td>
<td>(191)</td>
<td>(191)</td>
<td>(192)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Certification Connection**

Chapter 6 is an examination of the philosophical underpinnings of educational theories. Now that you understand what a philosophy of education is, write your own educational philosophy. In order to prepare for Praxis II, focus your personal philosophy of education on how to influence student achievement positively. Answer questions such as, “How does assessment affect your everyday teaching?” and “What approach to behavior management has the greatest chance for success?” As you participate in internships, keep a journal on how different teachers that you observe influence the educational success of their students.
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**Discussion Questions**

1. Reflect on your ideas about knowledge, education and schooling, and teaching and learning. What would you say is your philosophy of education? If you have the opportunity, share your thoughts with your classmates and listen to their philosophies. Discuss the agreements and disagreements that emerge. Speculate on how you might bring your school and teaching into more coherence with your philosophical beliefs.

2. Reflect on how your philosophy of education has been influenced by significant teachers in your life or by books, motion pictures, and television programs about teachers and teaching. Share and discuss such influences with your classmates.

3. What underlying philosophical orientations can you identify in preservice courses you are taking or in your teacher-education program as a whole? In your clinical observation, what underlying philosophical orientations can you detect in the practice of experienced teachers?

4. Are there philosophies and theories or elements of philosophies and theories that you consider unacceptable or incompatible with American public schools?
Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Contemporary papers on the philosophy of education presented at the Twentieth World Congress of Philosophy are available at www.bu.edu/wcp/MainEduc.htm. Identify and review the papers most useful in helping you create your own philosophy of education.

2. Consult the Council for Basic Education’s website at http://www.edutopia.org/php/orgs.php?id=Org_301594 and examine the council’s educational philosophy. Is this philosophy similar to perennialism and essentialism? How does it differ from pragmatism, progressivism, and critical theory?

3. In your field-based or clinical experience, keep a journal that identifies the philosophy or theory underlying the school, curriculum, and teaching-learning methods you have observed. Share and reflect on these observations with the members of your class.

4. Create and maintain a clippings file of articles about education that appear in the popular press—newspapers and magazines—either critiquing schools or proposing educational reforms. Analyze the philosophical and theoretical positions underlying these critiques and proposed reforms. Share and reflect on your observations with other class members.

5. Create and maintain a clippings file of articles about education that appear in local newspapers near the school where you are doing clinical experience, student teaching, or teaching. Analyze the philosophical and theoretical positions underlying these articles. Share and reflect on your observations with other class members.

6. Research and prepare a statement on the school district philosophy of education approved by the board of education where you are doing clinical experience, student teaching, or teaching. Compare and contrast the board’s philosophy of education with the philosophies and theories discussed in this chapter. Share and reflect on your observations with other class members.

7. Prepare a set of questions to use as a guide for interviewing key educators—deans, department chairs, professors—at your college or university about their educational philosophies. Share and reflect on your observations with other class members.

8. Prepare a set of questions to use as a guide for interviewing administrators and teachers in the school district in which you are engaged in clinical experience, student teaching, or teaching. The questions should relate to their educational philosophies. Share and reflect on your observations with other class members.

9. Consider how autobiographical writing can aid in formulating your ideas about education. Access the Freedom Writers Foundation at http://www.freedomwritersfoundation.org for strategies that you might use in writing your own educational autobiography or as a teaching method.

Suggested Resources

Internet Resources
For an introduction to an extensive collection of materials about pragmatism, consult the Pragmatism Archive at Oklahoma State University, John R. Shook, director: www.pragmatism.org/archive.

For recent research, projects, and programs on John Dewey and progressive education, consult the John Dewey Project on Progressive Education at the University of Vermont: www.uvm.edu/~dewey.

For commentaries on philosophers and philosophies, consult the Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy at www.utm.edu/research/iep.

For materials on Dewey’s life and philosophy, consult the Center for Dewey Studies, Southern Illinois University at www.siu.edu/~deweyctr.

For commentaries and analysis of the educational philosophies and theories of selected educators, consult the Gallery of Educational Theorists organized and maintained by Edward G. Rozycki at www.newfoundations.com/GALLERY/Gallery.html.

For discussions of informal education in the philosophies and theories of selected educators, consult www.infed.org/thinkers.

For information and materials about basic education, consult the Council for Basic Education at www.c-b-e.org.

The Foundation for Critical Thinking provides information and resources at www.criticalthinking.org.

For sources, materials, organizations, and links related to the philosophy of education, consult the Open Directory Project at www.dmoz.org. Link to the “Society” then “Philosophy” listings, then to “Philosophy of Education.”

For an extensive repository of materials on philosophy of education, access “Materials on the Philosophy of Education, Metropolitan Community College, Omaha, Nebraska,” at http://commhum.mccneb.edu/PHILOS/phileduc.htm.


Publications


———. Philosophy of Education. Boulder, Col.: Westview Press, 2006. Provides an excellent overview of philosophy of education, with special attention to key developments and contemporary issues such as standards and tests.


PART THREE

Political, Economic, and Legal Foundations
Education in the United States is organized on four governmental levels—local, intermediate (in some states), state, and federal. Understanding the formal organization of schools and how they are governed can help you to make wise choices and realistic decisions about schools and to take appropriate political action. In this chapter, we examine the various governmental levels and how they affect education.

The United States does not have a national education system like those in Great Britain, France, or Japan. We have fifty different state educational systems and many differences among local school systems even within the same state.

The U.S. Constitution makes no mention of public education, but the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution reserves to the states all powers not specifically delegated to the federal government or prohibited to the states by the Constitution. This amendment is the basis for allocating to the states primary legal responsibility for public education. However, the states have delegated responsibility for day-to-day school system operations to local districts. So we begin our discussion of how schools are governed and administered at the local level. As you read this chapter, think about the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- How do local, state, and federal governments influence education?
- How does the local school board work with the district superintendent in formulating school policy?
- Why have many school districts consolidated or decentralized?

*This chapter was revised by Dr. James Lawlor, Towson University*
What are the various roles and responsibilities of the governor, state legislature, state board of education, state department of education, and chief state school officer in determining school policy?

How has the federal role in education changed in recent years?

Local Responsibilities and Activities

Every public school in the United States is part of a local school district. The district is created by the state. The state legislature, subject to the restrictions of the state constitution, can modify a local district’s jurisdiction, change its boundaries and powers, or even eliminate it altogether. The local district encompasses a relatively small geographical area and operates schools for children within specific communities. However, because a school district operates for the state, local policies must be consistent with policies set forth in the state school code.

Characteristics of Local School Boards

Despite the fact that the state limits their prerogatives, local school boards have assumed significant decision-making responsibility. Many school boards have the power to raise money through taxes. They exercise power over personnel and school property. Some states leave curriculum and student policy largely to local school boards, but others, by law, impose specific requirements or limitations.

Methods of selecting board members are prescribed by state law. The two standard methods are election and appointment. Election is thought to make for greater accountability to the public, but some people argue that appointment leads to greater competence and less politics. Election, by far the most common practice, accounts for about 95 percent of school board members nationwide. A few states specify a standard number of board members, others specify a permissible range, and a few have no requirements. Most school boards fall within a seven-to-nine-member range, with the largest school board having nineteen members.

Many educators are concerned about whether school boards adequately reflect the diversity of the communities they serve. Recent nationwide surveys indicate that the number of women on school boards has increased, from about 33 percent in 1981 to 40 percent in 2002 (see Figure 7.1). Minority representation increased slightly over the same period, from 8.5 percent to 14 percent, but continues to lag behind the rising proportion of minority students in U.S. public schools (39 percent in 2002). The largest one hundred school systems (those enrolling sixty thousand or more students) tend to have more heterogeneous boards. A 2001 survey indicated that minority members constituted 22 percent of the school board membership in these systems; women made up 42 percent.

School board members tend to be older than the general population (82 percent are over age forty); more educated (67 percent have had four or more years of college);
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and wealthier (24 percent have incomes of $50,000 or more, and 40 percent earn more than $75,000 annually). They are more likely to be professionals or managers (45 percent) or to own businesses. Thirty-four percent of school board members live in small towns, and an almost equal number live in suburban areas. Twenty-two percent live in rural communities, and almost 12 percent live in urban areas.

Interestingly, only 57 percent of school board members are parents, and almost 47 percent have no children in school. School districts are aware that whether or not board members have children in the district’s schools can affect the board

The text is too long to format into a single block of text. It seems to be a mixture of narrative and statistical data, possibly from a textbook or a professional journal. The narrative part describes the decision-making process in a school district, where Dr. Clore, the superintendent, is facing a difficult choice about renewing the contract of Principal Tom Day. The narrative is interspersed with questions for discussion, labeled as “Questions.”

The questions are:

1. Ideally, school boards and superintendents work in partnership. How would you define the roles of the superintendent and school board in this situation?
2. What legal issues could arise if the mandated procedures were not followed at this meeting?
3. What role, if any, might teachers have in such personnel decisions?
4. In a larger district, how might central office staff other than the superintendent be involved in an issue such as this?
5. What might be a better way for the school board to handle this potentially explosive situation?
members’ policy-making agendas, although different districts react to this knowledge differently. Some school districts require board members to have school-age children; other districts permit children of board members to attend school outside the district.

Age and socioeconomic factors may contribute to board members’ political views. Most board members see their political affiliation as conservative (55 percent), and 38 percent are liberal. Board members’ general political views may, in turn, contribute to their votes on school issues in their districts.4

School boards hold three types of meetings: regular, special, and executive. The first two are usually open to the public. The third type, usually closed to the public, deals with personnel issues, acquisition of property, or problems related to individual students. Open board meetings obviously enhance school–community relations and allow parents and other citizens to understand the problems of education as well as to air their concerns. The use of closed board meetings to reach major policy decisions is often criticized and is illegal in many states. From Preservice to Practice describes an open executive meeting.

School board members experience considerable pressure as they listen to and weigh the competing demands of citizen advisory groups, the business community, parents with special concerns (such as students with disabilities, gifted and talented
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programs, school discipline, and school-based management committees), the teachers’ association, and local and state politicians, who often are key in funding decisions. Some decisions have winners and losers; high priorities take precedence over lower ones, and funding constraints frequently mean difficult (and occasionally unpopular) decisions.

School quality is an important factor in determining a community’s reputation, its property values, and the willingness of businesses to locate nearby. Yet, according to a recent survey of sixty-six Illinois school superintendents, school boards have become more political and divisive in recent years; newer board members seem more interested in the views of their electors than in the views of other board members or professional educators. This has caused educators and citizens to question the value of elected school boards and the politicization they often bring.5

School Board Responsibilities

School administration and management is big business, and school board members must understand good business practices. Overall, U.S. school boards have fiscal responsibility for $495 billion each year and employ more than five million teachers, administrators, and support staff (such as guidance counselors, librarians, and nurses).6 They constitute the largest nationwide employer. A typical school board member will spend more than twenty hours per week on school board business. Board members must be fair and mindful of the law when dealing with students, teachers, administrators, parents, and other community residents.

The powers and responsibilities of school boards may be classified as follows:

1. Policy. School boards set the general rules about what is done in the schools, who does it, and how. The recent shift to school-based management has changed the what, who, and how, permitting greater involvement of teachers, school-based administrators, and parent groups in day-to-day school operation and direction.

2. Staffing. Technically the board is responsible for hiring all school district employees. In practice, however, school boards usually confine themselves to recruiting and selecting the school superintendent (the district’s chief executive officer) and high-ranking members of the central office staff. Decisions on hiring and retaining principals and teachers are usually made lower in the district hierarchy.

3. Employee relations. School board members are responsible for all aspects of employee relations, including collective bargaining with teacher unions. Large school districts rely on consultants or attorneys to negotiate with teachers, but small school districts may use the superintendent or a school board committee.

4. Fiscal matters. The board must keep the school district solvent and get the most out of every tax dollar. The school district usually has a larger budget than any other aspect of local government.
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5. **Students.** The board addresses questions of student rights and responsibilities, requirements for promotion and graduation, extracurricular activities, and attendance.

6. **Curriculum and assessment.** The school board develops curriculum—especially development related to federal and state law and guidelines—and approves textbook selections. Likewise, the board must implement and report on state assessment requirements such as those of the federal No Child Left Behind Act.

7. **Community relations.** The school board must respond not only to parents but also to other members of the community.

8. **Intergovernmental requirements.** Federal and state agencies establish a variety of requirements for local schools, and the school board is responsible for seeing that these mandates are carried out.

Board members are expected to govern the school system without encroaching on the superintendent’s authority. Members, in theory, have no authority except during a board meeting and while acting as a collective group or board. They also must be politically prudent: eventually someone will ask for a favor, and they must be able to resist this pressure.

**The School Superintendent and Central Office Staff**

One of the board’s most important responsibilities is to appoint a competent superintendent of schools. The superintendent is the chief executive officer of the school system, whereas the board is the legislative policy-making body. Sometimes, the superintendent literally is a CEO. Although the vast majority of superintendents are educators, in recent years a few larger school districts, most notably New York, have hired business professionals as superintendents.

As with school boards, concerns have emerged that superintendents fail to reflect the diversity of the districts they serve. Currently, 84 percent of school superintendents are men, 16 percent are women, and only 5 percent are members of minority groups.

The school board, which consists of laypeople rather than experts in school affairs, is responsible for seeing that schools are properly run by professional personnel. The board of education often delegates many of its own legal powers to the superintendent and staff, especially in larger districts, although the superintendent’s policies are subject to board approval.

A major function of the school superintendent is to gather and present data so that school board members can make intelligent policy decisions. The superintendent advises the school board and keeps members abreast of problems; generally, the school board refuses to enact legislation or make policy without the school superintendent’s recommendation. However, in cases of continual disagreement or major policy conflict between the school board and the superintendent, the latter is usually replaced. The average tenure of superintendents is about three to four years. In large urban districts, the average is even lower, less than three years.
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reported that in the largest one hundred school districts 24 percent of the superintendents had served in their current positions for one year or less. The reasons most superintendents give for losing their jobs are communication breakdowns and micromanagement (interference in school administration) by the board. What would Dr. Clore’s feelings be about school board interference and micromanagement?

Besides advising the board of education, the superintendent usually is responsible for many other functions, including the following:

1. Management of professional and nonteaching personnel (for example, custodians and cafeteria workers)
2. Curriculum and instruction leadership
3. Administrative management, including district organization, budgeting, long-range planning, and complying with directives from state and federal agencies

In addition, the superintendent oversees day-to-day operation of the district schools and serves as the major spokesperson for the schools.

Superintendents often experience strong pressure from various segments of the community such as disgruntled parents or organized community groups with their own agendas (sometimes overt, sometimes covert). Much of the superintendent’s effectiveness depends on his or her ability to deal with such pressure groups. Only a confident school leader can balance the demands and expectations of parents and community groups with the needs of the students. Experts agree that the key to success as a superintendent is communication—with school board members, citizen groups, teachers, parents, unions, and elected officials. Failure to build citizen, legislative, and political support quickly leads to a superintendent’s downfall.

A central office staff assists the superintendent (see Figure 7.2). Large districts of 25,000 or more students may have many levels in the staff hierarchy: a deputy superintendent, associate superintendents, assistant superintendents, directors, department heads, and coordinators and supervisors, each with their own support staffs. Small school districts usually have a less bureaucratic central office.

Critics charge that the many-layered bureaucracies of large school districts are inefficient—a waste of the taxpayers’ money. Actually, in terms of administrator-to-student ratios, the largest districts are not necessarily the least efficient. Nevertheless, like large corporations, many school districts consider the benefits of streamlining in this era of limited resources and school reform.

The Principal and the School

Most schools have a single administrative officer, a principal, who is responsible for school operations. In small schools, the principal may teach part time as well; large schools may have one or more assistant or vice principals. Interestingly, 87 percent
This figure shows the organizational chart of a medium-sized school district with 5,000 to 25,000 students, representative of almost 12 percent of school districts nationwide. Small school districts, with 1,000 to 5,000 students—about 37 percent of all districts nationally—have much simpler organizational structures. The organizational hierarchy of larger school districts is cumbersome; a chart of a district with 100,000 or more students would extend off the page. Source: Digest of Educational Statistics, 2006, Table 85.
of school administrators (principals and vice principals) are men. The administrative hierarchy may also include department chairpersons, discipline officers (for instance, a dean of students), and guidance counselors. Each of these individuals works closely with the school principal and under his or her direction. Furthermore, most principals work with a community-based school improvement group, often a parent-teacher association or, more recently, a school-based management team.

Probably the most important aspect of the principal’s job is the role of manager: dealing with day-to-day school operations, meetings, paperwork, phone calls, and everyday tasks. However, principals are also expected to exert leadership in curriculum and instruction. Some authorities recommend that principals spend from 50 to 75 percent of their time focusing on curriculum and instruction (for example, math, English, social studies, art, and music). However, principals point out that their numerous managerial tasks often make this impossible.

In general, secondary-school principals tend to see themselves primarily as general managers, whereas elementary-school principals view themselves as leaders in curriculum and instruction. This may in part be because larger secondary schools create more managerial work for the principal. Moreover, secondary-school principals usually have chairpersons who handle curriculum and instructional activities in various subject areas, whereas elementary-school principals rarely have such assistance.

As a teacher, how will you interact with your principal? In large secondary schools a teacher’s interaction with the principal might be minimal, consisting of primarily formal observations; faculty meetings; cafeteria, hall, and bus duty; and conversations in the main office. In contrast, many elementary-school teachers have frequent, almost daily, contact with the principal, and these meetings cover a wide range of school- and student-related issues.

Traditionally, authority concerning school policies has proceeded from the top down, from the school board through the superintendent and central office staff to the principal. In some districts, however, as explained in the chapter on Understanding the Teaching Profession, school-based management has brought more decision-making power to individual schools. This gives principals and teachers increased responsibility for such matters as curriculum, staff development, teaching assignments, and even hiring and budgeting. Collaboration with teachers and other school staff to create school policies calls for a more participatory governance style among school principals.
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Parent and Community Involvement

Many programs for school-based management go beyond collaboration among principals and teachers by giving important roles to parents and other community members as well. In doing so, they build on a movement for increased parent and community involvement evident since the 1970s.

Many educators have promoted parent involvement for the most basic of reasons: research indicates that it pays off in higher student test scores, better grades, and improved attitudes toward learning, particularly for inner-city and minority students. Across the nation, polls indicate that the public overwhelmingly supports the idea of parent involvement and believes that parents play a major role in children’s education.

Nevertheless, relatively few parents take full advantage of existing opportunities to involve themselves with their children’s schooling. In a Department of Education survey of parents, only 32 percent of parents with eighth-grade children reported that they belonged to a parent-teacher organization, and only 36 percent
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had attended one or more school meetings. Many parents, according to recent research, avoid school involvement because of feelings of inadequacy, negative experiences in schools as students, and negative perceptions of administrator and teacher attitudes.

Despite such lack of participation from individual parents, the pressure for reform has produced formal arrangements that give parents and other community members a voice in local educational decisions. For purposes of discussion, we can divide community involvement into three broad categories: community participation, community control, and community education.

Community Participation The usual form of community participation involves advisory committees at either the neighborhood school or central board level. These committees are commonly appointed by school officials and offer the school board help and advice. Citizen groups provide advice and assistance in many areas: (1) identification of goals, priorities, and needs; (2) selection and evaluation of teachers and principals; (3) development of curricula and extracurricular programs; (4) support for financing schools; (5) recruitment of volunteers; and (6) assistance to students in school and in “homework hotline” programs.

Community Control In a system of community control, an elected community council or board does more than offer advice—it shares decision-making power with the central school board.

In 1990 Chicago instituted a form of community control as part of local educational reform. Parent and community groups provided significant input on recruitment and retention of school principals, curriculum, budgets, and such. Yet a recent examination showed that on three important reform indicators—student

---

**This Video Case reinforces key concepts found in Section IV: Profession and Community of the Praxis II Exam.**
Charter Schools as Public School Reform

As the pressure for school reform increased in the 1980s and 1990s, so did the public’s wish for greater participation in its schools. Some parents sought even greater control by requesting that school boards grant them charter-school status, a controversial issue in many states.

Question
Are charter schools a better way to educate students?

Arguments PRO
1. Charter schools provide an alternative vision of schooling not realized in the traditional public school system.
2. Charter schools have increased autonomy from state and local school district regulations.
3. Special populations of students, often “at-risk” students, are served by charter schools.
4. Students, teachers, and parents participate by choice and are committed to making charter schools work.
5. Charter schools are generally smaller and more manageable in size.
6. Parental involvement and overall communication are increased in charter schools.

Arguments CON
1. Accountability goals frequently are not clearly spelled out by sponsors in charter schools, leading to misunderstanding and confusion.
2. Features and regulations of many federal education programs that apply to charter schools are ill-suited to their operation.
3. Charter schools often receive inadequate funding for start-up and operating expenses, especially if they serve special populations that require high expenditures.
4. Charter schools have difficulty finding staff, and this problem is exacerbated by the teacher shortage. In addition, teachers’ unions often withhold support and attempt to restrict charter schools in their operation.
5. Inadequate school buildings and facilities affect classroom learning.
6. Insufficient planning time for charter school boards, principals, and staff makes for management and communication problems later on. Assertive and demanding parents can erode a charter school’s effectiveness.

Operation of charter schools

Perhaps the newest major development in community involvement in education is the establishment of charter schools (discussed in more detail in the chapter on Financing Public Education). In this arrangement, the local school board or state board of education grants a community group a “charter” (a contract listing
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specific rights, privileges, and expectations) that permits the group to establish and operate a public school. Specific arrangements about finance, school operation, physical location, student enrollment, and accountability are negotiated. If the charter school fails to meet prescribed accountability standards, its charter is revoked and the school is closed. See Taking Issue for a discussion of the pros and cons of charter schools.

**Community Education** Since the early 1980s, the school has come to be seen as only one of the educational agencies within the community. Under this concept—called community education—the school serves as a partner, or coordinating agency, in providing educational, health, social, legal, recreational, and cultural activities to the community. In Baltimore, Maryland, for example, schools offer a variety of services to local citizens, such as preschool programs for three- and four-year-olds and their parents, as well as adult sports and drama, exercise, recreational, health, and vocational programs. All of this has occurred in response to changes in society. Programs such as these are especially helpful for low-income families.

As part of the community education plan, schools share their personnel and facilities with other community agencies or even businesses. In return, schools may expect to share facilities, equipment, and personnel with other community agencies, local businesses, and area universities. This type of sharing is especially important in a period of retrenchment and school budget pressures.

**Size of Schools and School Districts**

Educators have long debated the question of size: How large should a school be? How many students should be enrolled in a single district? Four decades ago, James Conant argued that the most effective high schools were the ones large enough to offer comprehensive and diversified facilities. More recently, however, other educators have contended that small schools are more effective.

In 1987, after reviewing several studies, two researchers concluded that high schools should have no more than 250 students. Larger enrollments, according to this analysis, result in a preoccupation with control and order, and the anonymity of a large school makes it harder to establish a sense of community among students, teachers, and parents. For example, a 1994 study of thirty-four large high schools in New York City showed that when students were organized into “houses” of approximately 250 students, attendance improved, student responsiveness in school increased, and grades went up.

More recent studies indicate that learning is best in high schools of 600 to 900 students; learning declines as school size grows and is considerably less in high schools with more than 2,100 students. Not surprisingly, studies showed that more affluent communities had effective student learning even with larger schools, whereas
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low socioeconomic neighborhoods, or schools with high concentrations of minority students, needed small schools for students to learn.27

The debate about school size parallels similar disputes about the optimum size of school districts. Larger school districts, according to their proponents, offer a broader tax base and reduce the educational cost per student; consequently, these districts can better afford high-quality personnel, a wide range of educational programs and special services, and good transportation facilities. Most studies of this subject over the past sixty years have placed the most effective school district size as between 10,000 and 50,000 students.28

Today, however, small is often considered better in school districts as well as in individual schools. In a 1993 study of school board members, 78 percent of those surveyed believed that smaller districts were more manageable and promoted citizen involvement but saw large systems as administrative nightmares.29

Arguments and counterarguments aside, the trend in American education has been toward larger school districts. By 2004, one-third of all public school students were in the 100 largest districts, each serving 60,000 or more students.30 In most cases, the larger school systems are located in or near cities, the largest being the New York City system with approximately 1,150,000 students, followed by Los Angeles with 740,000 students, and Chicago with 450,000. Two other large school systems, Puerto Rico (613,000) and Hawaii (186,000), span an entire territory and state, respectively.31

Consolidation

School districts grow through population growth and through consolidation, when several smaller school districts combine into one or two larger ones. As Figure 7.3 illustrates, consolidation dramatically reduced the overall number of districts from more than 130,000 in 1930 to less than 14,400 in 2004, with the bulk of the decline taking place in the thirty years between 1930 and 1960.32

School districts consolidate for a variety of reasons; chief among them are the following:

- **Size.** Larger schools, especially high schools, permit broader curriculum offerings and specialized faculty.
- **Services.** Larger schools justify hiring counselors, deans of students, assistant principals, team leaders, and specialists not normally found in smaller schools.
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30See “Characteristics of the 100 Largest,” at National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education, Table 85.
31Look for a list of the largest school systems in Digest of Education Statistics, 2006. You’ll find varied information regarding big-city districts by searching the Internet for “Great Cities Schools” and “State Education Agencies” at National Center for Education Statistics, 2006, Table 85; Jennifer Sable et al., Characteristics of the 100 Largest Public Elementary and Secondary School Districts (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Educational Statistics, 2005.)
■ Economics. Purchasing decisions (for example, books, paper, and art supplies) yield significant cost savings when ordering in bulk. Consolidation also permits older buildings to be retired at considerable cost savings. Redundant high-salaried central-office positions may also be cut when school districts combine.

■ Options for consolidation

Consolidating districts usually means closing schools, and this has proved to be a serious and emotional matter, especially in small and rural districts where the local school may be a focal point of community identity. A less drastic method of consolidation is for neighboring districts to share programs and personnel. For example, in 1995, sixty-seven Iowa school districts were sharing superintendents; seventy-one were involved in whole-grade sharing (programs in which all students in a certain grade from participating districts are assigned to a single district).\(^3\)

REFOCUS As you read newspapers and magazines and listen to news broadcasts, what educational issues are discussed at the local school district level, the state level, and in Washington, D.C.? How do these issues affect the local district in which you teach or have field experiences?

Intermediate Units

■ Coordination and supplementary services

The term intermediate unit or regional educational service agency (RESA) refers to an office or agency in a middle position between the state department of education and local school districts. This agency provides coordination and supplementary services.
services to local districts and links local and state educational authorities. The intermediate unit is usually a legal and political extension of the state department of education created by the state legislature. By 2004 thirty-two states had some form of intermediate unit. The average intermediate unit comprises twenty to thirty school districts and covers about fifty square miles. Approximately 1,445 intermediate or regional agencies currently provide services to school districts in the United States.\footnote{Digest of Education Statistics, 2005, Table 86. Also see “School Districts” at \url{http://en.wikipedia.org} (2006).}

In recent years, intermediate units have provided school districts widely varied consulting services and resource personnel in curriculum, instruction, evaluation, in-service training, and other general areas of education. Intermediate units have also provided services in more specialized areas such as bilingual education, pre-kindergarten education, vocational education, education of the gifted and talented and children with disabilities, and data processing and technology education. Many educators believe that an intermediate unit covering several districts can economically provide services that many small or financially strapped school districts could not afford on their own.

### State Responsibilities and Activities

Each state has legal responsibility for supporting and maintaining the public schools within its borders. The state:

- enacts legislation
- determines state school taxes and financial aid to local school districts
- sets minimum standards for training and recruiting personnel
- provides curriculum guidelines (some states also establish “approved” textbook lists)
- makes provisions for accrediting schools
- provides special services such as student transportation and free textbooks

The state school code is the collection of laws that establish ways and means of operating schools and conducting education in the state. The state, of course, cannot enact legislation that conflicts with the federal Constitution. Many states have quite detailed laws concerning methods of operating the schools. The typical organizational hierarchy, from state to local levels, is shown in Figure 7.4.

### The Governor and State Legislature

Although gubernatorial powers vary widely, authority on educational matters is spelled out in law. Typical aspects of the governor’s role in education are summarized in Overview 7.1 on the text’s website. Usually a governor is charged with making educational budget recommendations to the legislature. In many states, the governor has legal access to any accumulated balances in the state treasury, and these monies can be used for school purposes. The governor can generally appoint or remove school personnel at the state level, and in some states even remove local superintendents. But these powers often carry restrictions, such as approval by the legislature. In most states, the governor can appoint members of the state board of education and, in a few states, the chief state school officer. Governors can veto...
educational measures or threaten to veto to discourage the legislature from enacting opposed educational laws.

In most states, the legislature is primarily responsible for establishing and maintaining the public schools and has broad powers to enact laws pertaining to education. These powers are limited by restrictions in the form of federal and state constitutions and court decisions.

The legislature usually decides major financial matters, including the nature and level of state taxes for schools and the taxing powers of local school districts. It may also determine basic parameters of teaching and instruction, including (1) what may or may not be taught, (2) how many years of compulsory education will be required, and (3) the length of the school day and school year. In addition, the legislature may establish testing and assessment procedures, authorize school programs, and set standards for building construction. Where the legislature does not enact these policies, they are usually the responsibility of the state board of education, which we describe below.

As a teacher, you will need to comply with various state laws. Since the 1980s, state legislatures have become active in school reform by making more use of their powers over education. Nationwide, more than twelve hundred state statutes
pertaining to school reform were enacted between 1983 and 1990 alone.\(^{35}\) The new statutes have addressed matters ranging from curriculum to teaching qualifications, from class size to graduation requirements. Not since the wave of school reform that followed the 1957 Soviet launch of Sputnik have state legislatures played such a prominent role in educational policy.

### The State Board of Education

The **state board of education** is usually the most influential and important state education agency. With the exception of Wisconsin and Minnesota, all states have some sort of state board of education, which depends on the state legislature for appropriations and authority and serves an advisory function for the legislature. (New York’s Board of Regents is perhaps the strongest and most respected state board of education.) In addition, most states have a separate governing board for state colleges and universities. The precise duties and functions of state boards of education vary.

As of 2005, governors appointed board members in thirty-two states. The state legislature appointed board members in two states, and fourteen states elected members by popular vote (a method increasingly prevalent in recent decades). The remaining states used either legislative appointment or a combination of appointed members and elected members. The number of members on state boards ranges from seven to nineteen, with an eleven-member board the most popular.\(^{36}\) (An odd number of members eliminates tie votes.)

As with local boards, women are more often becoming state board members. In 1982, about 34 percent of board members were women; by 2002 the percentage had risen to 47 percent. Ethnic composition of state school boards, however, has changed little. In both 1982 and 2002, 16 percent were members of minority groups.\(^{37}\) (See Figure 7.1 on page 203.) These trends are important because heterogeneity broadens the perspectives of board members and increases the likelihood that boards reflect a wide range of social and educational concerns.

### The State Department of Education

As a teacher, you are most likely to encounter in day-to-day work the **state department of education**. State departments of education usually operate under the direction of the state board of education and are administered by the chief state school officer. Traditionally, state departments of education primarily collected and disseminated statistics about the status of education within the state. Since the 1950s, however, state departments have taken on many other functions.\(^{38}\) In short, they carry out the laws of the state legislature and the regulations of the state board.
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During recent decades, state departments of education have had to grapple with controversial issues such as desegregation, compensatory education, student rights and unrest, school finance reform and fiscal crisis, aid to minority groups, collective bargaining, accountability, student assessment, and competency testing. Accordingly, the departments, once nearly invisible, have grown significantly in size. Larger states (including California, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, and Texas) have professional staffs approaching 1,000.39

The Chief State School Officer

The chief state school officer (sometimes known as the state superintendent or commissioner of education) heads the state department of education and is also the chief executive of the state school board. He or she is usually a professional educator. The office is filled in one of three ways: in 2005, twelve states filled the position through appointment by the governor, twenty-four states through appointment by the state board of education, and fourteen states by popular election. As of 2002, two chief state school officers were African American; however, in 2005 twenty-three chief officers were women (46 percent)—a notable increase from earlier decades and triple the figure in 1990.40 The greater number of women in the position represents a departure from the “good-old-boy network” that once dominated the upper echelons of educational administration.

The duties of chief state school officers and relationships between that position and state boards and state departments vary widely. Generally an elected chief officer has more independence than one who is appointed. See Overview 7.1 on the website for the basic responsibilities of chief state school officers.

The Federal Role in Education

We’ll consider the federal government’s role in four parts: (1) the federal agencies that promote educational policies and programs; (2) the trend that has moved many educational decisions from the federal government to the state governments; (3) federal financing of education; and (4) the Supreme Court’s decisions concerning education. In this chapter we focus on the first two parts. Federal spending is examined in the chapter on Financing Public Education, and court decisions are discussed in the chapter on Legal Aspects of Education.

Federal Educational Agencies

During most of the nation’s first 150 years, between 1787 and 1937, Congress enacted only 14 significant educational laws. In the past seven decades, however, we have passed more than 170 significant laws.41 Traditionally, the major organizations of teachers and administrators, such as the American Federation of Teachers, the
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National Education Association, and the National School Boards Association, have preferred that the federal government offer financial aid and special services but refrain from interfering in educational policy. Many educators now believe, however, that the federal government should provide a clear statement of mission and specific kinds of guidance—curriculum frameworks as well as funds—to state and local agencies struggling to improve the schools.42

The U.S. Department of Education Although many different federal agencies now encompass educational programs or activities, the U.S. Department of Education is the primary federal educational agency. When the Department of Education was founded in 1867, as the Office of Education, its commissioner had a staff of three clerks and a total of $18,600 to spend. From its humble beginnings, the agency has grown to about 4,700 employees, and in 2005 its annual expenditures exceeded $141 billion.43 The department currently administers more than 120 separate programs.44

Over time, the Office of Education assumed the responsibilities of (1) administering grant funds and contracting with state departments of education, school districts, and colleges and universities; (2) engaging in educational innovation and research; and (3) providing leadership, consultative, and clearing-house services related to education.

In 1979, after much congressional debate and controversy, the Office of Education was changed to the Department of Education. A secretary of education was named, with full cabinet-level status, and the department officially opened in 1980.

The secretary of education has widespread visibility and influence. Besides managing educational policies and promoting programs to carry out those policies, the secretary can exert persuasion and pressure in political and educational circles. Recent heads of the department, including William Bennett, Lamar Alexander, Richard Riley, Roderick Paige, and Margaret Spelling have used the limelight to push their own brands of reform. Although many conservatives have argued to cut back its activities and eliminate its cabinet-level status, the department has grown more visible than ever in the past few years. The Technology @ School feature discusses Internet sources of information on the Department of Education and other levels of school governance.

Returning Responsibility to the Federal Government

George W. Bush made educational reform a key goal of his presidency. In 2002, Congress approved President Bush’s educational reform initiative, the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). NCLB aims to improve low-performing schools and to hold states and local school districts accountable for students meeting high standards, measured by annual performance tests in reading and mathematics. We discuss NCLB’s specific provisions in the chapters on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher and School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States. States and local school districts that fail to improve student performance, especially for underachieving students, will receive fewer federal dollars.

In the 1980s and 1990s, the federal government sought to reduce monetary outlays and shift program responsibility to local governments. The Bush administration, however, through No Child Left Behind, exerted more federal influence on local public schools than at any time in the previous thirty years. Accountability pressure at both state and local school levels has superintendents, principals, and teachers scrambling to show increased test scores in reading and math, as well as demonstrating that every child has a “highly qualified teacher.” Despite No Child Left Behind’s far-reaching implications and somewhat increased funding levels, critics fault the Bush administration for leaving the NCLB an “unfunded mandate” and for usurping the authority of state and local educational agencies.

Since schools function today in an era of accountability, new teachers will face NCLB expectations almost immediately, regardless of the level at which they teach. New teachers, moving from preservice to practice, will be expected to work closely with key faculty, such as resource specialists, administrators, and faculty teams, to analyze data and to make determinations regarding strategies to increase individual and group academic performance. The key here is faculty cooperation and sharing, which is necessary for increased student academic achievement.

Nonpublic Schools

Although this chapter has focused on public education, nonpublic schools are not exempt from governmental influences. In particular, many state education laws apply to private and parochial schools as well as to public institutions—laws pertaining to health standards, building codes, child welfare, student codes, and so forth. In addition, legislative bodies in many states have passed laws to help private schools and to provide public-funded aid in such areas as student transportation, health services, dual enrollment or shared-time plans, school lunch services, book and supply purchases, student testing services, teacher salary supplements, student tuition, and student loans.
As indicated in Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher, nonpublic schools now account for slightly more than 10 percent of total enrollments in U.S. elementary and secondary schools. Catholic schools still enroll the most private-school students, although their numbers have declined from 85 percent of all private-school students in 1969 to 46 percent in 2005. Nonreligious, independent schools have increased their share of students from 8 percent of private-school enrollments in 1969 to 16 percent by 2005. Evangelical and fundamentalist Christian school numbers and enrollments have also grown dramatically, reflecting the increased influence of conservative Protestants who seek schools that emphasize God, discipline, and faith in community and country.45

Private schools typically operate differently from public schools. They have a principal or headmaster but generally lack the cadre of support people mentioned earlier in this chapter. They usually derive their authority from a board of directors or school committee, which, unlike a public school board, addresses the operation of one particular private school.

Many commentators see public and private sectors as competing for students and for funds. Other educators, however, prefer to envision cooperation between public and private schools. In fact, certain distinctions between public and private schools are becoming blurred.46 For example, programs of school choice sometimes blend the public and private by allowing students to apply public funds to a private education. (Privatization is discussed in detail in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States, and school choice in the chapter on Financing Public Education.)
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Private schools are organized differently from public schools but remain influenced by governmental regulations in many ways. (© Philip Gould/CORBIS)

Summing Up

1. The governance of education is organized on four governmental levels: local, intermediate (in some states), state, and federal.
2. Schools are organized into school districts; approximately 14,400 public school districts currently operate in the United States.
3. At the local level, the school board, the school superintendent, the central office staff, and school principals all take part in governing and administering the schools.
4. Educators have attempted to increase parent and community involvement in the schools. School-based management programs often include greater roles for parents and community members. Other forms of public involvement include community participation, community control, community education, and charter schools.
5. Educators have long debated the optimum size for schools and school districts. Many believe that increases in size do not necessarily mean increases in efficiency or effectiveness and may result in the opposite.
6. Whereas small and rural school districts have undergone significant consolidation since the 1930s, some large urban districts have followed the contrary trend to decentralize.
7. More than half of the states have one or more intermediate units that support local school districts and exercise limited regulatory powers.
8. In most states, the legislature is primarily responsible for establishing and maintaining public schools and has broad powers to enact laws pertaining to school education.
9. All states except Wisconsin and Minnesota have state boards of education. The state boards oversee state departments of education headed by the chief state school officer.
10. Overall, the federal role in education has dramatically expanded since the 1930s. Recent decades, however, have witnessed a movement toward reduced federal involvement.
11. Nonpublic schools account for more than 10 percent of total enrollments in U.S. elementary and secondary schools, with Catholic schools comprising almost 46 percent of these enrollments and nonreligious, independent schools 16 percent.
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What do you consider the advantages and disadvantages of elected, rather than appointed, local school boards? Do the same arguments apply to state boards of education? Would you rather work where school boards are elected or where they are appointed? Explain.

React to the following statement made by Ernest Boyer, president of the Carnegie Foundation, when critiquing the 1983 presidential report *A Nation at Risk*: “If indeed the nation is at risk, then where is the federal government’s effort to address the problem?”

What are some reasons for and against shifting educational responsibilities from the federal government to the states?

How, as a teacher, can you influence educational change at the local level? At the state level?

Certification Connection

Chapter 7 describes the American educational governance system and role major stakeholders play within this system. The section of Praxis II, Principles of Learning and Teaching, entitled Profession and Community: The Larger Community, addresses topics such as community resources, partnerships, and shared decision making. After focusing on the section of this chapter on local school boards and community involvement, search for recent articles in your local newspaper that report on local school board actions. In your journal, identify how the local issues you discovered compare to the topics identified in the chapter. Describe how support from the larger community could help make local schools more effective in meeting the needs of all students in the school system.

Discussion Questions

1. What do you consider the advantages and disadvantages of elected, rather than appointed, local school boards? Do the same arguments apply to state boards of education? Would you rather work where school boards are elected or where they are appointed? Explain.

2. React to the following statement made by Ernest Boyer, president of the Carnegie Foundation, when critiquing the 1983 presidential report *A Nation at Risk*: “If indeed the nation is at risk, then where is the federal government’s effort to address the problem?”

3. What are some reasons for and against shifting educational responsibilities from the federal government to the states?

4. How, as a teacher, can you influence educational change at the local level? At the state level?

Projects for Professional Development

1. Interview classmates who went to large high schools (more than a thousand students) and those who went to smaller high schools (less than a thousand students). Where would you rather teach and why?

2. Make a chart listing the advantages and disadvantages of consolidation of school districts. Share your chart with a classmate.

3. Interview teachers and administrators in local schools regarding issues of teacher empowerment and school governance. You might ask the following questions: (a) To what extent are teachers involved in school governance and management? Does this school use true school-based management? If so, how does that function? (b) How do teachers regard their involvement in running the school? and (c) How do principals and other administrators regard teacher involvement in school governance? Analyze your interview responses. What can you conclude about teacher involvement in school governance? Is school-based management worthwhile or just another educational fad?

4. Talk with teachers and administrators in local schools about ways in which parents and the school community participate in the schools. Prepare a plan that would reach out to and/or involve students’ parents in meaningful ways in your classroom and in the school community.

5. Visit the website of your local school district or a district in which you are especially interested. (See [www.nasbe.org](http://www.nasbe.org); click on links to “State Education Agencies,” then click on “school boards” or “school districts.” You may need to browse the site map.) What can you learn from this site about school board activities, such as curriculum issues, state assessment programs, board priorities, and policy issues? How would this information be useful to you if you were teaching in the school district? Now that you have preliminary information about a school district, attend a school board meeting. Examine the meeting agenda. What agenda items reinforce what you learned from the website? What individuals or community groups were present, and what views did they express? Did any individuals or groups express differing or alternative views? How did the school board respond to these different viewpoints? What decisions did the school board make, and how were these reached? Summarize what you learned about school district governance from your visit to the website and attendance at the meeting.
Internet Resources

Visit the U.S. Department of Education's home page at www.ed.gov to evaluate the scope of the federal government's involvement in education. The "Education-Related Toll-Free Numbers and Hotlines" page offers toll-free telephone numbers for government offices, agencies, and hotlines that deal with educational issues, such as "Teachers, Students, Administrators and Research Statistics"). A good starting point for finding online sites of individual school districts is the Education K–12 category on the Yahoo home page (www.yahoo.com, click on "Yellow Pages" and look for "Education and Instruction"). In addition, you'll find useful information on topics addressed in this chapter with a general net search for NCREL (the North Central Regional Educational Laboratory) at www.ncrel.org, CPRE (the Center for Research in Educational Policy) at www.people.memphis.edu/~coe_crep/, AERA (the American Educational Research Association) at www.aera.net, or other education-related organizations such as the American Federation of Teachers (www.aft.org) and the National Education Association (www.nea.org). Additionally, educational policy statements and general educational information are available on the website of the Education Commission of the States at www.ecs.org.

Publications


Education in the United States is big business. By 2005, public education (K–12) cost more than $455 billion annually, and elementary and secondary education represented 4.7 percent of the nation's annual gross domestic product. The three major sources of revenue for public schools are local, state, and federal governments. As Figure 8.1 shows, revenues from federal sources have increased from less than half a percent in 1929–30 to 8.5 percent currently (achieving a high of almost 10 percent in 1979–80). State contributions also rose from less than 17 percent in 1929–30 to almost 50 percent by 2004. As state and federal contributions have risen, local revenues have fallen in proportion, from more than 80 percent to 43 percent.

Although the percentages of funds provided by these three sources have changed, the total amount of money for schools concerns most local school districts. Because most school-related cost increases have outpaced inflation in recent years, the business of schooling is in serious financial trouble. Since the mid-1980s, school board members have consistently ranked "lack of financial support" as the number one challenge they face.

This chapter explores the reasons for both the overall changes in school financing and the current climate of uncertainty. Today's educators must deal with budget constraints, equity in school financing,
taxpayer resistance, and various plans to restructure the system of financial support. As you read, think about the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What proportion of school revenues do local, state, and federal governments contribute?
- What is wrong with relying on property taxes as school revenue sources?
- What particular fiscal problems characterize urban schools?
- Why do significant differences in education spending occur among and within states? How does public opinion affect spending?
- What major steps have been taken to reform school finance?
- What financial considerations will most affect school management?

---

**Tax Sources of School Revenues**

Public school funding relies primarily on revenues generated from taxes, especially local property taxes and state sales and income taxes. Certain taxes are considered better than others. Most people today accept the following criteria for evaluating taxes:
1. A tax should not cause unintended economic distortions. It should not change consumer spending patterns or cause the relocation of business, industry, or people.

2. A tax should be equitable. It should be based on the taxpayer’s ability to pay. Those with greater incomes or with greater property worth should pay more taxes. Taxes of this sort are called progressive taxes. Inequitable taxes and those that require lower-income groups to pay a higher proportion of their income than higher-income groups are called regressive taxes.

3. A tax should be easily collected.

4. The tax should respond to changing economic conditions, rising during inflation and decreasing in a recession. Responsive taxes are elastic; those not responsive are inelastic.

Local Financing for Public Schools

Although states are responsible for education, traditionally much of this responsibility has fallen to local school districts. Overview 8.1 summarizes governmental income sources and spending patterns for education at local, state, and federal levels. As indicated earlier, local contributions to school financing have decreased over the past several decades, but still amount to more than 42 percent of the total.

**Property Tax**

The property tax is the main source of revenue for local school districts, accounting for 77 percent of local funding nationwide. In eleven states, including all six New England states, property taxes make up more than 98 percent of local school revenues.

Property taxes are determined by first arriving at the market value of a property—the probable selling price for the property. The market value is converted to an assessed value using a predetermined index or ratio, such as one-fourth or one-third; for example, a property with a market value of $200,000 might have an assessed value of only $50,000. The assessed value is generally less than the market value. Finally, the local tax rate, expressed in mills, is applied to the assessed value. A mill represents one-thousandth of a dollar; thus a tax rate of 25 mills amounts to $25 for each $1,000 of assessed value (or $25 \times 50 = $1,250 tax).

The property tax is not an equitable tax. Differing assessment practices and lack of uniform valuation may lead people owning equivalent properties to pay different taxes. Also, the property tax may fail to distribute the tax burden according to ability to pay. A retired couple may have a home whose market value has increased substantially, along with their taxes, but because they live on a fixed income, they cannot afford the increasing taxes. In this respect, the property tax is regressive.

In addition, the property tax is not immediately responsive to changing economic conditions. Some states reassess properties every one to two years, but others
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1James Guthrie and Rodney J. Reed, *Education Administration and Policy*, 2nd ed. (Needham Heights, Mass.: Allyn and Bacon, 1991); and Donald E. Orlosky et al., *Educational Administration Today* (Columbus, Ohio: Merrill, 1984).

reassess only every three to four years. Thus a property’s assessed value and actual tax are often based on outdated market conditions.

**Other Sources of Local Funding**

In addition to the property tax, school districts can gather revenues through special income taxes and other taxes or fees. Some municipalities, especially small villages and towns, depend on such sources as traffic fines and building permits to help raise money for schools.

**User fees**—fees charged to use a certain facility or service—are the most common type of special assessment. User fees can be levied on bus service, textbooks, athletic and recreational activities, preschool classes, and after-school centers. By 2006, more than thirty states permitted schools to assess user fees on students, and many school districts did so. Currently, user fees make up 20 percent of revenues raised in local jurisdictions. Because they are not based on ability to pay, user fees are considered a regressive tax.

Recently some school boards have signed lucrative contracts with corporations for **exclusive product rights**. For example, Jefferson County (Colorado) schools signed an exclusive product contract with Pepsi estimated to bring the district $7.3 million in revenue over seven years. Other school districts have developed multimillion-dollar fund-raising campaigns with corporate sponsors, generating everything from cash donations to new stadiums, auditoriums, scoreboards, and
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equipment purchases. Nevertheless, these contracts are negotiated on a district-by-district basis, with some districts benefiting handsomely while others struggle to fund their school district budgets.\(^7\) The Taking Issue box debates school–corporation contracts.

Local Resources and Disparities

Despite state and federal aid, some school districts have greater difficulty supporting education than others do. A school district located in a wealthy area or an area with a broad tax base (for example, residential neighborhoods, shopping centers, businesses, and industry) generates more revenue than a poor school district. As a result, in most states, the five wealthiest school districts often spend two to four times more per student than the five poorest school districts do.\(^8\) As we discuss later in this chapter, state courts and legislatures have attempted to reduce these disparities through reforms in the system of educational finance. In most states, however, substantial disparities in funding persist.

Although financial problems affect many rural and suburban districts, the greatest financial troubles are usually found in large cities. Cities are plagued by what is commonly called municipal overburden, a severe financial crunch caused by population density and a high proportion of disadvantaged and low-income groups. The additional spending needed for social services prevents large cities from devoting as great a percentage of their total tax revenues to schools as suburban and rural districts can.


Another problem is that city schools have a greater proportion of special-needs students—namely, bilingual and low-income students and students with disabilities. These students require programs and services that often cost 50 to 100 percent more per student than basic programs.9

Despite their dire need for more revenues, cities often cannot realistically raise taxes. Ironically, tax increases contribute to the decline of urban schools because they cause businesses and middle-income residents to depart for the suburbs. Thus the city’s tax base is undermined. Declining services also cause residents to leave—a no-win situation.

State Financing of Public Schools

Although the states have delegated many educational powers and responsibilities to local school districts, each state remains legally responsible for educating its children and youth, and the states’ portion of education funding increased steadily until the 1990s (see Figure 8.1). In this section we look at the principal types of state taxes used to finance education, variations in school funding from state to state, methods by which state aid is apportioned among local districts, and the role of state courts in promoting school finance reform.

State Revenue Sources

Sales taxes and personal income taxes are the two major state revenue sources. Because states currently pay almost 50 percent of the cost of public elementary and secondary education (see Figure 8.1), these two taxes are important elements in the overall support of public schools.

Sales Tax  As of 2006, forty-five states had statewide sales taxes, with such taxes making up one-third of state revenues. The median rate was 5.5 percent, and nineteen states had rates of 6 percent or higher.10

The sales tax compares favorably to other possible fund-raising taxes. For example, the sales tax meets the criterion of equity if the tax base does not include food and medical prescriptions. (If not, however, low-income groups are penalized because they spend a large portion of their incomes on basic goods such as food and medicine.) The sales tax is easy to administer and collect; it does not require periodic valuations or entail legal appeals (as the property tax does). The sales tax is also elastic, because the revenue derived from it tends to parallel the economy. When the state is in a recession, however, as happened in the early to mid-1990s and again in 2001–2002, sales tax revenues decrease sufficiently to reduce the state’s income. Still, the tax is useful because relatively small increases in the rate result in large amounts of revenue.

Personal Income Tax  The personal income tax is the second largest source of state tax revenue, representing about 32 percent of state revenues. Only nine states do not levy a state personal income tax.11 Just as the sales tax rate varies among states—
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Expanding Funding for Public Education

Funding public education is a serious problem in America. As school populations have increased, support for public education has declined. Local governments and school boards have sought new taxes and creative ways to meet pressing financial needs. One innovative yet controversial approach to funding is the emergence of corporate-school relationships. For example, Channel One, a cable news network that broadcasts exclusively in public and private schools, offers its advertisers a captive audience of students. Although both schools and businesses stand to gain, these relationships present thorny issues for teachers and administrators, as well as for the public.

Question
Should schools and school boards establish special financial relationships with corporations and businesses?

Arguments PRO

1. Corporations provide direct financial support to schools and school districts for exclusive use of their product(s), which include soft drinks, snack foods, movies, and cosmetics.
2. Some corporations provide free television sets, VCRs, satellite receivers, and computers.
3. Local corporate sponsors contribute to fund-raising campaigns, build stadiums, install scoreboards, and build auditoriums.
4. Channel One broadcasts a twelve-minute daily news report. Students view international stories, politics, and special issues affecting teenagers.
5. Eight million students, grades 6 through 12, watch Channel One news each day.

Arguments CON

1. Payment for exclusive product use favors one business over others. In addition, many parents and educators worry that food product placement, especially, contributes to unhealthy student eating habits.
2. The school pays for “free” equipment with access to the student body to sell corporations’ products. Pandering to advertisers in schools is neither in students’ best interests nor in the mission of public schools.
3. Schools and school districts that have access to generous local sponsors receive favored treatment, while others struggle to fund their school district budgets.
4. Critics say Channel One is like network news, especially because it includes advertisements. Students are exposed daily to ads for soft drinks, movies, video games, skin creams, and clothing for teens.
5. Some educators and critics such as Ralph Nader call Channel One “little more than junk news in an MTV wrapper,” suggesting that the content fails to focus strongly on “hard news” stories.

A properly designed income tax should cause no economic distortions. Assuming no loopholes, it rates high in terms of equity, reflecting the taxpayer’s income and ability to pay. The income tax is also more equitable than other taxes because it usually considers special circumstances of the taxpayer, such as dependents, illness, moving expenses, and the like. In general, state income taxes have become more progressive because of increased standard deductions and personal exemptions, and fifteen states have eliminated taxes on poor families altogether.12

The personal income tax is easy to collect, usually through payroll deductions. It is also highly elastic, allowing state government to vary rates according to the economy. However, its elasticity makes it vulnerable to recession, which drives income revenue down.

**Other State Taxes** Other state taxes contribute limited amounts to education. These include (1) excise taxes on motor fuel, liquor, and tobacco products; (2) estate and gift taxes; (3) severance taxes (on the output of minerals and oils); and (4) corporate income taxes.

Another trend has emerged to establish state lotteries to support education. Although this was a major purpose of the early lotteries, funds have been diverted to meet other social priorities such as health care, social welfare agencies, and road construction. As a result, in most of the thirty-seven states where lotteries currently exist, the lottery contributes less than 2 percent of the state’s total revenue for education.\(^{13}\) Lotteries are somewhat regressive because relatively more low-income individuals play the lottery than do high-income individuals, and they spend larger percentages of their annual income on it.

**States’ Ability to Finance Education**

Some students are more fortunate than others, simply by geographic accident. State residence has a lot to do with the type and quality of education a child receives. In 2003, fifteen states spent more than ten thousand dollars per student. In contrast, fourteen states spent between six and eight thousand dollars per student. And Utah spent less than six thousand dollars per student (see Figure 8.2).

Do these figures mean that some states set their education priorities more than twice as high as other states do? No, they reflect what states can afford, which has much to do with the personal incomes of their inhabitants. We must consider what the states spend on all other services and functions, such as housing, transportation, and medical care.

For example, in 2003, Mississippi spent $6,512 per student—the second-lowest figure nationwide and far short of the national average of $9,053—yet this amount represented 3.8 percent of Mississippi’s per capita income (average income for each person living in the state). The national average was 2.9 percent of per capita income.\(^{14}\)

**Educational Support and the Graying of America** Another factor that diminishes states’ abilities to finance public education is an aging population. The median age of the U.S. population has risen steadily since 1900. The proportion of people older than sixty-five increased from 4.1 percent in 1900 to 18 percent by 2005 and will likely reach 20 percent or more by 2020.\(^{15}\) Older people who no longer have children in school are generally more resistant to increased taxes for schools. Recent changes in government spending patterns reflect this attitude. Through the 1980s, educational spending per student outpaced inflation by about 30 percent, yet by
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13See “Lotteries and the State” at www.patriarchspath.org/Articles/Docs/Lotteries_and_the_State.


15Harold G. Shane, “Improving Education for the Twenty-first Century,” Educational Horizons (Fall 1990), pp. 11–15; see also “Projected Resident Population of the United States as of July 1, 2025” and “Projected Resident Population of the United States as of July 1, 2003” at www.census.gov/population/www/www/projections.
the late 1980s it began to decline. At the same time, government medical and health expenditures—a large proportion of which go to older people—increased.16

The increase in average age is a nationwide trend; however, some parts of the country are “graying” faster than others. In the 1970s and 1980s, Frostbelt states, such as New York, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Iowa, and Michigan, lost sizable numbers of young people to the Sunbelt. In many areas of the Northeast and Midwest the graying population is increasingly reluctant to provide financial and political support for schools.17 In contrast, areas with a boom in student enrollments, such as the Southeast and Southwest, can offset the growing influence of older age groups.

[Figure 8.2 State Education Expenditures per Student, 2002–2003]


Graying of the Frostbelt


State Aid to Local School Districts

States use four basic methods to finance public education. Some states have financial strategies that combine methods.

1. **Flat grant model.** This is the oldest and most unequal method of financing schools. State aid to local school districts is based on a fixed amount multiplied by the number of students in attendance. This fails to consider students with special needs (bilingual students cost more to educate than do native English speakers), special programs (vocational and special education), or the wealth of school districts.

   The remaining three methods each pursue greater equality of educational opportunity by allocating more funds to school districts in greatest need of assistance.

2. **Foundation plan.** This most common approach guarantees a foundation, or minimum annual expenditure per student, to all school districts in the state, irrespective of local taxable wealth. However, reformers usually consider the minimum level too low, and wealthy school districts easily exceed it. School districts with a high percentage of children from low-income families suffer with this plan.

3. **Power-equalizing plan.** Many states have adopted some form of this more recent plan. Each school district retains the right to establish its own expenditure levels, but the state pays a percentage of local school expenditures based on district wealth. Wealthier school districts receive fewer matching state dollars and poorer districts more.

4. **Weighted student plan.** Students are weighted in proportion to their special characteristics (that is, disabled, disadvantaged, and so forth) or special programs (for example, vocational or bilingual) to determine the cost of instruction per student. For example, a state may provide four thousand dollars for each regular student, 1.5 times that amount (six thousand dollars) for vocational students, and 2 times that amount (eight thousand dollars) for students with disabilities or special needs.

The Courts and School Finance Reform

Efforts to equalize educational opportunities among school districts within a state have been spurred by a series of court decisions that have fundamentally changed the financing of public education in most states. The 1971 landmark decision in *Serrano v. Priest* radically altered the way California allocated education funds. California, like nearly all the states, depended on local property taxes to support the schools, and plaintiffs argued that this system of financing resulted in unconstitutional disparities in expenditures between wealthy and poor school districts. The California Supreme Court agreed.

After the *Serrano* decision, the Supreme Court ruled in 1973 in *San Antonio v. Rodriguez* that expenditure disparities based on differences in local property taxes between school districts in a state were not unconstitutional under the U.S. Constitution but might be unconstitutional under state constitutions. The *Rodriguez* decision placed the issue of inequities in school finance in the hands of the state courts and legislatures, where many believed it belonged.

Since *Rodriguez*, certain state courts have ruled that school financing arrangements are unconstitutional if they result in large disparities in per-pupil expendi-
Funding Woes

Why did our school district lose state money this fall?” Karen said. “We live and work in a property-poor school district, Lemuel! We should be getting more state money per pupil than the wealthy districts. That’s what the equity lawsuits were all about!”

“You’re right, Karen, it’s not fair. But that’s the way it is. We both chose to work here,” replied Lemuel, “but I know that loss of low-income families has decreased our state money. The new housing development for seniors is nice, but it certainly has caused our student enrollment to decline. My principal, Mr. Schoebel, says that we’ve lost more than two hundred students.”

“We’ll also lose federal money,” he went on. “Many of these students qualify for free and reduced lunches and Title I programs, and others are eligible for after-school tutoring based on No Child Left Behind funding. Other federal programs that bring money to this district link to low-income students as well. I think disabled students and bilingual students benefit from federal funds, but I’m not sure if there is any link between these groups and the low-income group.”

Karen sighed, “I just wish that education received the priority it needs to serve all students well. I know that the money comes from local, state, and federal sources, but it seems that in this state, local government is paying more and more as time goes on.” No Child Left Behind legislation has helped with funds for improving student academic performance and in guaranteeing every child a “highly qualified teacher.”

“Homeowners here are carrying a large share of the budget through the property tax,” noted Lemuel. “That affects us renters. My landlord just sent around a letter telling his tenants that his property taxes had increased 12 percent. That’s a huge increase, and he says he has to pass along the costs by raising our rent. Most of the increase comes with the new school district tax rate. The hospital and utility districts increased their taxes, too, but not much compared to the school district.”

“Even with more from local taxes,” noted Karen, “I think our school district still has less than other area schools. How will the administration respond to all of this? I’m guessing they’ll increase class sizes in the upper grades and maybe postpone or cancel building renovations.”

“I’ll bet you’re right, Karen,” agreed Lemuel. “Wealthy districts have figured out ways to generate local money beyond property taxes. Several of them have established foundations. The millionaires that live in the community contribute heavily each year and get a tax write-off.”

“Too bad we don’t have a few more generous millionaires!” laughed Karen. Then, turning serious, she asked, “Do you think the revenue loss will affect us, as beginning teachers?”

“Probably not this year, but it may in the future. Most of a school’s budget is in personnel. That’s you and me. In the meantime, I guess the best thing we can do is just keep focusing on the students.”

Questions

1. Why is it important for beginning teachers like Karen and Lemuel to have a basic understanding of school finance?
2. How does student enrollment relate to school financing in your state?
3. How does school district wealth relate to school financing in your state?
4. What percentages of your local school district’s money are derived from local sources? From state sources? From federal sources? If you don’t know, estimate the amounts. Then check to see how close your estimates are.
States. After the Kentucky case, similar suits were filed in thirty states, and the problem of unequal funding is being revisited again and again in state legislatures. The From Preservice to Practice box shows how teachers might be affected by their states’ distribution of money to local school districts.

Recent court decisions have focused on both adequacy, a minimum state contribution, and equity, the belief that students in poor school districts “have the right to the same educational opportunity that money buys for others.” In short, states need to close the gap between the best- and worst-financed education systems. Some states may also need to factor private schools into their distribution plans. In June 2003, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a ruling on the Cleveland (Ohio) voucher program. This program provides state money in the form of educational vouchers for low-income/minority students in private schools. The Court declared the voucher program constitutional as long as equivalent remedial services were provided for low-income/remedial students in public schools. This decision could significantly change the flow of public funds away from public schools to private schools.

Yet some critics of school finance reform have argued that money alone makes little difference in the quality of education. They contend that educational improvement demands commitment and responsibility on the part of students, teachers, and parents. Moreover, unless we address a variety of social and cognitive factors, especially family structure, reform efforts may be useless. With all of these issues unresolved, school finance reform will be hotly debated for years to come.

Federal Education Funding

Until the middle of the twentieth century, the federal government gave states (or local schools) little financial assistance in educating American students (see the Historical Development of American Education chapter). This attitude aligned with the majority belief that the federal government should have little to do with education, which was a state responsibility. Although certain national laws and federal programs had a significant impact on how education developed in the United States, these programs and acts were neither systematic nor part of a broadly conceived national plan for education. After the Soviet Union launched the Sputnik satellite in 1957, national policy became more closely linked to education and federal funding dramatically increased and focused on specific, targeted areas. Increased federal
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monies were allocated for improvement of science, mathematics, and foreign language instruction and for teacher education.

From the mid-1960s through the 1970s, the full force of the federal government came into play to enforce U.S. Supreme Court decisions on school desegregation. The impetus came from the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which provided that all programs supported by federal funds must be administered and operated without discrimination or that all federal funds were to be withheld.

In addition to these desegregation efforts, the educational needs of minority groups and women received considerable attention and funding from the mid-1960s to the late 1970s. Diverse groups such as bilingual students, African Americans, Native Americans, low-income students, and students with special needs were targeted for special programs.

Current Trends in Federal Aid to Education

The 1980s brought a new conservatism at the federal level, and the federal contribution since then has represented a smaller percentage of total school financing (compare Table 8.1 with Figure 8.1). During the 1980s, school funding methods also changed. Categorical grants (funds for specific groups and designated purposes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount (billions)</th>
<th>Amount adjusted for inflation (billions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>$9.2</td>
<td>$6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: As a result of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act in 1981, many programs and funds were shifted among various federal departments; the base of comparison has not been exactly the same since then.

gave way to block grants (funds for a general purpose without precise categories). This move was part of a “new federalism” that shifted responsibility for many federal social and educational programs from the national to state governments.

More recently, the trend has again shifted. Since the advent of No Child Left Behind in 2002, block grants to states have been eliminated in favor of federal funding specifically targeted to student academic achievement and teacher quality. States must document increases in student academic performance yearly at elementary and middle-school levels, using accepted achievement tests (such as the Iowa Test of Basic Skills, California Achievement Test, and Competency Test of Basic Skills). Additionally, principals must notify parents if their child is not being taught by a “highly qualified teacher.”

No Child Left Behind specifically requires all teachers to be “highly qualified” by September 2006. This has forced states to define “highly qualified” and to provide content courses and professional development experiences for teachers not highly qualified. A common definition for “highly qualified” is for a teacher to hold a Master’s degree, in his or her content field or in professional education coursework (for example, special education, elementary education, reading, or school administration). One of the most difficult areas to obtain “highly qualified” teacher status is that of middle-school-level education, where teachers are often certified at the elementary level and then are assigned to teach content subjects such as math, science, English, or social studies at the middle-school level. While often quite experienced, these teachers have limited background in their content field. This presents an ongoing challenge for school district officials as they seek to tell parents that their child is being taught by a highly qualified teacher.

Federal funding to states has lagged behind states’ needs to cover the costs of identifying and administering achievement tests and developing criteria to determine highly qualified teachers. This delay in funding has been frustrating to school officials at both state and local levels. Some critics call NCLB an “unfunded mandate,” that is, a costly federal requirement that either partly or totally lacks sufficient federal funding.

As we move further into the new century, the federal government’s role will probably increase in the area of technology (specifically computers) as well as in support for the infrastructure necessary to upgrade aging and deteriorating schools. Federal support has increased for national achievement tests in content disciplines, which, if enacted, could drive national curriculum standards. Other plans being considered or enacted at the federal level include:

- more emphasis on reading and mathematics achievement through No Child Left Behind and the naming of a “reading czar”
- increased Head Start programs for disadvantaged preschoolers
- federal money to hire additional teachers to meet the teacher shortage
- money to improve the quality of teaching23

Federal grants funding magnet schools will continue as a way to address racial imbalance within school districts. Concern is increasing at local, state, and federal levels regarding school violence and how to restore moral authority to America’s public schools. Finally, as we discuss later in the chapter, school choice and vouchers

---

remain popular alternative funding concepts with legislators, the public, and increasingly the courts. Pressure is increasing for choice between public education and private-school alternatives by parents dissatisfied with public schools and by economically disadvantaged students living in subpar (often urban) school districts. In Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and Cleveland, Ohio, for example, state money pays parochial school tuition for low-income students. Now that the U.S. Supreme Court has approved vouchers for private-school students, less public money may be available for public education.  

**School Finance Trends**

Financial crises in education sometimes make the headlines. For example, national recessions in the early 1990s and again in the early 2000s triggered state revenue shortfalls. Coupled with rising costs and other budgetary problems, the loss of state revenue placed many local school districts in a bleak fiscal situation. Although such crises may come and go with changes in the economy and in federal and state budgets, several long-lasting concerns about school finance remain. As we examine current trends, keep in mind that educators today are being asked to show proof that they are spending public money wisely. To find out more about current school funding, see the Technology @ School box.

**Taxpayer Resistance**

Beginning in the late 1970s, a tax revolt swept the country, putting a damper on the movement for school finance reform. In California a 1978 taxpayer initiative called Proposition 13 set a maximum tax of 1 percent on the fair market value of a property and limited increases in assessed valuation to 2 percent a year. By 2003, forty-five more states had imposed property tax limitations or direct controls on school spending.  

As a result of this taxpayer resistance, thirty-five states have introduced circuit-breaker programs that give selected populations (such as older persons and first-time homeowners) a credit for property taxes paid.

The late twentieth-century educational reform movement emphasized the need to improve the quality of education. Taxpayers seem willing to support increased education spending for that purpose, yet wary. They show much interest in results: what are we getting for the dollars we spend? This concern has led to increased educator accountability for the use of public funds.

**The Accountability Movement**

Although definitions of accountability vary, the term generally refers to the notion that teachers, administrators, school board members, and even students themselves must be held responsible for the results of their efforts. Teachers must meet some
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standard of competency, and schools must devise methods of relating expenditures to outcomes.

The accountability movement stems from various factors. In recent years, more parents have realized that schooling is important for success and that their children are failing to learn sufficiently well. As the cost of education has increased, parents demand to know what they are paying for. Taxpayers, who want to keep the lid on school spending, wish to hold educators responsible for the outcomes of instruction.26

In addition, federal funding is now contingent upon evidence of satisfactory educational progress. The No Child Left Behind Act requires statewide assessment programs in reading and mathematics for all children in grades 3 through 8. In addition, many states and districts have introduced testing programs in other content areas and at other grade levels to measure students’ performance and the cost-effectiveness of teaching.27 Some states have declared underachieving schools as “failed” and subject to reconstitution (complete reorganization) or actual takeover by either the state or private groups such as universities or private businesses. Other states are comparing school district test scores and using the results to cut funding or reward districts with additional monies.28 States are also developing stringent crite-
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ria to determine highly qualified teachers. Parents who object to having their child taught by a less than qualified teacher may have their child transferred to another school in the district. These changes have drastic implications for school funding at both the state and local district level.

Many educators fear a simplified concept of accountability that places responsibility solely on the teacher or principal, ignoring the roles of parents, community residents, school board members, taxpayers, and the students themselves. No Child Left Behind has both positive and negative potential. It can foster accountability and bring parents, teachers, school administrators, and the community into meaningful discussions of student performance. Unfortunately, it also might foster a bureaucracy that will consume funding dollars and allow the federal government or states to withhold needed monies for school reform. The next few years will tell.

**Tuition Tax Credits, Educational Vouchers, and School Choice**

**Tuition tax credits** allow parents to claim a tax reduction for part of tuition fees paid to private schools. In the early 1990s, Minnesota became the first state to employ tuition tax credits; other states now have tax credits or tax deductions under consideration.29 The tax credit movement reflects the public’s desire for increased choice in schools as well as the continuing quest of nonpublic schools for support. The issue has been brought to the fore, however, by wavering faith in the public schools.

Use of **educational vouchers** is another trend in school finance reform. Under a voucher system, the state or local school district gives parents of school-age children a voucher or flat grant representing their children’s estimated educational cost. Children then use this voucher to attend a school of the family’s choosing. Some plans allow choice only within the public school system, as is currently the case in Minnesota, Wisconsin, California, Massachusetts, and ten other states.30 Other plans permit the use of vouchers in private schools. U.S. Supreme Court approval of the Cleveland voucher plan for low-income students, discussed earlier in this chapter, is certain to increase use of vouchers in private schools.31

Debates over tuition tax credits and voucher programs have been vigorous and emotional. The NEA, the AFT, and other educational organizations contend that vouchers or tax credits increase segregation, split the public along socioeconomic lines, and reduce financial support for the public schools.32 Opponents have also argued that such programs provide unconstitutional support for church-related
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Choice and the "marketplace"

Proponents of tuition tax credits and vouchers generally link the issue with the concept of school choice, which is discussed in detail in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States. By widening the average person's choices for schooling, supporters contend, we can increase competition among schools and raise the overall level of educational quality. The idea is to depend on education to follow the laws of the marketplace: if students and parents can choose schools, the effective schools will stay in operation and the less desirable ones will either go out of business or improve.34

In addition, supporters of tuition tax credits and voucher programs argue that such credits are not unconstitutional and do not seriously reduce federal revenues or hamper public-school tax levy efforts. They also argue that these programs provide wider opportunity for students to attend schools outside the inner city; thus tax credits or vouchers do not contribute to, and might even reduce, racial and socioeconomic isolation. Many supporters also believe that tax credits or vouchers, besides providing parents with a choice in selecting schools, stimulate public-school improvement, particularly when families have choices within the public-school district.35

A variation on the school choice theme is the concept of charter schools, discussed in the chapter on Governing and Administering Public Education. In exchange for freedom from hundreds of rules and regulations, charter schools are held accountable for specific academic results and risk losing their charter if they fail to attain their academic goals.36 Most charter-school organizers face significant budget challenges. Many school boards are frugal in allocating operating funds for charter schools, fearing a financial drain on already tight budgets.

Streamlining School Budgets

In an era of taxpayer wariness, accountability demands, and strain on state budgets, school boards are being pressed to eliminate unnecessary spending before recommending tax increases. Not only must school outcomes measure up to expected standards, but the budget must stand up to close scrutiny. Corporate leaders often serve on school boards, and the gospel of streamlining and efficiency—so prevalent in the business community—has had considerable impact on American education. We should continue to see the following significant trends.

1. Class size. Research on class size, conducted by the Department of Education’s Office of Educational Research and Improvement, shows that “smaller is better,” and that smaller classes in the early grades lead to higher student
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achievement. These significant effects on achievement occur when class size is reduced to a point between fifteen and twenty students. This seems to be leading to a nationwide concerted effort to reduce class size.37

2. Modernization of older buildings. Rather than build new schools, many districts are choosing to save money by maintaining and modernizing their older buildings. As we will see, however, in the next section, old buildings can cause budgetary strains of their own.38

3. Smaller schools. In many areas the trend is toward smaller school buildings, which make more efficient use of space, require less fuel and lighting, are easier to maintain, and require fewer administrators.39

4. Need for teachers. Whereas selected areas of the country may be laying off teachers because of population decline, other areas, particularly suburban areas and Sunbelt states, are experiencing a population boom in the schools. Add to this an aging teaching corps, and projections are that schools will need approximately 2.3 million new teachers by 2010.

5. Administrative reductions. Many districts are finding it possible to operate with smaller central office staffs. These reductions cause much less public outcry than when the teaching force is cut.

6. **Energy economies.** Some schools dial down temperatures, delay warming up the school each morning, reduce heat in the hallways, and buy energy directly from gas and oil distributors.

**School Infrastructure and Environmental Problems**

The nation’s school infrastructure is in critical disrepair. By infrastructure we mean the basic physical facilities of the school plant (plumbing, sewer, heat, electric, roof, carpentry, and so on). Building experts estimate that schools in the United States are deteriorating faster than they can be repaired and faster than most other public facilities. Plumbing, electrical wiring, and heating systems in many schools are dangerously out of date; roofing is below code; and exterior brickwork, stone, and wood are in serious disrepair. In the mid-1990s, the Government Accounting Office estimated the accumulated cost to repair public schools at $112 billion, a staggering increase over earlier projections. Some of the largest school districts reported that an average of 85 percent of their schools needed repairs to bring them up to “good condition.”

Environmental hazards in school buildings are a special problem. For example, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has ordered government and commercial property owners, including school districts, to clean up buildings laden with asbestos. Although the costs are hard to calculate, one estimate placed the bill for schools at $3.1 billion, which would cover some 45,000 schools in 3,100 districts. Additional hazards such as radon gas, lead paint, and seismic upgrades also represent drains on school monies in many districts.

Even as school boards struggle to meet the needs of an aging infrastructure, the U.S. Census Bureau has modified its projections for the growth of the school-age population. Using 2000 census figures as a baseline, the bureau now expects the school-age population to remain steady through 2010. Public secondary-school enrollment is expected to rise by 4 percent during the same decade. This prediction is based on current fertility and immigration rates. Repairs aside, concern is growing about where the money will come from to build the additional classrooms we continue to need.

---

**REFOCUS** How do you feel about plans to link student progress on yearly achievement tests to federal funding? What are the pros and cons of this funding approach?

---

**Summing Up**

1. Schools are financially supported by state and local governments and to a lesser extent by the federal government. Overall, since the early twentieth century, state support has increased dramatically and local support has declined; the percentage of federal support grew until the early 1980s and then declined.

2. Although the property tax is the main local source of school revenue, it is considered a regressive tax.

3. There is wide variation in the financial ability among states and within states (at the local district level) to support education. Poorer school districts tend to

---


receive more money from the state than do wealthier school districts, but the amount rarely makes up for the total difference in expenditures.

School finance reform, initiated by the courts and carried forward by state legislatures, has attempted to reduce or eliminate funding disparities between poorer and wealthier districts. The basic goal is to equalize educational opportunities and give poorer districts the means to improve their performance.

Since the Sputnik era, federal funding of education has become increasingly linked to national policy. But since the 1980s, some responsibility for educational funding has shifted from the federal government back to the individual states.

Controversies over accountability, tuition tax credits, educational vouchers, charter schools, and school choice reflect increasing public dissatisfaction with the educational system.

Taxpayer resistance, especially to increases in property taxes, results in strong pressure to streamline school budgets.

Deteriorating school infrastructure and environmental dangers pose significant problems for many schools.
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Certification Connection

Chapter 8, Financing Public Education, can be linked to Praxis II’s Principles of Learning and Teaching section, Profession and Community: The Larger Community. You should be aware of the sources of school funding in order to be able to advocate for equitable community support for adequate resources to enhance student learning. In your journal, examine your state department of education Web site to determine the actual levels of funding for the state’s school districts. Identify those districts that have the highest per pupil expenditure and those with the lowest levels of funding. Based on the discussion in the chapter, describe the differences in educational services that are likely to exist among these districts. What special funding needs might inner city school districts have versus suburban districts?

Discussion Questions

1. How could school boards and local elected officials design a tax structure that is fair and equitable and capable of keeping abreast of changing economic conditions? What specific elements would make up this tax code?

2. State your reasons for or against the following types of financial support for school choice:
   (a) Government vouchers that any student can use to pay tuition in any accredited school, public or private
   (b) Vouchers as in (a), but issued only to students whose families demonstrate financial need
   (c) No vouchers for private or parochial schools, though students are free to choose any public schools they like

3. What do you see as the pros and cons of corporate–school partnerships, such as educational Channel One and curriculum materials with advertisements?

Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Survey taxpayers (parents, neighbors, classmates, coworkers) about their attitudes toward school taxes. To what extent do they resist such taxes? For what reasons, or under what conditions, might they be willing to pay more? Do you notice any differences of opinion among various age groups, ethnic groups, income groups, or social classes? Summarize your findings for the class.
In your visits to schools this term, be alert to infrastructure concerns. What specific problems do you see, and what do the students and faculty complain about? Are circumstances significantly better or worse in neighboring districts? If so, how do people account for these differences? Keep notes on your findings in a journal.

Examine several weeks’ worth of the state and local news section of your daily paper (hard copy or via the Web) for articles relating to school finance. Do these articles support or criticize the current system? Do they agree with any of the points of view presented in this chapter, or do they take a different approach? Share your findings with the class.

Visit your state department of education website (see www.ed.gov/about/contacts/state/?src=In_50K) to discover how public education is financed in your state. Look for charts or tables that specify local, state, and federal contributions. Does your state have a school assessment plan based on student performance outcomes? Does it have a means for taking control of districts that fail to meet state standards?

Gather position papers and policy statements from several agencies concerned with school finance: for instance, the local teacher’s association or union, the state department of education, and local citizens’ organizations. Supplement the written materials by interviewing representatives from at least two of the groups. List the main themes that emerge.

Interview a local school board member regarding the following:

- Concerns about funding and the budget process
- His or her most important budget priorities
- Creative ways to address budget problems
- How public support for the budget is built, once the budget is established

Visit the U.S. Census Bureau website and examine “Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates.” (http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/county.html). Click on “State & county data,” then select year (2003) and state (Maryland) and then first select “Baltimore city” and then select “Montgomery County.” Each of these is a Maryland school district that is among the largest school districts in the United States. Note the following:

- Percentage of people under age 18 in poverty
- Percentage of children under age 5 in poverty
- Percentage of related children ages 5–17 of families in poverty
- Median household income

What do these statistics tell you about these two school districts in terms of taxpayer ability to support schools, need for special education services, and programs for disadvantaged students? Does your state include two counties with which you can compare these statistics?

Suggested Resources

**Internet Resources**

Many up-to-date statistics on school finance are available in the yearly federal publications *The Condition of Education* and the *Digest of Education Statistics*, both of which are available through the U.S. Department of Education’s website (www.ed.gov). Also consult the National Center for Educational Statistics at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/. In addition, several topics in this chapter—such as “education vouchers,” “school choice,” and “tuition tax credits”—can be researched at federal government Internet sites, particularly www.ed.gov. Also consult the nonpartisan site of the Education Commission of the States at www.ecs.org.

**Publications**


During the past fifty years, the courts have increasingly been asked to resolve issues relating to public education in the United States. This rise in educational litigation reflects the fact that education has assumed a greater importance in our society than it had a few decades ago. The growth in litigation has been paralleled, and to some extent spurred on, by an enormous increase in state and federal legislation affecting education.

This chapter presents a general overview of the U.S. court system and examines the legal topics and court decisions that have most affected today’s schools and teachers. The major topics considered are the rights and responsibilities of both teachers and students, and religion and the schools. Questions to consider as you read this chapter include the following:

- What legal rights and responsibilities do teachers have?
- What are the legal rights of students?
- Can religious activities be conducted in public schools?
- Can the government assist nonpublic schools?

1Other chapters of this book also discuss selected legal issues in education. For court decisions regarding school finance, see the chapter on Financing Public Education. Desegregation law and legislation regarding special education are considered in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity.
Cases involving education-related issues can be heard either in federal or state courts, depending on the allegations of the plaintiffs (the persons who sue). Federal courts decide cases that involve federal laws and regulations or constitutional issues. State courts adjudicate cases that involve state laws, state constitutional provisions, school board policies, or other nonfederal problems. Most cases pertaining to elementary and secondary education are filed in state courts. However, to keep from overburdening court calendars, both federal and state courts usually require that prospective litigants (the parties in a lawsuit) exhaust all administrative avenues available for resolution before involving the court system.

State Courts

State court organization has no national uniformity. The details of each state’s judicial system are found in its constitution. At the lowest level, most states have a court of original jurisdiction (often called a municipal or superior court) where cases are tried. The facts are established, evidence is presented, witnesses testify and are cross-examined, and appropriate legal principles are applied in rendering a verdict.

The losing side may appeal the decision to the next higher level, usually an intermediate appellate court. This court reviews the trial record from the lower court and additional written materials submitted by both sides. The appellate court is designed to ensure that appropriate laws were properly applied, that they fit with the facts presented, and that no deprivation of constitutional rights occurred.

If one side remains unsatisfied, another appeal may be made to the state’s highest court, often called its supreme court. A state supreme court decision is final unless a question involving the U.S. Constitution has been raised. The side wishing to appeal further may then petition the U.S. Supreme Court to consider the case.

Federal Courts

Federal courts are organized into a three-tiered system: district courts, circuit courts of appeals, and the Supreme Court. The jurisdiction and powers of these courts are set forth in the Constitution and are subject to congressional restrictions. The lowest level, the district court, holds trials. For appeals at the next federal level, the nation is divided into twelve regions called circuits. Each circuit court handles appeals only from district courts within its particular geographic area. Unsuccessful litigants may request that the U.S. Supreme Court review their case. If four of the nine justices agree, the Supreme Court will take the case; if not, the appellate court ruling stands.²

Decisions of a court below the U.S. Supreme Court have force only in the geographic area served by that particular court. For this reason, it is possible to find conflicting rulings in different circuits. Judges often look to previous case law for guidance in rendering decisions, and they may find precedent for a variety of legally defensible positions on a single issue.

The First and the Fourteenth Amendments Although education is considered a state responsibility, it has produced an abundance of federal litigation, particularly

²Some case citations in this chapter include the term cert. denied. This means that the losing parties petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review, but their request was denied.
in connection with the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution. The First Amendment concerns freedom of religion, speech, press, and assembly and the right “to petition the government for redress of grievances.” Many First Amendment cases have dealt with the role of religion in public education and with the extent of protection guaranteed to freedom of expression by students and teachers. Two First Amendment clauses are frequently cited in lawsuits: the establishment clause, which prohibits the establishment of a government-sanctioned religion, and the free exercise clause, which protects rights of free speech and expression. To interpret these clauses, the courts generally use the criteria or “tests” shown in Figure 9.1.

Court cases involving the Fourteenth Amendment often focus on the section declaring that no state shall “deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law.” The first part of this passage is known as the due process clause, and the second part as the equal protection clause. Fourteenth Amendment cases have addressed the issue of school desegregation as well as the suspension and expulsion of students. Litigants citing the Fourteenth Amendment must show that a “liberty” or a “property” interest is a major element in the case. A liberty interest is involved if “a person's good name, reputation, honor or integrity is at stake.” A property interest may arise from legal guarantees granted to tenured employees; for instance, teachers beyond the probationary period have a property interest in continued employment.

![Figure 9.1](image-url)
Similarly, students have a property interest in their education. If either a liberty or a property interest is claimed, a school district must provide due process (guaranteeing a fair and impartial hearing and the opportunity to present evidence) to the people involved. The rest of this chapter will explore the use of these and other legal concepts in actual school settings.

**Teachers’ Rights and Responsibilities**

As pointed out in the chapter on The Teaching Profession, teachers historically were vulnerable to dismissal by local boards of education for virtually any reason and without recourse. Collective negotiation statutes, tenure laws, mandatory due process procedures, and other legal measures have been established to curb such abuses and to guarantee teachers certain rights. Along with rights come responsibilities, and many of these, too, have been written into law.

**Testing and Investigation of Applicants for Certification or Employment**

Almost everywhere in the United States, individuals who wish to teach in grades K–12 must possess teaching certificates, which are usually granted by the state. In recent years many states have passed legislation requiring thorough background checks of prospective teachers, and some extend this requirement to currently employed teachers seeking recertification. For example, New York now requires that all candidates for certification be fingerprinted as part of a check for criminal histories. Some states share background information about candidates for government positions electronically. Prospective teachers should be careful about what they post online, because some districts are beginning to examine sites such as MySpace and Facebook for information about job applicants.3

These trends have been fueled by two complementary developments. One consideration is that technology has made it more feasible to use fingerprints and other information sources in checking with local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies. The other is that the public has become increasingly concerned about dangers posed by child molesters and potential school employees with other criminal records.

As described in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher, states require, in addition to background checks, that prospective teachers pass one or more competency tests for certification. In some cases, current teachers also must pass competency tests for continued employment. States where minority candidate passing rates are considerably lower than those for nonminorities have faced several lawsuits charging that specific tests discriminate against minority applicants. To answer such a lawsuit, employers must be able to specify the characteristics a test measures, establish that these characteristics are necessary in carrying out the job, and demonstrate that the test correlates with the work behavior in question.

Most lawsuits charging that teacher tests are discriminatory have either failed or been withdrawn because the available data did not demonstrate a clear pattern of discrimination or an intent to discriminate. On the other hand, worries about
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possible legal challenges, particularly from minority candidates, undoubtedly have occasionally led states to keep passing scores low. For example, concerns regarding a legal challenge alleging discrimination in part led the Massachusetts State Board of Education to substantially reduce the minimum score required to pass a proposed certification test in that state.  

**Employment Contracts and Tenure**

In choosing which teachers to hire, local school boards must comply with laws that prohibit discrimination with respect to age, sex, race, religion, or national origin. Upon appointment, the teacher receives a written contract to sign. The contract may specify that the teacher must adhere to school board policies and regulations. If the school district has negotiated with a teacher organization, the provisions of that agreement apply as well.

Contracts are binding on both parties. When one side fails to perform as agreed—called a breach of contract—the contract is broken. In such instances, the party that breached the contract may be sued for damages. Some states permit a teacher's certificate to be revoked if the teacher breaches the contract. If a school district breaks a contract, teachers may be awarded payments for damages or be reinstated to their former positions.

Nearly every state also has some type of tenure law. Tenure protects teachers from dismissal without cause. Each state defines “cause”; the usual reasons include incompetency, immorality, insubordination, and unprofessional conduct. In addition, as explained in the next section, the school district must follow due process if it wishes to dismiss a tenured teacher.

From its inception, the notion of tenure has been controversial. Arguments for and against tenure are presented in this chapter’s Taking Issue box.

Once granted tenure, rather than sign an annual contract, many teachers are employed under a continuing contract. The term means that their reemployment for the next year is guaranteed unless school officials give notice by a specific date that the contract will not be renewed.

Most states have a probationary period before teachers achieve tenure. Moreover, many tenured teachers who change districts lose their tenure and must serve another period of probation. The probationary period often consists of three years of consecutive, satisfactory service, but some states have been moving to establish much shorter periods, at the end of which new teachers can be quickly removed from their jobs.

Probationary contracts in some states allow the teacher to be discharged at the end of the contract term for any reason and without explanation—no due process is required, unless the teacher can demonstrate that his or her dismissal involves a constitutionally guaranteed liberty or property interest. (See the next section for information on the meaning of due process.) In other states, probationary teachers have general due-process rights, but the process may be streamlined to expedite dismissal of candidates rated as incompetent.  

---


Due Process in Dismissal of Teachers

Due process refers to the use of legal rules and principles established to protect the rights of the accused. These principles are especially important to a teacher being dismissed from the job. The core element of due process is “fairness.” Although requirements vary from state to state, the rules shown in Table 9.1 are generally recognized in cases of teacher dismissal.

Firing a teacher for incompetence requires documentation of efforts to help that person improve. Obtaining this documentation can be burdensome for everyone
involved, and few tenured teachers are dismissed using formal legal procedures. Instead, administrators sometimes use less formal procedures for excluding incompetent teachers from their school districts. These procedures include counseling incompetent teachers out of the profession and suggesting and financing early retirement.6

Negotiation and Strikes

Teachers have the right to form and belong to unions and other professional organizations. Since the 1960s, such teacher groups have lobbied for state legislation to permit school boards to negotiate agreements with them. This effort has been successful in most states; however, a few continue to prohibit negotiations between teachers and school boards. Although the laws enacted vary widely, they usually allow the two sides to bargain collectively or at least to “meet and confer.” Some states specify the procedure that must be followed if the two sides fail to agree (for example, fact-finding in Kansas; binding arbitration in Maryland).7

Because education is considered a vital public service, the law generally prohibits employee strikes. (A few states allow teachers to withhold services under specific conditions written into state law.) However, teachers sometimes do strike despite legal prohibitions. In such instances, school officials can seek court injunctions ordering teachers to return to their classrooms. Defiance of a court order can result in penalties. Florida and Minnesota, for example, prohibit striking teachers from receiving salary increases for one year after a strike; New York law allows striking teachers to be penalized two days’ pay for each day on strike; and Michigan permits dismissal of striking teachers.

Other procedures for removing teachers
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Table 9.1 Due-Process Rules for Dismissing a Tenured Teacher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>The teacher must be given timely, detailed, written notice of the charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The teacher must be accorded a hearing and sufficient time to prepare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The teacher has a right to be represented by legal counsel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The teacher may present written and oral evidence, including witnesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The teacher may cross-examine witnesses and challenge evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>The hearing is to be conducted before an impartial body. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled (in Hortonville District v. Hortonville Education Association) that under the U.S. Constitution a school board may be that impartial body unless bias can be proven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>The teacher is entitled to a written transcript of the proceedings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>The teacher has the right to appeal an adverse ruling to a higher legal authority, usually the state court system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Protection Against Assault

In recent decades, physical assault on teachers and administrators has become an important problem at some schools, particularly secondary schools in big cities. In such cases, courts generally have convicted defendants who violated either educational statutes or state criminal codes. Some analysts have concluded that educators can help protect themselves and their fellow employees by vigorously pressing criminal charges and initiating civil suits for assault and battery. In addition, many school districts have developed policies that stress punishment of students, parents, or others who assault teachers and that also assist teachers in pursuing legal responses:8

■ A teacher may use such force as shall be reasonable and necessary to protect him or herself from attack, to prevent school property from damage and/or destruction, and/or to prevent injury to another person.

■ A student who physically assaults a teacher who is performing a duty in the line of employment, including extracurricular activities, shall be immediately suspended.

Freedom of Expression

Courts have tended to uphold teachers’ rights to express themselves in public or in school (see Overview 9.1). However, in determining whether the expression is “protected” under the First Amendment, the court considers the effects on school operation, teacher performance, teacher–superior relationships, and coworkers, as well as the appropriateness of the time, place, and manner of the teacher’s remarks.

An example is the case of Marvin Pickering, a tenured high-school teacher who published a letter to the editor of the local newspaper criticizing the board and superintendent about bond proposals and expenditures. The letter resulted in his termination. In *Pickering v. Board of Education*, the U.S. Supreme Court held that publishing the letter did not impede the “proper performance of his daily duties in the classroom or... [interfere] with the regular operation of schools generally.” For this reason, Pickering’s dismissal was found to be improper. Similarly, the court system awarded $1 million dollars to a Portland, Oregon, teacher whose district fired her for speaking out against the insufficient opportunities provided for her special education students.9

On the other hand, two teachers in Alaska were dismissed for writing a letter that was highly critical of their superintendent and contained many false allegations. Reaction to the letter was immediate and prolonged. The Alaska Supreme Court held that the teachers’ effectiveness had been impaired by their remarks and that their ability to work closely with colleagues had been diminished.10

A comparison of these cases shows that the decision rested not just on the behavior itself but also on its results. The courts have developed a three-step analysis
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for assessing teachers’ rights to freedom of expression: (1) Did the teacher’s expression of opinion involve a public matter of political, social, or other concern to the community? (2) If yes, courts still must weigh First Amendment rights against the employer’s responsibility to promote a productive and harmonious climate for the delivery of education. Finally, (3) the teacher is entitled to judicial relief only if his or her expression of opinion can be shown to be a motivating factor in dismissal or other punitive action. The Supreme Court largely reaffirmed this line of reasoning in the *Garcetti v. Ceballos* decision in 2006.11

*Pickering* and similar decisions would not be applicable to teachers in schools not publicly funded. The civil rights of private- and parochial-school teachers—tenure, freedom of expression, due process, and the like—depend primarily on the terms of their individual contracts with the school.

**Verbal and Emotional Abuse of Students** Teachers’ rights to freedom of expression do not extend, of course, to verbal or emotional abuse of students. Teachers can be sued and/or suspended or dismissed for engaging in such behavior. A teacher who also served as a basketball and football coach was accused of using terms (while coaching) such as “Tontos” in dealing with Native American students and “jungle bunnies” in referring to African American students. Although allowed to continue teaching science and physical education, he was suspended from coaching for unprofessional conduct. Other teachers have had their employment terminated or interrupted for directing obscene curses at students they perceived as troublesome or for persistently
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using sarcasm and ridicule to pressure or embarrass students. Teachers also can be sued personally under civil liability or criminal statutes by parents who believe their children have been injured by verbal or emotional abuse.12

Academic Freedom

Academic freedom refers to the teacher’s freedom to choose subject matter and instructional materials relevant to the course without interference from administrators or outsiders. Recent years have witnessed hundreds of incidents in which parents or others have tried to remove or restrict use of public-school materials, including allegedly immoral or unwholesome works such as Little Red Riding Hood, the Harry Potter series, Snow White, Huckleberry Finn, and the Goosebumps series. There have been nearly nine thousand attempts to ban materials from U.S. schools and libraries since 1990. Several courts have ruled that materials can be eliminated on the basis of vulgarity but not to censor ideas. In general, teachers should have a written rationale for the materials they select, explaining how it fits into the curriculum, and they should give students a choice of alternate materials if the students or their parents object to the materials selected.13

Appeals courts have upheld a high-school teacher’s right to assign a magazine article containing “a vulgar term for an incestuous son”; another teacher’s use of a film in which citizens of a small town randomly killed one person each year; school library inclusion of books involving witchcraft and the occult; and elementary-school teachers’ use of a literary anthology in which students were instructed to pretend they were witches and write poetic chants.14

On the other hand, decisions of school officials to restrict teachers’ academic freedom have sometimes been upheld. For example, a West Virginia art teacher was suspended for (unwittingly) distributing sexually explicit cartoons, an Ohio English teacher was prohibited from assigning the books One Flew over the Cuckoo’s Nest and Manchild in the Promised Land to juvenile students unless their parents consented, and a North Carolina teacher was disciplined after her students performed a play containing adult language in a state drama competition.

In general, courts have considered the following issues: (1) students’ age and grade level, (2) the relevancy of the questioned material to the curriculum, (3) the duration of the material’s use, (4) the general acceptance of a disputed teaching method within the profession, (5) the prior existence of board policy governing selection of materials and teaching techniques, (6) whether the materials are required or optional, and (7) whether actions against the teacher involved retaliation for free expression.15

---


Teacher as Exemplar or Role Model

Decades ago teachers’ behaviors were closely scrutinized because communities believed they should be exemplars—that is, examples to their students of high moral standards and impeccable character, conservative dress and grooming, and polished manners. Although these standards have relaxed, in some places teachers may still be dismissed under immorality statutes for a drunk-driving incident, homosexuality, or for living unmarried with a member of the opposite sex. Seemingly less weighty behaviors have also become grounds for dismissal, such as engaging in a water fight in which a student suffered mild skin irritations or joking about testes and menstrual periods when these topics were not part of the curriculum being taught by a science teacher.16

Recent years have seen a movement toward reemphasizing teachers’ responsibilities as “moral exemplars” in and out of school. Many parents have demanded that schools reinforce traditional values among students, and many schools have introduced “character education” programs. School district policies generally still require that teachers serve as “positive role models.” Based in part on such requirements, Indiana courts upheld the dismissal of a teacher who drank beer in the presence of students at a local restaurant and then drove them home. According to attorneys for the National School Boards Association, misbehavior outside the school that reduces teachers’ capacity to serve as positive role models can justify reprimands or dismissals as long as rights to free speech and free association (with friends or acquaintances of one’s choice) are not violated. Some courts have followed this line of reasoning in allowing school districts to carry out random drug testing of teachers and other staff.17

Moral standards are also subject to changing social mores. In the past few years, for example, some states and numerous jurisdictions have passed laws prohibiting discrimination against gay or lesbian individuals. Several courts have cited such laws in rejecting job termination and other actions that may have been directed against gay or lesbian teachers (or students).18

Courts also have decided cases in which teachers’ dress and grooming conflicted with school district policies or traditions. One California court ruled that women teaching at “back-to-basics” schools in Pomona could not be required to wear dresses if they preferred to wear outfits with pants. Another California court ruled that Paul Finot’s wearing of a beard was symbolic expression protected by the First Amendment as well as a liberty protected under the Fourteenth Amendment. On the other hand, when Max Miller’s contract was not renewed because of his beard and long sideburns, the circuit court upheld the dismissal. “As public servants in a special position of trust,” the judges stated, “teachers may properly be subjected to many restrictions in their professional lives which would be invalid if generally applied.” In any case, proper attire and grooming are important considerations in the profession of teaching whether or not they are legally required.19

---

Tort Liability and Negligence

Torts are civil wrongs. Under tort law, individuals who have suffered through the improper conduct of others may sue for damages. For example, educators may be found guilty of negligence when students are injured during classes, on the playground, or elsewhere if the injury resulted from failure to take appropriate preventive action. Of course a case won’t be filed every time a child is accidentally injured, but when injury results from negligent or intentional action, legal remedies can be pursued.

A generation ago, nearly every school district was immune from tort liability. This immunity had its origins in English common law, under which the king, as sovereign, could not be sued. Since 1960, most states have eliminated or modified this view of governmental immunity. In states that permit suits, the parties sued may include the school district as well as specific school administrators, teachers, and other staff. For example, school districts can be held liable for their employees’ negligent or malicious actions (such as sexual abuse, neglect of hazing or bullying among students, or failure to report students’ suicidal intentions) if school officials have provided little or no supervision or ignored persistent complaints. These responsibilities even extend to malicious or neglectful action or inaction by volunteers who donate time to work with a school.20

Teachers are required by law to protect their students from injury or harm. In nearly all states, the traditional standard of care is what a reasonable and prudent person would do under similar circumstances. In one case, a kindergarten teacher was charged with negligence when a child fell from a playground structure while the teacher was attending to other children. The court ruled that the teacher was not required to have all children in sight at all times. Her presence in the immediate area was sufficient to establish that the teacher was fulfilling her duty. The New York State Supreme Court reached a similar conclusion in overturning a jury award to an injured high-school athlete, on grounds that school officials had exercised.

---

“reasonable care” in operating their school’s football program. In other cases, how-
however, school districts or their employees have been found partially or wholly re-
sponsible for students’ injuries that a reasonable person should have been able to
foresee.21

An important principle is whether the injury could have been foreseen and thus
prevented. An overweight student expressed concern to her physical education
teacher about a class requirement to perform a back somersault. The teacher insisted
the somersault be done, and the student’s neck snapped in the attempt. The court said
the teacher showed utter indifference to the student’s safety, and the jury awarded
$77,000 in damages. Similarly, a high-school student in an introductory chemistry
class blew away his hand while completing an assignment to make gunpowder. The
court ruled that the injury was foreseeable and the teacher was negligent.22

School districts require parents to sign consent forms when students are in-
volved in activities such as field trips or athletic competition. The form generally
has two purposes: to inform parents of their children’s whereabouts and to release
school personnel from liability in case of injury. However, because parents cannot
waive a child’s right to sue for damages if an injury occurs, these forms actually
serve only the first purpose. Obtaining a parental waiver does not release teachers
from their legal obligations to protect the safety and welfare of students.

21Clark v. Furch, 567 S.W. 2d 457 (Mo. App. 1978); Benjamin Dowling-Sendor, “Friday Night
Tragedy,” American School Board Journal (September 2004), available at www.asbj.com; and
George R. Schaefer and Colleen McGlone, “Negligence and Sovereign Immunity,” Journal of
Physical Education, Recreation & Dance (August 2006), pp. 10–11; and Edwin C. Darden, “A
Recent years have brought what some observers describe as an “explosion” in litigation related to liability and negligence. In addition, rather than accepting the exercise of reasonable precautions as a defense against negligence, recent judicial decisions have frequently emphasized “strict liability.” In this situation, teachers cannot be too careful, for negligence might occur in numerous school settings. Physical education instructors, counselors, sponsors of extracurricular activities, and shop and laboratory teachers must take special care. Prudent safeguards include a clear set of written rules, verbal warnings to students, regular inspection of equipment, adherence to state laws and district policies regarding hazardous activities, thoughtful planning, and diligent supervision.23

### Reporting Child Abuse

Because a high percentage of abuse is directed at school-age children, schools play an important role in protecting them. In most states, laws require educators to report suspected cases of child abuse to authorities or designated social service agencies. As a result, increasing numbers of school districts have written policies describing how teachers should proceed when they suspect abuse. Warning signs that may indicate a child is being abused are shown in Table 9.2.

### Copyright Laws

A copyright gives authors and artists control over the reproduction and distribution of works they create; consequently, permission for reproduction usually must be obtained from the owner. Beginning in the 1970s, widespread use of copying machines bred serious and regular violations of copyright laws. To address this problem, in 1976 Congress amended the original 1909 copyright laws to include photocopying and the educational use of copyrighted materials. In addition, a committee of librarians, publishers, authors, and educators developed “fair use” guidelines. Fair use is a legal principle that allows use of copyrighted materials without permission from the author under specific, limited conditions. Table 9.3 summarizes fair use restrictions on copying for classroom use or other educational purposes.24

Authors usually copyright plays and musical productions, and schools must obtain permission from the author or the author’s agent before presenting such works. Often a royalty fee is charged to secure permission, the amount of which may depend on whether or not admission is charged.

Videotapes and DVDs also fall within the fair use guidelines of the copyright laws. These guidelines specify that educational institutions may not keep the recordings they make of copyrighted television programs for more than forty-five days without a license. During the first ten days, an individual teacher may use the recorded program once, and may show it once again after that period when “instructional reinforcement is necessary.” After forty-five days the tape or DVD must

---


be erased. Video recording may occur only when a faculty member requests it in advance; thus it may not be done on a regular basis in anticipation of faculty requests.

Computer software is subject to the same fair use restrictions as other copyrighted materials. For example, teachers may not copy protected computer programs and distribute them for use on school computers. Downloading computer files from another source may require permission and/or fees. For example, after it was found that a Los Angeles school allegedly possessed pirated copies of hundreds of software programs, district officials agreed to pay $300,000 to rectify this

Software
Copyright issues involving the Internet have become an important concern for teachers, students, and administrators. Copyright holders have taken action to prohibit unauthorized use of text or images, to correct or restrict posting of incomplete or erroneous materials, or otherwise to reduce or eliminate potentially illegal publication of their materials on the World Wide Web and other platforms. Business and commercial groups led by the entertainment and software industries worked with federal legislators to develop the No Electronic Theft Act of 1997 and the Technology, Education, and Copyright Harmonization Act of 2002, which provide hefty penalties for possessing or distributing illegal electronic copies. These laws include provisions that allow copyright owners to prevent downloading of their material without permission and/or to require recipients to pay a fee. They also protect the copyrights of teachers whose material is posted online.26

**Table 9.3 Guidelines on Copying Materials for Educational Use**

- Copying of prose is limited to excerpts of no more than 1,000 words.
- Copies from an anthology or encyclopedia cannot exceed one story or entry, or 2,500 words.
- A poem may be copied if it is less than 250 words, and an excerpt of no more than 250 words may be copied from a longer poem.
- Distribution of copies from the same author more than once a semester or copying from the same work or anthology more than three times during the semester is prohibited.
- Teachers may make one copy per student for class distribution; if charges are made, they may not exceed actual copying costs.
- It is illegal to create anthologies or compilations by using photocopies as a substitute for purchasing the same or similar materials.
- Consumable materials, such as workbooks, may not be copied.
- Under the fair use doctrine, single copies of printed materials may be made for personal study, lesson planning, research, criticism, comment, and news reporting.
- Most magazine and newspaper articles may be copied freely. However, items in weekly newspapers and magazines designed for classroom use by students may not be copied without permission.
- Individual teachers must decide, independently, to copy material; they may not be directed to do so by higher authorities.
- There are three categories of material for which copies may be freely made: writings published before 1978 that have never been copyrighted, published works for which copyrights are more than seventy-five years old, and U.S. government publications.
- New restrictions on use of copyrighted materials are emerging in connection with the Internet and other digital media.

**Internet**

**Digital Millennium Act**

**REFOCUS** What areas of caution did the preceding material suggest to you as a teacher? What topics might require further study to make sure you do not violate the law but can also defend your rights as a teacher?

---

25Information on the complex issues involving copyright of digital materials is provided at [www.wustl.edu/policies/computing.html](http://www.wustl.edu/policies/computing.html).

Students’ Rights and Responsibilities

During the 1960s, students increasingly began to challenge the authority of school officials to control student behavior. Before these challenges, students’ rights were considered limited by their status as minors and by the concept of *in loco parentis*, according to which school authorities assumed the powers of the child’s parents during the hours the child was under the school’s supervision. Use of this concept has declined, however, and the courts have become more active in identifying and upholding students’ constitutional rights. Student responsibilities have been increasingly recognized as well—that is, understanding that students’ educational rights are tied in with responsibilities on the part of both students and educators to ensure effective operation of the school.

The following sections and Overview 9.2 summarize some of the most important court decisions involving students’ rights and responsibilities. These apply primarily to public schools. As with teachers, students in nonpublic schools may not enjoy all the constitutional guarantees discussed in this chapter. Unless a substantial relationship between the school and the government can be demonstrated, private-school activity is not considered action by the state and therefore does not trigger state constitutional obligations. However, the movement toward voucher plans (see the chapter on Financing Public Education) and other school choice arrangements (discussed in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States) that provide public funds for students attending nonpublic schools has begun to blur this distinction.

### OVERVIEW 9.2

**Selected U.S. Supreme Court Decisions Affecting Students’ Rights and Responsibilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Summary of Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District</em> (1969)</td>
<td>Students are free to express their views except when such conduct disrupts class work, causes disorder, or invades the rights of others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Goss v. Lopez</em> (1975)</td>
<td>Suspension from school requires some form of due process for students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ingraham v. Wright</em> (1977)</td>
<td>Corporal punishment is not cruel or unusual punishment and is permitted where allowed by state law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>New Jersey v. T.L.O.</em> (1985)</td>
<td>To be constitutional, searches of students and students’ property must meet a two-pronged test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser</em> (1986)</td>
<td>Schools need not permit offensive or disruptive speech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Honig v. Doe</em> (1988)</td>
<td>Disabled students who are disruptive must be retained in their current placement until official hearings are completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District</em> (1998)</td>
<td>School districts are not legally at fault when a teacher sexually harasses a student unless the school acted with “deliberate indifference” in failing to stop it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decline of in loco parentis**

**Nonpublic-school students not necessarily protected**
Advising a Student Newspaper

Sara Jones couldn’t believe that she had been assigned to be the faculty adviser for the student newspaper. After all, she was just a beginning teacher. Sara had written for her high-school and university student newspapers, but she had never been in charge of such a project. Now Sara found herself supervising and directing eighteen-year-old students, just three years her junior.

Sara, twenty-one, had recently graduated with an English major and journalism minor. She would be teaching English II and English III, and the student newspaper would be an extracurricular activity.

Bob Cartwright, the student editor, was a member of the senior class who was frequently outspoken about his beliefs and views. Just one month into the school year, all students attended a required assembly for an anti-drug program. The next day, Bob came to her and said that he was going to write an editorial emphasizing student rights. He was tired of being forced to attend presentations when he had more important things to do with his time.

The next day Sara found this editorial written by Bob on her desk.

**Student Rights Ignored!**

*Drug-free schools! Just say no!*

*Slogans without meaning were once again presented to an audience that was more knowledgeable about drugs than any of the presenters. Every year we have to submit to this inane practice. What a colossal waste of time and energy! If the presenters were knowledgeable, they would already know that some drugs are being grown right on this very campus.*

*This editor just says NO to more assemblies about drug-free schools. Students are encouraged to walk out should another of these so-called educational opportunities present itself as a requirement. Let’s stand up for our right to have a voice in determining what we must suffer. Write letters to this paper. Write to the principal and to the superintendent. Let them know what you think about this assembly and demand to have students involved in the planning process for all assemblies in the future.*

Sara decided she must respond quickly, yet she did not want to cause a problem where there was none. She wondered if this editorial would cause trouble and thought she had better seek assistance from the principal. Her English classes were going well, but she wondered if problems serving as student newspaper adviser and dealing with this situation and other similar situations might jeopardize her chances for tenure or a continuing contract.

Questions:
1. What responsibilities and authority does Sara have as faculty adviser for the student newspaper?
2. What should Sara have determined before accepting such a position?
3. Should the student editor’s action affect her evaluation?
4. What rights and responsibilities does the student newspaper editor have?

Freedom of Expression

In 1965, John Tinker, fifteen, his sister Mary Beth, thirteen, and friend Dennis Eckhardt, sixteen, were part of a small group planning to wear black armbands to school as a silent, symbolic protest against the war in Vietnam. Hearing of this plan and fearing problems, administrators responded by adopting a policy prohibiting the wearing of armbands; the penalty was suspension until the armbands were removed. The Tinkers and Eckhardt wore the armbands as planned, refused to remove them, and were suspended. Their parents filed suit. In finding for the plaintiffs, the U.S. Supreme Court outlined the scope of student rights, so that this case, *Tinker v.*
Des Moines Independent Community School District, became the standard for examining students’ freedom of speech guarantees.27

To justify prohibition of a particular expression of opinion, the Court ruled, school officials must be able to show that their actions were caused by “something more than a mere desire to avoid the discomfort and unpleasantness that always accompany an unpopular viewpoint.” Student conduct that “materially disrupts classwork or involves substantial disorder or invasion of the rights of others” could be prohibited. In the absence of such good reasons for restraint, the students’ constitutional guarantees of free speech would apply. But as the preceding statements suggest, free expression in public schools has limits. In Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser, the U.S. Supreme Court confirmed that students may be punished for offensive or disruptive speech. In 2007 the Court added that administrators may prohibit student speech they view as promoting illegal drugs.28

Student publications may also raise problems. For example, in one case school policy required the principal to review each proposed issue of The Spectrum, the school newspaper written by journalism students at Hazelwood East High School in St. Louis County, Missouri. The principal objected to two articles scheduled to appear in one issue. The principal claimed the articles were deleted not because of the subject matter but because he considered them poorly written and there was insufficient time to rewrite them before the publication deadline.

Three student journalists sued, contending that their freedom of speech had been violated. This case, Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier, reached the U.S. Supreme Court, which upheld the principal’s action. The justices found that The Spectrum was not a public forum; rather, it was a supervised learning experience for journalism students. As long as educators’ actions were related to “legitimate pedagogical concerns,” they could regulate the newspaper’s contents in any reasonable manner. The ruling further stated that a school could disassociate itself not only from speech that directly interfered with school activities but also from speech that was “ungrammatical, poorly written, inadequately researched, biased, prejudiced, vulgar or profane, or unsuitable for immature audiences.” This decision was a clear restriction on student rights as previously understood.29

Controversies concerning publications written or distributed by students have prompted many school boards to develop written regulations that can withstand judicial scrutiny. Generally, these rules specify a time, place, and manner of distribution; a method of advertising the rules to students; a prompt review process; and speedy appeal procedures. Students may not distribute literature that is by legal definition obscene or libelous, or that is likely to cause the substantial disruption


specified in *Tinker*. School boards also have considerable leeway in determining whether nondisruptive material will be published in school newspapers and yearbooks. This chapter’s case study involves a teacher concerned about her responsibilities as the school newspaper adviser.

**Student Internet Use** The Internet has generated legal issues that educators will be contending with for a long time to come. Like businesses and other organizations, most schools have “acceptable use policies” that govern the online behavior of students and staff provided that statutory and constitutional requirements protecting free speech and other rights are not thereby violated. Developments include the following:30

- Schools have installed software to inhibit access to Internet sites that programmers have classified as pornographic, obscene, or otherwise undesirable for children or youth. In some cases, students have hacked school computers and gained access to blocked sites. In one instance school officials charged a group of middle-schoolers with felonies for this and other violations, but charges eventually were withdrawn in exchange for community service and an apology.
- A substitute teacher was convicted of allowing students to view pornography despite her plea that their computer was infected with malware that caused recycling pop-ups she was unable to stop.
- Some students have been punished for sending e-mail or posting webpages that school officials considered threatening, defamatory, exclusionary, obscene, or potentially disruptive or destructive. Such punishment may be legal even if the computers involved are off school property. However, electronic materials that might fit in these categories have the same legal standing as printed documents, which may require finding a delicate balance between legally protected individual rights on the one hand, and prohibitions on harming individuals and institutions on the other. For example, an Ohio school district had to pay thirty thousand dollars to a high-school student after it lost a court case in which he challenged his suspension for posting material that ridiculed his band teacher.
- Libraries, including school libraries, have been sued for using filtering software to screen out sexually oriented Internet sites. Libraries also have been sued for not using such software. Libraries that receive federal funding are currently required to use such software.

This chapter’s Technology @ School feature offers more information on legal issues involved with student computer use.

**Dress Codes and Regulations** Many courts have had to determine whether dress codes and regulations constitute an unconstitutional restriction on students’ rights to free expression. In some instances, as in a Louisiana case dealing with requirements that football team members shave their mustaches, judges have ruled that

---

The widespread and still growing emphasis on using technology in education increases the likelihood that you, as a teacher, will face legal issues involving your students’ use of computers. Some of these issues are spelled out at the EnGauge website sponsored by the North Central Regional Educational Laboratory (www.ncrel.org/engauge/). Designed to help schools and school districts use technology more effectively, the EnGauge website lists six “essential conditions” of a comprehensive technology program in schools. One of these conditions addresses “proficiency” of operation, and several indicators of proficiency level involve helping students understand legal (as well as social and ethical) issues in using technology.

The authors point out that teachers should model and guide students toward “legal and ethical practices related to technology use,” and should “facilitate student investigations of the legal and ethical issues related to technology and society.” Their examination of these issues includes a listing of “Ten Commandments for Computer Ethics,” examples of lesson topics for developing students’ skills and understandings, and advice on key legal considerations in student use of the Internet.

The sponsors of EnGauge emphasize their intention to expand the site regularly. You may want to check out new postings from time to time.

## Suspension and Expulsion

The issue of expulsion is illustrated in the case of nine students who received ten-day suspensions from their Columbus, Ohio, secondary schools for various alleged acts...
of misconduct. The suspensions were imposed without hearings but in accordance with state law; the school board had no written procedure covering suspensions. The students filed suit, claiming deprivation of their constitutional rights. In defense, school officials argued that without a constitutional right to education at public expense, the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment did not apply.

When this case, *Goss v. Lopez*, reached the Supreme Court in 1975, a majority of the Court disagreed with the school officials, reasoning that students had a legal right to public education. In other words, students had a property interest in their education that could not be taken away “without adherence to the minimum procedures” required by the due-process clause. Further, the justices said that students facing suspension “must be given some kind of notice and afforded some kind of hearing,” including “an opportunity to explain [their] version of the facts.” Also, “as a general rule notice and hearing should precede removal of the student from school.” Applying these principles to suspensions of up to ten days, the Court added that longer suspensions or expulsions might require more elaborate due-process procedures.33

In response to such court decisions, most school districts have developed written policies governing suspensions and expulsions. These policies usually distinguish between short- and long-term suspensions. Short-term suspension rights typically include oral or written notice describing the misconduct, the evidence on which the accusation is based, a statement of the planned punishment, and an opportunity for the student to explain his or her version or refute the stated facts before an impartial person. Expulsions require full procedural due process similar to that necessary for teacher terminations.34

**Controversy Regarding Students with Disabilities** Recent court decisions have limited school officials’ authority to suspend or expel disabled students who are disruptive or violent. In the case of *Honig v. Doe*, the Supreme Court ruled that such students must be retained in their current placement pending the completion of lengthy official hearings. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) of 1990 specified additional rights that make it difficult to suspend or expel students with disabilities, including those who may be severely disruptive or prone to violence. As a result, educators are seeking new ways to guarantee the rights of students while dealing with disruptive pupils who are classified as disabled. Congress has passed legislation aimed at making it less cumbersome for administrators to suspend disabled students who violate school discipline rules, but educators report that practical issues are still murky.35

---


Protection from Violence

Educators have a duty to protect students against violent actions that occur at school or at school-sponsored events, which frequently extends to off-campus events such as graduations, proms, and parties. Depending on the circumstances, the courts may find school districts or their employees legally liable for failing in this duty. For example, a Louisiana court held a school district partly responsible for the gunshot wound suffered by a student after a school security guard warned the student of trouble but refused to escort him to his car. By contrast, an Illinois appellate court held Chicago high-school officials not liable for the shooting of a student because they did not know that the weapon had been brought into school. In general, if the chance of harm to students is highly foreseeable, the educator’s “duty to care” becomes a “duty to protect.” Of course, regardless of questions involving legal culpability, educators should do everything possible to protect their students from violence.36

Zero Tolerance and Its Effects on Schools

Although school laws and policies dealing with school safety are primarily the responsibility of state and local governments (including public-school districts), growing national concern with violence in and around schools helped stimulate passage of the federal Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994. This legislation prohibits districts from receiving federal grants to improve performance among disadvantaged students unless their respective state governments have legislated “zero tolerance” of guns and other potentially dangerous weapons. By 1995, all fifty states had introduced such legislation, which in general provides for automatic suspension of students who possess objects that school officials decide are dangerous. Most districts have policies specifying how the legislation will be implemented and any additional grounds, such as possession of illegal substances, for automatic suspension.37

---

Zero-tolerance laws and policies have made schools safer than before, but have also had negative effects. A study by the Harvard University Civil Rights Project found that zero-tolerance practices frequently had “spun out of control” in dishing out “harsh punishments” for minor infractions. For example, certain items considered dangerous have generated a great deal of public ridicule of school districts, including a kitchen knife in a lunchbox, a belt buckle with a sharp edge, the finger of a kindergarten student who used it as a play gun during recess, a strong rubber band that could make a powerful sling shot, and a drawing of a student attacking a teacher. A more serious problem, according to the Advancement Project, headquartered in Washington, is that many disadvantaged students are “derailed” from schooling into incarceration by minor infractions of zero-tolerance policies. To avoid such outcomes and improve school climate through actions involving safety in schools, analysts urge educators to

- Make sure students have opportunities to talk with and connect with caring adults.
- Provide flexibility and consider alternatives to expulsion.
- Clearly define what constitutes a weapon, a misbehavior, or a drug.
- Comply with due-process laws.
- Tailor policies to local needs and review them annually.

### Search and Seizure

A legal search usually requires a lawfully issued search warrant. But rising drug use in schools and accompanying acts of violence have led some school officials (particularly in big-city high schools) to install metal detectors or x-ray machines to search for weapons. They have banned beepers and cell phones (sometimes used in drug sales), required students to breathe into alcohol-analysis machines, searched students’ book bags, and systematically examined lockers. Court challenges of such practices have usually centered on the Fourth Amendment, which states: “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the person or things to be seized.”

Legal terms express suspicion in differing degrees. The “probable” cause mentioned in the Fourth Amendment means that searchers believe it is more probable than not that evidence of illegal activity will be found. This is the degree of suspicion required for police searches. In contrast, where school searches have been upheld, courts have said “reasonable” cause was sufficient for school officials to act. Searches usually are conducted because administrators have reason to suspect that illegal or dangerous items are on the premises.

These principles were considered in a case involving a teacher who discovered two girls in a school restroom smoking cigarettes. This was a violation of school rules, and the students were taken to the vice principal’s office and questioned. One of the girls admitted smoking, but T.L.O., age fourteen, denied all charges. The vice

---

principal opened T.L.O.’s purse and found a pack of cigarettes. While reaching for
the cigarettes he noticed some rolling papers and decided to empty the purse. The
search revealed marijuana, a pipe, some empty plastic bags, a large number of dol-
lar bills, and a list entitled, “People who owe me money.” T.L.O.’s mother was called,
and the evidence was turned over to the police. T.L.O. confessed to the police that
she had been selling marijuana at school.

After she was sentenced to one year’s probation by the juvenile court, T.L.O.
appealed, claiming the vice principal’s search of her purse was illegal under the
Fourth Amendment. In finding for school authorities in New Jersey v. T.L.O., the U.S.
Supreme Court set up a two-pronged standard to be met for constitutionally sanc-
tioned searches. Courts consider (1) whether the search is justified at its inception,
and (2) whether the search, when actually conducted, is “reasonably related in
scope to the circumstances which justified the interference in the first place.” Using
these criteria, the Court found the search of T.L.O.’s purse justified because of the
teacher’s report of smoking in the restroom. This information gave the vice prin-
cipal reason to believe that the purse contained cigarettes. T.L.O. denied smoking,
which made a search of her purse necessary to determine her veracity. When the
vice principal saw the cigarettes and came across the rolling papers, he had reason-
able suspicion to search her purse more thoroughly.39

Courts have also ruled that the suspicions of school officials were not suffi-
ciently reasonable to justify the searches that followed. The use of trained dogs to
sniff student lockers and cars for evidence of drugs has been accepted because it oc-
curred when the lockers and cars were unattended and in public view. The use of
such dogs with students, however, can raise problems. In Highland, Indiana, 2,780
junior- and senior-high students waited for hours in their seats while six officials us-
ing trained dogs searched for drugs. A school official, police officer, dog handler, and
German shepherd entered the classroom where Diane Doe, thirteen, was a student.
The dog went up and down the aisles sniffing students, reached Diane, sniffed her
body, and repeatedly pushed its nose on and between her legs. The officer inter-
preted this behavior as an “alert” signaling the presence of drugs. Diane emptied her
pockets as requested, but no drugs were found. Finally, Diane was taken to the
nurse’s office and strip-searched. No drugs were found. Before school, Diane had
played with her own dog, which was in heat, and this smell remaining on her body
had alerted the police dog.

The Does filed suit. Both the district court and the appeals court concluded
that although the initial procedures were appropriate, the strip-search of Diane
was unconstitutional. The court of appeals said, “It does not require a constitu-
tional scholar to conclude that a nude search of a thirteen-year-old child is an
invasion of constitutional rights of some magnitude. More than that: It is a vio-
lation of any known principle of human decency.” Diane was awarded $7,500
damages.40

---

Searches,” Executive Educator (June 1995), pp. 29–30; Benjamin Dowling-Sendor, “Searching
Zirkel, “Constitutionalizing ‘Detentions’,” Phi Delta Kappan (April 2005), pp. 639–640; and
Nathan Essex, “The Legal Toll of Drug Sweeps in Hallways,” School Administrator (November

40Doe v. Renfrou, 635 F. 2d 582 (7th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 101 U.S. 3015 (1981). See also
In sum, when searches are conducted without a specific warrant, the following guidelines seem appropriate:

- Searches must be particularized. Reasonable suspicion should exist that each student being searched possesses specific contraband or evidence of a particular crime.
- Lockers are considered school property and may be searched if reasonable cause exists.
- Dogs may be used to sniff lockers and cars. Generalized canine sniffing of students is permitted only when the dogs do not touch them.
- Strip-searches are unconstitutional and should never be conducted.
- School officials may perform a “pat-down” search for weapons if they have a reasonable suspicion that students are bringing dangerous weapons to school.
- School officials may conduct searches on field trips, but the usual standards for searches still apply.
- School officials’ judgments are protected by government immunity if the search is not knowingly illegal.

Drug Testing as a Form of Search

Some school board members and other policy makers have urged administrators to introduce random testing of student athletes’ urine to detect marijuana, steroids, and other illegal substances. Historically, such testing was viewed as a potentially unconstitutional search. However, in 1995 the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that this type of drug search is not unconstitutional even without specific reason to suspect a particular individual. A majority of the justices concluded that school officials have reasonable grounds to be especially concerned with drug use among athletes, who presumably set an example for other students. Since then, the Supreme Court has also permitted drug testing of students engaged in other extracurricular activities.

Classroom Discipline and Corporal Punishment

Classroom discipline was the issue in a case involving a sixth grader who was placed in a “time out” area of the classroom whenever his behavior became disruptive. The student had a history of behavioral problems, and the teacher had tried other methods of discipline without success. While in “time out” the boy was allowed to use the restroom, eat in the cafeteria, and attend other classes. His parents sued, charging that the teacher’s actions (1) deprived their son of his property interest in receiving a public education; (2) meted out punishment disproportionate to his offense, in violation of his due-process rights; and (3) inflicted emotional distress.

---


42Footnote seems to be missing.

The district court said that school officials possess broad authority to prescribe and enforce standards of conduct in the schools, but this authority is limited by the Fourteenth Amendment. In this case, the student remained in school and thus was not deprived of a public education. “Time out” was declared to be a minimal interference with the student’s property rights. The court noted that the purpose of “time out” is to modify the behavior of disruptive students and to preserve the right to an education for other students in the classroom. All of the student’s charges were dismissed.44

A particularly controversial method of classroom discipline is corporal punishment, which has a long history in American education dating back to the colonial period. It is unacceptable to many educators, although it enjoys considerable support within some segments of the community and is administered more frequently than educators like to admit. Recent surveys indicate that nearly half a million children are spanked or paddled each school year, and thousands sustain injuries that require medical attention.45

Certain state legislatures have prohibited all corporal punishment in public schools. In states where the law is silent on this issue, local boards have wide latitude and may ban physical punishment if they choose. However, where a state statute explicitly permits corporal punishment, local boards may regulate but not prohibit its use. In this context, many school boards have developed detailed policies restricting the use of corporal punishment. Violations of policy can lead to dismissal, and legal charges are possible for excessive force, punishment based on personal malice toward the student, or unreasonable use of punishment.

Florida is an example of a state that allows corporal punishment. In 1977 the U.S. Supreme Court, in Ingraham v. Wright, ruled on the constitutionality of this law from two federal perspectives: (1) whether use of corporal punishment was a violation of the Eighth Amendment barring cruel and unusual punishment, and (2) whether prior notice and some form of due process were required before administering punishment.

In this case, James Ingraham and Roosevelt Andrews were junior-high students in Dade County, Florida. Because Ingraham had been slow to respond to the teacher’s instructions, he received twenty paddle swats administered in the principal’s office. As a consequence, he needed medical treatment and missed a few days of school. Andrews was also paddled, but less severely. Finding that the intent of the Eighth Amendment was to protect those convicted of crimes, the justices said it did not apply to corporal punishment of schoolchildren. As to due process, the Court said, “We conclude that the Due Process clause does not require notice and a hearing prior to the imposition of corporal punishment in the public schools, as that practice is authorized and limited by common law.”46

Despite this ruling, the Court also commented on the severity of the paddlings. In such instances, the justices stated, school authorities might be held liable for damages to the child. Moreover, if malice is shown, the officials might be subject to prosecution.

---

under criminal statutes. In a later action the Court also indicated a role for the due-process clause discussed earlier in this chapter. By declining to hear Miera v. Garcia, the Court let stand lower-court rulings that “grossly excessive” corporal punishment may constitute a violation of students’ due-process rights. Thus teachers can be prosecuted in the courts for using excessive force and violating students’ rights.47

Indeed, lower courts have ruled against teachers or administrators who have used cattle prods to discipline students, slammed students’ heads against the walls, or spanked students so hard they needed medical attention, and the Supreme Court will probably continue to uphold such rulings. Overall, recent judicial decisions, together with the ever-present possibility of a lawsuit, have made educators cautious in using corporal punishment.

Sexual Harassment or Molestation of Students

The Supreme Court’s decision in Ingraham v. Wright regarding physical punishment and a later decision in Franklin v. Gwinnett strengthened prohibitions against sexual harassment and sexual molestation. Definitions of these terms vary, but for interactions between students and teachers the terms generally include not only sexual contact that calls into question the teacher’s role as exemplar but also unwelcome sexual advances or requests for favors, particularly when the recipient may believe that refusal will affect his or her academic standing. Recent years have seen a dramatic increase in court cases involving school employees accused of sexually harassing students. Although the courts have been unclear on what constitutes illegal sexual harassment of students, clearly both staff members and the districts that employ them can be severely punished if found guilty in court.48

School officials’ legal responsibilities regarding teachers’ sexual harassment of students were clarified in a 1998 Supreme Court decision (Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District) that involved a ninth grader who was seduced by a science teacher but never informed administrators about this sexual relationship. Her parents sued for damages from the school district using the argument that Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 requires schools to proactively take action to identify and eliminate sexual harassment. The Supreme Court ruled that school officials are not legally liable unless they know of the harassment and then proceed with “deliberate indifference.” Some analysts were unhappy because they believed this decision allowed officials to avoid identifying and combating harassment, but others believed it reinforced administrators’ resolve to implement policies that demonstrate their concern about harassment.49


Despite the relief from liability that *Gebser* provides for school officials, individual staff members must be wary of any action a student or parent might interpret as sexual harassment or assault. Given the numerous allegations brought against teachers in recent years, many teacher organizations have been advising their members to avoid touching students unnecessarily. They also recommend that teachers make sure that doors are open and/or that other persons are present when they meet with a student. Legal advisers recognize the necessity for or benefits of touching or even hugging a student, as when a kindergarten teacher helps students put on coats or comforts a distressed pupil, but many advise teachers to avoid physical contact as much as possible, particularly with older students.

Sexual abuse or harassment of one student by another is also a serious problem. As in the case of students allegedly harassed by teachers, the law regarding harassment by other students is poorly defined and murky. Name calling and teasing with sexual overtones have been interpreted as illegal harassment that educators have a legal obligation to suppress, but in certain situations school staff have been absolved of legal responsibility. Some school districts’ antiharassment policies have prohibited “unwelcome” statements about gays and lesbians, but a federal appeals court prevented punishment of students who made such statements when it ruled they were exercising First Amendment rights to religious expression. On the other hand, several districts have paid settlements to gay or lesbian students who were harassed by peers. The following guidelines have been suggested for educators who think sexual harassment may be occurring:

1. Don’t ignore the situation or let it pass unchallenged.
2. Don’t overreact; find out exactly what happened.
3. Don’t embarrass or humiliate any party to an incident.
4. Initiate steps to support the alleged victim.
5. Apply consequences in accordance with school behavior codes.
6. Don’t assume that the incident is an isolated occurrence.
7. Provide comprehensive awareness programs for students, teachers, parents, and administrators.

**Student Records and Privacy Rights**

Until 1974, although students or their parents could not view most student records kept by schools, prospective employers, government agencies, and credit bureaus could. As might be guessed, abuses occurred. In 1974, Congress passed the *Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act* (also called either FERPA or the *Buckley Amendment*) to curb possible abuses in institutions receiving federal funds.

The Buckley Amendment requires public school districts to develop policies allowing parents access to their children’s official school records. The act prohibits disclosure of these records to most third parties without prior parental consent. Districts must have procedures to amend records if parents challenge the accuracy or completeness of the information they contain. Hearing and appeal mechanisms

---

regarding disputed information must also be available. Parents retain rights of access to their child’s school records until the child reaches age eighteen or is enrolled in a postsecondary institution.

However, the Buckley Amendment allows several exceptions. Private notes and memoranda of teachers and administrators (including grade books) are exempt from view. In addition, records kept separate from official files and maintained for law enforcement purposes (for example, information about criminal behavior) cannot be disclosed. Nothing may be revealed that would jeopardize the privacy rights of other pupils. Last, schools may disclose directory-type information without prior consent; however, students or their families may request that even this information be withheld.51

Student privacy policies also are affected by the Hatch Amendment to the federal General Education Provisions Act of 1978. The Hatch Amendment specified that instructional materials used in connection with “any research or experimentation program or project” must be “available for inspection” by participating students’ parents and guardians. In addition, no student can be required to participate in testing, psychological examination, or treatments whose “primary purpose is to reveal information” concerning political affiliations, sexual behaviors or attitudes, psychological or mental problems, income, and other personal matters. It has been difficult to define terms such as “instructional materials” and “research program,” and many parents have used the Hatch Act to object to school activities that probe students’ feelings or beliefs. Consequently, teachers and other staff must consider carefully whether collecting information on students’ background or beliefs serves a legitimate goal.52

Compulsory Attendance and Home Schooling

Every state has a law requiring children to attend school, usually from age six or seven to age sixteen or seventeen. In the past two decades, these compulsory attendance laws have received increased attention because of a revival of interest in home schooling. A growing number of parents who object to subject matter taught in public schools, the teaching methods used, or the absence of religious activities have chosen to teach their children at home. State governments allow for home schooling, but depending on state legislation, they impose regulations dealing with hours of study, testing, whether home-schooled children can participate in extracurricular activities at nearby public schools, and other matters.53

Home-schooling parents brought to court for violating compulsory attendance laws have usually been asked to demonstrate the home program’s essential equivalence to public-school offerings with respect to subject matter covered, adequacy of texts used, and hours of daily instruction. In some states, they also must show test

results indicating that their children’s education is comparable to that of school-educated peers. Parents have often prevailed in such cases, but courts have consistently upheld the right of state legislatures to impose restrictions and requirements.54

Need for Balance Between Rights and Responsibilities

Critique of courts

During the past several decades, as courts have upheld the constitutional rights of students and placed restrictions on school officials, many educators and parents have decided that the legal process is out of balance. They believe that the courts place too much emphasis on student rights and too little on the need for school discipline. The result, said former AFT president Albert Shanker, “is schools where little or no learning goes on because teachers have to assume the role of warden.”55 However, some scholars believe that since the mid-1980s the Supreme Court has moved to redress the balance. In this view, the Court’s decisions in T.L.O. (1985), Bethel v. Fraser (1986), and Hazelwood v. Kuhlmeier (1988) place fewer burdens on school officials than the 1969 Tinker decision. Rather than demonstrating that certain rules are necessary, school officials now need to show only that the rules are reasonable. This emphasis on reasonableness indicates that the Court “is placing considerable confidence in school officials,” trusting those officials to maintain a proper balance between student rights and the school’s needs.56

Focus on reasonableness

Religion and the Schools

Government “neutral”

The framers of our Constitution were acutely aware of religious persecution and sought to prevent the United States from experiencing the serious and often bloody conflicts that had occurred in Europe. As noted at the beginning of this chapter, the First Amendment, adopted in 1791, prohibits the establishment of a nationally sanctioned religion (the establishment clause) and government interference with individuals’ rights to hold and freely practice their religious beliefs (the free exercise clause). Judge Alphonso Taft succinctly stated the position of government toward religion more than one hundred years ago: “The government is neutral, and while protecting all, it prefers none, and it disparages none.”57

Critique of courts

Focus on reasonableness

Religion and the Schools

Government “neutral”


Prayer, Bible Reading, and Religious Blessings and Displays

Students in New Hyde Park were required to recite daily this nondenominational prayer composed by the New York State Board of Regents: “Almighty God, we acknowledge our dependence upon thee, and we beg thy blessings upon us, our parents, our teachers and our Country.” Although exemption was possible upon written parental request, the U.S. Supreme Court in *Engle v. Vitale* (1962) ruled the state-written prayer unconstitutional. According to the Court, “Neither the fact that the prayer may be denominationally neutral nor the fact that its observance on the part of students is voluntary can serve to free it from the limitations of the Establishment Clause.”

The decision created a storm of protest that has barely subsided to this day. A year later, the Court again prohibited religious exercises in public schools. This time, the issue involved oral reading of Bible verses and recitation of the Lord’s Prayer. These were clearly religious ceremonies and “intended by the State to be so,” even when student participation was voluntary. In 2000, the Court excluded student-led prayer at a football game because the game and therefore the prayer were officially sponsored by the school. On the other hand, the courts have ruled that students can lead or participate in prayers at commencement ceremonies, as long as decisions to do so are made by students without the involvement of clergy.

The Supreme Court also has ruled against invocations and benedictions in which a clergyman opens or closes a public-school ceremony by invoking blessings from a deity. In a 1992 decision, the Court concluded that such blessings violate the standards established in *Lemon v. Kurtzman* (see Figure 9.1), which prohibit the government from advancing religion. However, Justice Anthony Kennedy’s majority opinion noted that state actions implicating religion are not necessarily unconstitutional because some citizens may object to them, and that the decision was not meant to require a “relentless and pervasive attempt to exclude religion from every aspect of public life.”

One effect of the decision was to postpone full constitutional review of several important questions, such as whether schools can implement “moment of silence” policies that allow voluntary silent prayer in classrooms, whether a school choir can perform clearly Christian songs at a graduation ceremony, and whether private groups can distribute free Bibles on school premises.

Displaying religious symbols (such as a cross or a menorah) in public schools in a manner that promotes a particular religion is clearly unconstitutional. However, the Supreme Court has ruled that religiously oriented artifacts such as a Nativity scene can be displayed in public settings if the overall atmosphere is largely secular. The interpretation of this ruling is controversial. In one nonschool case, the Court banned a Nativity scene in front of the Allegheny County (Pa.) Courthouse because it had not been “junked up” (in the words of a county official) with Santa Claus figures or other secular symbols. After that decision, a federal judge required the

---


removal of a crucifixion painting from the Schuylerville (N.Y.) School District, on the grounds that the painting lacked any “meaningful” secular features. In 2002, an Ohio school district was prohibited from posting the Ten Commandments in front of four high schools. Since then, the Supreme Court ruled that government institutions may display the Ten Commandments as part of an historical exhibit, but not as a lone display of religious messages.60

Access to Public Schools for Religious Groups

Bridget Mergens, an Omaha high-school senior, organized a group of about twenty-five students who requested permission to meet on campus before school every week or so to read and discuss the Bible. Although similar Bible clubs were allowed to meet at other schools, administrators refused the request, partly to avoid setting a precedent for clubs of Satanists, Ku Klux Klanists, or other groups the school would find undesirable. Bridget’s mother brought suit, and in 1990 the U.S. Supreme Court found in her favor. Public high schools, the Court ruled, must allow students’ religious, philosophical, and political groups to meet on campus on the same basis as other extracurricular groups. Permitting such meetings, the Court stated, does not mean that the school endorses or supports them. Courts have since ruled that this “equal access” applies not only before and after school but also during “noninstructional” time during the school day.61

Implications of the Mergens case have aroused great uncertainty. Schools apparently must choose between allowing practically any student group to meet or dropping all extracurricular activities. Similar considerations apply concerning the distribution of flyers about religious activities. A third option would be to permit meetings only by groups whose activities relate directly to the curriculum, but difficult problems arise in defining such activities. Recent Supreme Court cases have failed to fully clarify the issue, but it is clear that religious groups and activities must satisfy criteria such as the following:62

■ The activity must be student initiated.
■ “The school may not sponsor the activity, but its employees may attend meetings and it may pay incidental costs such as heating.
■ “Outsiders may not direct the group or regularly attend meetings.

---

Federal judges have concluded that students who refuse to stand and recite the pledge of allegiance cannot be compelled to do so if participation violates their religious or other personal beliefs. (© Russell D. Curtis/Photo Researchers

Pledge of Allegiance

The separation of church and state also applies to statements of allegiance to the state. In one case, several Jehovah’s Witnesses went to court over a West Virginia requirement that their children recite the pledge of allegiance at school each morning. The parents based their objection on religious doctrine. The court ruled that the children could be exempted from this requirement because it conflicted with their religious beliefs. Using this ruling as precedent, federal judges have concluded that students who refuse to stand and recite the pledge cannot be compelled to do so if participation violates their religious or other personal beliefs. Recent decisions have provided further support for this conclusion.63

In 2002, a federal court of appeals ruled the Pledge of Allegiance unconstitutional because it includes the words “under God.” This decision predictably sparked nationwide controversy; whether or not the ruling can be implemented is yet to be determined by the Supreme Court and other courts.64

Religious Objections Regarding Curriculum

In Tennessee in the mid-1980s, fundamentalist Christian parents brought suit against the Hawkins County School District charging that exposure of their children to the Holt, Rinehart and Winston basal reading series was offensive to their

---

religious beliefs. The parents believed that “after reading the entire Holt series, a child might adopt the views of a feminist, a humanist, a pacifist, an anti-Christian, a vegetarian, or an advocate of a one-world government.” The district court held for the parents, reasoning that the state could satisfy its compelling interest in the literacy of Tennessee schoolchildren through less restrictive means than compulsory use of the Holt series. However, an appellate court reversed this decision, stating that no evidence had been produced to show that students were required to affirm their belief or disbelief in any idea mentioned in the Holt books. The textbook series, the court said, “merely requires recognition that in a pluralistic society we must ‘live and let live.’”65

In somewhat similar cases, district judges upheld a group of parents in Alabama who contended that school textbooks and activities advanced the religion of secular humanism66 and a New York group that contended the Bedford Public Schools were promoting pagan religions when students recited a liturgy to the Earth or sold worry dolls. The first decision was reversed by a federal appeals court, which held that the textbooks did not endorse secular humanism or any other religion, but rather attempted to instill such values as independent thought and tolerance of diverse views. The appeals court noted that if the First Amendment prohibited mere “inconsistency with the beliefs of a particular religion there would be very little that could be taught in the public schools.” The second case also was resolved in favor of the schools. Similar conclusions have been reached by courts hearing complaints about “New Age” classroom materials in California and several other states.67

In 1987 the U.S. Supreme Court considered Edwards v. Aguillard, a case that challenged Louisiana’s Balanced Treatment for Creation-Science and Evolution-Science Act. Creation science, or creationism, is the belief that life has developed through divine intervention or creation rather than through biological evolution. The Louisiana act required that creation science be taught wherever evolution was taught, and that appropriate curriculum guides and materials be developed. The Supreme Court ruled this law unconstitutional. By requiring “either the banishment of the theory of evolution . . . or the presentation of a religious viewpoint that rejects evolution in its entirety,” the Court reasoned, the Louisiana act advanced a religious doctrine and violated the establishment clause of the First Amendment.68

Intelligent Design Controversy sometimes associated with the creationist point of view escalated in the twenty-first century as the intelligent design concept was introduced in a growing number of schools. This point of view argues that life is too complex to be formed through natural selection as portrayed by Darwin, therefore it must be directed by an “intelligent designer.” Many advocates of intelligent design avoid explicit references to divine creation, instead emphasizing what


66The secular humanism philosophy de-emphasizes religious doctrines and instead emphasizes the human capacity for self-realization through reason.


they view as flaws (including lack of valid evidence) in the theories developed by Darwinists.69

Actions initiated by advocates of intelligent design included a Cobb County (Ga.) school-board requirement that biology texts have stickers stating that “This textbook contains material on evolution. Evolution is a theory, not a fact. . . . This material should be approached with an open mind, studied carefully, and critically considered,” and a similar curriculum-statement in which the Dover (Pa.) school board suggested that students learn about intelligent design. These and several comparable actions have been challenged in federal courts, where judges have concluded that intelligent design involves support for particular religions and is not a scientific theory that can be required or inserted in the science curriculum. However, some analysts have noted that intelligent design still might be studied appropriately in social studies or elsewhere in the curriculum.70

Teaching About Religion

Guarantees of separation between church and state do not prohibit public schools from teaching about religion or about controversial topics involving religion. Some states and school districts have been strengthening approaches for developing an understanding of religious traditions and values while neither promoting nor detracting from any particular religious or nonreligious ideology. In addition, many scholars have been preparing materials for such constitutionally acceptable instruction.71

According to guidelines issued in 1995 and reissued in 1998 by the U.S. Department of Education, schools can teach subjects such as “the history of religion, comparative religion, the Bible (or other scripture) as literature, and the role of religion in the history of the United States and other countries.” These federal guidelines, which also touched on many other controversies concerning religion and schools, are summarized in Overview 9.3. However, courts may not necessarily support what the executive branch deems correct.72

Government Guidelines Regarding Prayer and Religion in Schools

In 2003, the Department of Education issued more detailed guidelines involving prayer and related activities in public schools. Guidelines and commentary included the following:73

---


Students may organize prayer groups, religious clubs, and ‘see you at the flag-pole’ gatherings before school to the same extent that students are permitted to organize other noncurricular student activities groups. . . .

Teachers may . . . take part in religious activities where the overall context makes clear that they are not participating in their official capacities. Before school or during lunch, for example, teachers may meet with other teachers for prayer or Bible study to the same extent that they may engage in other conversation or nonreligious activities. . . .

If a school has a ‘moment of silence’ or other quiet periods during the school day, students are free to pray silently, or not to pray. . . . Teachers and other school employees may neither encourage nor discourage students from praying during such time periods. . . .
Students may express their beliefs about religion in homework, artwork, and other written and oral assignments free from discrimination based on the religious content of their submissions. Such home and classroom work should be judged by ordinary academic standards of substance and relevance and against other legitimate pedagogical concerns.

**Government Regulation and Support of Nonpublic Schools**

In 1925, *Pierce v. Society of Sisters* established that a state’s compulsory school attendance laws could be satisfied through enrollment in a private or parochial school. Attention then turned to the question of how much control a state could exercise over the education offered in nonpublic schools. A 1926 case, *Farrington v. Tokushige*, gave nonpublic schools “reasonable choice and discretion in respect of teachers, curriculum and textbooks.” Within that framework, however, states have passed various kinds of legislation to regulate nonpublic schools. Some states have few regulations; others require the employment of certified teachers, specify the number of days or hours the school must be in session, or insist that schools meet state accreditation standards. One current controversy involves the application of state standards for special education.74

On the other side of the coin, states have offered many types of support for nonpublic schools, including transportation, books, and health services. In the 1947 case *Everson v. Board of Education of Eving Township*, the Supreme Court considered a provision in the New Jersey Constitution that allowed state aid for transportation of private and parochial students. The Court held that where state constitutions permitted such assistance, they did not violate the U.S. Constitution. Since the Everson decision, the distinction between permissible and impermissible state aid to nonpublic schools has usually been based on the child benefit theory: aid that directly benefits the child is permissible, whereas aid that primarily benefits the nonpublic institution is not.75

In *Wolman v. Walter* (1977), *Agostini v. Felton* (1997), *Mitchell v. Helms* (2000), and *Zelman v. Simmons-Harris* (2002), the Supreme Court went further. Addressing state support for nonpublic schools permitted by the Ohio and New York Constitutions, the Court decided specific questions by applying the three-pronged *Lemon v. Kurtzman* test illustrated in Figure 9.1. The Court’s decisions were as follows:76

- Providing for the purchase or loan of secular textbooks, standardized tests, and computers is constitutional.
- Providing speech, hearing, and psychological diagnostic services at the nonpublic-school site is constitutional.
- Providing for the purchase and loan of other instructional materials and equipment, such as projectors, science kits, maps and globes, charts, record
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players, and so on, was ruled unconstitutional because this involves excessive
government entanglement with religion.

- Providing funds for field trips is unconstitutional because “where the teacher
  works within and for a sectarian institution, an unacceptable risk of fostering
  religion is an inevitable byproduct.”
- Providing Title 1 remedial services from public-school staff located at neutral
  facilities does not constitute excessive entanglement of church and state.
- Providing students with vouchers used to pay for tuition at nonpublic schools
  is constitutional if no financial incentives skew the program toward religious
  schools and such vouchers do not violate the state constitution.

The material outlined above shows why many legal scholars believe that con-
stitutional law regarding government aid to nonpublic schools is something of a
muddle. Why should government purchase of textbooks, tests, and computers for
nonpublic schools be constitutional but not purchase of maps, globes,
charts, and record players? Why can government-supported psychological services be provided at nonpublic schools, whereas remedial services
must be provided at a neutral site? How can vouchers to attend non-
public schools be legal in some states but not others? Questions as con-
voluted as these help explain why Court attempts at clarification have
been only partly successful.

---

A legal muddle

**REFOCUS** Do you think controversies involving church and state will affect you directly as a teacher? Which aspects are most relevant in your subject field? What difficulties or challenges that relate to religion might arise in schools in your community?

---

**Summing Up**

1. Education-related court cases have significantly increased in the past few decades. Such cases can be heard in both federal and state courts, depending on the issues involved. Only decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court apply nationally.
2. Tenure protects teachers from dismissal except on such specified grounds as incompetence, immorality, insubordination, and unprofessional conduct. Teachers accused of such conduct are entitled to due-process protections.
3. Teachers have the right to form and belong to unions and other professional organizations, but most states prohibit teachers from striking.
4. Teachers’ rights regarding freedom of expression and academic freedom depend on a balance between individual and governmental interests. Teachers have rights guaranteed to individuals under the Constitution, but school boards have obligations to ensure the “proper” and “regular” operation of the schools, taking into account the rights of parents, teachers, and students.
5. Restraints on teachers’ behavior outside school and on their dress and grooming are not as stringent as they once were in the United States, but teachers still are expected to serve as role models and to behave in an exemplary manner.
6. Schools must uphold definite safety standards to avoid legal suits charging negligence when students are injured. In addition, teachers must obey copyright laws.
7. The courts have clarified and expanded such students’ rights as freedom of expression, due process in the case of suspension or expulsion, prohibition against bodily searches in the absence of specific grounds, limitations on corporal punishment, and privacy of records.
8. Organized and mandated prayer and Bible reading are not allowed in public schools. School curricula do not automatically constitute unconstitutional discrimina-
tion against religion when they ignore religious points of view or explanations.
9. The legal basis for government support for nonpublic schools is mixed. For example, government may provide textbooks, tests, and psychological services for students at nonpublic schools, but providing funds for field trips, projectors, science kits, or maps is thought to entangle church and state.
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Certification Connection

Chapter 9 introduces the legal aspects of education. It is vital for every teacher to know about how the law affects them and their students. There are two aspects of law that all teachers should understand. One is confidentiality and treatment of student records. Praxis II, Principles of Learning and Teaching may ask direct questions about confidentiality and privacy.

The other aspect is the topic of “fair use” with respect to copyright, software piracy, and individual privacy in the Internet age. In your journal, record your local school district’s policies regarding confidentiality and student records. In addition, investigate the district’s policy on fair use of copy machines, computers, and the Internet.

Discussion Questions

1. Should teachers be required to meet higher or different standards of personal morality than other citizens? Why or why not?
2. Debate the pros and cons of prayer, Bible reading, and religious observances in public schools. Should current laws change regarding these activities?
3. Should students' due-process rights differ from those of adults outside the school? Why or why not? What differences may be most justifiable?
4. To what extent are academic freedom issues in elementary schools different from those in secondary schools?
5. How might this distinction be important for you as a teacher?
6. Think of a situation in which your personal views might conflict with your school’s policies regarding corporal punishment, student dress codes, or some other legal issue. To what extent would you find it difficult to comply with official policies? If you refused to comply, might this make it difficult to work with colleagues, students, or parents? What might you do to modify the policies or otherwise resolve the problem?

Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Research the teacher tenure regulations in your state and in one or two nearby states. Do the states differ with respect to probationary period, cause for dismissal, or other matters? Are teachers in your community aware of these policies?
2. From a nearby school district, collect and analyze information about teachers’ responsibilities for identifying and reporting child abuse. What are the district’s explicit policies? Have any teachers been released or otherwise disciplined for failure to meet these responsibilities?
3. Survey several nearby school districts regarding their policies on student and teacher dress codes. Find out whether and how these policies have changed in the past ten or fifteen years. Do you expect to see further changes in the near future?
4. For your portfolio, prepare a lesson plan dealing with religious holidays in a manner that neither unconstitutionally promotes nor inhibits religion.
5. Go to http://library.lp.findlaw.com, then search for and download the Department of Education’s report “Discipline of Students with Disabilities.” What conclusions and responsibilities are likely to be most important and perhaps most problematic to you as a teacher?
Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

You can find useful sources dealing with material in this chapter at www.findlaw.com. Hear sound clips of arguments in Supreme Court cases at www.oyez.org. Many Supreme Court cases can be examined at www.thisnation.com/index.html. The American Civil Liberties Union at www.aclu.org gives considerable attention to education-related cases.

A wealth of law-related material involving school safety and student discipline is available at www.keepschoolsafe.org. “School Law Topics” is a useful site that the Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education updates at http://dese.mo.gov/schoollaw.
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PART FOUR

Social Foundations
We are all aware that the world is changing rapidly. Communications and the economy are becoming globalized, career success requires increasingly advanced skills, immigration has accelerated in the United States and many other countries, and family patterns today differ greatly from those thirty years ago. Each such change has a major impact on education from elementary school through university.

Nevertheless, certain underlying imperatives and influences regarding how we rear children and youth necessarily remain important. Student development still is strongly influenced by families, neighborhoods, and friends as well as by wider cultural and social forces such as the mass media, just as it was thirty or sixty or ninety years ago.

On the other hand, the specific ways in which such forces exert their influence on children and youth change over time. For example, you, as a teacher, may have increasing difficulty capturing students’ attention in a digitized world that offers myriad competing stimuli. To respond adequately, you must understand what is happening in the family, the mass media, and the peer group, and how cultural and social trends are influencing the behaviors and ideas that students bring to the classroom.

As you read the chapter, keep these questions in mind:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What cultural patterns influence instruction in schools?
- How does school culture socialize the young?
- How have television and other mass media affected students?
- Do sex roles and sex differences influence learning and achievement? If so, how?
- How do aspects of youth culture affect the schools?
A society ensures its unity and survival by means of culture. The term culture has been broadly defined to encompass all the continually changing patterns of acquired behavior and attitudes transmitted among the members of a society. Culture is a way of thinking and behaving; it is a group’s traditions, memories, and written records, its shared rules and ideas, its accumulated beliefs, habits, and values. No individual, group, or entire society can be understood without reference to culture. Habits of dress, diet, and daily routine—the countless small details of ordinary life that seem to require little reflection—all constitute cultural patterns and identities. Socialization, which prepares children to function first as young people and then as adults, transmits culture and thereby allows society to function satisfactorily.

Many individuals and institutions play a part in socializing children and youth. The family, of course, is most important for young children, but in modern societies formal institutions also help determine what a child learns and how well he or she is prepared to function in society. The school serves as perhaps the major institution (other than the family) devised by the adult generation for maintaining and perpetuating the culture. It supplies the tools necessary for survival and ensures the transmission of knowledge and values to future generations. Schools uphold and pass on the society’s values, beliefs, and norms (rules of behavior), not only in lesson subject matter but also through the very structure and operation of the educational system.

In a diverse society such as our own, schools are responsible for helping young people learn to participate in a national culture, but they also must be sensitive to cultural differences and make sure that students from minority groups have equal opportunities to succeed in education. We discuss the challenges posed by this imperative in the multicultural education sections of the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity.
possibilities for modifying instruction and other ways of helping unsuccessful students in later chapters.) Recent changes in the nature of the family have important implications for children’s educational development and success in school. This section discusses several of the most important changes affecting families, including increases in poverty, single-parent families, and working mothers. We’ll also discuss how families may create educational difficulties by pressuring or overindulging children. Finally, we’ll examine the severe problems some children face in their home situations, including abuse and homelessness.

Children in Poverty Poverty is a major problem for many families. More than 15 percent of American children live in poverty. Poverty rates are particularly high for children from minority groups; approximately 30 percent of African American and Latino children and youth are growing up in families below the poverty line. Poor
children often face educational difficulties. We’ll discuss the relationship between social class and educational achievement in more detail in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement.

**Single-Parent Families** Many observers connect the substantial poverty rates among children and youth with the high incidence of single-parent families. Recent decades have seen a large increase in the percentage of households with a single parent, usually a never-married, divorced, or separated woman. Overall, single-parent families now constitute 31 percent of all households with children under age eighteen. About 40 percent of female-headed families are below the poverty line, compared with 10 percent for two-parent families.¹

Some observers conclude that modern marriage is a roulette game, as likely as not to land children in single-parent families. For example, of all non-Hispanic white children, only 67 percent were living in two-parent households in 2004, compared with more than 90 percent in 1960. The figures for African American children and youth are even more startling: less than 36 percent were living in two-parent households in 2004. Overall, more than half of all young people below the age of eighteen live in a single-parent family for some part of their childhood.²

Much research has concentrated on the specific effects of growing up in a home where the father is absent. A few studies assess little measurable impact on children, but most others find a variety of negative effects, including a greater likelihood that families will fall into poverty and that children will suffer serious emotional and academic problems. The major reason this research lacks conclusiveness is the difficulty in controlling for the effects of social class. A large percentage of families that “lost” a father also declined in social class, and this change in status makes it difficult to identify the separate effects of each factor.³

To help you, as a teacher, respond to the trend toward single-parent families, analysts have recommended steps like the following:⁴

- Do not assume that all or even most children from single-parent families have unusual problems.
- Send copies of communications to the noncustodial parent.
- Include representation of single-parent families in the curriculum and add library materials that show varied lifestyles and help children cope with divorce.
- Cooperate with other agencies in improving child-care arrangements before and after school.
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Conduct workshops to help teachers avoid any negative expectations they may have developed for children from single-parent families.

Serve as advocates in providing appropriate help for individual students.

Schedule meetings and events at times convenient for single parents.

Form school-sponsored support groups for single parents and their children.

**Increase in Working Mothers** The percentage of U.S. working mothers with children under age eighteen has increased steadily since 1950. Several reasons account for this increase: better employment opportunities for women, rising divorce rates, family financial pressures that require a second income, increase in the age at first marriage, and changes in traditional cultural attitudes dictating that mothers stay home. Schools, which traditionally relied on unemployed mothers for volunteer help, need to adjust their expectations of when their students’ parents will be available for meetings and volunteering. Most schools have also adjusted their programs to help address the need to supervise children of working parents after the end of the regular school day.

**Latchkey Children and Community Learning Centers** The situation of latchkey children who return to unsupervised homes after school is particularly problematic because many of these children spend much of their time watching television or roaming the streets. National data indicate that millions of latchkey children return to empty homes or go to community locations such as malls or street corners. Partly for this reason, many school officials as well as civic and political leaders have taken action to expand opportunities for children and youth to participate in extended-day programs at school or in recreational and learning activities at community centers after school. After-school programs thus have become an important aspect of services for young people in many locations, and you are likely to find that many of the students you teach will attend before- or after-school programs. However, an overemphasis on academics in after-school or other out-of-school programs can make for “hurried children,” described in the next section.5

**Pressures on Children** Awareness of the growing importance of education in contemporary society has stimulated many parents to overemphasize early learning. The desire to raise so-called superbabies appears particularly prevalent among middle-class parents, for whom the “ABCs” of childhood frequently center on “Anxiety, Betterment, [and] Competition.” To meet the demands of such parents, many preschool and primary classrooms may focus so systematically on formal instruction that they harm children in a misplaced effort to mass-produce “little Einsteins.” The concern that many youngsters feel excessive pressure to excel at an early age also extends to art, music, and other educational areas. Some developmental psychologists characterize such parental pressure as a type of “miseducation” that creates hurried children and deprives young people of childhood. Responses to this problem include raising the age for enrolling in kindergarten and retaining five-year-olds not ready to advance to first grade for an additional year in kindergarten.6

---


Overindulged Children  Whereas many children may be pressured to meet parental demands for early learning, others are overindulged by parents who provide them with too many material goods or protect them from challenges that would foster emotional growth. (Of course, some children may be simultaneously overpressured and overindulged.) Many observers believe that overindulgence is a growing tendency, particularly among young middle-class parents trying to provide their children with an abundance of advantages. Some psychologists argue that overindulgence is an epidemic afflicting as many as 20 percent of the children in the United States. These “cornucopia kids” may find it hard to endure frustration, and thus may present special problems for their teachers and classmates.\(^7\)

Child Abuse and Neglect  Children from any social class may suffer abuse or neglect by their parents or other household members. As we noted in the chapter on Legal Aspects of Education, as a teacher, you will have a major responsibility to report any evidence that a student has been maltreated. Our society has become more aware of the extent and consequences of child abuse and neglect, and the number of children confirmed as victims of abuse and neglect has reached nearly one million a year. More than half these cases involve neglect of such needs as food, clothing, or medical treatment; about one-seventh involve sexual mistreatment; and approximately one-fourth involve beatings or other physical violence. Many child-welfare agencies have been overburdened by the extent of the problem. Although they work to keep families together when safe for children, such agencies often must remove children from their homes and place them in foster care.\(^8\)

Research on child abuse indicates that its victims tend to experience serious problems in emotional, intellectual, and social development. As adults they have relatively high rates of alcohol and drug abuse, criminal behavior, learning disorders, and psychiatric disturbance. However, this research is difficult to interpret because a relatively large proportion of abuse victims are low-income children. The links between poverty and developmental problems and delinquent or criminal behavior make it harder to separate out the influence of abuse. The relationship is by no means simple; in fact, many abused children manage to avoid serious emotional and behavioral problems.\(^9\)

In any case, educators must recognize that abused or seriously neglected students may not only have a difficult time learning but may also behave in ways that interfere with other students’ learning. For this reason, organizations such as the Children’s Television Workshop and the National Education Association have developed materials to help teachers deal with abused children, and they are working with other agencies to alleviate abuse and neglect.\(^{10}\)

---


Implications for the schools

**Homelessness** Several studies indicate that homeless children disproportionately suffer from child abuse and physical ill health. As we would expect, they also are relatively low in school attendance and achievement. The federal government has done relatively little to provide for homeless adults and children, and many local governments have been unwilling or unable to provide much assistance. However, many schools are striving to provide appropriate help. Some districts and schools, for instance, hire additional counselors, sponsor after-school programs, employ a full-time person to coordinate services with shelters for homeless families, or try to avoid transferring homeless children from one school to another.\(^\text{11}\)

**Assessment of Trends Related to the Family** The various interrelated trends we have been discussing have produced a significant change in the structure and function of families in the United States. Research does not conclusively establish that all the results are damaging to children; in some respects, maternal employment and other related trends lead to gains for children. However, many studies indicate that maternal employment and life in a single-parent or divorced family have detrimental effects for many children. Trends such as the rise in homelessness and poverty are obviously even more damaging.

Historically, according to many analysts, our system of universal education drew support from the development of the **nuclear family** (two parents living with their children), which grew to prominence in Western societies during the past two centuries. The nuclear family has been described as highly child centered, devoting many of its resources to preparing children for success in school and later in life. With the decline of the nuclear family since World War II, the tasks confronting educators appear to have grown more difficult.\(^\text{12}\)

David Popenoe, examining family trends in highly industrialized countries such as Sweden and the United States, concluded that these trends are creating the “post-nuclear” family, which emphasizes “individualism” (individual self-fulfillment, pleasure, self-expression, and spontaneity), as contrasted with the nuclear family’s child-centered “familism.” Adults, Popenoe further concluded, “no longer need children in their lives, at least not in economic terms. The problem is that children... still need adults... who are motivated to provide them with... an abundance of time, patience, and love.” Many social scientists also worry about the “total contact time” between parents and children. Some research indicates that this time has declined as much as 40 percent during the past few decades.\(^\text{13}\)

In the context of these family changes and the problems they create, social agencies established to help children and youth sometimes become too overloaded
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Tuning In

Mark and Claudia have students in their classes with difficult home lives and other issues. The two teachers are discussing ways to motivate these children and support their learning.

Mark: Claudia, what else can I be doing to motivate these kids? I have tried everything we were taught in our methods class. What do you do to attract and hold the students’ attention?

Claudia: Early in the year I try and find out what their favorite TV programs are. Then I use the programs’ dilemmas and our discussions of the characters to introduce major units. It seems to work, but you have to do your homework on the students first. You might give that a try, Mark.

Mark: How do I even begin to figure out their favorite TV programs? What do you do, have them fill out a survey form on the first day of class?

Claudia: No, I just talk to them as they come in and ask if they saw this or that program last night. They tell me what programs they watched, and in a week, I have a pretty good list of programs that most of the kids watch. Just talk to them and ask them. They’ll tell you.

Mark: I wonder how much TV they actually watch. I hardly have time to turn my TV on, but if I’m going to use this approach, I guess I’d better start making it part of my own homework assignment. What else do you think would help, Claudia?

Claudia: By now you should know which students are your potential troublemakers. Find out what they like. And ask their guidance counselor what he or she can share about the student. Many of our students come from troubled families, families in poverty, homeless families. As the economy worsens, we’re seeing more qualifiers for free and reduced-price breakfast and lunches. That’s just one small indicator that we are dealing with many students who lack the advantages we had when we were growing up.

Mark: Some of my students want to sleep most of the time. Do you think drugs have much to do with their inattention in class?

Claudia: Maybe in a few cases, but there’s no single answer. I’m betting some of your students have bad home situations and possibly poor nutrition, and others are overscheduled with sports and jobs, besides school. They get less sleep than they need for many reasons. Plus, I’ve read recent research that suggests the brain chemistry of adolescents changes their sleep schedules. Teachers deal with all of this. Also, I don’t want to make you feel bad, but when all of my students seem sleepy, the first thing I check is whether I could be boring them.

Mark: Okay, I’ll make sure it isn’t me! But I’ll talk to the counselor about some of these kids, and the athletic director, too. She and the other coaches have a pretty good handle on students who go out for sports. Maybe some of my underachievers or troublemakers are playing volleyball or football this fall. Maybe between us we can benefit everyone—the students, the coaches, and the teacher.

Questions

1. Do you think teachers should use television programs to help promote attention to concepts they teach? Explain your answer.
2. Why is it important that teachers understand the background of students in their classrooms?
3. What other steps do you think Mark should take to help his effectiveness as a teacher?

to provide services effectively. For example, one Maryland social worker, when asked why a six-year-old had not been removed from a known crack house run by his mother, responded that he had “twenty similar cases on his desk, and that he didn’t have time to go through the time-consuming process of taking a child from a parent” unless there was an immediate emergency.14

---

In the words of the National Commission on Children, although most American children remain “healthy, happy, and secure,” many are now “in jeopardy.” Even those children free from extreme misfortune may confront difficult conditions. “They too attend troubled schools and frequent dangerous streets. The adults in their lives are often equally hurried and distracted . . . The combined effects are that too many children enter adulthood without the skills or motivation to contribute to society.”

From Preservice to Practice describes the efforts of two teachers to motivate children with difficult home lives.

The Peer Group

Whereas family relationships may constitute a child’s first experience of group life, peer-group interactions soon begin to make their powerful socializing effects felt. From playgroup to teenage clique, the peer group affords young people many significant learning experiences—how to interact with others, how to be accepted by others, and how to achieve status in a circle of friends. Peers are equals in a way parents and their children or teachers and their students are not. A parent or a teacher sometimes can force young children to obey rules they neither understand nor like, but peers do not have formal authority to do this; thus children can learn the true meaning of exchange, cooperation, and equity more easily in the peer setting.

Peer groups increase in importance as the child grows, and they reach maximum influence in adolescence, by which time they sometimes dictate much of a young person’s behavior both in and out of school. Some researchers believe that peer groups are more important now than in earlier periods—particularly when children have little close contact with their parents and few strong linkages with the larger society.

Peer Culture and the School

Educators are particularly concerned with the characteristics of student culture within the school. Peer culture frequently works against academic goals at school. For example, a landmark 1961 study by James Coleman found that high-school students gained the esteem of their peers by a combination of friendliness and popularity, athletic prowess, an attractive appearance and personality, or possession of valued skills and objects (cars, clothes, records). Scholastic success was not among the favored characteristics; in general, the peer culture hindered rather than reinforced the school's academic goals.

More than two decades later, John Goodlad and his colleagues asked more than seventeen thousand students, “What is the one best thing about this school?” As shown in Table 10.1, the most frequent response by far was “my friends.”
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Respondents also were asked to identify the types of students they considered most popular. Only 10 percent of respondents in junior and senior high schools selected “smart students”; instead, 70 percent of students selected either “good-looking students” or “athletes.” Pondering these data, Goodlad concluded that “physical appearance, peer relationships, and games and sports” are more than mere concerns students carry into the school; these phenomena “appear to prevail” there. Noting that Coleman and others reported similar findings in earlier decades, he further wondered “why we have taken so little practical account of them in schools.”

To foster peer relationships that support rather than impede learning, some educators recommend conducting activities that encourage students to learn cooperatively. In addition, teachers should promote children’s interaction with peers, teach interpersonal and small-group skills, assign children responsibility for
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**Table 10.1 Secondary Students Responses to the Question “What Is the One Best Thing About This School?”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>My Friends</th>
<th>Sports</th>
<th>Good Student Attitudes</th>
<th>Nothing</th>
<th>Classes I’m Taking</th>
<th>Teachers</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Junior-high respondents</strong></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senior-high respondents</strong></td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

the welfare of their peers, and encourage older children to interact with younger children. Such steps may help counteract peer pressure for antisocial behavior.19

Participation in Extracurricular Activities Polls continually show that students consider their cooperation and interaction with peers in extracurricular activities a highlight of their school experience. Many educators believe this participation is a positive force in the lives of students, but the effect has been difficult to measure. The difficulty lies in determining whether participation in extracurricular activities is a cause or an effect of other aspects of students' development. It is known, for example, that students who participate in many extracurricular activities generally have higher grades than those who do not participate, other things being equal. It may also be true, however, that students with higher grades are more likely to participate than are those with lower grades.

Despite these difficulties, research suggests that participation—especially in athletics, service, leadership activities, and music—fosters emotional and physical health as well as students’ aspirations to higher educational and occupational attainment (for example, more years of school completed later). The research also suggests that positive effects are more likely in small schools than in large schools.20

These conclusions have great significance for educators. Participation outside the academic curriculum probably is more “manipulable” (alterable by the school) than most other factors related to educational outcomes. For example, home environments may cause problems, but educators can rarely change a student’s home environment. Nevertheless, teachers and administrators can promote student participation in extracurricular activities, and this may be one of the most effective ways to improve students’ performance.


Research on Bullying and Its Prevention  In recent years, research has begun to address the problems caused by “bullies”—youngsters who severely harass their peers either inside or outside the school. Most schools have implemented policies to reduce bullying, particularly with respect to sexual orientation and to bullying involving use of computers, cell phones, and other new media (“cyberbullying”). Factors frequently cited as causing some children to behave as bullies include neglect and abuse in their homes, the influence of television, and a lack of social skills that leads to a cycle of aggressive behavior. The majority of bullies are male, but the incidence of bullying by girls has been rising. Educators are concerned about not only the harm bullies do to others but also the tendency of bullies to exhibit criminal behavior as adults. Approaches you might apply to modify bullying behaviors include behavioral contracts, instruction in peaceful conflict resolution, classroom activities designed to reduce teasing, and enlisting parental involvement in supervising behavior.21

School Culture

Education in school, compared with learning experiences in family or peer-group contexts, occurs in relatively formal ways. Group membership is not voluntary but determined by age, aptitudes, and frequently gender. Students are tested and evaluated; they are told when to sit, when to stand, how to walk through hallways, and so on. The rituals of school assemblies, athletic events, and graduation ceremonies—as well as the school insignia, songs, and cheers—all convey the school culture and socialize students. Less ritualized activities and teacher behaviors also acculturate students to the school.22

---


Student Roles and the Hidden Curriculum  Gita Kedar-Voivodas has examined teacher expectations for student roles—that is, desired student behaviors and characteristics—in the elementary classroom. She identified three main types of expected student role: the pupil role, the receptive learner role, and the active learner role.

The **pupil role** is one in which teachers expect students to be “patient, docile, passive, orderly, conforming, obedient and acquiescent to rules and regulations, respectful to authority, easily controllable, and socially adept.” The **receptive learner role** requires students to be “motivated, task-oriented, . . . good achievers, and as such, receptive to the institutional demands of the academic curriculum.” In the **active learner role**, according to Kedar-Voivodas, students go “beyond the established academic curriculum both in terms of the content to be mastered and in the processes” of learning. Traits of the active learner include “curiosity, active probing and exploring, challenging authority, an independent and questioning mind, and insistence on explanations.” Kedar-Voivodas noted that many educational philosophers, among them John Dewey and Maria Montessori, have stressed the value of active learning.23

Kedar-Voivodas also found, however, that students exemplifying the active learner role sometimes are rejected by teachers. That is, many teachers respond negatively to active, independent, and assertive children. The difference is large, Kedar-Voivodas said, between the school’s “academic” curriculum, which demands successful mastery of cognitive material, and its “hidden” curriculum, which demands “institutional conformity.”24

The **hidden curriculum**—a term used by many critics of contemporary schools—is what students learn, other than academic content, from what they do or are expected to do in school. In addition to teaching children to passively conform in the classroom, the hidden curriculum may be preparing economically disadvantaged students to be docile workers later in life. It can communicate negative racial and sexual stereotypes through material included in (or omitted from) textbooks. It can lead children to believe that bullying is acceptable or that copying others’ work is expected and excusable. Excessive emphasis on competition for grades may create a hidden curriculum teaching students that “beating the system” is more important than anything else.25

Classroom Culture  In his study of classroom processes in elementary schools, Philip Jackson found a diversity of specific subjects but few different types of classroom activity. The terms **seatwork, group discussion, teacher demonstration, and question-and-answer period** described most of what happened in the classroom. Further, these activities were performed according to well-defined rules such as “no loud talking during seatwork” and “raise your hand if you have a question.” The teacher served as a “combination traffic cop, judge, supply sergeant, and timekeeper.” In this cultural
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system, the classroom often becomes a place where events happen “not because students want them to, but because it is time for them to occur.”

The “rules of order” that characterize most elementary-school classrooms, Jackson concluded, focus on preventing disturbances. Thus the prevailing socialization pattern in the culture of the school and classroom places its greatest emphasis on what Kedar-Voivodas called the obedient “pupil” role. Other studies have reached essentially the same conclusion. For example, “A Study of Schooling” conducted by John Goodlad and his colleagues described the following widespread patterns:

1. The classroom is generally organized as a group that the teacher treats as a whole. This pattern seems to arise from the need to maintain “orderly relationships” among twenty to thirty people in a small space.

2. “Enthusiasm and joy and anger are kept under control.” As a result, the general emotional tone is “flat” or “neutral.”

3. Most student work involves “listening to teachers, writing answers to questions, and taking tests and quizzes.” Textbooks and workbooks generally constitute the “media of instruction.”

4. These patterns become increasingly rigid and predominant as students proceed through the grades.

5. Instruction seldom goes beyond “mere possession of information.” Relatively little effort is made to arouse curiosity or to emphasize thinking.

In summary, Goodlad wrote, students “rarely planned or initiated anything, read or wrote anything of some length, or created their own products. And they scarcely ever speculated on meanings.”

As we discuss elsewhere in this book, such systematic emphasis on passive learning by rote is in opposition to most contemporary ideas of what education should accomplish. Much has changed since Goodlad and his colleagues collected their data, but many classrooms still exemplify passive, rote learning. In particular, passive, rote learning is more likely to be emphasized in schools with low-achieving, working-class students than in schools with high-achieving, middle-class students. To study this topic, Jean Anyon examined five elementary schools that differed markedly in social class. In the two predominantly working-class schools, Anyon found that instruction emphasized mostly mechanical skills such as punctuation and capitalization. In contrast, instruction in the schools she categorized as predominantly middle-class or “affluent professional” emphasized working independently and developing analytical and conceptual skills. Similar patterns have been reported by other researchers.
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Why do classrooms so often function in this way? This is an important question, and many analysts have addressed it. Reasons they have offered include the following:

1. **Institutional realities**
   - As Jackson points out, a multitude of routines seek to govern interactions between twenty or thirty students and a teacher. Researchers use terms such as *institutional realities* and *organizational dynamics* to describe the forces that translate a need for order into an emphasis on passive learning.30

2. **Students hold back**
   - We should not underestimate the degree to which many students resist active learning. As Walter Doyle writes, students may “restrict the amount of output they give to a teacher to minimize the risk of exposing a mistake.” By holding back, students can also persuade other students or the teacher to help them. As one older student said, “Yeah, I hardly do nothing. All you gotta do is act dumb and Mr. Y will tell you the right answer. You just gotta wait, you know, and he’ll tell you.”31

3. **Making a deal for low standards**
   - In a context that combines institutional requirements for order with student preference for passive learning, the teacher and students may reach an *accommodation or bargain* by which they compromise on a set of minimal standards. For example, Martin Haberman has observed what he calls “the Deal” in many urban classrooms: students are nondisruptive as long as the teacher ignores the fact that they are not diligent in their class work. The widespread existence of such “ABCs” has been documented in major studies. Michael Sedlak and his colleagues called such an arrangement “a complex, tacit conspiracy to avoid rigorous, demanding academic inquiry.”32

4. **Which students get attention?**
   - Many teachers feel compelled to give most of their time and attention to a few students. In some cases, these will be the slowest students—whomever the teacher believes most need help. In many other cases, however, attention goes primarily to the brightest students, who teachers frequently believe will benefit the most from extra attention. This attitude is particularly prevalent when teachers have so many “slow” students that helping them all seems impossible.

   Helen Gouldner and her colleagues found these dynamics in an inner-city, all-black elementary school with a large proportion of students from low-income home environments that failed to prepare them to function well in the classroom. The few well-prepared students (generally from relatively high-status families) were the “pets”—those whom teachers helped
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throughout their school careers. The largest group of students (the “nobodies”) received relatively little teacher attention and generally were neither disruptive nor particularly successful. The remaining students, a small group of “troublemakers,” were unable or unwilling to conform to the routine demands of the classroom. These patterns were well in line with the school’s “sorting and selecting” function because the teachers, most of whom were African American, could feel they were promoting success for at least some black students in a difficult learning environment.33

5. **Society’s requirement that students learn to conform.** Underlying schools’ emphasis on passive learning is the reality that young people must learn to function in social institutions outside the school. Because most people in contemporary society must cope with large economic, political, and social institutions, children must be socialized to follow appropriate routines and regulations. Philip Jackson summarizes this part of a school’s socialization mission as follows: “It is expected that children will adapt to the teacher’s authority by becoming ‘good workers’ and ‘model students.’ The transition from classroom to factory or office is made easily by those who have developed ‘good work habits’ in their early years.” This goal of schooling is part of the “hidden curriculum” mentioned earlier.34

6. **Teacher overload.** It is difficult for teachers to provide active, meaningful learning experiences when they must cope with the demands of large classes and class loads, a variety of duties and tasks outside their classrooms, pressures to “cover” a wide range of material and skills, and other such responsibilities.35 As we document elsewhere in this book, recognition is growing of the heavy burdens on teachers, and many reformers are working to reduce teacher overload.

We could offer many additional reasons why classroom instructional patterns have been relatively unaffected by contemporary learning theory, but most of them in some way involve institutional constraints that favor passive, rote learning.36 Overcoming such constraints requires significant innovations in school organization and pedagogy, as we will see in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States.

Our focus in this section on negative aspects of school culture merits a reminder regarding the many positive aspects of elementary and secondary schools in the United States. Most schools provide an orderly learning environment, and most students learn to read and compute at a level required to function in our society.
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36Other frequently cited reasons include the tendency for teachers to teach the way they were taught, the high costs involved in introducing new approaches, and lack of adequate preservice and in-service training.
Relationships among teachers, students, and parents are generally positive. Most students receive a high-school diploma, and many proceed to various postsecondary educations. We describe in greater detail many successful aspects of the U.S. education system in the chapters on Historical Development of American Education; Social Class, Race, and School Achievement; International Education; School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States; and elsewhere in this book.

Television and Digital Media

Some social scientists refer to television as the “first curriculum” because it appears to affect the way children develop learning skills and orient themselves toward acquiring knowledge and understanding. Because using television and other media may require little in the way of effort and skills, educators face a formidable challenge in maintaining students’ interest and motivation in schoolwork. The average eighth grader spends more than three times as much time viewing television, surfing the Internet, and playing video games as doing homework and reading outside school. In addition, a large proportion of children and youth believe their peers’ values are significantly influenced by what they see in the media. For more on the influence of television, see the Taking Issue box.

Although research shows a relationship between school achievement and television viewing, the nature of this relationship is not entirely clear. Some studies suggest that viewing television may reduce students’ reading activities, but this conclusion is not well documented, and international studies show that students in some countries that rank high on television viewing among children also have relatively high achievement scores. It is difficult to separate cases in which television “causes” reduced attention to reading from those in which low-performing students turn to television for escape. Nevertheless, many educators are concerned that use of television and other media may lower achievement for many students, particularly because surveys indicate that millions of children watch television and use other media late into the night and then yawn their way through school the next day.37

Apart from their possibly negative effects on school achievement, television and other media, such as movies, video games, and the music industry, deeply influence the socialization of children and youth. The media both stimulate and reflect fundamental changes in attitudes and behaviors that prevail in our society, from recreation and career choices to sexual relationships, consumerism, and drug use. Unfortunately, no conclusive data determine just how much the media affect children and youth or whether overall effects are positive or negative (depending, of course, on what one values as positive or negative). For example, twenty-four-hour-a-day rock-music programming on cable television has been viewed both as a means to keep young people off the streets and as the beginning of the end of Western civilization.38
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Many adults are particularly worried that television, video games, and other media may encourage aggressive or violent behavior. The average child now witnesses thousands of simulated murders and tens of thousands of other violent acts by the time he or she completes elementary school. The effects depend in part on situational factors: for example, the child’s degree of frustration or anger, potential consequences such as pain or punishment, previous receptivity to violence, and opportunity to perform an act of violence. Overall, however, according to a committee of behavioral scientists, “television violence is as strongly correlated with
aggressive behavior as any other behavioral variable that has been measured.” The American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Psychological Association also have concluded that repeated exposure to violence on television and in other media promotes violent behavior.39

Social scientists also are becoming particularly concerned about media effects on the socialization of girls. A task force of the American Psychological Association has examined research on the influence of television, music videos, music lyrics, magazines, movies, video games, and the Internet, as well as advertising and merchandising, and concluded that effects include damage to girls’ self-image and healthy development. The Association deplored the “sexualization” of children and youth, which it defined as “occurring when a person’s value comes only from her/his sexual appeal or behavior, to the exclusion of other characteristics, and when a person is sexually objectified, e.g., made into a thing [orig. ital.] for another’s sexual use.”40

It also is true, however, that television can be an important force for positive socialization. For example, research shows that the program Sesame Street has helped both middle-class and working-class youth academically, and that children can become more cooperative and nurturant after viewing programs emphasizing these behaviors. Research also indicates that programs like Cyberchase can help elementary students improve in mathematics. Some analysts believe that video and computer games and other digital media are helping children and youth develop many kinds of problem-solving and motor skills.

Recognizing both the good and the damaging effects media can have on children and youth, many people are working for improvements. The Parent-Teacher Association has made television reform—particularly reduction in sex, commercialism, and violence during prime time—one of its major national goals, and organizations such as the National Citizens Committee for Broadcasting have lobbied for change.

However, progress has been slow. A typical afternoon of “kidvid” still can be a mind-numbing march of cartoon superheroes, and many programs insistently instruct children to demand another trip to the nearest toy store. In 1996 the federal government introduced a requirement that television stations broadcast at least three hours per week of “educational and informational” programs for children, but much of this programming has few viewers, and programs emphasizing sex and/or violence continue mostly unabated.41
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The Net Generation in the Digital Age  Some analysts have begun to examine possible changes as children and youth grow up in an environment permeated by digital communications and information sources such as interactive video and the increasingly ubiquitous Internet. One of the first books to address these developments is Don Tapscott’s Growing Up Digital. Tapscott, who refers to young people growing up in the emerging digital age as the “net generation,” believes the Internet is quite different from television—it stimulates interactive participation rather than passive viewing. Tapscott predicts that the Internet will produce “a generation which increasingly questions the implicit values contained in information . . . [and in so doing forces children] to exercise not only their critical thinking but their judgment . . . [and thus contributes] to the relentless breakdown of the notion of authority.”

Tapscott believes that the digital revolution’s primary effects will be liberating for individuals and productive for society. Individuals will find information and knowledge easily accessible and opportunities vastly expanded. In addition, familiarity with digital media is preparing young people to function effectively at “multitasking” required in complicated jobs. Society will find that a knowledge-based economy improves efficiency and productivity, and that technology will enable the educational system to function successfully in preparing young persons for skilled employment. Like other analysts, however, Tapscott is concerned that digital media and learning will increase the already troublesome gap between the haves and the have-nots, that is, between middle-class youth who have good access to new technologies and low-income youth who have relatively poor access and thus may be further disadvantaged.

Questions and Implications for Educators  Many observers are more cautious than Tapscott in assessing socialization problems that may be associated with emerging digital media. For example, educators and media specialists at the Center for Media Education have identified a series of questions that should be attended to if emerging technologies are to be a positive force in children’s development:

- What are the unique characteristics of new interactive technologies, and how can they be integrated with the child’s development?
- Can any applications become successful models for enhancing children’s “natural eagerness” to learn, to create, and to communicate?
- What roles should educators, parents, and technology advocates play in shaping evolution of the interactive media market?

Staff of the Center for Media Education have described their investigations involving these and related questions at their Internet site, www.medialit.org. They review projects under way at media labs where developers are devising interactive learning experiences that can foster students’ skills in constructing meaning, solving problems, and generally learning to learn. These projects have great potential for shaping children’s growth in a positive direction. See the Technology @ School box for more on “media literacy.”

---

Gender Roles and Sex Differences

- Early reinforcement of gender roles
- Gender roles and school problems for boys

Not only does society demand conformity to its fundamental values and norms; it also assigns specific roles to each of its members, expecting them to conform to certain established behavioral patterns. Socialization is particularly forceful regarding gender roles—ideas about the ways boys and girls and men and women are “supposed” to act. Gender roles vary from culture to culture, but within a given culture they are rather well defined, and children are socialized in them through an elaborate schedule of selective reinforcement. For example, a preschool boy may be ridiculed for playing with dolls, and young girls may be steered away from activities considered too physically rough. By age three, as Robert Havighurst has remarked, there is already a “noticeable difference in behavior between boys and girls.” Even at such an early age, boys are more “active,” girls more “dependent” and “nurturant.”

When children go to school, they discover that it is dominated by traditional norms of politeness, cleanliness, and obedience. Teachers generally suppress fighting and aggressive behavior. This can be a problem for boys because, as research indicates, on the average they are more aggressive than girls almost from the time they are born, probably because of hormone differences. Some scholars believe that teachers’ tendency to reward passive behavior and discourage aggressiveness helps account for boys’ relatively high rates of alienation and violation of school rules. Boys receive many more reprimands from teachers than do girls, and by the time
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students enter the secondary grades, boys greatly outnumber girls in remedial classes and in classes for those with emotional disturbances.\(^{45}\)

By way of contrast, the problems that girls encounter in the educational system generally reflect their socialization for dependence rather than assertiveness. Historically, most girls were not encouraged to prepare for high-status fields such as law or medicine or high-paying technical occupations. Instead, they were expected to prepare for roles as wives and homemakers. The few occupations women were encouraged to consider, such as elementary teacher, social worker, and nurse, tended to have relatively low pay and low status. This type of socialization did not motivate girls to acquire skills useful for later economic success. Furthermore, verbal skills of the kind in which girls tend to excel failed to prepare them for success in mathematics and science. As a result, many girls were excluded from educational opportunities.\(^ {46}\)

Although socialization in the elementary school frequently intends to make boys obedient and cooperative, in high school the emphasis placed on athletics means that boys have often received more opportunities than girls have to learn leadership and competitive skills useful in later life. Girls, expected to be cooperative and even docile, traditionally have had relatively little encouragement to learn such skills, and those who did were perceived as violating “proper” norms for female behavior in American society.

Raphaela Best found that school peer groups also help communicate traditional expectations for boys and girls. Best reported that boys’ peer groups stress “canons” such as “always be first” and “don’t hang out with a loser,” whereas girls’ peer groups place relatively more emphasis on having fun rather than winning and on cooperation rather than competition. Best also reported that as the students she studied grew older, they made some progress in overcoming stereotypes that limited the aspirations of girls and restricted the emotional growth of boys. Similarly, Barrie Thorne studied elementary-school students and concluded that gender roles are “socially constructed” at an early age. She also concluded that teachers should try to counteract gender stereotypes by facilitating cooperative behaviors and enhancing opportunities to participate in diverse activities.\(^ {47}\)

### Sex Differences in Achievement and Ability

Recent studies in the United States indicate that sex differences in achievement are relatively small. For example, data on the reading performance of nine-, thirteen-, and seventeen-year-olds indicate that girls score only a little higher than boys. Conversely, among seventeen-year-olds, boys score higher than girls in higher-order mathematics achievement, but this difference is smaller than it was in 1970; nine- and


thirteen-year-olds show little meaningful difference in mathematics scores for girls and boys. Research also indicates that female gains in mathematics probably are partly due to greater participation in math courses during the past few decades.\textsuperscript{48}

Though sex differences in achievement are narrowing, much controversy remains about possible differences in innate ability. These arguments often focus on whether a larger proportion of boys than girls have unusually strong innate ability for higher-order mathematics or abstract thinking in general. Research on this topic shows more variability in ability among boys than among girls: boys are more likely to be either markedly high or markedly low in ability.\textsuperscript{49}

Those who believe that ability differences between the sexes are present at birth point to differences in the brain functioning of boys and girls. For most people, the left hemisphere of the brain specializes in verbal tasks, whereas the right hemisphere specializes in nonverbal ones, including spatial functions important in mathematics. In this respect, brain research suggests some differences associated with sex hormones that begin to function at birth or even earlier. Among right-handed people (the majority), women handle spatial functions more with the left hemisphere than do men. Women also use the right hemisphere more in verbal functions.\textsuperscript{50}

Other observers, however, argue that differences in experience and expectations account for most or all of the learning and related differences between boys and girls, including brain differences. Particular attention has been paid to “math anxiety” among women—the possibility that the relatively poor performance of certain women in math (and therefore in science and other fields dependent on math) stems from socialization practices that make them anxious and fearful about


mathematical analysis. A related line of argument is that women fear success in tra-
ditionally male activities and occupations because succeeding would violate sex
stereotypes, thereby inviting ridicule. Still other analysts believe that girls tend to
divert their attention more toward social relationships as they enter adolescence.
But the situation is complex, and few large-scale generalizations can be made.51

**Educational and Occupational Attainment of Women**

Throughout most of U.S. history, women completed fewer years of schooling than did
men. In 1979, however, women for the first time outnumbered men among college
freshmen. Since 1992, more than half of all bachelor’s and master’s degrees have been
awarded to women. Women now constitute nearly 60 percent of college enrollment.52

Related gains have also been registered in the occupational status of women.
For example, in 1950 only 15 percent of accountants were women, compared to 61
percent in 2004; the comparable percentages for female lawyers were 4 percent in
1950 and 30 percent in 2005. Both schools and the wider society are seeing the ef-
facts of efforts to eliminate sexism from school curricula, encouraging girls to attend
college and prepare for the professions, support for girls and women to enter scien-
tific fields and computing, and other actions to equalize opportunity.53

Nevertheless, much remains to be achieved. Despite recent gains, many women
still are concentrated in low-paying, low-status occupations. Although the percent-
age of female scientists and engineers with doctoral degrees has more than doubled
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since 1973, women still constitute less than one-quarter of the total. Researchers’ suggestions for further improving educational opportunities and equity for girls and women include the following:\(^\text{54}\)

- Increase teacher training dealing with gender issues.
- Attend more closely to gender equity in vocational education.
- Eliminate any bias in standardized tests and reduce the role of these tests in college admissions.
- Reduce sex stereotyping and further increase the representation of females in instructional materials.
- Protect the rights of pregnant girls and teenage parents.
- Introduce “gender-fair” curricula that accommodate learning-style differences.
- Introduce special programs to encourage girls to participate in math, computing, and science programs.
- Work to counteract the decline in self-esteem that many girls experience as they become concerned with their appearance.

### Adolescent and Youth Problems

In many traditional, nonindustrialized cultures, the young are initiated into adult life after puberty. This initiation sometimes takes place through special rituals designed to prove the young person’s worthiness to assume adult roles. In such societies one is either a child or an adult; only a brief gap—if any gap at all—separates the two.

In modern technological societies the young are forced to postpone their adulthood for a period of time called adolescence or youth. A major reason is that modern society no longer has an economic need for young people in this age group. One unfortunate result is that youth have become more and more isolated from the rest of society. In recent decades, this isolation has intensified many youth-centered problems, such as drug use, drinking, suicide, early pregnancy, and delinquency. At the same time, the isolation of youth hampers efforts of schools and other social institutions to prepare young people for adulthood.\(^\text{55}\)

### Drugs and Drinking

General usage of drugs and alcohol among youth has grown markedly over the past half-century, and recently, after a decade or more of decline, the use of some drugs has begun to rise again. Many high-school students are regular users of alcohol and/or marijuana, and small percentages use cocaine, crack, methamphetamines, and other drugs. Regular use of alcohol has remained fairly stable, but research indicates that

---


alcohol use has been increasing among students younger than fifteen years old, that many teenagers have driven an automobile while intoxicated, and that an alarming number of teenagers frequently drink alone when they are bored or upset.\textsuperscript{56}

Educators worry that young people’s use of alcohol, marijuana, and other relatively mild drugs may reinforce or stimulate alienation from social institutions or otherwise impede the transition to adulthood. This is not to say that drug use invariably leads to problems such as low academic performance, rebelliousness, and criminal activity; it is just as likely that the problems arise first and lead to the drug use. Many young people are using drugs and alcohol to escape from difficulties they encounter in preparing for adult life. But whatever the sequence of causation, usage rates among U.S. youth remain higher than in any other industrialized nation. Moreover, contrary to much earlier opinion, some authorities now believe that mild drugs such as marijuana are often a steppingstone to stronger drugs such as methamphetamine, cocaine, and heroin. Young people themselves believe that drugs and alcohol are a negative influence in their lives. National surveys consistently show that most high-school students cite either drugs or alcohol as the “single worst influence” in their lives.\textsuperscript{57}

**Suicide**

Educators have become increasingly concerned about suicide among young people. The suicide rate among children and youth has nearly quadrupled since 1950, and some surveys suggest that as many as one in ten school-age youth may attempt suicide. Reasons for this increase appear to include a decline in religious values that inhibit suicide, influence of the mass media, perceived pressures to excel in school, failed relationships with peers, and pressures or despondency associated with divorce or other family problems.\textsuperscript{58}

Teachers and other school personnel need to be alert to the suicide problem. Warning signs include the following: withdrawal from friends, family, and regular activities; violent or rebellious behavior; running away; alcohol or drug abuse; unusual neglect of personal appearance; radical change in personality; persistent boredom; difficulty in concentrating; decline in schoolwork quality; and emotional or physical symptoms such as headaches and stomachaches. Teachers also should keep in mind a U.S. District Court ruling that found school officials partly responsible for a student’s suicide when they failed to provide “reasonable” care and help for a young man who had displayed suicidal symptoms.\textsuperscript{59}

**Teenage Pregnancy**

Among teenagers as a whole, the number and rate of births have fallen substantially during the past half-century, partly because of the availability of contraceptives and abortion and the success of abstinence campaigns in some communities. On the

\textsuperscript{56}Information about trends and prevention of substance abuse among youth is available at www.jointogether.org.


other hand, the percentage of births to teenage mothers that occur out of wedlock has skyrocketed from 15 percent in 1960 to almost 90 percent in the past decade. Researchers have linked this trend to various social problems. For example, families headed by young mothers are much more likely than other families to live below the poverty line, and teenage mothers are much less likely to receive prenatal care than are older mothers. Not surprisingly, then, children of teenage mothers tend to have poor health and to perform poorly in school. Moreover, society spends billions of dollars each year to support the children of teenage mothers.60

Teenage births constitute a substantially higher percentage of births in the United States than in most other industrialized nations. According to social scientists who have analyzed fertility data, high incidence of out-of-wedlock births among teenagers results from such interrelated factors as social acceptance of teenage sexuality, earlier and more frequent sexual intercourse, a decrease in early marriages, lack of potential marriage partners, a decline in community and parental influence over the young, and the assumption by social agencies of responsibility for helping younger mothers.61

Many schools have responded by establishing school-based clinics for pregnant teenagers and new mothers and by expanding courses that focus on sex education, health, personal development, and family life. Although early data on these activities were generally negative, recent studies indicate that they can be effective in preventing or at least alleviating problems associated with teenage pregnancy. Positive results also have been reported for a variety of approaches implemented since 1996 as part of the federally sponsored National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy. In addition, organizations such as Girls, Inc. have conducted projects that provide girls with a combination of assertiveness training, health services, communications skills, personal counseling, and information about sexuality. Recent data show that these efforts appear to have substantially reduced the incidence of teenage pregnancies.62

### Delinquency and Violence

Juvenile delinquency has increased in recent decades, paralleled by related increases in single-parent families, peer culture influence, drug and alcohol use, and the growth of low-income neighborhoods in big cities. Problems connected with violence and delinquency are particularly acute among young African American males, whose rate of death from homicide has more than tripled since 1985. Even among young white males, however, homicide rates are more than twice as high as in any other industrialized country.63
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Research on delinquency and violence among youth supports several generalizations:

- Significant delinquency rates appear among youth of all social classes. However, violent delinquency is much more frequent among working-class than among middle-class youth.
- Although a large proportion of crimes are committed by people under age twenty-five, most delinquents settle down to a productive adult life.
- An increase in gangs has helped generate greater violence among youth.
- Delinquency is associated with unemployment. From this point of view, delinquency is a partial response to the restricted opportunities available to some young people in modern society.
- Family characteristics related to delinquency include lack of effective parental supervision, lack of community cohesiveness, and lack of a father.
- Delinquency and violent crime rates for girls have increased much more rapidly than those for boys. However, community delinquency rates for females and males are highly correlated: communities that have high rates for one sex also tend to have high rates for the other.
- Delinquency is related to learning disabilities and low school achievement.
- One of the strongest predictors of delinquency is peer influence, but this influence interacts with the family, the neighborhood, and other factors.
- Violent youth crime has increased substantially in suburban and rural areas.

**Effects on Schools**

As we have seen, young people do not simply leave larger cultural patterns behind when they enter the schoolhouse door. Like the other topics discussed in this chapter, the characteristics of youth culture have enormous consequences for the U.S. educational system. The most direct problems are drugs and alcohol in the schools, and violence, theft, and disorder on school grounds. Indicators of antisocial behavior in and around the schools have been a continuing topic of debate during the past thirty years.

Although violence and vandalism are most common at low-income schools in big cities, they are serious problems at many schools outside the inner city, especially when the schools are afflicted by teenage and young-adult gangs, by crime connected with substance abuse and drug sales, and by trespassers who infiltrate school buildings. Nearly two hundred students have been killed in or around schools during the past ten years, some of them in the highly publicized shootings at Columbine and Santee High Schools. In recent years, elaborate security plans have been put in place, zero-tolerance policies (described in the chapter on Legal Aspects of Education) have been introduced, and schools have implemented multiple programs to reduce bullying and intergroup hostilities.
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In response to youth problems in general, schools now employ many more counselors, social workers, and other social service personnel than they did in earlier decades. Urban high schools, for example, use the services of such specialized personnel as guidance and career counselors, psychologists, security workers, nurses, truant officers, and home-school coordinators. Many of these specialists help conduct programs that target alcohol and drug abuse, teenage sex, school dropout, suicide, intergroup relations, and parenting skills.

In addition, many schools are cooperating with other institutions in operating school-based clinics and/or in providing coordinated services that help students and families receive assistance with mental and physical health problems, preparation for employment, and other preoccupations that detract from students’ performance in school. Thousands of schools also are implementing programs to improve schoolwide discipline, teach students conflict resolution skills, develop peer-mediation mechanisms, and control gang activities. Later chapters of this book provide additional information on efforts to improve school climates and environments.67

REFOCUS How do you think your teaching will be affected by problems of adolescence such as violence, drug use, and pregnancy? What kind of help might you need in dealing with such problems?

Summing Up

1. Changes in family composition may be detrimentally influencing children’s behavior and performance in school. Although the situation is complicated, increases in single-parent families and in the number of working mothers appear to be having a negative effect on many students.

2. The peer culture becomes more important as children proceed through school, but it has an important influence on education at all levels of schooling. Educators should be aware of the potentially positive effects of participation in extracurricular activities.

3. The school culture (that is, “regularities” in school practice) appears to stress passive, rote learning in many elementary and secondary schools, particularly in working-class schools and mixed-class schools with relatively large numbers of low-achieving students. This happens in part because schools, as institutions, must maintain orderly environments; because many students prefer passive learning; because teachers generally cannot adequately attend to the learning needs of all students; and because society requires that students learn to function within institutions.

4. Television probably increases aggressiveness and violent behavior among certain children and youth, and it may tend to detract from achievement, particularly in reading. Some analysts have also begun studying the social and cultural effects of digital technologies.

5. Girls traditionally have not been encouraged to seek education that prepares them for full participation in the larger society, and both girls and boys have experienced gender-role pressures in the school. Even so, educational and occupational opportunities for women have been improving rapidly. Although gender differences in school achievement have been declining, certain differences in ability may persist in verbal skills (favoring females) and advanced mathematics (favoring males).

6. Youth has become a separate stage of life marked by immersion in various subcultures. Teenage drug use and drinking, suicide, pregnancy, delinquency, and violence raise serious concerns about the development of adolescents and youth both inside and outside the school.

How do adolescents’ socialization experiences differ in urban and rural communities? Are such differences declining over time, and if so, why?

How does “schooling” differ from “education”? As a prospective teacher, what implications do you see in this line of analysis?

In your experience, which types of students are most popular? Do you believe that popularity patterns have changed much in recent decades? If so, why?

What might the schools do to alleviate problems of drug use, violence, and teenage pregnancy? What should they do? Do you believe the “might” and “should” are different? Why or why not?

Write a description of the “regularities” of schooling as you remember them at the high school you attended. Compare your description with those of your classmates. Do these patterns seem to vary much from one school to another? If so, how?

Contact local government officials in a nearby city, or use the Internet to obtain data on changes occurring in family life and family composition. Does the city have any data showing how such changes have affected the schools? What can you learn or predict from the data?

Interview local school district officials to determine what their schools are doing to reduce drug use and abuse. Cite any evidence that these efforts have been effective. What might be done to make them more effective?

Based on library and Internet sources cited in this chapter, develop a plan that could help a school or a teacher respond effectively and appropriately to challenges posed by students who have difficult home situations. Consider including this plan in your personal portfolio.


“Weaving Gender Equity into Math Reform” is the theme of a site at www.terc.edu/wge. A bibliography on school-based health centers is available at www.healthinschools.org. Information and suggestions regarding the prevention of teenage pregnancy are available at www.teenpregnancy.org. Trends involving the family and youth, particularly positive recent developments, are described in several articles by Kay S. Hymowitz, available at www.city-journal.org.
Publications


Sedlak, Michael W., Christopher W. Wheeler, Diana C. Pullin, and Philip Cusick. *Selling Students Short*. New York: Teachers College Press, 1986. Evaluates classroom “bargains” that result in low-level learning and analyzes the weaknesses of bureaucratic school reform that takes little account of these classroom realities.

We begin this chapter by briefly explaining social class and examining relationships among students’ social class, racial and ethnic background, and performance in the educational system. Then we discuss why students with low social status, particularly disadvantaged minority students, typically rank low in educational achievement and attainment. We conclude the chapter by examining the implications of these relationships in the context of our nation’s historic commitment to equal educational opportunity.

This chapter, like the one on Culture, Socialization, and Education, offers no easy answers; nevertheless, we hope it will provide you with a deeper understanding. We will show how inadequate achievement patterns have become most prevalent among students with socioeconomic disadvantages, especially if those students also belong to minority groups that have experienced widespread discrimination. Other chapters will look at efforts to change the prevailing patterns and improve the performance of disadvantaged students. First, however, we must focus on the multiple root causes of the problem and their implications for teaching and learning. As you read this chapter, think about these questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What is the relationship between social class and success in the educational system?
- After accounting for social class, are race and ethnicity associated with school achievement?
- How do environment and heredity affect low achievement levels?
- What are the major reasons for low achievement among students with low socioeconomic status?
What roles do home and family environment play in encouraging or discouraging high achievement?

How does the relationship between social class and school achievement affect the national goal of providing equal educational opportunities for all students?

**Social Class and Success in School**

American society is generally understood to consist of three broad classes: working, middle, and upper. A well-known and strong relationship exists between social class and educational achievement. Traditionally, working-class students have performed less well than middle- and upper-class students. As you read the analysis in this section, you should ask yourself why it has been so difficult to improve the achievement of working-class students and what can be done to improve their achievement in the future.

**Categories of Social Class**

In the 1940s W. Lloyd Warner and his colleagues used four main variables—occupation, education, income, and housing value—to classify Americans and their families into five groups: upper class, upper middle class, lower middle class, upper lower class, and lower lower class. Individuals high in occupational prestige, amount of education, income, and housing value ranked in the higher classes. Such people are also said to be high in socioeconomic status (SES); that is, others see them as upper-class persons and they are influential and powerful in their communities. Conversely, people low in socioeconomic status are considered low in prestige and power.¹

Today, the term working class is more widely used than lower class, but social scientists still identify three to six levels of SES, ranging from upper class at the top to lower working class at the bottom. The upper class is usually defined as including wealthy persons with substantial property and investments. The middle class includes professionals, managers, and small-business owners (upper middle) as well as technical workers, technicians, sales personnel, and clerical workers (lower middle). The working class is generally divided into upper working class (including skilled crafts workers) and lower working class (unskilled manual workers). Skilled workers may be either middle class or working class, depending on their education, income, and other considerations such as the community in which they live.²

In recent years, observers have identified an underclass group within the working class. The underclass generally resembles the lower working class, but many of its members are the third or fourth generation to live in poverty and depend on public assistance to sustain a relatively meager existence. Usually concentrated in
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the inner slums of cities or in deteriorated areas of rural poverty, many members of the underclass frequently have little hope of improving their economic and social situation.3

Also, some analysts have gone still further and have identified an “establishment” (or “overclass”) that they believe is prospering in a competitive international economy at the same time that much of our population is stagnating economically. As do observers studying underclass development, these analysts generally emphasize the importance of education in determining one’s social status and income.4

Research on Social Class and School Success

One of the first systematic studies investigating the relationship between social class and achievement in school was Robert and Helen Lynd’s study of “Middletown” (a small midwestern city) in the 1920s. The Lynds concluded that parents, regardless of social class, recognize the importance of education for their children; however, many working-class children come to school unequipped to acquire the verbal skills and behavioral traits required for success in the classroom. The Lynds’ observations of social class and the schools were repeated by W. Lloyd Warner and his associates in a series of studies of towns and small cities in New England, the Deep South, and the Midwest. Hundreds of studies have since documented the close relationship between social class and education in the United States and, indeed, throughout the world.5

For example, we have a clear picture of this relationship from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) and other agencies that collect achievement information from nationally representative samples of students. As shown in Table 11.1, mathematics and reading proficiency scores of groups of students vary directly with their social class. Students with well-educated parents (one primary measure of social class) score much higher than students whose parents have less education. This holds to such an extent that nine-year-olds whose parents had at least some college had average scores not far below those for thirteen-year-olds whose parents had not completed high school.

School achievement also correlates with type of community, which reflects the social class of people who reside there. As shown in Table 11.1, the average mathematics and reading scores of students in “urban fringe/large town” areas (with a relatively high proportion of residents in professional or managerial occupations) are much higher than those of students in “central city” areas (with a high proportion of residents who receive public assistance or are unemployed). Only 27 percent of the eighth graders in central cities were proficient in reading in 2003.6
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Further evidence of the relationship between social class and school achievement can be found in studies of poverty neighborhoods in large cities. For example, Levine and his colleagues examined sixth-grade achievement patterns at more than a thousand predominantly low-income schools (which they called concentrated poverty schools) in seven big cities and reported that all but a few had average reading scores more than two years below the national average. They also pointed out that at least one-fourth of the students at these schools cannot read well enough when they enter high school to be considered functionally literate. This pattern can be found at concentrated poverty schools in big cities throughout the United States.7

Many educators also are concerned about the achievement of rural students, especially those who live in low-income regions and pockets of rural poverty. Although rural students generally achieve near the national average, research indicates that poverty and inequality can hamper their progress, and that two-thirds of rural educators believe the academic performance of their low-income students is in either “great need” or “fairly strong need” of improvement.8
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We also should emphasize, however, that methods exist for improving the achievement of students with low socioeconomic status. In particular, the “effective schools” movement that came to prominence in the 1980s showed that appropriate schoolwide efforts to enhance instruction can produce sizable gains in the performance of disadvantaged students, even in concentrated poverty schools in big cities and rural schools in poor areas. It is easier today than only ten or fifteen years ago to find schools that have improved achievement among their low-income students. We describe the effective schools movement and other efforts to improve performance among disadvantaged students in other chapters, particularly the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States.

Social Class, College Participation, and National Problems Social class is associated with many educational outcomes in addition to achievement in reading, math, and other subjects. On the average, working-class students not only have lower achievement scores but also are less likely than middle-class students to complete high school or to enroll in and complete college. Only about 25 percent of high-school graduates from the lowest two socioeconomic quartiles (the lowest 50 percent of students measured in terms of family income) enter college and attain a postsecondary degree, compared with more than 80 percent of high-school graduates in the highest quartile. (Each “quartile” contains one-quarter of the population.) Researchers find that social class relates to college attendance and graduation even when they compare students with similar achievement levels. For example, one study showed that low-status high-school seniors were nearly 50 percent less likely to enter a postsecondary institution than were high-status seniors with similar reading achievement scores. Limitations in federal financial aid, among other reasons, have caused this discrepancy to grow in recent years.

One team of researchers studying international literacy patterns recently concluded that “inequality is deeply rooted in the education system and in the workplace in the United States . . . our nation concentrates on producing and rewarding first-class skills and, as a result, is world class at the top; however it . . . accepts in fact, if not in rhetoric, a basic skills underclass.” These patterns also led a senior researcher at the Educational Testing Service to observe that the United States has not adequately “recognized the need to eliminate barriers to achievement that arise in the family, and how lack of resources affect achievement.”

Have you visited schools where many students were from a different social class from most students in schools you attended? What differences did you observe? How do you think these differences would affect achievement?

---

Race, Ethnicity, and School Success

Patterns of social class and educational achievement in the United States are further complicated by the additional factors of race and ethnicity. Race identifies groups of people with common ancestry and physical characteristics. Ethnicity identifies people who have a shared culture. Members of an ethnic group usually have common ancestry and share language, religion, and other cultural traits. Because no “pure” races exist, some scholars avoid referring to race and instead discuss group characteristics under the heading of ethnicity.

As we saw in the chapter on Historical Development of American Education, the U.S. population is a mix of many races and ethnicities. Some racial and ethnic minority groups in this country have experienced social and economic oppression as a group despite the accomplishments of many individuals. For example, African Americans have a lower average socioeconomic status than that of the white majority, even though many individual African Americans may be of higher SES than many whites. Other major ethnic minority groups, such as Mexican Americans and Puerto Ricans, are also disproportionately low in socioeconomic status. (These two groups, combined with Cuban Americans and citizens with Central and South American ancestry, constitute the Hispanic/Latino population, which is growing rapidly and now outnumbers the African American population. This chapter uses the term Hispanic in reporting data from government publications employing this terminology and generally uses Latino elsewhere.) An ongoing concern for educators is the fact that these racial and ethnic minority groups are correspondingly low in academic achievement, high-school and college graduation rates, and other measures of educational attainment.12

We can see the close association among social class, race or ethnicity, and school performance in Figure 11.1, which presents average math and reading scores attained by nationally representative samples of eighth graders. African American students have the lowest SES scores (as reflected by higher percentages in poverty). They also have the lowest math scores and are tied for the lowest reading scores. In contrast, non-Hispanic whites are highest in SES and in math and reading. In general, school achievement scores parallel scores on socioeconomic status; the higher the SES score, the higher the achievement scores.13

Data collected by the NAEP indicate that the gap between African American and Latino students on the one hand and white students on the other may be narrowing. African American and Latino students have registered gains in reading, math, and other subjects. Some observers attribute these improvements partly to the federal Title 1 program and/or to increases in desegregation. (See the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity for discussions of Title 1 and desegregation.) The gap is by no means closed, however. African American and Latino students still score far below whites in reading and other subjects, and African American and Latino seventeen-year-olds still have approximately the same average reading scores as white thirteen-year-olds.14

---

13Further analysis of these and other data also indicates considerable variation within broad racial and ethnic classifications. For example, among Hispanics, Cuban Americans have much higher SES and achievement scores than do Mexican American and Puerto Rican students. Among Asian American subgroups, Hmong and Vietnamese students tend to be relatively low in status and achievement.
As you might expect from the achievement data shown in Figure 11.1, non-Hispanic white and Asian students (other than Vietnamese Americans) are more likely to complete high school than are African American and Latino students. Figure 11.2 shows that the high-school completion rate for African American students has been rising since 1975, but it remains significantly below the rate for whites, and the rate for Latinos has remained so low that national leaders are gravely concerned about the future of Latino youth. In addition, high-school dropout rates are still extremely high among African American and Latino students in big-city poverty areas. Knowledgeable observers estimate that dropout rates range from 40 to 60 percent in some big cities and may exceed 75 or 80 percent at schools enrolling mostly underclass students.15

---

African American and Latino students are also less likely to enter and complete college and other postsecondary institutions. Postsecondary enrollment rates for African American and Latino high-school graduates rose substantially in the 1960s and early 1970s, but they have increased only slightly since then. As a result, African American and Latino students constitute less than 20 percent of enrollment in higher education, well below their percentage in the college-age population. The causes cited for these patterns include rising tuition, reductions in federal funds, and cuts in special recruiting and assistance programs. Some educators also note that participation in drug cultures may have disabled many minority youth. Reports from major educational agencies have referred to the rate of minority enrollment in higher education as “shockingly low” and “intolerable.” The reports generally conclude that colleges, universities, and government officials should take steps to increase minority enrollment.16

The Special Problem of Minority Status Plus Urban Poverty

As we have documented, educational achievement generally is distressingly low at schools in poor inner-city neighborhoods. We have also pointed out that although

---

Race, Ethnicity, and School Success

**Hoping for Success**

David Rusciatti looked over his first class in eighth-grade English. He had agreed to teach in this inner-city school because that’s where he began his own schooling, but the neighborhood was a different place now from just a few years ago when he had lived here.

David knew that this would be a challenging first year. In fact, a couple of the more experienced teachers had told him that they wouldn’t like to be in his shoes. His schedule was full, with five classes of eighth-grade English. This first class seemed to have a mix of students of differing ethnicities and vastly different backgrounds. According to the guidance counselor, most of the students were low achievers. He knew that at least four of the boys and two of the girls had attended eighth grade last year.

David heard quite a bit of giggling and chatting. As he took role call, he noted back and hand slapping as each student raised his or her hand to indicate they were present. He remembered what his supervising professor had told him last year, “Remember, David, the first day and the first week are all-important. You must set the pace and lay down the rules then or you are finished for the year.”

David had prepared the first day’s class with his professor’s advice about setting the tone in mind. Following the premise that he must involve the students in their own active learning, he had developed a series of questions and activities. Before he could implement his plan of action, however, the assistant principal came in and took him aside. He whispered, “Don’t rile Thomas Davis, over there. I’ve been told that he has a small pistol concealed under his shirt. I’ve called the campus police, and they’ll nab him as soon as class is dismissed. He’s upset about something to do with his girlfriend. If you have trouble before then, you can call me at the office using that phone on the wall. Think you’ll be okay?”

“I’ll be okay. I have the day well planned.” As the assistant principal left, David wondered if he actually would be okay. All went well until he asked the students to write a short paragraph telling about their best experiences of the summer. Several students began commenting to each other, joking and laughing. David was unsure how much of this he should allow, so he smiled and encouraged those who were writing to continue. At the same time, he began to walk around the class to talk to each student not writing.

He scanned the class, noting the different levels of involvement. He would have to learn more about how to teach a group of students with such varying backgrounds. And about each individual, too. Maybe that should come first.

**Questions:**

1. Should first-year teachers be assigned difficult classes such as this one? Justify your answer.
2. What obstacles must David hurdle to be considered an effective teacher?
3. How would you prepare for and handle a class such as this?
4. What instructional policies might David want to introduce?
and where rates of crime, delinquency, drug abuse, teenage pregnancy, and other indicators of social disorganization remain extremely high.\(^{17}\)

We can make somewhat similar statements concerning the Hispanic or Latino population. A substantial split divides a growing middle-class segment and a large segment residing in big-city poverty neighborhoods. Rates of social disorganization in these latter neighborhoods are high.\(^{18}\) The From Preservice to Practice feature in this chapter shows challenges that face teachers in these neighborhoods.

2. Both the number and the percentage of low-income minority people living in urban poverty areas have increased substantially. Although the overall population of the fifty largest cities in the United States has declined since 1970, the number of low-income African Americans living in poverty areas has increased by more than one-third. Despite the fact that concentrations of urban poverty decreased in the past decade, big-city neighborhoods still tend to have large low-income populations and to lack important institutions such as banks, hospitals, and colleges. More than two-thirds of the students in many big-city school districts such as Chicago, Detroit, and New York are now from low-income families, and more than 80 percent are minority students.\(^{19}\)

3. Social institutions such as the family, the school, and the law enforcement system often appear to have collapsed in the inner city. Parents find it difficult to control their children, and law enforcement agencies are unable to cope with high rates of juvenile delinquency and adult crime.\(^{20}\)

4. The concentration of low-income minority populations in big-city poverty areas has increased their isolation from the larger society. In contrast to the urban slums and ghettos of fifty or one hundred years ago, today’s concentrated poverty areas are larger geographically, and in many cases their residents are more homogeneous in (low) socioeconomic status. Unskilled and semiskilled jobs are more difficult to obtain, and many jobs have been moved overseas or to the suburbs, where they are practically inaccessible to central-city residents. Andrew Hacker observed that the contemporary “mode of segregation,


combining poverty and race, is relatively new. To reside amid so many people leading desultory lives makes it all the harder to break away.”21

5. The problems experienced by young black males have escalated enormously. Some knowledgeable observers believe that the plight of young males in inner-city poverty areas is at the root of a series of other serious problems: high rates of out-of-wedlock births, the persistence of welfare dependency, and violent crime and delinquency. The growth in female-headed families in urban poverty areas relates directly to the high rates at which young African American men drop out of the labor force, are incarcerated in prisons or placed on parole, or otherwise are excluded or exclude themselves from mainstream institutions. The result is a great reduction in the pool of men available to participate in stable families and accumulate resources for upward mobility.22

Comparing the Influence of Social Class and Ethnicity

The close interrelationship among social class, race and ethnicity, and school achievement leads researchers to frequently ask whether race and ethnicity are associated with performance in the educational system even after one takes into account the low socioeconomic status of African Americans and other disadvantaged minority groups. In general, the answer has been that social class accounts for much of the variation in educational achievement by race and ethnicity. That is, if you know the social class of a group of students, you can predict with a good deal of accuracy whether their achievement, ability scores, and college attendance rates are high or low. Information about their racial or ethnic group generally does relatively little to improve such a prediction. This also means that working-class white students as a group are low in achievement and college attainment, whereas middle-class minority students, as a group, rank relatively high on these variables.23

Disadvantaged minorities in the United States remain disproportionately working class and underclass, and their children remain much less successful in the educational system than are the children of the middle class. Moreover, because education is an important channel for gaining access to the job market, minority students with low socioeconomic status have relatively less opportunity for economic success later in their lives. From this point of view, the schools’ ineffectiveness in educating students from working-class homes helps to perpetuate the current class system—and the burden of poverty and low achievement falls disproportionately on the nation’s racial and ethnic minority groups.

For educators, the challenge is to improve the performance of all low-status students, from whatever ethnic group. The U.S. population as a whole has become


more divided, with a growing high-income segment, a growing low-income segment, and a shrinking middle segment. Many commentators share the alarm of former Secretary of Labor Robert Reich: "If we lose our middle class and become a two-tiered society, we not only risk the nation’s future prosperity but also its social coherence and stability. As the economy grows, people who work the machines and clean the offices and provide the basic goods and services are supposed to share in the gains, but that hasn’t been happening."24

Reasons for Low Achievement Among Low-Status Students

Over the past forty years, much research has been aimed at understanding and overcoming the academic deficiencies of low-achieving students in general and low-achieving students from working-class or poor families in particular. Although the explanations are not necessarily mutually exclusive, we will group them under the following major factors: home environment, heredity versus environment, and obstacles in the classroom, also summarized in Overview 11.1.

Home Environment

The chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education points out that families are the most important agent in children’s early socialization and education. We also noted that characteristics of the home environment closely reflect the family’s social class. Thus social-class differences in home environment associate with educational performance and student attainment. Many working-class students grow up in homes that fail to prepare them well for school. Even though their parents may stress the importance of education, these students tend to function poorly in the typical classroom.

Children’s home environments cultivate three key sets of characteristics important to their school achievement: (1) knowledge and understandings, (2) cognitive and verbal skills, and (3) values and attitudes. Regarding knowledge and understandings, middle-class children are more likely than working-class children to acquire a wide knowledge of the world outside the home through access to books and cultural institutions (for example, museums), parental teaching, and exploration of diverse environments. Knowledge and understandings acquired through exposure to the wider world are helpful to children when they enter school. Working-class students today may experience even greater disadvantages than in earlier eras because they tend to have less access to computers at home than do middle-class students.25

Students’ cognitive and verbal skills also reflect social-class differences in family language environments. Basil Bernstein has found that both middle- and working-class children develop adequate skills with respect to “ordinary” or “restricted” language, but middle-class children are superior in the use of “formal” or “elaborated” language.


language. Ordinary, restricted language is grammatically simple, relying on gestures and further explanations to clarify meaning. Elaborated, formal language is grammatically complex and provides greater potential for organizing experience within an abstract meaning system. Many scholars believe that facility in using elaborated language helps middle-class children excel in cognitive development.26

---

Regarding values and attitudes, socialization practices in many working-class homes ill-prepare children to function independently in the school and classroom. Many children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are at a disadvantage because their socialization appears to emphasize obedience and conformity, whereas middle-class families tend to stress independent learning and self-directed thinking. After an intensive study of seven hundred families in Nottingham, England, John and Elizabeth Newson summarized these different socialization patterns as follows:

Parents at the upper end of the social scale are more inclined on principle to use democratically based, highly verbal means of control, and this kind of discipline is likely to produce personalities who can both identify successfully with the system and use it for their own ends later on. At the bottom end of the scale . . . [many] parents choose on principle to use a highly authoritarian, mainly non-verbal means of control, in which words are used more to threaten and bamboozle the child into obedience than to make him understand the rationale behind social behavior . . . Thus the child born into the lowest social bracket has everything stacked against him including his parents’ principles of child upbringing.

Differences in child-rearing practices reflect the fact that many working-class environments are relatively dangerous for children, and parents use methods that do not help at school but do prepare their children to function in this hostile environment. Other differences arise from parents’ own limits in education, resources, and knowledge of what practices help children develop intellectually.

---

The importance of the home and family environment for general intellectual development has also been documented in studies by J. McVicker Hunt, Martin Deutsch, and other researchers. These studies generally indicate that environmental stimulation in working-class homes is less conducive to intellectual development, on the average, than it is in middle-class homes. Deutsch outlined factors, such as lack of productive visual and tactile stimulation, that limit learning readiness in many disadvantaged children. Deutsch and others developed indexes of environmental disadvantage that correlate even more closely with IQ scores and school success than do social-class indicators.28

The environmental disadvantage theory holds that early developmental years are more important than later years. As pointed out by Benjamin Bloom, David Hamburg, and others, the most rapid development of many human characteristics, including cognitive skills, occurs during the preschool years. Furthermore, the child’s intellectual development is affected even during the prenatal stages by the mother’s general health, her diet, her alcohol intake and drug usage, and stress and other emotional factors. Although we can counteract learning deficits that arise from disadvantaged early environments, it is, as this implies, more difficult to produce changes for older children; we need a more powerful environment to bring about these changes. It further implies that as a society we should use more of our resources to address early environmental problems and disadvantages. These understandings helped lead to the development of compensatory education, which, as described in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity, tries to remedy the effects of environmental disadvantages by providing preschool education and improved instruction in elementary and secondary schools.29 We will further describe compensatory education in the next chapter.

Concern is growing regarding the negative effects on cognitive performance that impoverished environments can produce as scientists learn more about how the brain develops and what this knowledge may mean for educators. In general, neurologists and other investigators have reinforced Bloom’s conclusions about the importance of a positive home environment in the first two or three years of life, when the brain is growing rapidly and establishing billions of neural connections. In addition to emphasizing the value of good preschools, many educators are exploring the implications for devising instructional methods that take into account how the brain works. However, it may be some time before we know enough to anticipate substantial improvements in curriculum and instruction based on an understanding of how the brain functions and develops.30


Socialization differentials like those we have discussed in this section reflect average differences across social-class groups. As a teacher, you must remember that no universal patterns distinguish all middle-class families and students from all working-class families. Many children from working-class families do well in school, and many middle-class children do not. Many families with low socioeconomic status do provide a home environment conducive to achievement, and the great majority of low-income parents try to offer their children a positive learning environment. It also appears that the child-raising methods of working-class families probably are becoming more like those of middle-class families. Nevertheless, children from low-income, working-class homes are still disproportionately likely to grow up in an environment that inadequately prepares them to succeed in contemporary schools.

The Heredity-Versus-Environment Debate

The past century has seen heated controversy about whether intelligence, which relates strongly to school achievement, is determined primarily by heredity or by environment.

Hereditarian View When IQ tests were undergoing rapid development early in the twentieth century, many psychologists believed that intelligence was determined primarily by heredity. Those who took this hereditarian view of intelligence thought that IQ tests and similar instruments measured innate differences, present from birth, in people’s capacity. When economically disadvantaged groups and some minority groups, such as African Americans, scored considerably below other groups, the hereditarians believed that the groups with the lower scores were innately inferior in intellectual capacity.

The hereditarian view underwent a major revival in the 1970s and 1980s, based particularly on the writings of Arthur Jensen, Richard Herrnstein, and a group of researchers conducting the Minnesota Study of Twins. Summarizing previous research as well as their own studies, these researchers identified heredity as the major factor in determining intelligence—accounting for up to 80 percent of the variation in IQ scores.31

Jensen published a highly controversial study in the *Harvard Educational Review* in 1969. Pointing out that African Americans averaged about 15 points below whites on IQ tests, Jensen attributed this gap to a genetic difference between the two races in learning abilities and patterns. Critics countered Jensen’s arguments by contending that a host of environmental factors that affect IQ, including malnutrition and prenatal care, are difficult to measure and impossible to separate from hereditary factors. IQ tests are biased, they said, and do not necessarily even measure intelligence.32

---


**Environmentalist View** By the middle of the twentieth century, numerous studies had contradicted the hereditarian view, and most social scientists took the position that environment is as important as or even more important than heredity in determining intelligence. Social scientists who stress the \textbf{environmentalist view of intelligence} generally emphasize the need for continual compensatory programs beginning in infancy. Many also criticize the use of IQ tests on the grounds that these tests are culturally biased. Many attribute the differences in IQ scores between African Americans and whites, for example, to differences in social class and family environment and to systematic racial discrimination.

Sandra Scarr and Richard Weinberg studied differences between African American children growing up in their biological families and those growing up in adopted families. They concluded that the effects of environment outweigh the effects of heredity. Thomas Sowell, after examining IQ scores collected for various ethnic groups between 1920 and 1970, found that the scores of certain groups, including Italian Americans and Polish Americans, have substantially improved. Other studies indicate that the test scores of African Americans and Puerto Ricans have risen more rapidly than scores in the general population in response to improvements in teaching and living conditions.\footnote{Sandra Scarr and Richard A. Weinberg, “I.Q. Test Performance of Black Children Adopted by White Families,” \textit{American Psychologist} (July 1976), pp. 726–739. See also Thomas Sowell, “Race and IQ,” \textit{Capitalism}, October 18, 2003; and Scott MacEachern, “Africanist Archaeology and Ancient IQ,” \textit{World Archaeology} (March 2006), pp. 72–92.}

James Flynn, who collected similar data on other countries, found that “massive” gains in IQ scores in fourteen nations have occurred during the twentieth century. These improvements, according to Flynn’s analysis, largely stemmed not from genetic improvement but from environmental changes that led to gains in the kinds of skills assessed by IQ tests. Torsten Husen and his colleagues have concluded, after reviewing large amounts of data, that improvements in economic and social conditions, and particularly in the availability of schooling, can produce substantial gains in average IQ from one generation to the next. In general, educators committed to improving the performance of low-achieving students find these studies encouraging.\footnote{Marguerite Holloway, “Flynn’s Effect,” \textit{Scientific American} (January 1999); Tomoe Kanaya, Matthew H. Scullin, and Stephen H. Cecchi, “The Flynn Effect and U. S. Policies,” \textit{American Psychologist} (October 2003); Steven Johnson, “What’s Behind the Surprising Rise in IQ?” \textit{Wired} (May 2005), available at \url{www.wired.com}, click on “Browse Issue Archive”; and David L. Kirp, “After the Bell Curve,” \textit{New York Times Magazine}, July 23, 2006.}

**Synthesizers’ View** Certain social scientists have taken a middle, or “synthesizing,” position in this controversy. The \textbf{synthesizers’ view of intelligence} holds that both heredity and environment contribute to differences in measured intelligence. For example, Christopher Jencks, after reviewing a large amount of data, concluded that heredity is responsible for 45 percent of the IQ variance, environment accounts for 35 percent, and interaction between the two (“interaction” meaning that particular abilities thrive or wither in specific environments) accounts for 20 percent. Robert
Nichols reviewed all these and other data and concluded that the true value for heredity may be anywhere between 0.40 and 0.80, but that the exact value has little importance for policy.

In general, Nichols and other synthesizers maintain that heredity determines the fixed limits of a range; within those limits, the interaction between environment and heredity yields each individual’s actual intelligence. This view has been supported by recent studies indicating that in impoverished families much of the IQ variation correlates with quality of environment, whereas in wealthier families (which presumably provide an adequate environment) heredity exerts a greater influence on children’s intelligence. In this view, even if interactions between heredity and environment limit our ability to specify exactly how much of a child’s intelligence reflects environmental factors, teachers (and parents) should provide each child with a productive environment in which to realize her or his maximum potential.36

Obstacles in the Classroom

We have noted that the home and family environment of many working-class students lacks the kind of educational stimulation needed to prepare students for success in the classroom. However, certain school and classroom dynamics also foster low achievement. The following list highlights some of the most important classroom obstacles to achievement that working-class students face.

1. **Inappropriate curriculum and instruction.** Curriculum materials and instructional approaches in the primary grades frequently assume that students are familiar with vocabulary and concepts to which working-class students have had little or no exposure. After grade 3, much of the curriculum requires advanced skills that many working-class students have not yet acquired; hence they fall further behind in other subject areas.37

2. **Lack of previous success in school.** Lack of academic success in the early grades not only detracts from learning more difficult material later; it also damages a student’s perception that he or she is a capable learner who has a chance to succeed in school and in later life. Once students believe that they are inadequate learners and lack control over their future, they are less likely to work vigorously at overcoming learning deficiencies.38

---


3. **Ineffective fixation on low-level learning.** When a student or group of students functions far below grade level, teachers tend to concentrate on remediating basic skills in reading, math, and other subjects. This reaction is appropriate for some achievers who need intensive help in acquiring initial skills, but it is damaging for those who could benefit from more challenging learning experiences and assignments. Although helping low-achieving students master higher-order learning skills presents a difficult
challenge to teachers, certain instructional strategies make it possible to move successfully in this direction.39

4. Difficulty of teaching conditions in working-class schools. As students fall further behind academically and as both teachers and students experience frustration and discouragement, behavior problems increase in the classroom. Teachers have more difficulty providing a productive learning environment. Some give up trying to teach low achievers or leave the school to seek less frustrating employment elsewhere.40

5. Teacher perceptions of student inadequacy. Teachers in working-class schools may see low achievement in their classrooms and conclude that many of their students cannot learn. This view easily becomes a self-fulfilling prophecy because teachers who question their students’ learning potential are less likely to work hard to improve academic performance, particularly when improvement requires an intense effort that consumes almost all of a teacher’s energy.41

6. Ineffective homogeneous grouping. Educators faced with large groups of low achievers frequently address the problem by setting them apart in separate classes or subgroups where instruction can proceed at a slower pace without detracting from the performance of high achievers. Unfortunately, both teachers and students tend to view concentrations of low achievers as “slow” groups for whom learning expectations are low or nonexistent.

Ray Rist studied this type of arrangement, called homogeneous grouping, at a working-class school in St. Louis. A kindergarten class was divided into groups, the “fast learners” and the “slow learners.” The fast group received “the most teaching time, rewards, and attention from the teacher.” The slow group was “taught infrequently, subjected to more control, and received little if any support from the teacher.” Naturally, by the end of the year, differences had emerged in how well prepared these children were for first grade, and the first-grade teacher grouped the students on the basis of their “readiness.”42

Situations like the one Rist described might benefit from keeping the students in heterogeneous classes (that is, groups with a diversity of previous achievement) but giving them individualized instruction so that each can progress at his or her own rate. However, individualization is extremely difficult to implement and often requires such systemwide change in school practices that it becomes almost an economic impossibility. Thus teachers in schools with mostly low-income students, confronted with heterogeneous

classes, generally have failed to work effectively with their numerous low achievers.

One solution is to group low achievers homogeneously for blocks of reading and language instruction but to make sure that the groups are small and are taught by highly skilled teachers who work well with such students. This alternative aligns with research indicating that “restrictive” settings (that is, separate arrangements for low achievers) may have either positive or negative outcomes, depending on what educators do to make instruction effective. We further discuss the issue of homogeneous grouping in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States and in this chapter’s Taking Issue box.43

7. Service-delivery problems. The problems we have described suggest the great difficulty in delivering educational services effectively in classes or schools with a high percentage of low achievers. For example, a teacher in a working-class school who has ten or twelve low-achieving students in a class of twenty-five has a many times more difficult task of providing effective instruction than does a teacher who has only four or five low achievers in a middle-class school. Not only may teachers in the former situation need to spend virtually all their time overcoming low achievers’ learning problems, but the negative dynamics that result from students’ frustration and misbehavior make the task much more demanding. Administrators, counselors, and other specialized personnel in working-class schools experience the same predicament: the burden of addressing learning and behavior problems may leave little time for improving services for all students. The serious problems endemic in such overloaded schools make it difficult for educators to function effectively.44

8. Overly large classes. As suggested above, classes too large for teachers to provide sufficient help to overcome learning problems often lead to ineffective instruction for low-achieving students. Teachers of large classes find it particularly hard to help low achievers master complex skills such as critical thinking, reading comprehension, mathematics problem solving, and other higher-order skills.45

The effects of class size were assessed in a major study of students in Tennessee. The researchers found that students in small classes scored substantially higher in reading and math in kindergarten and first grade than did students in average-sized classes. They also maintained their advantage in

---


later grades. Effects were particularly impressive at schools that enrolled large proportions of students from low-income minority backgrounds. Several subsequent smaller studies have arrived at similar conclusions.46

9. **Teacher preparation and experience.** Studies of high-poverty schools in big cities have shown that teachers at schools with concentrations of low-SES students tend to have less preparation and experience in teaching their subjects than teachers at schools with mostly middle-class students. For this reason, many analysts believe that upgrading teacher training and preparation and hiring teachers with appropriate experience should be priority goals in efforts to improve the achievement of low-income and working-class students.47

10. **Negative peer pressure.** Several researchers have reported that academically oriented students in predominantly working-class schools are often ridiculed and rejected for accepting school norms. John Ogbu and Signithia Fordham, among others, have described negative peer influences as being particularly strong among working-class African American students. At some inner-city schools where significant numbers of students react in this way, high

---


achievers who work hard are often labeled “brainiacs” and accused of “acting white.”48 Commenting on these phenomena, an African American professor concluded that the “notion that someone with a hunger for knowledge would be regarded as a ‘traitor to his race’... would seem like some kind of sinister white plot. In a society where blacks had to endure jailings, shootings, and lynchings to get an education, it seems utterly unbelievable that some black youngsters now regard... academic failure as a sign of pride.”49

Some researchers have reported that such attitudes appear to be much less prevalent or nonexistent among middle-class black students or those who attend desegregated schools. In addition, research by Lois Weis and her colleagues suggests that antischool peer pressures among working-class students lessen as they realize that education is important for future success. Although working-class adolescents historically tended to view academic learning as irrelevant to their future employment, the high-school boys in her study perceived schooling as offering “utilitarian opportunities” for acquiring skilled jobs and thus were willing to “put in their time” in school and even go to college.50

11. **Differences in teacher and student backgrounds.** Teachers from middle-class backgrounds may have difficulty understanding and motivating disadvantaged pupils. Particularly in the case of white teachers working with disadvantaged minority students, differences in dialect, language, or cultural background may make it difficult for the teachers to communicate effectively with their students.51

12. **Incompatibility between classroom expectations and students’ behavioral patterns and learning styles.** Teachers may also be unprepared for diversity in their students’ learning styles and behavior. Numerous analysts have concluded that the behavioral patterns and learning styles of many working-class students and some groups of minority students differ from those of middle-class or nonminority students. When teachers gear their classroom expectations to the learning styles and behavior of high-achieving, middle-class students, such style differences can lead to school failure.

---


For example, some researchers suggest that the following patterns of behaviors exist, and they recommended the following ways for teachers to adapt their instruction to help students who have these styles:\textsuperscript{52}

- Many African American students tend to be energetic (a pattern researchers refer to as having high “activation” levels). These students do not perform well if teachers require them to sit in one place for extended periods of time or prohibit impulsive responses. If, as a teacher, you have highly active students, you should plan learning activities that allow students some physical movement.

- Some low-income African American students tend to become confused when teachers fail to act forcefully and “authoritatively.” Researchers therefore suggest that as a teacher you maintain authority and avoid treating students as “buddies.”

- African American and Latino students may tend to be “field dependent”—that is, they learn poorly when instruction begins with abstract, “decontextualized” concepts. You can help field-dependent students by presenting concrete material before moving to abstract analysis. Providing opportunities for students to learn in pairs or cooperative groups may also help.

We should emphasize that research has not conclusively established the existence of such distinctive behavioral patterns or learning styles among working-class or minority students. Learning differences between low-income African American, Latino, or other minority students and nonminority students may stem mostly from socioeconomic status rather than from race or ethnicity. Nevertheless, numerous studies do support the conclusion that you can help improve performance among your low-achieving students if you adjust for the various behavioral and learning styles of all your students. We’ll discuss such alternative teaching practices in the next chapter’s section on multicultural education.\textsuperscript{53}

Our analysis so far makes it clear that many students are economically disadvantaged and also experience educational disadvantages in schools and classrooms. Recent research indicates that disadvantaged students can increase their success in the educational system with outstanding teachers and appropriate instructional strategies.\textsuperscript{54} But the discouraging facts of achievement and social class have raised


questions about whether or not schools do indeed make a difference—if they help in any significant way in countereacting the disadvantages students experience. The rest of this chapter confronts this issue. Later chapters, particularly “School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States,” will discuss ways to bolster student achievement by improving the organization and delivery of instruction.

Do Schools Equalize Opportunity?

The research discussed in the preceding sections indicates that disproportionate numbers of students from low-income backgrounds enter school poorly prepared to succeed in traditional classrooms and in later years rank relatively low in school achievement and other indicators of success. If we define equal opportunity in terms of overcoming disadvantages associated with family background so that students on the average perform equally well regardless of socioeconomic status, one must conclude that the educational system has failed to equalize opportunity.

Equal educational opportunity has received considerable attention since the 1966 publication of a massive national study conducted by James Coleman and his colleagues. Titled *Equality of Educational Opportunity*, this federally supported study collected data on approximately six hundred thousand students at more than four thousand schools. Its congressional sponsors expected it to show that low achievement among low-socioeconomic students stemmed from low expenditures on their education, thus justifying increased school funding.

As expected, Coleman and his colleagues reported that achievement related strongly to students’ socioeconomic background and that schools with high proportions of working-class and underclass students generally received less funding than did middle-class schools. However, they also found that expenditures for reduced class size, laboratories, libraries, and other aspects of school operation were fundamentally unrelated to achievement after one took into account (1) a student’s personal socioeconomic background and (2) the social-class status of other students in the school. Many readers incorrectly interpreted the data to mean that schools cannot improve the performance of economically disadvantaged students. In reality, the results supported two conclusions: (1) simply spending more on education for disadvantaged students was unlikely to substantially improve their achievement, and (2) moving students from mostly working-class schools to middle-class schools could improve achievement.55

In the next decade, two influential books by Christopher Jencks and his colleagues bolstered this analysis. After examining a great deal of data, Jencks and his colleagues reached the following conclusions:56

---


1. School achievement depends substantially on students’ family characteristics.

2. Family background accounts for nearly half the variation in occupational status and up to 35 percent of the variation in earnings.

3. The schools accomplish relatively little in terms of reducing the achievement gap between students with higher and lower socioeconomic status.

Studies from many other countries support similar conclusions. For example, scholars at the World Bank, after reviewing several decades of international research, reported that family background has an “early and apparently lasting influence” on achievement. Likewise, a review of studies in Great Britain concluded that schools there have served as “mechanisms for the transmission of privileges from one generation of middle-class citizens to the next.”

This does not mean, however, that all or even most students from low-income families will be unsuccessful as adults or that the schools should be viewed as mostly unsuccessful in helping provide opportunities for students with diverse socioeconomic backgrounds. Research supports the following general conclusions:

1. Although students with low socioeconomic status tend to perform poorly in school and later have restricted employment opportunities, a substantial proportion of working-class children and some from families living in poverty do eventually attain middle-class status. For example, although nearly two-thirds of men in the U.S. labor force grew up in working-class families or on a farm, more than 50 percent are in middle- or high-status jobs; nearly 40 percent are in upper-middle-class jobs even though less than 25 percent were raised in upper-middle-class families. Socioeconomic mobility of this kind has been present throughout U.S. history. However, it may have diminished somewhat in recent years.

2. The educational system has helped many people surpass their parents’ status. Its role in promoting socioeconomic mobility has grown more central as middle- and high-status jobs have become more complex and dependent on specialized educational skills and credentials and technological and economic changes have eliminated many unskilled jobs.

3. As education increasingly determines socioeconomic status and mobility, college attendance and graduation constitute a kind of “dividing line” between those likely to attain high socioeconomic status and those not. One hundred years ago,

---


enrollment in high school probably was the best educational indicator of socioeconomic status. As of fifty or sixty years ago, high-school graduation was the clearest dividing line. Today, postsecondary education is almost a prerequisite for middle- or high-status jobs.

4. Despite the success of many working-class students, opportunities—educational, social, and economic—are too few to overcome the disadvantages of the underclass. Children who attend low-achieving poverty schools remain disproportionately likely to stay low in socioeconomic status.

**Traditional Versus Revisionist Interpretations**

Growing recognition of the strong relationship between social class and school achievement has led to a fundamental disagreement between two groups of observers of U.S. education. According to the traditional view of schools, the educational system succeeds in providing economically disadvantaged students with meaningful opportunities for social and economic advancement. The revisionist view of schools, in contrast, holds that the schools fail to provide most disadvantaged students with a meaningful chance to succeed in society. You may hear critical theory or critical pedagogy used as synonyms for the revisionist view. The following sections explore the ramifications of these two arguments.

**The Traditional View**

Proponents of the traditional view acknowledge the relationships among social class, educational achievement, and economic success, but they emphasize existing opportunities and data indicating that many working-class youth do experience social mobility through schools and other institutions. Most traditionalists believe that our educational and economic institutions balance a requirement for excellence with provision of opportunity. From this perspective, each individual who works hard, no matter how disadvantaged, has the opportunity to succeed in elementary and secondary schools and to go to college.

Traditionalists point out that the U.S. educational system gives the individual more chances to attend college than do the educational systems of most other countries (see the chapter on International Education). Students in this country do not, as in some nations, face an examination at age eleven or twelve that shunts them into an almost inescapable educational track. Even if American students do poorly in high school, they can go to a community college and then transfer to a university. Furthermore, admission standards at many four-year colleges permit enrollment of all but the lowest-achieving high-school graduates.

Traditionalists admit that schools serve as a screening device to sort different individuals into different jobs, but they do not believe that this screening is systematically based on race, ethnicity, or income. Instead, they believe, better educated people obtain better jobs primarily because schools have made them more productive. Additional years of schooling are an indication of this greater productivity. The employer needs criteria to guide hiring choices, and in a democratic society that values mobility and opportunity, quality of education counts, not the applicant’s family connections, race, ethnic origin, or social class.

---

60Revisionists are sometimes referred to as neo-Marxists if they believe that the capitalist system must be abolished or fundamentally changed if schools are to provide truly equal opportunity for all students.
The Revisionist View and Critical Pedagogy

Revisionists contend that elite groups control the schools and thus channel disadvantaged students into second-rate secondary schools and programs, third-rate community colleges, and fourth-rate jobs. Many critical pedagogists also believe that the educational system has been set up specifically to produce disciplined workers at the bottom of the class structure. This is accomplished in part by emphasizing discipline in working-class schools, just as the working-class family and the factory labor system emphasize discipline.61

Much analysis in critical pedagogy has been referred to as resistance theory, which attempts to explain why some students with low socioeconomic status refuse to conform to school expectations or to comply with their teachers’ demands. The students’ resistance, in this view, arises partly because school norms and expectations contradict the traditional definitions of masculinity and femininity these students hold. In addition, an “oppositional peer life” stimulates students to resist what they perceive as the irrelevant middle-class values of their teachers. Some research indicates that oppositional behaviors are particularly prevalent among male students. As described in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education, resistance theorists have further concluded that the traditional curriculum marginalizes the everyday knowledge of such students, thereby reinforcing anti-intellectual tendencies in working-class cultures.62

Critical theorists have been devoting considerable attention to ways educators can improve the situation. Using a variety of related terms such as critical discourse, critical engagement, and critical literacy, they have emphasized the goal of teachers becoming “transformative intellectuals” who work to broaden schools’ role in developing a democratic society. For example, Pauline Lipman believes that teachers should promote not just the “personal efficacy” but also the “social efficacy” of working-class and minority students, and should help them prepare to become leaders in their local communities. She also believes that teachers should pursue this type of goal as part of a larger effort to reform public schools. Jennifer Hendricks believes that teachers can use young people’s familiarity with the Internet and technology to help them become politically informed and active.63 As the Technology @ School box discusses, however, students from some groups lack sufficient access to this technology.


This chapter began by providing data indicating that working-class students as a group underperform middle-class students. After examining reasons offered to account for this difference, we summarized several decades of research concluding that elementary and secondary schools frequently fail to overcome the disadvantages that working-class students bring to school. Although recent studies have pointed to various more successful schools, the overall pattern offers support for some of the revisionists’ conclusions.

On the other hand, not all working-class students and minority students fail in the schools, and not all middle-class students succeed. An accurate portrayal of the relationships between social class and achievement lies somewhere between the revisionist and the traditional views. Schools do not totally perpetuate the existing social-class structure into the next generation; neither do they provide sufficient opportunity to break the general pattern in which a great many working-class students perform at a predictably low level. Levine and Levine, reviewing the research on each side of the debate, have offered an intermediate view that stresses the following:64

1. Research on status mobility in the United States indicates that people at the bottom level most tend to “freeze” into their parents’ status. Despite considerable intergenerational movement up the socioeconomic ladder and some movement down, large proportions of Americans with the lowest social-class backgrounds do not progress beyond the status of their parents.

---

Social and demographic trends have concentrated many children in low-income urban and rural communities in schools extremely low on achievement measures. A disproportionately high percentage of students in these schools are from racial or ethnic minority groups.

Although many working-class students attend predominantly working-class schools that reinforce their initial disadvantages through ineffective instruction, many others attend mixed-status schools with teaching and learning conditions more conducive to high performance. In addition, a growing number of working-class schools appear to be emphasizing higher-order learning.

Although we cannot pinpoint the exact percentage of working-class students who succeed in the schools or who use their education to advance in social status, the schools do serve as an important route to mobility for many economically disadvantaged children.

Historically, educational leaders such as Horace Mann worked to establish and expand the public school system partly because they believed this would help give all American children an equal chance to succeed in life, regardless of the circumstances of their birth. The data cited in this chapter suggest that the traditional public-school function of providing equal educational opportunity has taken on a more charged meaning. Provision of equal opportunity in society now depends on improving the effectiveness of instruction for children—particularly those from minority backgrounds—who attend predominantly poverty schools. This issue will be discussed further in succeeding chapters.

**Summing Up**

1. Social class relates both to achievement in elementary and secondary schools and to entry into and graduation from college. Students with low socioeconomic status tend to rank low in educational attainment; middle-class students tend to rank high. Low achievement is particularly a problem in poverty areas of large cities.

2. Low-income minority groups generally are low in educational achievement, but little or no independent relationship exists between race or ethnicity and achievement after taking account of social class.

3. Major reasons for low achievement include the following: (a) students’ home and family environments poorly prepare them for success in the traditional school; (b) genetic considerations (that is, heredity) may interact with environment in some cases to further hamper achievement; and (c) traditionally organized and operated schools have failed to provide effective education for economically disadvantaged students.

4. Many problems in the schools tend to limit achievement: inappropriate curriculum and instruction, lack of previous success in school, difficult teaching conditions, teacher perceptions of student inadequacy, ineffective homogeneous grouping, delivery-of-service problems, overly large classes, negative peer pressures, differences in teacher and student backgrounds, and incompatibility between classroom expectations and students’ behavioral patterns.

5. Research on social class and education has somewhat supported the revisionist view that schools help perpetuate the existing social-class system. This contrasts with the traditional view that U.S. society and its educational system provide children and youth with equal opportunity to succeed regardless of their social-class background.

6. Because recent research indicates that the schools can be much more effective, we may move closer to the ideal of equal educational opportunity in the future.
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Certification Connection

Chapter 11 Social Class, Race and School Achievement aligns with the Praxis II’s topic of Students as Diverse Learners. The influence of social class, the home environment, and ethnicity on school performance are three of the main topics in this chapter. In your journal, reflect on the reasons provided in this chapter for the achievement gap among groups of students in American schools. After generating a list of possible explanations for the gap, propose strategies schools and teachers can utilize to combat the achievement gap.

Discussion Questions

1. What can teachers and schools do to overcome each of the school-related obstacles and problems that contribute to low achievement among economically disadvantaged students? What might you accomplish in working to overcome these obstacles and solve these problems?
2. Which revisionist arguments are the most persuasive? Which are most vulnerable to criticism?
3. Imagine that you have been hired as a new teacher in a school with a racial, economic, and linguistic composition quite unlike your own background. What can you do to improve your chances to succeed, and whom might you ask for assistance?
4. What was your own experience with homogeneous and heterogeneous grouping in high school? Were these arrangements beneficial for both high- and low-achieving students? What might or should have been done to make them more effective?
5. To what extent would you be willing to contact parents of low-achieving students when you become a teacher? Do you think this should be part of the classroom teacher’s responsibilities?

Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. For your portfolio, prepare an analysis of unusually effective schools, those whose students achieve more than students with similar social background at most other schools. What are the characteristics or “correlates” of these unusually effective schools? Searching the Internet for “effective schools” will give you access to sites that focus on effective schools.
2. Contact a nearby elementary school to determine what steps teachers are taking to improve achievement among low-income and/or minority students. Compare your findings with those of your classmates. You may wish to work together in identifying ideas and approaches to use in your own classroom.
3. Interview someone from a low-income background who has been successful in the educational system. To what does he or she attribute this success? What special obstacles did the person encounter, and how were they overcome?
4. Compile articles from newspapers, magazines, and the Internet discussing low achievement in the public schools. Do these sources consider the kinds of material presented in this chapter? How? What solutions do the authors propose? What is your assessment of the likely effectiveness of these solutions?
Internet Resources

Useful sites to explore regarding topics in this chapter include home pages of professional organizations such as the American Psychological Association (www.apa.org) and the National Education Association (www.nea.org). Sites sponsored by the Brookings Institution (www.brook.edu), the Institute for Research on Poverty (www.ssc.wisc.edu/irp), and the Rand Organization (www.rand.org) also provide information on relevant topics.


An extensive analysis of the “Tracking and Ability Grouping Debate” is available at www.edexcellence.net/foundation/publication/publication.cfm?id=127.


Problems involved in retaining good teachers at high-poverty schools in big cities are described in “High-Quality Urban School Teachers” by Carla Claycomb, available at www.nasbe.org/Standard (click on “Past State Education Standards” and go to the Winter 2000 issue).

Publications


U.S. schools were the world’s first to aim at providing all students with educational opportunity through high-school and postsecondary levels. Nonetheless, as the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement indicated, effective education all too rarely extends to economically disadvantaged and minority students. Stimulated by the civil rights movement, many people have recognized the need to improve educational opportunity not just for disadvantaged students but also for students with disabilities.

In this chapter, we examine desegregation, compensatory education for economically disadvantaged students, multicultural education (including bilingual education), and education for students with disabilities. These topics reflect four significant movements that have attempted to enlarge and equalize educational opportunities for our students. You may agree that our schools should provide equal opportunity but consider this a matter for the government, the school board, and civil rights groups. How would it affect you in the classroom? Several ways:

- First, wherever you teach, you will find yourself professionally and morally obligated to furnish specific help for low-achieving students.
- Second, the increasing racial and ethnic diversity in student populations means that you will probably need to accommodate students from a variety of ethnic groups, cultural backgrounds, and languages.
- Third, more students than ever before are being classified as having disabilities, and increasingly these students are included in regular classrooms. As a teacher, you will be at least partly responsible for addressing their special needs.
To begin formulating your own philosophy and approach to equal educational opportunity, think about the following questions as you read this chapter:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What are the rationales for desegregation, compensatory education, multicultural education, and education of children with disabilities?
- What are the major obstacles and approaches in desegregating the schools?
- What are the major approaches to compensatory education?
- What is multicultural education? What forms does it take in elementary and secondary schools? What are its major benefits and dangers?
- What does the law say about providing education for students with disabilities? What are the major issues in their education?

**Desegregation**

Desegregation of schools is the practice of enrolling students of different racial groups in the same schools. Integration generally means more: not only that students of different racial groups attend schools together, but also that effective steps are taken to accomplish two of the underlying purposes of desegregation: (1) overcoming the achievement deficit and other disadvantages of minority students and (2) developing positive interracial relationships. During the past four decades, attention has turned increasingly from mere desegregation to integration, with the goal of providing equal and effective educational opportunity for students of all backgrounds. However, we have much to do to fully achieve either of these goals.

**A Brief History of Segregation in American Education**

Discrimination and oppression by race were deeply embedded in our national institutions from their very beginning. The U.S. Constitution, for example, provided for representation of the free population but allowed only three-fifths representation for “all other persons,” generally meaning slaves. (“Representation” refers to distribution of seats in the U.S. House of Representatives.) In most of the South before the Civil War, it was a crime to teach a slave to read and write.

After the Civil War, the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, and Fifteenth Amendments to the Constitution attempted to extend rights of citizenship irrespective of race. During Reconstruction, African Americans made some gains, but after 1877 legislative action segregated blacks throughout the South and in other parts of the country. They were required to attend separate schools, were barred from competing with whites for good employment, and were denied the right to vote.1 Victimized by “Jim Crow” laws, African Americans were required to use separate public services

---

1In this chapter the term whites refers to non-Latino whites, that is, citizens not classified as members of a racial or ethnic minority group for the purposes of school desegregation.
and facilities (for instance, transportation, recreation, restrooms, and drinking fountains) and frequently had no access at all to private facilities such as hotels, restaurants, and theaters. Many were lynched or severely beaten by members of the Ku Klux Klan and other extremist associations.

Asian Americans, Latinos, Native Americans, and other minority groups experienced similar though generally less virulent discriminatory practices. For example, some states by law excluded Chinese Americans from many well-paid jobs and required their children to attend separate schools.2

On any measure of equality, schools provided for African Americans seldom equaled schools attended by whites. As an example, in the early 1940s school officials in Mississippi spent $52.01 annually per student in white schools but only $7.36 per student in black schools. In many cases, African American students had to travel long distances at their own expense to attend the nearest black school, and in many instances black senior high schools were a hundred miles or more away.3

Legal suits challenged segregation in elementary and secondary schools in the early 1950s. The first to be decided by the U.S. Supreme Court was a case in which lawyers for Linda Brown asked that she be allowed to attend white schools in Topeka, Kansas. Attacking the legal doctrine that schools could be “separate but equal,” the plaintiffs argued that segregated schools were inherently inferior, even if they provided equal expenditures, because forced attendance at a separate school automatically informed African American students that they were second-class citizens and

---


thus destroyed many students’ motivation to succeed in school and in society. In May 1954, in a unanimous decision that forever changed U.S. history, the Supreme Court ruled in Brown v. Board of Education that “the doctrine of separate but equal has no place” in public education. Such segregation, the Court said, deprived people of the equal protection of the laws guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment.4

Effects of the Brown decision soon were apparent in many areas of U.S. society, including employment, voting, and all publicly supported services. After Mrs. Rosa Parks refused in December 1955 to sit at the back of a bus in Montgomery, Alabama, protests against segregation were launched in many parts of the country. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. and other civil rights leaders emerged to challenge deep-seated patterns of racial discrimination. Fierce opposition to civil rights demonstrations made the headlines in the late 1950s and early 1960s as dogs and fire hoses were sometimes used to disperse peaceful demonstrators. After three civil-rights workers were murdered in Mississippi, the U.S. Congress passed the 1964 Civil Rights Act and other legislation that attempted to guarantee equal protection of the laws for minority citizens.5

Initial reaction among local government officials to the Brown decision was largely negative. The Supreme Court’s 1955 Brown II ruling that school desegregation should proceed with “all deliberate speed” met massive resistance. This resistance took such forms as delaying reassignment of African American students to white schools, opening private schools with tuition paid by public funds, gerrymandering school boundary lines to increase segregation, suspending or repealing compulsory attendance laws, and closing desegregated schools. In 1957, Arkansas governor Orval Faubus refused to allow school officials at Central High in Little Rock to admit five African American students, and President Dwight Eisenhower called out the National Guard to escort the students to school. As of 1963, only 2 percent of African American students in the South were attending school with whites.

The Progress of Desegregation Efforts

After the early 1960s, school districts in medium-sized cities and towns and in rural areas made considerable progress in combating both de jure segregation (segregation resulting from laws, government actions, or school policies specifically designed to bring about separation) and de facto segregation (segregation resulting from housing patterns rather than from laws or policies). In response to court orders, school officials have reduced African American attendance in racially isolated minority schools (often defined as either 50 percent or more minority, or 90 percent or more minority).6 As shown in Figure 12.1, the national percentage of African American students attending schools 90 percent or more minority decreased from 64 percent in 1969 to 38 percent in 2004. Progress has been greatest in the South,

---


6The term minority in this context refers to African Americans, Asians, Latinos, Native Americans, and several other smaller racial or ethnic groups as defined by the federal government.
where the percentage of African American students in schools 90 percent or more minority decreased from 78 percent in 1969 to less than 35 percent beginning in the 1980s.\(^7\)

For Latino students, however, the percentage attending predominantly minority schools has increased since 1969 (see Figure 12.1). In that year, 55 percent of Latinos attended schools more than 50 percent minority; by 2004, 77 percent of Latino students attended such schools. This trend reflects the movement of Latino people into inner-city communities in large urban areas, particularly the migration of Mexicans into cities in Arizona, California, and Texas and of Puerto Ricans into New Jersey, New York, Chicago, and other eastern and midwestern cities.\(^8\)

At the same time that small-town and rural districts have desegregated, segregation in large metropolitan regions has increased. The main cause seems to be increasingly pronounced housing segregation in those areas. Today, the large majority of public-school students in big cities such as Atlanta, Chicago, Detroit, New York, and Philadelphia are minority students, and most attend predominantly minority schools. A major stumbling block to desegregation of schools has been the desire of most whites, and of many minority parents, to maintain neighborhood schools. Highly segregated residential patterns in most metropolitan areas produce highly segregated neighborhood schools.

In many instances, predominantly minority neighborhoods also have high poverty rates and rank extremely low in socioeconomic status. Opposition to desegregation is strong in school districts where a high percentage of minority students are from low-income families. As noted in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement, schools in these neighborhoods struggle with the effects of concentrated poverty, and most have failed to provide effective education. White parents and middle-class parents generally are quick to withdraw their children from schools in which desegregation has substantially increased the proportion of low-income students. The net result is that city school districts and schools have become increasingly low income and minority in their student composition.\(^9\)

In the 1990s and 2000s, many school districts ceased all or part of the desegregation plans they had introduced in previous decades. They cited various reasons for their decisions:

---


Some urban districts had predominantly minority enrollment in all their schools and found it difficult to maintain desegregated schools even with substantial student busing.

In some districts, courts ruled that the district had accomplished enough to overcome discriminatory effects attributable to the original constitutional violations.

In other districts, public and school officials concluded that desegregation efforts did little to actually help minority students.

In 2007, the Supreme Court ruled that school districts could no longer use race as the sole or major factor in devising a desegregation plan.

---

**Figure 12.1** Percentages of African American and Hispanic Students in Racially Segregated Public Schools, 1969 to 2004

*Note: “Minority” refers to Asian, African American, Latino, and other racial and ethnic minority groups as defined by the federal government. As in the previous chapter, we use the term *Hispanic* in reporting data from government publications employing this terminology, and generally use *Latino* elsewhere.

Desegregation Plans

Plans to accomplish desegregation usually involve one or more of the following actions:

- Alter attendance areas to include a more desegregated population.
- Establish magnet schools—schools that use specialized programs and personnel to attract students throughout a school district.
- Bus students involuntarily to desegregated schools.
- Pair schools, bringing two schools in adjacent areas together in one larger zone. For example, School A enrolls all students from grades one through four; School B enrolls all students from grades five through eight.
- Allow controlled choice, a system in which students may select the school they wish to attend as long as such choice does not result in segregation.
- Provide voluntary transfer of city students to suburban schools.

Means such as these have led to substantial school desegregation in many small or medium-sized cities. A good example is Milwaukee. At a time when African American students made up approximately 40 percent of the city’s school population, Milwaukee increased the number of its desegregated schools (defined as 25 to 50 percent black) from 14 in 1976 to 101 in 1978. Most of this increase was achieved through (1) establishing magnet schools, (2) implementing a voluntary city-suburban transfer plan, and (3) redrawing school boundaries. The number of desegregated students fell greatly when the city-suburban program ended, but the pattern illustrates what voluntary desegregation can accomplish in all but the largest, most segregated cities.10

Large central-city districts—especially those with 50 percent or higher minority enrollment—find desegregated schooling extremely difficult to attain. For example, in a big city with 80 percent minority students, action to eliminate predominantly single-race schools may involve hour-long bus rides and transporting students from one largely minority school to another. For these and similar reasons, desegregation plans in many big cities generally concentrate on trying to improve the quality of instruction.

According to research, even large and heavily segregated cities can produce more desegregation by expanding magnet schools than through large-scale, involuntary busing that transports students to predominantly minority schools. The most frequently used themes include arts, business, foreign languages, health professions, international studies, Montessori early childhood, science and mathematics, and technology. Districts that operate or have operated a substantial number of magnet schools include Buffalo, Houston, Jacksonville, and Minneapolis.11 The Taking Issue box explores the effectiveness of magnet schools.

---


Another aspect of desegregation that deserves special attention is the status of nonblack minority groups. Depending on regional and local circumstances and court precedents, various racial minority groups may or may not be counted as minority for the purposes of school desegregation. For example, in the 1970s, the courts determined that Mexican American students in the Southwest were victims of the
same kinds of discrimination as were African American students. However, in some cities, the courts did not explicitly designate Mexican American and other Latino students to participate as minorities in a desegregation plan, even though many or most attend predominantly minority schools.\(^{12}\)

The situation is further complicated by the relatively large number of Asian American groups in many big cities. With a rapidly growing population of Filipino, Korean, and Vietnamese students added to the many students of Chinese and Japanese ancestry, city school districts face considerable uncertainty in devising multiethnic desegregation plans. The court order for San Francisco, for example, required multiethnic enrollment and busing of four groups: Asian American, African American, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic white.

Questions regarding the desegregation of nonblack minority groups will multiply in the future as more Asian and Latino students move into many localities. Many of these students need bilingual services, which are easiest to deliver to a group of students together. Grouping them together, however, will conflict with desegregation goals that emphasize dispersal and multiethnic enrollment.

### Effects on Student Performance and Attitudes

To what extent do students benefit from integrated schools? The voluminous research on this subject is somewhat contradictory. Some studies show a positive relationship between desegregation and academic achievement, but other studies show little or no relationship. Several analysts have concluded that desegregation seldom detracts from the performance of white students and frequently contributes to achievement among minority students. Achievement among low-income minority students is most likely to improve when they attend schools with middle-income nonminority students. This can only happen, however, when desegregation plans are well implemented (see below) and schools take substantial action to improve the effectiveness of instruction.\(^{13}\)

What about students’ attitudes toward people from other racial and ethnic groups? As with achievement, some data show that desegregation has positive effects on interracial attitudes, while other studies indicate no effect or even a negative effect. Positive intergroup relationships develop only if desegregation is implemented well and if educators promote equal-status contact between minority and nonminority students.

Studies on students’ aspirations are much more consistent, indicating that desegregation frequently improves the educational aspirations and college enrollment of minority students by making those aspirations more realistic and better informed. Several studies also indicate that desegregated schooling helps minority students.

---

\(^{12}\)However, federal data collection activities are standardized and have required that student enrollments be reported separately for the following groups: “Black,” “American Indian,” “Spanish-Surnamed American,” “Portuguese,” “Asian,” “Alaskan Natives,” “Hawaiian Natives,” and “Non-Minority.”

students enter the mainstream “network” of social and cultural contacts needed for success in later life.\(^{14}\)

The complexities of desegregation and its effects on achievement and attitudes leave many people perplexed: what does desegregation imply for minority students who attend predominantly minority, low-achieving schools in low-income neighborhoods? Assigning such students to a desegregated school with a substantially higher percentage of high-achieving students places them in a potentially much less dysfunctional educational environment. Provided that they receive appropriate support and teaching, their academic performance can substantially improve. We emphasize elsewhere—particularly in the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States—that some high-poverty schools are unusually successful, and that many more should be equally successful. Until that happens, effective instruction at desegregated schools is an important alternative for helping low-achieving minority students.

Unfortunately, only a few studies focus on schools in which desegregation seems to have worked. One of the most comprehensive of such studies evaluated the Emergency School Aid Act, which provided hundreds of millions of dollars between 1972 and 1982 to facilitate desegregation. This study indicated that desegregation aided African American students’ achievement in schools in which (1) resources were focused on attaining goals, (2) administrative leadership was outstanding, (3) parents were more heavily involved in the classroom, and (4) staff systematically promoted positive interracial attitudes.\(^{15}\)

Despite the mixed evidence, perhaps the most compelling reasons for integration are moral and political. Morally, our national education policy must reflect a commitment to American ideals of equality. Politically, two separate societies, separately educated, cannot continue to exist in America without serious harm to the body politic.

**Compensatory Education**

Another aspect of our nation’s commitment to equal educational opportunity is the **compensatory education** movement, which has sought to overcome (that is, “compensate” for) disadvantaged background and thereby improve the performance of low-achieving students, particularly those from low-income families. Stimulated in part by the civil rights movement in the 1960s, compensatory education was expanded and institutionalized as part of President Lyndon Johnson’s War on Poverty. Although it has been funded largely by the federal government, some states and local school districts also have set aside funds for this purpose.

---


The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), passed in 1965, among other provisions immediately provided $1 billion to improve the education of economically disadvantaged children. (A disadvantaged student was defined as a student from a family below the government’s official poverty line.) The moneys are known as Title 1 funds, named after the portion of the ESEA that describes them. The federal government distributes the funds to the states, which, along with school districts, identify schools with sufficient disadvantaged students to receive a share. More than $170 billion were spent on Title 1 between 1965 and 2007. By 2007, Title 1 funding of nearly $13 billion annually provided assistance to more than five million students, and many additional students participated in other compensatory programs. Schools and districts have used the money to establish substantial compensatory education programs to provide early childhood education, bilingual education, and other services. Some of the important services of compensatory education are listed below. The Technology @ School box also tells you how to learn more about successful Title 1 schools.

1. Parental involvement and support. Programs emphasizing parental involvement and support have ranged from helping parents learn to teach their children to improving family functioning and parents’ employability.

2. Early childhood education. Head Start and Follow Through have been the largest programs of this kind. Head Start generally attempts to help disadvantaged four- and five-year-olds achieve “readiness” for the first grade. Follow Through concentrated on improving achievement in the primary grades.

3. Reading, language, and math instruction. Most Title 1 projects have concentrated on improvement in reading, language, and math.

4. Bilingual education. Latino children constitute the largest group in bilingual programs, but nationwide, bilingual programs have been provided in more than sixty languages. Bilingual programs are discussed in the following section on multicultural education.

5. Guidance, counseling, and social services. Various psychological and social services have been provided for disadvantaged students.

6. Dropout prevention. Services that include vocational and career education have aimed at keeping students from dropping out of school.

An Internet Location About Successful Title 1 Schools

Go to the URL www.ed.gov/pubs/urbanhope to read a study of “high-performing, high-poverty” urban schools. Select a school from the list and read the description of developments and outcomes at the school. As you read, ask yourself questions such as the following:

1. What seems to have improved achievement the most?
2. Are the described practices “transportable,” that is, easy to use at other schools?
3. How were special education and/or bilingual programs and teachers involved?
4. How were Title 1 funds spent?
5. Would you want to teach at this school?

You may also want to share your conclusions and material with classmates who selected other schools.
7. **Personnel training.** Many preservice and in-service training programs have been funded to help teachers improve instruction.

8. **After-school programs.** These provide academic-improvement services or general enrichment activities, or both.

9. **Computer laboratories and networks.** In recent years, compensatory funds have helped many schools establish computer laboratories and in-school networks.

**Early Childhood Compensatory Education**

During the first decade of compensatory education, most interventions appeared to be relatively ineffective in raising student achievement levels and cognitive development. Despite the expenditure of billions of dollars per year, students generally were not making long-range academic gains.

This discouraging start led to corrections. The federal and state governments improved monitoring procedures, required more adequate evaluation, and sponsored studies to improve compensatory education. Some states also began to provide additional money for compensatory programs. By the early 1980s, research suggested that compensatory education in preschool and the primary grades could indeed improve the cognitive development and performance of disadvantaged students.16

In particular, several studies of outstanding early childhood education programs demonstrated that such efforts can have a long-lasting effect if they are well conceived and effectively implemented. Positive long-range achievement results have been reported for disadvantaged students in outstanding preschool programs in Ypsilanti, Michigan; Syracuse, New York; and several other locations. Compared with nonparticipants, students who participate in such programs are less likely to be placed later in special education or to repeat grades (both costly). Participants are also more likely to graduate from high school and to acquire the skills and motivation needed for rewarding employment, thereby increasing tax revenues and reducing reliance on public assistance.17

These impressive results, however, usually come from programs that researchers consider exemplary. The vast majority of preschool programs have been less well funded or less well implemented and have produced fewer gains. In general, Title 1 programs still fail to ensure that most low-achieving students will acquire the academic and intellectual skills necessary to obtain good jobs in a modern economy.

---


Comprehensive Ecological Intervention

Educators face great difficulty working to overcome the extreme disadvantages of students who grow up in particularly harmful environments, such as concentrated poverty neighborhoods. For this reason, policy makers and educators increasingly support ecological intervention—comprehensive efforts to improve the family environment of young children.18

Advocates of ecological intervention point to research on the important cognitive development that occurs during infancy, as well as to the frequently disappointing results of Head Start interventions that do not begin until age four or five. Comprehensive psychological, social, and economic support can be successful, the research indicates, if it begins when children are younger than two or three years old. Some effective programs of this type enroll young children in educationally oriented day care or preschool classes. The successful programs also typically include nutrition and health care, and capable staff members often provide individualized guidance on parenting.19

The No Child Left Behind Act

In 2001, Congress reauthorized the ESEA and Title I, but in so doing, established sweeping new requirements for all elementary and secondary schools. The newest version of the law, known as the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), has affected not just schools that receive Title 1 funding, but nearly all public schools. NCLB includes regulations in the following key areas:20

- **Standards and Testing.** States and school districts are required to develop challenging academic content and achievement standards for all students in reading/language arts and mathematics, with the goal of having all students attain specified levels of proficiency by the 2013–2014 school year.

  To assess progress toward standards, states must test students, beginning with annual tests for students in grades 3–8 in reading/language arts and mathematics, and at least two tests for students in grades 9–12. Science standards and tests are being added after these initial tests. At least 95 percent of students overall and in each special-needs subgroup (see below) must be tested.

---


Special Needs Students. States, districts, and schools must identify English Language Learner (ELL) students and develop instructional benchmarks and a proficiency test to assess their progress in learning English. Schools and districts must also include ELL students and students with disabilities in the annual testing required of all other students, although educators may provide reasonable adaptations and accommodations for them, such as tests in the first language of ELL students. Scores of different special-needs subgroups of students (including ELL students, disabled students, poverty students, and racial/ethnic minorities) must be disaggregated, or reported separately from the total for all students at a school.

Adequate Yearly Progress. A key provision of NCLB is that all schools and districts must make adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward their 2013–2014 goals. Schools and districts that fail to make sufficient progress are designated as “needing improvement.” The school is identified as needing improvement if the school as a whole or any disaggregated subgroup has achievement scores below those the state government has determined are required in moving forward to meet its 2013–2014 goals. Because many schools compile scores for fifty or more subgroups, it is easy for a school to be identified as “needing improvement.” (For example, a school may have four or five racial/ethnic subgroups at each grade tested.)

By 2007, thousands of U.S. schools had received this designation, and the percentage is likely to rise in the future because NCLB requires that an increasing percentage of students score at the proficient level until 100 percent do so by 2014. One particularly widespread problem has involved the fact that higher-achieving ELL students frequently are quickly moved to regular classrooms, thus lowering the average scores of students remaining in future ELL subgroups.

Schools needing improvement are to receive special help from their district or state, such as consultants, professional development, or other additional resources. Students at Title 1 schools needing improvement also are to receive “supplemental services” such as tutoring, after-school help, or summer school. If, after several years, a school still fails to meet yearly progress goals, its students are eligible to transfer to another public school in the district. Still further failure to make adequate progress subjects schools to “corrective action” or “restructuring,” which may include replacing the faculty and administration, conversion to charter-school status, or takeover by an outside organization.21

Teachers and staff. As described in the chapter on Motivation, Preparation, and Conditions for the Entering Teacher, states must ensure that all teachers of core academic subjects and all teachers and paraprofessionals in Title I programs meet state standards as “highly qualified.” States, school districts, teachers, students, and parents have all experienced a great deal of confusion and uncertainty concerning how to implement NCLB requirements. (The NCLB involves nearly seven hundred pages of law and thousands of pages of implementing guidance.)
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of pages of regulations.) Part of the confusion involves the fact that states create their own definitions of most terms and concepts in the act. States decide not only on the skills and concepts to be tested and the tests to be administered, but also on the definition of what is proficient or acceptable achievement. These definitions vary widely from state to state and even within states. For example, one study indicated that eighth graders with the same skills would be at the thirty-sixth percentile in Montana but the eighty-ninth percentile in Wyoming. The same study showed that in Washington the fourth-grade proficiency level in reading was set at the fifty-third percentile, but at the seventy-sixth percentile in mathematics.

The states also determine what type and how much yearly progress is “adequate” for schools. Some states define acceptable achievement in terms of closing gaps among different subgroups of students, others in terms of absolute performance levels. Some states define adequacy as involving equal increments of gain each year until 2014, but others require smaller gains in the initial years and larger amounts as we approach 2014. States must also decide what to do with schools not making adequate yearly progress and even whether to apply the same rules and policies to all schools, or just those receiving Title I funding.22

Many schools “failing”

Media depictions of schools not making adequate yearly progress may also create confusion. Newspapers and other mass media have been prone to report that such schools, and even whole school districts, are “failing” to educate U.S. children. Statisticians point out, however, that the yearly progress of an entire school can be affected by the test performances of even small groups of students. In addition, small schools often experience widespread variation from year to year in students’ ability at a given grade level. In fact, some schools identified as “failing” according to AYP criteria have recently or simultaneously received rewards for high student performance from other external sources.

The desire to avoid being labeled as failures may be leading school officials and educators to try to “game the system,” or find ways to increase the likelihood that their schools and districts will attain AYP. Many analysts assert that students are hurt by such practices, which include the following:

- Some critics believe that NCLB has frequently resulted in lowering standards and student performance. Several states, for example, have reset scores needed to achieve proficiency at levels lower than before NCLB.
- A subgroup must generally include a minimum of students—say, thirty or fifty—before test scores of those students are counted in determining a school’s AYP. This is leading some school officials to identify fewer students with disabilities to keep this subgroup below the minimum number. Students with unidentified learning disabilities may not receive the help they need.
- Other schools and districts may be encouraging or facilitating dropouts or transfers out among students whose low achievement might detract from AYP.

---

status, or retaining many students in middle school or the ninth grade if their promotion might damage high schools’ classification on AYP.

- Faculty have been reported to be discouraging gifted students from attending (beneficial) special schools or programs elsewhere, because their withdrawal would reduce AYP scores.

- Many schools and teachers are accused of concentrating teaching resources on students with scores near the proficient level and thereby neglecting or reducing efforts to help their lowest and highest achievers.

- Many analysts also believe that more teachers and schools are emphasizing low-level skills of the kind likely to be tested on state assessments since passage of the NCLB.

All these patterns have long been reported as constituting problems associated with state accountability systems, but some observers believe NCLB has substantially exacerbated them.

Many educators and observers have expressed particular dissatisfaction concerning policies and regulations for treating ELL students and students with disabilities. They are concerned that holding these students to the same standards as regular students can be impractical, or even counterproductive. In many cases, these critics assert, this policy results in teaching and testing with materials that students do not understand, thereby resulting in demoralizing failure for both staff and students. States have been required to allow accommodations, such as changes in test materials and procedures, to provide more valid assessment of special education and LEP students, but the cost of modifying tests is more than many schools can reasonably afford.

Many students and their families are also disappointed with NCLB. They point out that requirements to provide students tutoring and transfer opportunities have not been met. In many cases such opportunities have been made available to only a small percentage of eligible students, and even smaller percentages have made use of them. Many caregivers are uninterested in such services for their students. Other reasons for low availability and utilization have included failure to notify students and parents about alternatives; reluctance of schools to divert Title 1 resources to tutoring (especially private tutors); shortages of qualified tutors; and lack of space at other schools to which students might transfer.

In the face of these and other complaints, some states have considered ending their efforts to meet the requirements of NCLB, even though doing so would mean the loss of millions of dollars in federal assistance. Although the U.S. Department of Education has made several relatively small modifications in response to complaints about NCLB regulations, such as exempting scores of new ELL students from AYP calculations and allowing a few states to experimentally use students’ growth in achievement rather than proficiency status in calculating AYP, many critics have remained stridently unhappy.

Questions About Compensatory Education

Although data collected since the 1980s suggest that compensatory education can help disadvantaged students, many questions remain about its nature and effectiveness.

1. How can we make Title 1 more effective? Research indicates that Title 1 has been relatively ineffective in many schools partly because most programs have used
a pullout approach—that is, they take low achievers out of regular classes for supplementary reading or math instruction. Pullout approaches generally have struggled because they tend to generate much movement of students and therefore confusion throughout the school. In addition, they often have overemphasized the acquisition of “mechanical” subskills, such as word recognition in reading and simple computation in math, rather than broader and more functional skills, such as reading comprehension, math problem solving, and “learning-to-learn” strategies.\(^23\)

In recent years, federal legislation, including NCLB, has made it much easier to replace Title 1 pullout with schoolwide approaches that allow for coordinated, in-class assistance for low achievers. In addition, expanded staff development has helped teachers learn how to broaden compensatory instruction beyond mechanical subskills. Partly for this reason, more Title 1 schools are reporting impressive achievement gains, but we know relatively little about how to make these gains more widespread.\(^24\)

2. **What type of early instruction should we provide?** Much uncertainty in early compensatory education surrounds whether programs should use a behavioristic direct-instruction approach, which focuses on basic skills such as decoding of words or simple computation in math, or instead should emphasize conceptual development and abstract thinking skills. Some direct-instruction programs have had excellent results through the third grade, but performance levels often fall when participating children enter the middle grades. Results in cognitive-oriented programs stressing independent learning and thinking skills generally have been less successful in terms of mastery of “mechanical” skills in the primary grades, but some of the best cognitive approaches have resulted in gains that show up later.\(^25\)

3. **What should we do in high schools?** High schools have achieved moderate success in individual classrooms and in “schools within a school” in which a selected group of teachers work intensively with relatively few low-achieving students. However, researchers still know little about the best compensatory approaches for secondary-school students.\(^26\)

---


4. **Is it financially feasible to include the most economically disadvantaged students in effective compensatory education programs?** Effective programs for the most economically disadvantaged students tend to be expensive because they require prolonged intervention in the home and school environments. But many disadvantaged students now receive only one or two years of compensatory services and therefore achieve less.

In view of such findings, some educators question whether compensatory education, even if designed for maximum effectiveness, can significantly improve a student’s chances of succeeding in school and in later life—especially a minority student living in a neighborhood of concentrated poverty. As described in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement, revisionist critics argue that U.S. public schools have failed to provide equal opportunity and, in the absence of fundamental reforms in society as a whole, will continue to marginalize disadvantaged students. Thus some observers believe that we may need to improve parents’ economic opportunities before children’s school achievement will rise significantly. It remains to be seen whether efforts to improve education for disadvantaged students can be sufficiently effective to disprove these skeptics’ pessimism.  

**Multicultural Education**

Multicultural education refers to the various ways in which schools can take productive account of cultural differences among students and improve opportunities for students with cultural backgrounds distinct from the U.S. mainstream. Certain aspects of multicultural education focus on improving instruction for students who have not learned Standard English or who have other cultural differences that place them at a disadvantage in traditional classrooms. As a teacher, you should also be concerned with the larger implications of multicultural education that make it valuable for all students. By fostering positive intergroup and interracial attitudes and contacts, multicultural education may help all students function in a culturally pluralistic society. (From this point of view, the movement toward desegregation can be considered a part of multicultural education.)

Although the U.S. population always has been pluralistic in composition, the emphasis throughout much of our history (as noted in the chapter on Pioneers of Modern Teaching) has been on assimilating diverse ethnic groups into the national mainstream rather than on maintaining group subcultures, mixing them into the “melting pot” of America. In educating diverse groups of immigrants, the public school system has stressed the development of an American identity. Students learned how “Americans” were supposed to talk, look, and behave, sometimes in classes of fifty or sixty pupils representing the first or second generation of immigrants from ten or fifteen countries. Although this approach succeeded in Ameri-
canizing and allowing social mobility for many immigrants, observers have pointed out that African Americans, Asian Americans, Latinos, Native Americans, and certain European ethnic groups were systematically discriminated against in a manner that revealed the shortcomings of the melting pot concept.  

In the 1960s, civil rights leaders fought to reduce the exclusion of minority groups and to shift emphasis from assimilation to diversity and cultural pluralism. In place of the melting pot metaphor, cultural pluralism introduced new metaphors such as a “tossed salad” or a “mosaic,” that allow for distinctive group characteristics within a larger whole. According to the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (AACTE), “to endorse cultural pluralism is to endorse the principle that there is no one model American.” From this viewpoint, the differences among the nation’s citizens are a positive force.  

Remember, emphasizing cultural pluralism does not mean you support a philosophy aimed at cultural, social, or economic separation. Depending on how we define cultural pluralism, it may or may not stress integration in cultural, social, or economic matters. Generally it lies somewhere between total assimilation and strict separation of ethnic or racial groups. Cultural pluralism, particularly in education, is more important than ever before as the United States becomes transformed into what observers call the first “universal nation.”

---


### Multicultural Instruction

One key area in multicultural education concerns instructional approaches for teaching students with differing ethnic and racial backgrounds. Several of the most frequently discussed approaches address student learning styles, recognition of dialect differences, bilingual education, and multiethnic curriculum.

#### Overview 12.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Variations</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilingual Education</td>
<td>First Language Maintenance—emphasis on teaching in the native language over a long time</td>
<td>• May sustain a constructive sense of identity among ethnic or racial minority students.</td>
<td>• Requires many speakers of native languages as teachers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE)—providing intensive English instruction and then proceeding to teach all subjects in English as soon as possible.</td>
<td>• Can provide a better basis for learning higher-order skills such as reading comprehension while students acquire basic English skills.</td>
<td>• Separates groups from one another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language Instruction</td>
<td>Universal Bilingual Education—instruction in two languages for all students, native and non-native English speakers.</td>
<td>• Supported by federal and most state governments.</td>
<td>• May discourage students from mastering English well enough to function successfully in the larger society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submersion—Placing ELL students in regular classrooms with no modifications.</td>
<td>• Moves students relatively quickly into regular classes.</td>
<td>• Students may not sufficiently master English before moving to regular classes, hurting their ability to learn other subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structured Immersion—placement in regular classes with special assistance provided inside and outside of class.</td>
<td>• Requires relatively few native language speakers as teachers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheltered Immersion—using principles of second-language learning in regular classrooms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No or little extra cost to school.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multicultural Instruction**

One key area in multicultural education concerns instructional approaches for teaching students with differing ethnic and racial backgrounds. Several of the most frequently discussed approaches address student learning styles, recognition of dialect differences, bilingual education, and multiethnic curriculum.
Student Learning Styles  In the preceding chapter we briefly described behavioral patterns and learning styles that appear to correlate with students’ socioeconomic status and, perhaps, with their race or ethnicity. We also mentioned attempts to modify instruction to accommodate different learning styles. One good example of research on this subject was provided by Vera John-Steiner and Larry Smith, who worked with Pueblo Indian children in the Southwest, concluding that schooling for these children would be more successful if it emphasized personal communication in tutorial (face-to-face) situations. Other observers of Native American classrooms have reported that achievement rose substantially when teachers interacted with students in culturally appropriate ways (that is, social control was mostly indirect); integrated tribal culture into the curriculum while emphasizing mastery of state standards; and/or avoided putting students in competitive situations. Similarly, several researchers have reported that cooperative learning arrangements are particularly effective with some Mexican American students whose cultural background de-emphasizes competition.31

Analysts also have examined research on the performance of Asian American students. Several observers believe that certain subgroups of Asian students (for example, Koreans and Vietnamese) tend to be nonassertive in the classroom, and that this reluctance to participate may hinder their academic growth, particularly with respect to verbal skills. (However, research suggests that such behavioral patterns diminish or disappear as Asian American students become more assimilated within U.S. society.) In addition, Asian American students can be harmed by a stereotype indicating that they are all part of a “model minority” who have no serious problems in school.32

Recognition of Dialect Differences  Teachers generally have tried to teach “proper” or Standard English to students who speak nonstandard dialects. Frequently, however, a simplistic insistence on proper English has caused students to reject their own cultural background or else to view the teachers’ efforts as demeaning and hostile. In recent years, educators have been particularly concerned with learning problems among students who speak Black English. Some have developed “code-switching” techniques that use students’ dialects to provide a bridge to Standard English. But research shows that Black English is not simply a form of slang; it differs systematically from Standard English in grammar and syntax. Because Black English seems to be the basic form of English spoken by many low-income African American students who are floundering academically, educators have proposed that schools use Black English as the language of instruction for these students until they
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learn to read. Although this approach seems logical, little research has provided support for it.\(^3^3\)

Analysis of the dialect of many African American students (that is, Black English) frequently is referred to as Ebonics. An important controversy regarding Ebonics and its possible use in improving instruction for African American students arose in 1997 after the Oakland, California, school board declared that Black English is a distinctive language. The board requested state and federal bilingual education funds to help teachers use Black English in implementing approaches for improving black students’ performance with respect to Standard English and reading. After television sound bites allowed for the interpretation that Oakland schools were abandoning the goal of teaching “good” English, numerous national figures (including Reverend Jesse Jackson) criticized the board for its policies regarding the use of Ebonics in teaching. Although the Linguistic Society of America declared that Oakland’s policy was “linguistically and pedagogically sound,” the Oakland Board of Education responded by removing terminology involving Ebonics from its policies and setting aside $400,000 for a “Standard English Proficiency” program designed to help teachers understand and build on dialect characteristics in instructing students whose language patterns strongly emphasize Black English.\(^3^4\)

**Bilingual Education**  Bilingual education, which provides instruction in their native language for students not proficient in English, has been expanding in U.S. public schools as immigration has increased. In 1968, Congress passed the Bilingual Education Act, and in 1974 the Supreme Court ruled unanimously in *Lau v. Nichols* that the schools must take steps to help students who “are certain to find their classroom experiences wholly incomprehensible” because they do not understand English. Although the federal and state governments fund bilingual projects for more than sixty language groups speaking various Asian, Indo-European, and Native American languages, the majority of children served by these projects are native speakers of Spanish. Overview 12.1 summarizes several approaches for helping children whose first language is not English.

The Supreme Court’s unanimous decision in the *Lau* case, which involved Chinese children in San Francisco, did not focus on bilingual education as the only remedy. Instead, the Court said, “Teaching English to the students of Chinese ancestry is one choice. Giving instruction to this group in Chinese is another. There may be others.” In practice, early federal regulations for implementing the *Lau* decision tended to focus on bilingual education as the most common solution for English Language Learner (ELL) students. The regulations generally suggested that school districts initiate bilingual programs if they enrolled more than twenty students of a given language group at a particular grade. Bilingual programs proliferated accordingly. Since 1983, however, the federal government has accepted, and

---


Controversies over bilingual education have become increasingly embittered. As in the case of teaching through dialect, arguments erupt between those who would “immerse” children in an English-language environment and those who believe initial instruction will be more effective in the native language. Educators and laypeople concerned with ELL students also argue over whether to emphasize teaching in the native language over a long period of time, called first-language maintenance, or provide intensive English instruction and teach all subjects in English as soon as possible, called transitional bilingual education (TBE). Those who favor maintenance believe that this will help sustain a constructive sense of identity among ethnic or racial minority students and provide a better basis for learning higher-order skills such as reading comprehension while they acquire basic English skills. Their opponents believe that maintenance programs are harmful because they separate groups from one another or discourage students from mastering English well enough to function successfully in the larger society.36 TBE has been

---

supported by federal guidelines and by legislation in certain states. Studies indicate that approximately 75 percent of Latino students and nearly 90 percent of other groups such as Asian and Russian students exit transitional programs within three years. NCLB regulations generally are pushing schools toward fewer years outside the regular classroom.

Adherents and opponents of bilingual education also differ on staffing issues. Those who favor bilingual and bicultural maintenance tend to believe that the schools need many adults who can teach ELL students in their own language. Advocates of transitional or ESL programs, on the other hand, tend to believe that a legitimate program requires only a few native language or bilingual speakers. Some critics of bilingual education go so far as to claim that bilingual programs are primarily a means of providing teaching jobs for native language speakers who may not be fully competent in English.

Among scholars who believe that bilingual education has produced little if any improvement, several have reviewed the research and concluded that “structured immersion” (placement in regular classes with special assistance provided inside and outside of class) and “sheltered immersion” (using principles of second-language learning in regular classrooms) are more successful than TBE. Other scholars disagree, arguing that well-implemented bilingual programs do improve achievement, and several reviews of research have reported that bilingual education worked significantly better than immersion or other mostly monolingual programs. (Part of the reason for these differences in conclusions involves disagreements about which studies should be reviewed and the criteria for selecting them.)

Goldenberg reviewed much of the research and concluded that “primary-language instruction enhances English-language learners’ academic achievement . . . [but] certain accommodations must be made when ELL students are instructed in English, and these accommodations probably must be in place for several years, until students reach sufficient familiarity with academic English to permit them to be successful in mainstream instruction.” He cited a number of important accommodations such as strategic use of the native language, extended explanation, and extensive opportunities for practice.

The arguments are not confined to academic researchers. In recent years, voters in California, Arizona, and Massachusetts supported legislation designed to reduce or eliminate bilingual education. The new laws in those states restrict non-English-speaking students to one year or less of bilingual instruction in their native language while they develop their English skills. Each vote was accompanied by heated debate. Supporters of the legislation argued that, although bilingual education was attractive in theory, it generally was not working in practice. Opponents emphasized the difficulties that ELL students experience when taught in a language they do not understand. After the new laws were passed, educators in many school districts in these states sought waivers that would exempt their students from the regulations, and some decided to challenge the laws in the courts. Time will tell how successful the

---


new laws prove. Meanwhile these developments appear to be having minimal influence on bilingual programming in most other states.39

Various researchers have concluded that programs for ELL students should (1) be taught or assisted by adults who speak students’ native language, (2) enroll students continually rather than intermittently, (3) frequently monitor students’ progress, and (4) offer open-ended assistance rather than an arbitrary ending point. Bilingual programs in particular are more likely to succeed when teachers can (1) engage students in active learning of challenging content, (2) communicate high expectations to their students, (3) coordinate English-language development with other academic studies, and (4) relate curriculum to students’ family and community experiences. In addition, numerous researchers report that it is critically important to stress cognitive development, academic language, and higher-order skills in education for ELL students.40

Many scholars believe that all students, regardless of their ethnic group, should receive bilingual education. In part this argument stems from the international economic advantages of a nation’s citizens knowing more than one language. Programs that provide education in both English and another language for all students at a multi-ethnic school are sometimes referred to as “two-way” or “dual” bilingual immersion. To make this type of education a positive force in the future, several groups of civic leaders have recommended stressing multilingual competence, rather than just English remediation, as well as insisting on full mastery of English.41

Multiethnic Curriculum and Instruction  Since the mid-1960s, educators have been striving to take better account of cultural diversity by developing multiethnic curriculum materials and instructional methods. Many textbooks and supplemental reading lists have been revised to include materials and topics relating to diverse racial and ethnic groups. In-service training has helped teachers discover multiracial source materials and learn to use instructional methods that promote multicultural perspectives and positive intergroup relations.

Efforts to implement multiethnic curricula have been particularly vigorous with respect to Native American students. For example, educators at the Northwest Regional Education Laboratory have prepared an entire Indian Reading Series based on Native American culture. Mathematics instruction for Native American students sometimes uses familiar tribal symbols and artifacts in presenting word and story problems, and local or regional tribal history has become an important part of the social studies curriculum in some schools. Many observers believe that such approaches can help Native American students establish a positive sense of identity conducive to success in school and society.42

_____


However, multiethnic curricula are not intended merely to bolster the self-image and enhance the learning of minority students. A crucial purpose is to ensure that all students acquire knowledge and appreciation of other racial and ethnic groups. Guidelines for attaining this goal typically stress helping students build skills and understandings such as the following:

- **Human relations skills** involving development of students’ self-esteem and interpersonal communications
- **Cultural self-awareness** developed through students’ research on their ethnic or racial group, family history, and local community
- **Multicultural awareness** derived in part from historical studies and literary or pictorial materials incorporating diverse racial and ethnic points of view
- **Cross-cultural experiences** including discussions and dialogue with students and adults from different ethnic and racial groups

**Recent Controversies** In recent years, particular attention has been given to ensuring that curriculum and instruction are not overwhelmingly *Eurocentric* (reflecting the culture and history of ethnic groups of European origin) but incorporate the concerns, culture, and history of ethnic and racial groups of different origins. Such approaches not only introduce materials dealing with the history and status of minority groups, but also involve activities such as community service assignments and cooperative learning tasks designed to acquaint students with minority cultures.

Most such curricula include the contributions of many groups; others focus on a single group. For example, *Afrocentric* programs focus on the history and culture of African Americans. Efforts to introduce Afrocentric and other minority-oriented themes have provoked controversy in California, New York, and other states, as well as in individual school districts. Critics suggest that such programs reject Western culture and history, leaving students lacking knowledge common in U.S. society. Some also suggest that many such curricula include historical inaccuracies. Another concern is that minority-oriented curricula can encourage divisiveness by focusing on problems with European traditions, ignoring some major racial and ethnic groups, isolating minority students in separate schools or classes, and stereotyping beliefs and attitudes of minority racial and ethnic groups. An additional criticism is that emphasis on minority culture and history sometimes becomes a substitute for other difficult actions required to improve minority students’ academic performance.

---


Supporters of Afrocentric and other minority-oriented themes respond by pointing out that few advocates of these approaches want to eliminate Western culture and history from the curriculum. Molefi Asante argues that the Afrocentric movement strives to de-bias the curriculum by adding appropriate Afrocentric materials, not by eliminating Western classics. In addition, they note that few, if any, supporters of Afrocentric or related approaches minimize the importance of academic achievement or advocate its de-emphasis in the curriculum.

Indeed, some reports suggest that Afrocentric and related approaches may help improve the performance of low-achieving students. For example, educators in certain big cities have concluded that including materials on minority history and culture can help raise students’ motivation to learn. Both attendance and reading scores appear to have improved at some schools that introduced Afrocentric themes, and an assessment of college students who participated in an Afrocentric studies program for one year found that their grades improved substantially.

Multiculturalism for the Future

The controversies about multicultural education as a whole follow lines similar to the specific arguments about Afrocentric and other minority-oriented curricula. Critics worry that multicultural education may increase ethnic separatism, fragment the curriculum, and reinforce the tendency to settle for a second-rate education for economically disadvantaged or minority students. To avoid such potential dangers, the director of an institute for civic education has provided useful guidelines for you, as a teacher, to use in multicultural programs:

■ Find out what positive aspects of Western civilization are being taught. If students are not learning that constitutional government, the rule of law, and the primacy of individual rights are among the hallmarks of Western civilization, then they are not learning the essential features of their heritage. . . .

■ Find out if students are being taught that racism, sexism, homophobia, and imperialism are characteristics of all cultures and civilizations at some time—not culture-specific evils. . . . America’s failings should not be taught in isolation from the failings of other countries—no double standard.

■ Insist that all students study both Western and non-Western cultures. Students need solid academic courses in Latin American, African, and Asian history, in addition to European history.45

Despite the controversies, most influential educators believe there is an urgent need for comprehensive multicultural approaches that give attention to minority experiences. “If children are to do well academically,” says former New York State Commissioner of Education Thomas Sobol, “the child must experience the school as an extension, not a rejection, of home and community.” We believe that, for years to come, the goal of attaining equal opportunity through multiculturalism will continue as a prominent theme in U.S. education. A central goal, Sobol contends, should be to

“develop a shared set of values and a common tradition” while also helping “each child find his or her place within the whole.”

Education for Students with Disabilities

Growth of special education

Major developments in education in the past twenty years have involved schooling for children with disabilities. Large gains have been made in providing and improving special-education services for these students. (Placement in “special education” usually means that a disabled student receives separate, specialized instruction for all or part of the day in a self-contained class or a resource room.) Table 12.1 shows the numbers of students with selected disabilities served in or through public education in 2005. Analysis conducted by the U.S. Department of Education indicates that almost three-quarters of students with disabilities receive most or all of their education in regular classes (with or without assignment to part-time resource rooms); approximately 25 percent are in self-contained classes; and the rest are in special schools or facilities. Since 1988, the proportion of students with disabilities who spend 80 percent or more of their time in regular education classrooms has increased from less than one-third to more than half.

Federal requirements for educating students with disabilities have been enumerated through a series of federal laws, including the **Education for All Handicapped Children Act** of 1975 (often known by its public law number, PL 94-142), the **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)** of 1990, and the **Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA)** of 2004. The basic requirements spelled out in these acts, as well as by other laws and judicial interpretations, are as follows:

1. Children cannot be labeled as disabled or placed in special education on the basis of a single criterion such as an IQ score; testing and assessment services must be fair and comprehensive.
2. If a child is identified as disabled, school officials must conduct a functional assessment and develop suitable intervention strategies.
3. Parents or guardians must have access to information on diagnosis and may protest decisions of school officials.
4. Every student eligible for special education services must be taught according to an **individualized education program (IEP)** that includes both long-range and short-range goals. Because it is an agreement in writing regarding the resources the school agrees to provide, the IEP is a cornerstone of a school’s efforts to help students with disabilities. It must specify special and related services that will be provided in accordance with the needs of the student.

---

The IEP must be prepared within thirty days of when the child is declared eligible for special services, by a committee that must include the student’s teacher, parent or guardian, and an administrator’s designee.

5. Educational services must be provided in the least restrictive environment, which means that children with disabilities should be in regular classes to the extent possible. They may be placed in special or separate classes only for the amount of time judged necessary to provide appropriate services. If a school district demonstrates that placement in a regular educational setting cannot be achieved satisfactorily, the student must be given adequate instruction elsewhere, paid for by the district.

As a result of these legal mandates, school districts throughout the country have made efforts to accommodate students with disabilities in regular class settings for all or most of the school day. The term mainstreaming was originally used to describe such efforts. More recently, the term inclusion has been applied. Inclusion usually denotes an even more strenuous effort to include disabled students in regular classrooms as much as is possible and feasible. Even if a disability is severe and a child needs to spend a substantial amount of time away from the regular classroom, he or she can still be encouraged to take part in activities open to other children, such as art or music.

Neither mainstreaming nor inclusion approaches are necessarily intended to eliminate special services or classes for children with exceptional needs. Children in these arrangements may receive a wide range of extra support, from consultation by specialists skilled in working with a particular disability to provision of special equipment.48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Disability</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning disabled</td>
<td>2,831,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech or language impaired</td>
<td>1,441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentally retarded</td>
<td>593,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional disturbance</td>
<td>489,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other health impaired</td>
<td>464,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impaired</td>
<td>79,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedically impaired</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually impaired</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers do not add to the total because not all categories are shown.


---

Research on mainstreaming and inclusion has produced ambiguous results. Early studies generally failed to find evidence that placement of disabled students in regular classes for most or all of the day consistently improved their academic performance, social acceptance, or self-concept. Few classrooms examined in that early research, however, provided a fair test because too little had been done to train teachers, introduce appropriate teaching methods, provide a range of suitable materials, or otherwise ensure that teachers could work effectively with heterogeneous groups of disabled and nondisabled students. Reflecting such criticism, several recent studies were limited to districts and states considered outstanding in providing mainstreamed or inclusive opportunities for disabled students. Again, relatively few indications emerged that mainstreaming or inclusion has been consistently beneficial for disabled students.

On the other hand, several recent assessments of individual schools are more promising. In general, these schools have been described as models of restructuring: they made systematic reforms to prepare teachers to work with heterogeneous

---

groups; they provided special resources to assist both students and teachers who need help; they had administrators who promoted collaboration between special education teachers and regular faculty, and they kept class size relatively small. In addition, teachers were effective at individualizing instruction and introducing cooperative learning. The researchers tend to agree that successful mainstreaming or inclusion on a national basis will require similar effective restructuring of schools.
throughout the United States. From Preservice to Practice presents concerns that you and others entering the teaching profession might have about inclusion.

The legal requirements for educating students with disabilities create several challenges for teachers and administrators, beginning with determining who qualifies. Once an appropriate determination has been made, schools must assess which services children need and how well they can fulfill those needs. We'll explore these challenges, as well as other questions about special education.

Classification and Labeling of Students

Educators face many difficulties in identifying students who require special-education services. It is hard to be certain, for example, whether a child is mentally retarded and could benefit from special services or is simply a slow learner who requires more time and guidance to learn. Similarly, it is difficult to determine whether a child who is working below capacity has a learning disability or is performing inadequately because he or she is poorly motivated, poorly taught, or culturally unprepared for assessment materials. Although “learning disability” is currently the most used label—covering students with specific deficits in reading, math, writing, listening, or other abilities—experts disagree among themselves not only on what constitutes such a disability but also on what services should be provided to ameliorate it. (Since passage of the IDEIA, students should not be classified as learning disabled on the basis of discrepancies between their achievement scores and their scores on IQ tests, but instead should receive help with specific problems and then be classified as learning disabled only if they do not respond satisfactorily to that intervention.) Similar problems are encountered in distinguishing between severe and mild emotional disturbances or between partial and complete deafness. Children who appear borderline in disability status (a potentially fuzzy borderline) are especially difficult to classify.

Many analysts have suggested that the vagueness of the learning disabilities (LD) category has encouraged school districts to use this classification as a way to obtain federal funds to improve educational services for low-achieving students. Because most LD students spend much of their time in regular classes but receive extra assistance in resource rooms, LD services often provide compensatory education for disadvantaged or low-achieving students who do not qualify for Title 1 services. This may help to explain why the number of U.S. students classified as learning disabled has more than tripled since the 1970s. Research indicates that half or more LD students may not meet criteria commonly accepted by special-education experts.
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On the other hand, analysts believe that some schools and districts are avoiding classifying students as learning disabled so that their low achievement scores can be “masked” among large numbers of regular students rather than counted as part of an NCLB subgroup (see above) where low scores can result in failure to make Adequate Yearly Progress.

**Effects of Labeling** Critics also are concerned that classification may become a self-fulfilling prophecy. Students labeled as “disturbed,” for example, may be more inclined to misbehave because the label makes unruly behavior acceptable and expected. Researchers have tried to determine whether placement in a special class or program has either a positive or a detrimental effect on students. Among the variables they have considered are peer acceptance and effects on self-concept. On the whole, the research is inconclusive. (Difficulties in conducting this type of research include defining terms, measuring program effects, and allowing for students’ differing reactions to a given program.) Although some researchers report that special-education classes limit the progress of many students, others have found that special-class placement can be beneficial when instruction is well planned and appropriate.\(^5^2\)

### Disproportionate Placement of Minority Students

Data on special-education placement show that students from some racial minority groups are much more likely to be designated for mental retardation programs than are non-Latino white students. African American students, for example, are nearly three times as likely as white students to be in “educable mentally retarded” classes. In addition, black students in special education are approximately twice as likely to spend 60 percent or more of their time outside regular classrooms than are white students with disabilities. Placement in mental retardation categories also correlates highly with students’ socioeconomic background and poverty status.

Many analysts believe that placement in classes for the retarded has been too dependent on intelligence tests, which have been constructed for use with middle-class whites. Some also believe that disproportionate numbers of minority students are shunted into classes for emotionally disturbed or retarded children mainly to alleviate teachers’ problems in dealing with culturally different children and youth. Many educators and parents worry that such placements may constitute a new version of segregation and discrimination, by which minority students are sentenced to special classes with low or nonexistent educational expectations.\(^5^3\)

Several courts, sympathetic to this criticism, have issued rulings to make it less likely that students will be misassigned to special education classes. In the 1970 case *Diana v. Board of Education*, for example, a California court ruled that (1) all children whose primary language is not English must be tested in both their primary language and English; (2) the tests cannot depend solely on vocabulary, general


information, or other experience-based items; and (3) districts with a disparity between the percentage of Mexican American students in regular classes and in special-education classes must be able to show that valid classification methods account for this disparity. In another case, Larry P. v. Riles, a California court heard evidence indicating that the dialect and family environment of many African American students produced invalid scores on IQ tests. The court then ruled that these students could not be placed in classes for the educable mentally retarded on the basis of IQ tests “as currently administered.” Like the other controversies concerning special education, this one will continue in the future.54

Issues and Dilemmas

We have touched on several issues involved in special education, mainstreaming, and inclusion. In this section we focus on four issues or dilemmas that may have particular prominence in the next several years. How we resolve these issues will affect your day-to-day life as a teacher.

1. **How will we handle the costs?** Legal rulings that schools must provide an “appropriate” free education for children with disabilities have often been interpreted to mean that schools must provide the services necessary to help children with special needs derive as much benefit from education as do other students—perhaps establishing an optimal learning environment for every student who requires special assistance. However, providing an optimal learning environment for students with severe disabilities (or, perhaps, for any student) can be expensive. The federal government, while raising academic standards for disabled students under the No Child Left Behind Act, has provided only a fraction of the funds needed to support these services. Upon studying this issue, one legislator confessed that federal failure to fully fund implementation of disability regulations “has to be the mother of all unfunded mandates in this country.”55

Arguments have arisen between school officials, who claim they cannot afford to provide maximally effective education for all students with disabilities, and parents or other advocates who believe that such students have a constitutional right to whatever services ensure maximum educational gains. Administrators face a series of dilemmas here. Although key court cases have suggested that schools must provide only the level of services that give disabled students “a basic floor of opportunity,” federal laws seem to require increasing levels of support. Administrators must not only determine their legal obligations, they must also decide whether additional services beyond the minimal obligations—and additional costs—are worth the educational payoff for the child. Then they must decide how to pay those costs.

One possible response is to divert local funds. Another is to classify more students as learning disabled in order to receive additional federal funding.

---


Still another possibility involves including disabled students in regular classes without providing costly additional services there or undertaking systematic restructuring. Although any of these approaches can compromise education for both students with disabilities and without, such responses have been common in many school districts.\textsuperscript{56}

2. \textbf{How should special-education students prepare for state testing?} Until recently, most states allowed testing exemptions for special-education students, but this has changed as decision makers realized that many schools increased enrollment in disabled categories to protect their scores and as the No Child Left Behind Act began to penalize schools for not testing or reporting scores of disabled students. However, as we discussed earlier in this chapter, many educators are concerned that applying statewide standards may prove disastrous for learning disabled and other students in special education.\textsuperscript{57}

3. \textbf{To what extent do arrangements and services for educating disabled students detract from education of nondisabled students?} If school officials divert substantial amounts of money from regular budgets to pay for separate placements or special services for disabled students, or if school officials assign students with severe disabilities to regular classes where teachers cannot address their problems efficiently, will classroom conditions for nondisabled students suffer? Observers disagree. Some believe that mainstreaming and inclusion have not substantially detracted from opportunities and outcomes for nondisabled students. Other observers believe that because regular classroom teachers often receive little or no help in dealing with students who have severe mental or emotional problems, some now have more difficulty delivering effective instruction for all students.\textsuperscript{58}

4. \textbf{What services should we provide for which students, where, when, and how?} Posing this omnibus question indicates that many issues we’ve discussed remain unresolved. For example, to what extent should we make differing arrangements for severely and mildly disabled students, or for differing students within either category? To what extent should schools implement “full inclusion” arrangements for all or most of the day, as contrasted with “partial inclusion” that assigns students to resource rooms or separate schools for significant amounts of time? To what extent should such decisions rest with parents, who may have little understanding of their schoolwide effects, or by professionals, who may lack sensitivity to the particular problems of an individual student? To what extent is it desirable—and feasible—to provide regular classroom support services, such as a sign language interpreter for


deaf students or a nurse to assist incontinent students? Does using resource rooms complicate and disrupt the operation of the school as a whole—or is it more disruptive to bring a range of support services into the regular classroom? Will schoolwide restructuring carried out partly to accommodate full inclusion result in substantially improved schooling for all students, or is it unreasonable and unrealistic to expect effective widespread restructuring in the foreseeable future? These are a few of the questions for which educators still need good answers.

School officials struggling with the uncertainties of providing equal opportunity for students with disabilities could benefit from policies and guidelines for deciding what to do. Many informed observers believe that successfully educating students with disabilities will require changes at all levels of the U.S. educational system, including the following:59

- Congress should provide more funds to help schools implement its mandates.
- Legislation should require that teachers receive adequate training.
- States and school districts should find ways to quickly identify classrooms or schools where full inclusion or other arrangements are not working well.
- States should pass legislation to expedite quick removal from regular classes of disabled students who are violent or extremely disruptive.
- Schools opting to pursue full inclusion should receive whatever technical help is necessary.
- Teachers and staff in inclusive classrooms should receive training and support in using appropriate instructional strategies that will help all of their students master basic and advanced learning skills, including peer-mediated instruction, mastery learning, differentiated instruction, and cooperative teaching.
- Students should receive comprehensive support dealing with learning strategies, emotional growth, extended time for learning, and other aspects of education.

**REFOCUS** What aspects of working with inclusion students do you believe will be most challenging for you as a teacher? What are you doing now to prepare for the challenges?

### Summing Up

1. Concern for equal educational opportunity has been expanding to emphasize issues involving racial and ethnic desegregation, achievement levels of students from low-income families, introduction of bilingual education and other aspects of multicultural education, and inclusion of students with disabilities in regular classrooms. Each of these and related sets of issues involve sizable expenditures to enlarge opportunities and ensure that the benefits of education are realistically available to all students. As you enter the education field in the next decade, you will play an important role in determining the extent to which such efforts succeed or fail.
2. Although much desegregation has occurred in smaller school districts, big-city districts, with their concentration of minority students and economically disadvantaged students, have found stable desegregation difficult.
3. Compensatory education seemed unsuccessful until evidence accumulating in the 1980s began to justify a more positive conclusion. However, many serious questions remain concerning the degree to which

---

compensatory education can have large-scale, substantial, and lasting results. The No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) has caused sweeping changes not only in compensatory education, but also in public schooling for all students.

Efforts toward constructive cultural pluralism through education include multicultural education approaches that take account of student learning styles, recognize differences in dialect, provide for bilingual education, and introduce methods and materials involving multi-ethnic curriculum and instruction. These approaches can help improve the performance of economically disadvantaged minority students and otherwise promote a productive pluralistic society.

Legislative and court mandates have led to large expansions in education for students with disabilities. As part of this process, educators are trying to mainstream these students as much as possible to avoid the damaging effects of labeling and separation. Research, however, is unclear concerning the overall gains and losses associated with mainstreaming or inclusion, and many questions remain.

**Key Terms**

- desegregation (354)
- integration (354)
- de jure segregation (356)
- de facto segregation (356)
- magnet school (359)
- controlled choice (359)
- compensatory education (362)
- Title 1 (363)
- Head Start (363)
- Follow Through (363)
- ecological intervention (365)
- No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) (365)
- adequate yearly progress (366)
- pullout approach (369)
- multicultural education (370)
- cultural pluralism (371)
- learning styles (373)
- Ebonics (374)
- bilingual education (374)
- first-language maintenance (375)
- transitional bilingual education (TBE) (375)
- Education for All Handicapped Children Act (380)
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) (380)
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA) (380)
- individualized education program (IEP) (380)
- least restrictive environment (381)
- mainstreaming (381)
- inclusion (381)

**Certification Connection**

Chapter 12 discusses the important concept of equal educational opportunity. Preparing for diverse learners is an important part of becoming a teacher. In the Praxis II, Principles of Learning, and Teaching, you will find several questions that cover topics such as gender differences, how culture and community affect learning, and how multicultural backgrounds affect learning. A recent trend in education has been the desegregation of testing data. In disaggregating the data, an achievement gap between whites, Asians, persons of Spanish speaking heritage, and African Americans. For the educational system to be successful in addressing No Child Left Behind (NCLB) and to provide educational equity, it is critical for the achievement gap to be closed. In your journal, describe the programs instituted by the local school district to close the achievement gap.

**Discussion Questions**

1. What actions and policies are most important in bringing about successful desegregation? In what situations is it most difficult to implement desegregation effectively?

2. Why is compensatory education an important national issue? What approaches are most promising for improving the achievement of low-income students?

3. What are some major goals and components of multicultural education? What can teachers in predominantly nonminority schools do to advance its goals?

4. How can you, as a regular classroom teacher, help disabled students in your classes? What difficulties are they likely to encounter? How might you help overcome these difficulties? If you are planning to become a special-education teacher, how can you help your students who are included, fully or partially, in regular classrooms?
Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Interview teachers in nearby elementary schools to determine whether they are using or considering inclusion arrangements. What are their attitudes toward inclusion? What can you learn from them that may be useful in your own career?

2. Talk with administrators in nearby schools to find out what school districts are doing to implement Title 1 and No Child Left Behind. Are improvements occurring? What changes have taken place during the past few years? Are similar changes taking place in districts in which you may apply for a position?

3. If a nearby school district operates magnet schools, visit one of them. Ask students and faculty what the magnet school is accomplishing and how it differs from a regular, nonmagnet school. Ask administrators how the school district defined the school's goals. Does the school seem to be successful in meeting these goals? Do you think you would enjoy working there? Why or why not?

4. Organize and participate in a debate about the desirability of bilingual education. As part of your preparation for the debate, identify articles and books that help you reach valid conclusions. Good Internet starting points are www.ncela.gwu.edu and www.ceousa.org.

5. For your portfolio, begin preparing a section that will show your experience and studies with respect to topics considered in this chapter.

Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

In addition to federal government and ERIC sites and other more specialized Internet locations identified elsewhere in this text, you can research the important policy issues introduced in this chapter at the websites of organizations that conduct public-policy analysis. These include the Brookings Institution (www.brook.edu), Education Sector (www.edsector.org), the Heritage Foundation (www.heritage.org), the Rand Corporation (www.rand.org), and the Electronic Policy Networks (www.movingideas.org). Electronic journals such as Educational Policy Analysis Archives also address issues reviewed in this chapter. You can also visit many sites related to specific chapter topics.

Desegregation


Multicultural Education

Internet sites dealing with multicultural resources include the Center for Multilingual, Multicultural Research at www.rcf.usc.edu/~cmmr. A series of essays addressing multicultural education is available in the "Teaching and Learning" section at www.newhorizons.org. Much of the Fall 2000 issue of ReThinking Schools, available at www.rethinkingschools.org, is devoted to multicultural education. Numerous research reports dealing with bilingual and multicultural education can be accessed at www.ncela.gwu.edu. Education Week's Research Center provides a summary of issues involving English Language Learners at www.edweek.org/rc/issues/english-language-learners. Materials and practices involving multicultural education are described at the site of the National Center for Culturally Responsive Educational Systems (www.nccrest.org).


Special Education

Abundant information about relevant laws, policies, and practices can be found by searching for "special education" at www.ecs.org. Conditions of Teaching Children with Exceptional Learning Needs, available at www.ccc.sped.org/spotlight/cond, documents and analyzes major problems such as "overwhelming paperwork" and "ambiguous responsibilities" in the implementation of special education.

Publications


Clausen, Christopher. Faded Mosaic. Chicago: Ivan R. Dee, 2000. Clausen provides evidence and reasons to support his conclusion that although Americans draw from cul-
tural "bits and pieces" everywhere, the past century brought about "postculturalism" marked by assimilation into a national culture.


PART FIVE

Curricular Foundations
CHAPTER 13
The Purposes of Education

Contemporary society changes fundamentally and rapidly. As it changes, we must fit ourselves into the present and project ourselves into the future. We look to the schools to help us cope with the climate of change. As a society, we react to change and social pressures by revising our educational purposes, and the schools respond by changing their programs.

Where are we going? As teachers and educators, what are our real purposes, and how should they be guiding our work?

This chapter will begin to focus your thinking on important education issues. As you read, think about the following questions:

FOCUS QUESTIONS

- How do social forces combine with philosophies of education to shape our educational purposes?
- How do we formulate our goals and objectives?
- What groups of students have been targeted for special treatment in recent decades?
- What are the major themes of recent policy reports on education?
- What goals will be most important in the future?

*This chapter was revised by Dr. James Lawlor, Towson University
In this continual revision of educational priorities, the basic philosophies and theories examined in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education play a strong role. People respond differently to the same events; they appraise, reflect on, and react to the tendencies of the times according to their own philosophies and values. Moreover, certain eras in American education have been dominated by particular philosophical approaches. As times change, the dominant philosophy or theory often changes, and the impact is felt in classrooms across the country—classrooms like yours. As a new teacher you will need to look for a “fit” between your philosophy of education and the educational values of the school district in which you teach. Examine your school district goals as well as those of your school. How do these goals translate into curriculum and teaching methods, and, most importantly, how comfortable are you philosophically with the answers to these questions?

This chapter shows how philosophies and theories of education interact with social forces to influence the purposes of American education. After describing the purposes that have prevailed at different times in the history of American education, we examine the important changes of recent years. First, however, the chapter shows how we define educational purposes in terms of goals and objectives.

Establishing Goals and Objectives

When we talk about the purposes of education, we may be referring to purposes at one or more of the following levels: nation, state, school district, school, subject/grade, unit plan, or lesson plan. Despite mixed opinions, most educators use the terms goals and objectives to distinguish among levels of purpose, with goals being broader and objectives being more specific. Both terms describe a direction—what we are seeking to accomplish. Many educators refer to goals and objectives as “ends” or “endpoints” of education.

All endpoints, however, feel the influences of social forces and prevailing philosophies or theories of education. Social forces and philosophies combine to shape the goals adopted at the national or state level; these goals in turn affect the more specific goals and objectives adopted in particular schools and classrooms. Over time, changes in social forces can also lead to modifications in prevailing philosophies and theories. The three main types of influential forces are society in general, developments in knowledge, and beliefs about the nature of the learner.1

Changes in society include shifts in emphasis among the various influences examined in the chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education and the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement, such as the family, peer groups, social class, and the economy. Changes in knowledge include new developments in science and technology, new methods of processing and storing information, and new methods of defining or organizing fields of study. Finally, changes in beliefs about the nature of the learner, such as new theories about the learning process, may also produce changes in educational theories and purposes.

---

1The concept of three sources of change is rooted in the ideas of Boyd Bode and John Dewey, who wrote approximately eighty-five years ago. These ideas, popularized by Ralph Tyler in 1949, have been developed by contemporary curriculum theorists such as Allan Ornstein, J. Galen Saylor, and Robert Zais; Jerry Paquette, “Cross-Purposes and Crossed Wires in Education: Policy Making on Equity,” Journal of Curriculum Studies (January–February 2001), pp. 89–112.
Goals

Although goals are important guides in education, we cannot directly observe or evaluate them; rather, they are broad statements that denote a desired and valued competency, a theme or concern that applies to education in general. The most general goals are often called aims.

Goals or aims are formulated at national and state levels, often by prestigious commissions or task forces. Here is one example of a goal at the national level, from the National Education Goals Panel Report: “All children in America will start school ready to learn.” Another national or state goal might be to prepare students for democratic citizenship. Although these are admirable goals, it is unclear how local school districts might achieve them. They merely suggest a general direction to follow.

Goals at the school district level begin to narrow in focus. For example, a school district goal related to the national goal of school readiness might be “all children will have access to high-quality and developmentally appropriate preschool programs that help prepare children for school.” A more sharply focused example of the national citizenship goal might be “students will participate actively in the political and social life of the community.” Each of these goals helps to point teachers, principals, and superintendents toward certain general ends.

Goals at the school level usually narrow in focus even more, translating national, state, and district goals into statements that coincide more closely with the philosophy and priorities of the local school community. School-level goal statements often appear in documents known as school improvement plans. These goal statements flow from an overall school mission statement, which articulates the school’s role in educating the community’s youth. An example of a school-level goal related to the national goal of school readiness might be “kindergarten will be expanded from a half-day program to a full-day program.”

In the late 1940s, Ralph Tyler developed an outline for school goals that remains influential today. Tyler identified four fundamental questions to consider:

1. What educational purposes should the school seek to attain?
2. What educational experiences can be provided to help attain these purposes?
3. How can these educational experiences be effectively organized?
4. How can we determine whether [and to what extent] the purposes have been attained?

A generation later, another influential educator, John Goodlad, studied lists of school goals published by local boards of education across the country and identified a cluster of twelve that represented the spirit of the total list. Each of the twelve

---

is further defined by a rationale statement in Table 13.1. These goals have changed little since then; rather, the emphasis varies depending on a school district’s or school’s philosophy and the way it interprets the forces of social change.

The process of developing goals for a school district or individual school should permit citizens, parents, and, at times, students meaningful input. Working in partnership with professional educators who understand child development and the learning process, citizens can provide a valuable perspective in helping to decide what public schools are to teach.6

Whether formulated at the national, state, school district, or school level, goals are usually written in nonbehavioral terms, not tied to particular content or subject matter. They are intended to be long-lasting guides. Goals provide a direction by describing what schooling is intended to accomplish, but they are too vague and

### Table 13.1 Major Goals of American Schools

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Mastery of basic skills or fundamental processes.</strong> In our technological civilization, an individual’s ability to participate in the activities of society depends on mastery of these fundamental processes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Career or vocational education.</strong> An individual’s satisfaction in life will be significantly related to satisfaction with her or his job. Intelligent career decisions will require knowledge of personal aptitudes and interests in relation to career possibilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Intellectual development.</strong> As civilization has become more complex, people have had to rely more heavily on their rational abilities. Full intellectual development of each member of society is necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Enculturation.</strong> Studies that illuminate our relationship with the past yield insights into our society and its values; further, these strengthen an individual’s sense of belonging, identity, and direction for his or her own life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>Interpersonal relations.</strong> Schools should help every child understand, appreciate, and value persons belonging to social, cultural, and ethnic groups different from his or her own.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <strong>Autonomy.</strong> Unless schools produce self-directed citizens, they have failed both society and the individual. As society becomes more complex, demands on individuals multiply. Schools help prepare children for a world of rapid change by developing in them the capacity to assume responsibility for their own needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <strong>Citizenship.</strong> To counteract the present human ability to destroy humanity and the environment requires citizen involvement in the political and social life of this country. A democracy can survive only through the participation of its members.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <strong>Creativity and aesthetic perception.</strong> Abilities for creating new and meaningful things and appreciating the creations of other human beings are essential both for personal self-realization and for the benefit of society.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <strong>Self-concept.</strong> The self-concept of an individual serves as a reference point and feedback mechanism for personal goals and aspirations. Facilitating factors for a healthy self-concept can be provided in the school environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <strong>Emotional and physical well-being.</strong> Emotional stability and physical fitness are perceived as necessary conditions for attaining the other goals, but they are also worthy ends in themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. <strong>Moral and ethical character.</strong> Individuals need to develop the judgment that allows us to evaluate behavior as right or wrong. Schools can foster the growth of such judgment as well as a commitment to truth, moral integrity, and moral conduct.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. <strong>Self-realization.</strong> Efforts to develop a better self contribute to the development of a better society.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

long-term for teachers and students to apply them directly in the classroom. Thus for classroom use, goals must be translated into more specific objectives.

**Objectives**

Objectives are generally written at three levels of instruction: subject/grade level, unit plan level, and lesson plan level. They usually have a shorter accomplishment time than goals. Although objectives are more specific than goals, educators dis-

---

agree about how detailed they ought to be. Some prefer fairly general objectives; others advocate objectives precise enough to be measured in behavioral terms or performance—that is, by the observable behavior of the student.

In practice at the classroom level, you will most likely organize instruction with a combination of general and specific objectives in mind. General objectives are characterized by “end” terms such as to know, learn, understand, comprehend, and appreciate. Such objectives will help you develop a sequenced curriculum for a grade level or a unit.

At the level of the individual lesson plan, objectives usually become specific, as recommended by Robert Mager. They use precise wording (often action words) such as discuss, describe in writing, state orally, list, role-play, and solve. Sometimes called behavioral or performance objectives, these statements are content or skill specific, require particular student behavior or performance, and are observable and measurable. Both teacher and learner can evaluate the amount or degree of learning.8 From Pre-service to Practice gives one example of how teachers and their students can benefit from preparing clear objectives.

As a prospective teacher, you have probably already heard a lot about state standards. Many states are now developing state-level standards called “indicators of

---

achievement” and requiring both local school districts and teachers to align curricula with these state indicators.9

An example of a general unit objective might be that “students will understand why American colonists wanted to separate from Great Britain in the 1770s.” Transposing this general objective into a specific lesson objective, we might obtain “Students will describe in writing three reasons American colonists gave in favor of separation from Great Britain.” This objective refers to a specific kind of knowledge, states what is expected of students, and gives a precise criterion of three reasons.

Overview 13.1 summarizes the differences among the various levels of goals and objectives. As we move from national goals to lesson objectives, the examples become more specific—that is, easier to observe and/or measure.

Historical Perspective

We live in an era when educators and the public at large are questioning the purposes of American education. What should our schools be trying to do? The answers are varied, and the debate has often been heated. To understand this debate, we need to know how educational purposes have developed and changed over the years. As the following sections illustrate, the goals of American education have undergone many transformations.

Before the twentieth century, the perennialist theory generally dominated American education. Subject matter was organized and presented as a mere accounting of information. Proponents of the mental discipline approach believed that the mind is strengthened through mental activities, just as the body is strengthened by exercising. Traditional subjects, such as languages (Latin, Greek, French, and German), mathematics, history, English, physics, chemistry, government, and biology, were valued for their cultivation of the intellect; the more difficult the subject and the more the student had to exercise the mind, the greater the value of the subject.10

Gradually demands were made for various changes in schooling to meet the needs of a changing social order. The pace of immigration and industrial development led a growing number of educators to question the classical curriculum and the emphasis on mental discipline and repetitive drill. Adherents of the new pedagogy represented the progressive voice in education. They emphasized schoolwork and school subjects designed to meet the needs of everyday life for all children. By the early twentieth century, the effort to reform the schools along more progressive lines was well under way.

In contrast to the perennialist philosophy and mental discipline approach that prevailed before World War I, the period from World War I to after World War II was dominated by the philosophy of progressivism and the science of child psychology. These emphasized the whole-child concept and life adjustment. The prevailing view held that schools must be concerned with the growth and development of the entire child, not just with certain selected mental aspects. Goals related to cognitive or mental growth had to share the stage with other important purposes of education such as goals involving social, psychological, vocational, moral, and civic development. Table 13.2 describes the two most important statements of goals of this era. The whole-child concept and the corresponding growth of child psychology had a tremendous impact on the schools that we still feel today.

During the era of the cold war and the Soviet Sputnik flight (1957), international events gave major impetus to the U.S. movement to reexamine academic disciplines as the focus of schooling. The country was appalled at the notion of losing technological superiority to the Soviets; national pride was challenged, and national goals were threatened.

Influenced by the perennialist and essentialist theories of education, critics called for a return to academic essentials and mental discipline. Thus, hard on the heels of Sputnik came national legislation to support training, equipment, and programs in fields considered vital to defense. The National Defense Education Act singled out science, mathematics, modern languages, and guidance (often considered a way to steer youth into the three former fields and into college). The scientific community, university scholars, and curriculum specialists were called upon to reconstruct subject-matter content, especially on the high-school level, while government and foundation sources provided the funds. The new educational climate also included an increasing emphasis on providing topnotch education for the academically talented child.

The 1960s saw this change, as increased concern about poverty, racial discrimination, and equal educational opportunity brought new educational priorities, often related to the progressive and social reconstructionist theories of education. Educators noted that most students did not go on to college and that many dropped out. During the era of the cold war and the Soviet Sputnik flight (1957), international events gave major impetus to the U.S. movement to reexamine academic disciplines as the focus of schooling. The country was appalled at the notion of losing technological superiority to the Soviets; national pride was challenged, and national goals were threatened.

Influenced by the perennialist and essentialist theories of education, critics called for a return to academic essentials and mental discipline. Thus, hard on the heels of Sputnik came national legislation to support training, equipment, and programs in fields considered vital to defense. The National Defense Education Act singled out science, mathematics, modern languages, and guidance (often considered a way to steer youth into the three former fields and into college). The scientific community, university scholars, and curriculum specialists were called upon to reconstruct subject-matter content, especially on the high-school level, while government and foundation sources provided the funds. The new educational climate also included an increasing emphasis on providing topnotch education for the academically talented child.

The 1960s saw this change, as increased concern about poverty, racial discrimination, and equal educational opportunity brought new educational priorities, often related to the progressive and social reconstructionist theories of education. Educators noted that most students did not go on to college and that many dropped out.

out of school or graduated as functional illiterates. Under those circumstances, serious problems could be anticipated if educational goals continued to be narrowly directed toward the most able students.\textsuperscript{12}

The focus on disadvantaged students extended into the 1980s and expanded to include multicultural and bilingual students and students with disabilities. The nation’s multicultural and bilingual efforts were characterized by increased federal funding for Hispanic, Asian American, and Native American students, and by legal support for students with limited English skills (\textit{Lau v. Nichols}, U.S. Supreme Court, 1974).

During the 1980s and 1990s, much concern also surfaced for special education, especially for students with learning disabilities or other special needs. Two important pieces of legislation, the Education for All Handicapped Children Act (1975) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA, 1991), detailed policies and procedures for including students with disabilities in regular classrooms, to the extent possible. This approach is generally known as “inclusion.”

In the 1990s, however, conservative reactions against these trends increased. As noted in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement, multicultural and bilingual programs have been heavily criticized as contributing to fragmentation and separatism rather than cultural unity. Educators have also split into factions over the most effective way to conduct special education. Some want full inclusion (elimination of self-contained classrooms for special-education students and assignment of special-education teachers to coteach regular classrooms). Others support partial inclusion (whereby students with learning disabilities are placed in

general-education classrooms as much as possible). Still others favor maintaining mostly separate classes for special-education students.\(^\text{13}\)

The end of the twentieth century also brought increased demands for educational accountability (demands expressed by elected officials and business leaders as well as by laypeople). Many argued that education should focus more clearly on outcomes or outputs—that is, on meaningful, measurable academic results—rather than on inputs such as money, programs, efforts, and intentions. According to some of these critics, mere completion of a curriculum means little if students cannot use their education in real-life contexts. As a result of this new focus, twenty-five states have developed or implemented an outcomes-based education (OBE) approach, and eleven others have made outcomes part of the state assessment process. Of course, although many educators believe the focus on student outcomes is a sensible way to look at educational goals, OBE is not without its critics. Some fear that it emphasizes affective outcomes and critical thinking to the detriment of religious faith and family values. Others claim that OBE promotes minimal academic standards, “dumbing down” the curriculum. Still other critics claim that OBE involves higher costs without corresponding results.\(^\text{14}\)

While some educators focused on student performance outcomes, the first decade of the twenty-first century found other educators calling for clear state standards to which all students would be taught. Advocates for state standards wanted...
to develop authentic methods of assessing progress and mastery. Such clear standards and assessment of progress would help hold students, teachers, schools, and school districts accountable for learning. The federal 2002 No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) emphasizes standards and yearly assessment of student progress (known as “adequate yearly progress”).

As a teacher, your state’s standards are likely to heavily influence your instructional planning. Forty-nine states created standards, accompanied by accountability systems to affix praise and censure to those who would be affected by the standards. One concern expressed by many observers of the state standards movement is that the assessments are linked to “high stakes” outcomes for those taking the tests. Test results in many states help make decisions about promotion, graduation, and college scholarships, and they are related to a range of consequences for the school districts and professionals preparing students for those exams, including school accreditation, pay raises, and bonuses.

In spite of these and other stumbling blocks confronting states, standards are worth sustaining. For the first time in the history of American public education, lofty goals have been established for all children, and the notion that even one child can be left behind is no longer acceptable. One point apparently not carefully considered is the notion that children learn at different paces and in different ways, and thus might need to be tested at different levels and through different means.15

The Call for Excellence

Keeping in mind how American educational goals have changed over time, we can look more closely at the contemporary demand for reform in the schools. How do various recent proposals reflect important changes in American educational purposes? How well do particular reforms fit your own ideas about the purpose of education?

Overview of Policy Reports

By the early 1980s national attention began to focus on the need for educational excellence and higher academic standards for all students—particularly the neglected “average” student—and not just the disadvantaged or the talented. In the years since then, national policy reports, most of which reflected a so-called neoeessentialist perspective, have urged reforms to improve the quality of education in the United States. Six of the most influential of these were as follows:

- Action for Excellence (1983)
- High School (1983)
- A Nation at Risk (1983)

To support their proposals, the reports have presented devastating details and statistics indicating a serious decline in American education. For example:

1. Average achievement scores on the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT—now called the Scholastic Assessment Test) declined steadily from 1963 to 1990. Average verbal scores fell 34 points (466 to 432), and mathematics scores dropped 10 points (492 to 482). In recent years both scores have rebounded with modest gains (verbal increased 9 points to 508 and math increased 25 points to 520).16

2. Little change occurred in eighth-grade mathematics and science achievement between 1995 and 1999, despite concerted federal, state, and local efforts to increase math and science achievement scores.17

3. As we looked into the new century, U.S. eighth-grade students still performed below their peers in eight (math) and fourteen (science) other industrialized nations—hardly the performance at “world class standards” called for in the National Education Goals.18 (See the chapter on International Education for further discussion of international comparisons.)

4. About 20 percent of 200 million U.S. adults are functionally illiterate by the simplest tests of everyday reading and writing. Moreover, about 14 percent of all sixteen-year-olds in the United States are considered functionally illiterate, and

---

Recent shifts in educational goals have brought a new emphasis on assessment and accountability for students, teachers, and schools, including the use of controversial “high stakes” testing used to make decisions about outcomes such as graduation and promotion. (© A. Ramey/Stock Boston)

Student–teacher ratios

Standards & Assessment

- Reports’ common themes
- Importance of technology

- Higher standards, more rigorous requirements

this illiteracy rate jumps to 38 percent among minority youth.\textsuperscript{19} More alarming is that the average literacy skills of both elementary- and secondary-school students remained unchanged from 1993 through 2001, with improved scores of high-performing students (75th to 90th percentile) being offset by declining scores for low-performing students (those at the 10th percentile level).\textsuperscript{20}

5. These problems have occurred despite a relatively good student–teacher ratio: approximately sixteen students per teacher in the United States, compared to ratios above twenty-five to one in Japan and Korea. Moreover, our per-pupil expenditures for K–12 education have been the second highest in the world (second only to Finland).\textsuperscript{21}

All of these reports emphasize the need to strengthen the curriculum in the core subjects of English, math, science, foreign language, and social studies. Technology and computer courses are mentioned often, and at the beginning of the twenty-first century the need to improve students’ technology skills and to upgrade schools technologically is almost a “mantra”—the fourth “R,” as some call it. (For more information, see the Technology @ School box.) High-level cognitive and thinking skills are also stressed. Although certain reports also address programs and personnel for disadvantaged students and students with learning disabilities, this message is not always loud and clear.

The reports further emphasize tougher standards and tougher courses, and a majority propose that colleges raise their admission requirements. Most of the reports also talk about increasing homework, time for learning, and time in school, as well as instituting more rigorous grading, testing, homework, and discipline. They mention upgrading teacher certification, increasing teacher salaries, increasing the

number of science and math teachers and paying higher salaries, and providing merit pay for outstanding teachers. Overall, the reports stress academic achievement, not the whole child, and increased productivity, not relevancy or humanism.

Most of the reports express concern that the schools are pressed to play too many social roles; that the schools cannot meet all these expectations; and that the schools are in danger of losing sight of their key purpose—teaching basic skills and core academic subjects, new skills for computer use, and higher-level cognitive skills for the world of work and technology. Many of the reports, concerned not only with academic productivity but also with national productivity, link human capital with economic capital. Investment in schools would be an investment in the economy and in the nation’s future stability. If education fails, so do our work force and nation. Hence business, labor, and government must work with educators to help educate and train the U.S. population.

In the following sections, we will look more closely at the two most popularized and influential reports: *A Nation at Risk*, published in 1983, and *The National Education Goals*, a 1994 revision of a report first published in 1990. The Taking Issue box deals with the question of whether national reports are useful.

### A Nation at Risk

The report by the National Commission on Excellence in Education, compiled by a panel appointed by the U.S. Department of Education, indicates that a “rising tide of mediocrity” is eroding the well-being of the nation. This mediocrity is linked to the foundations of our educational institutions and is spilling over into the workplace and other sectors of society. The report lists several aspects of educational decline that were evident to educators and citizens alike in the late 1970s and early 1980s: lower achievement scores, lower testing requirements, lower graduation requirements, lower teacher expectations, fewer academic courses, more remedial courses, and higher illiteracy rates. It states that the United States has compromised its commitment to educational quality as a result of conflicting demands placed on the nation’s schools and concludes that the schools have attempted to tackle too many social problems that the home and other agencies of society either will not or cannot resolve.

The report calls for, in part, tougher standards for graduation, including more courses in science, mathematics, foreign language, and the “new basics” such as computer skills; a longer school day and school year; far more homework; improved and updated textbooks; more rigorous, measurable, and higher expectations for student achievement; higher teacher salaries based on performance and career ladders that distinguish among the beginning, experienced, and master teacher; demonstrated entry competencies and more rigorous certification standards for teachers; accountability from educators and policy makers; and greater fiscal support from citizens.

Reports such as *A Nation at Risk* and Educational Policies Commission reports often spring from a broad-based concern about the quality of public education in changing times. The goal of these reports is to make practical recommendations for educational improvement and, as such, provide guidance to state and local boards of education, school districts, and ultimately teachers as they plan for instruction. The impact of *A Nation at Risk* has been substantial, driving increases in high-school graduation requirements, increases in mathematics and science courses, a return to academic basics, changes in technology, and increased college entrance requirements. Most of these changes occurred at the local school district level, and you may have felt them if you were a student in the public schools during this time.

---

The federal government's current No Child Left Behind initiative continues in the tradition of national reports in its concern about the general quality of public education in changing times and its practical recommendations for educational improvement. It differs in that it provides explicit directives, partially supported by federal funds, to state and local boards of education and to school districts.

The National Education Goals

In 1994 Congress passed the Goals 2000: Educate America Act. The complete set of goals, published as The National Education Goals and often referred to simply as Goals 2000, is listed in Table 13.3. The overriding...
theme of those goals was the push for an educated citizenry, well trained and responsible, capable of adapting to a changing world, knowledgeable about its cultural heritage and the world community, and willing to accept and maintain America’s leadership position in the twenty-first century. Educators must be given greater flexibility to devise teaching and learning strategies that serve all students, regardless of abilities or interests; at the same time, they should be held responsible for their teaching. Parents must become involved in their children’s education, especially during the preschool years. Community, civic, and business groups all have a vital role to play in reforming education. Finally, students must accept responsibility for their education, and this means they must work hard in school.

In 2001, the National Education Goals Panel made its final major report on the progress on the eight goals and twenty-six “indicators” in Goals 2000. Although the nation as a whole did not meet the national goals by the year 2000 and, in fact, is unlikely to ever fully reach the eight ambitious goals, many states made remarkable

---

### Table 13.3 The National Education Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 1 School Readiness</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, all children in America will start school ready to learn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 2 School Completion</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, the high-school graduation rate will increase to at least 90 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 3 Student Achievement and Citizenship</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, all students will leave grades 4, 8, and 12 having demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter including English, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography, and every school in America will ensure that all students learn to use their minds well, so they may be prepared for responsible citizenship, further learning, and productive employment in our Nation's modern economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 4 Teacher Education and Professional Development</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, the Nation's teaching force will have access to programs for the continued improvement of their professional skills and the opportunity to acquire the knowledge and skills needed to instruct and prepare all American students for the next century.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 5 Mathematics and Science</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, U.S. students will be first in the world in mathematics and science achievement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 6 Adult Literacy and Lifelong Learning</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, every adult American will be literate and will possess the knowledge and skills necessary to compete in a global economy and exercise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 7 Safe, Disciplined, and Alcohol- and Drug-free Schools</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, every school in the United States will be free of drugs, violence, and the unauthorized presence of firearms and alcohol and will offer a disciplined environment conducive to learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 8 Parental Participation</strong></td>
<td>By the year 2000, every school will promote partnerships that will increase parental involvement and participation in promoting the social, emotional, and academic growth of children.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

progress. With the suspension of The National Educational Goals Panel in 2002 and the advent of No Child Left Behind, America's educational expectations changed to a more specific focus on improved student performance in reading and math, having highly qualified teachers in every classroom, and identifying and improving schools where students are not meeting these goals (see www.ed.gov). Future reports will chart the states' progress toward these NCLB goals.

Swings of the Pendulum

- Old themes reemerge

In examining educational goals from the turn of the twentieth century until today, we see considerable change but also old ideas reemerging in updated versions. For example, a stress on rigorous intellectual training, evident in the early twentieth century, reappeared in the 1950s during the Cold War, and again from the 1980s through the early twenty-first century, as a result of concern over economic competition with foreign countries. Similarly, as the social ferment of the 1960s and 1970s brought increasing concern for the rights and aspirations of low-income and minority groups, the ideas of the early progressive educators resurfaced, and a renewed stress was placed on educating the disadvantaged. Although this concern for disadvantaged or at-risk students remains, the pendulum has now swung closer to the center: our current priorities are more diffuse, and there is growing concern for various kinds of students, including average and academically talented groups.

- Too much expected of schools?

In looking at the broad sweep of American educational purposes, you may ask yourself whether schools are expected to do more than is feasible. The schools are often seen as ideal agencies to solve the nation's problems, but can they do so? Many people throughout society refuse to admit their own responsibility for helping children develop and learn. Similarly, parents and policy makers often expect teachers and school administrators to be solely responsible for school reform. In fact, without significant cooperation from parents and community members, schools cannot do a good job, and reform efforts will fail.

- Coping with change

Unquestionably, the goals of education must be relevant to the times. If the schools cannot adapt to changing conditions and social forces, how can they expect to produce people who do? Today we live in a highly technical, automated, and bureaucratic society, and we are faced with pressing social and economic problems—aging cities, deteriorating schools and educational infrastructure, the effects of centuries of racial and sexual discrimination, an aging population, economic dislocations, terrorism, and the pollution of the physical environment. Whether we allow the times to engulf us, or whether we can cope with our new environment, will depend to a large extent on what kinds of skills are taught to our present-day students—and on the development of appropriate priorities for education.23

Summing Up

1. The purposes of education are influenced by changing social forces as well as by educational philosophies and theories.

2. Broad statements of educational purpose, generated at the national or state level, are usually translated into more specific goals by the school district or individual school. These goals, in turn, are developed into even more specific objectives at the subject, grade, unit plan, and lesson plan levels.

---

Since the turn of the century, the goals of American education have gone through at least five periods, each with a different focus of attention: academic rigor and mental discipline; the whole child; academically talented students; disadvantaged and minority students and children with disabilities; and, from the 1980s through the early twenty-first century, tougher academic standards for all students.

Most of the major reports released since 1983 have emphasized the need for educational excellence and higher standards. Although educators disagree about many of the reports’ recommendations, most states have already implemented changes based on these reports.

We must learn to live with some disagreement about the purposes of schooling. Various groups of people need to work together in formulating future educational priorities.

We often expect schools to be a key instrument for solving our technological or social problems and preparing our work force for the future. The years ahead will severely test this expectation.

---
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**Certification Connection**

Chapter 13, The Purposes of Education, is linked to the Planning Instruction section of Principles of Learning and Teaching. Relevant topics include society’s influence on the purposes of education, establishing goals for educational programs, objectives for the classroom, and influences of national and state standards on the development of local curriculum. You should examine the published national standards of their chosen teaching field and those from their state. After a review of these documents you should compare them to the local scope and sequence of the school system in which they are interning. In their journal, describe how the national and state standards seem to influence the local scope and sequence. Assess whether this influence (or lack thereof) is beneficial or detrimental to the educational program of the school. Consider talking with teachers and school administrators to sample their opinions on national vs. state vs. local scope and sequence.

---

**Discussion Questions**

1. In terms of the various types and levels of educational goals, why is the question "What are schools for?" so complex?
   Why? What philosophical leanings and social forces influence your answer to the question?

2. Are the goals of the Educational Policies Commission, as summarized in the right-hand column of Table 13.2, desirable for education today? Based on your personal philosophy of education, how might you modify them?
   What is your opinion of No Child Left Behind? Discuss your thinking with other students in class. Is NCLB an improvement over Goals 2000? How do these goals align with your emerging philosophy of education?

3. Who should have educational priority: below-average students, average students, or above-average students?
   What argument could you make for or against computer technology and skills as a “new basic” or “fourth R”?

---

**Suggested Projects for Professional Development**

1. As you visit schools, ask to examine any available goal statements such as position papers, mission statements, and school improvement plans. How do teachers and administrators believe the goals will be implemented? How would you implement them?
Select a school with yearly goals and ask to see its goal statements. Talk with teachers and administrators to find out the following: the process for developing the goals, who developed them, how parents and the community participated in the process, and how goals assessment takes place. How would you feel about this process if you were participating? What would you see as your role?

Write Goals 2020. Be as idealistic as you like, but also realistic. How do you believe you, as a teacher, would work toward your Goals 2020?

Select one national goal and visit your state department of education website (see www.nasbe.org; click on “Links” and then on “State Education Agencies”). How does your state education agency address this goal? Click on “school boards” or “school districts” and select one or two local school districts in which you are particularly interested. How do they address this goal? In general, what references do you see to the national goals at the state board and local district websites? Are other goals evident? If so, how do you think these goals will provide direction for school boards, curriculum developers, and teachers?

Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

Access the U.S. Department of Education’s website (www.eric.ed.gov) for the full texts of the Goals 2000: Educate America Act, the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994, The National Educational Goals Panel Report of 2002, and No Child Left Behind. One starting point for general information about educational reform is a search at www.fedworld.gov/. Search the U.S. Department of Education’s sites for topics such as “accountability” and “gifted students.” General Internet searches for key terms such as educational technology, school reform, and national/state standards can also be useful.

Publications


Bracey, Gerald. The War Against America’s Public Schools. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2002. Describes competing visions Americans have about their public schools.


Perhaps more than the citizens of any other country, Americans have demanded the utmost from their schools. We ask the schools to teach children to think, to socialize them, to alleviate poverty and inequality, to reduce crime, to perpetuate our cultural heritage, and to produce intelligent, patriotic citizens. Inevitably, American schools have failed to meet all of these obligations. Nonetheless, the demands persist, focusing on curriculum—planned experiences provided through instruction—and curriculum is continuously modified as education goals are revised, student populations change, social issues are debated, and new interest groups emerge.

We describe in the chapter on The Purposes of Education how the goals of education have shifted with changing national priorities and social pressures. In this chapter we will look at several major curricular approaches used in recent decades to help meet our changing national goals. You will see that the curriculum approaches also relate closely to the philosophies and theories discussed in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education.1 Reflect on how these curricular approaches relate to your own emerging philosophy of education.

---

As we examine curriculum, we will also examine instructional activities that relate to curriculum. This chapter will help you answer the following questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- How does curriculum content reflect changes in society?
- In what ways is curriculum organized?
- How might the use of cooperative learning or mastery learning influence your work as a teacher?
- How can you use computers and other electronic resources in the classroom to improve instruction?
- What trends seem likely to affect curriculum and instruction in the future?

**Curriculum Organization**

We can view the various types of curriculum organization in American schools from two perspectives. One emphasizes the subject to be taught; the other emphasizes the student. The first perspective views curriculum as a body of content, or subject matter, that leads to certain achievement outcomes or products. The second defines curriculum in terms of student needs and attitudes; it is most concerned with process—in other words, how the student learns and the classroom or school climate. Few schools employ pure subject-centered (cognitive) or pure student-centered (psychological) approaches in the teaching–learning process. You will find that even though most teachers tend to emphasize one approach over the other, they incorporate both choices in the classroom.

**Subject-Centered Curricula**

Subject matter is both the oldest and most contemporary framework of curriculum organization. It is also the most common—primarily because it is convenient, as you can tell from the departmental structure of secondary schools and colleges. Even in elementary schools, where self-contained classrooms force the teachers to be generalists, curricula are usually organized by subjects.

Proponents of **subject-centered curricula** argue that subjects present a logical basis for organizing and interpreting learning, that teachers are trained as subject matter specialists, and that textbooks and other teaching materials are usually organized by subject. Critics claim that subject-centered curricula often are a mass of facts and concepts learned in isolation. They see this kind of curriculum as deemphasizing life experiences and failing to consider the needs and interests of students. In subject-centered curricula, the critics argue, the teacher dominates the lesson, allowing little student input.

The following sections discuss several variations of subject-centered approaches to curricula, such as the subject-area approach, back-to-basics, and the core curriculum. These represent neither the only possible variations nor hard-and-fast categories. Many schools and teachers mix these approaches, drawing from more than one of them.
Subject-Area Approach to Curriculum

The subject-area approach is the most widely used form of curriculum organization. This long-standing approach has its roots in the seven liberal arts of classical Greece and Rome: grammar, rhetoric, dialectic, arithmetic, geometry, astronomy, and music. Modern subject-area curricula trace their origins to the work of William Harris, superintendent of the St. Louis school system in the 1870s. Steeped in the classical tradition, Harris established a subject orientation that has virtually dominated U.S. curricula from his day to the present. For example, consider Table 14.1, which shows the recommendations of the Committee of Fifteen in 1895. Although the committee’s proposal is more than a century old, the subject categories are quite recognizable. As a student, you were most likely introduced to “algebra” and “English grammar,” “reading” and “writing,” as well as “geography” and “history.”

The modern subject-area curriculum treats each subject as a specialized and largely autonomous body of knowledge. Subjects referred to as the “basics” are considered essential for all students; these usually include the three Rs at the elementary level and English, history, science, and mathematics at the secondary level. Other specialized subjects develop knowledge and skills for particular vocations or professions—for example, business mathematics and physics. Finally, elective content affords the student optional offerings, often tailored to student interests and needs.

A newer term, exploratory subjects, refers to subjects that students may choose from a list of courses designed to suit a wide range of learning styles, needs, and interests. These courses, which can include such subjects as study skills, computer science, creative writing, and drama, allow the school to diversify its offerings. They appear most often in middle school and late elementary-school curricula. Schools that include exploratory subjects in the curriculum tend to be more progressive in outlook than schools that still favor the traditional core academic subjects.

Perennialist and Essentialist Approaches to Curriculum

Two of the educational theories described in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education are fundamentally subject centered: perennialism and essentialism. Believing that the main purpose of education is the cultivation of the intellect and of certain timeless values concerning work, morality, and family living, the perennialists concentrate their curriculum on the three Rs, Latin, and logic at the elementary level, adding study of the classics at the secondary level. The assumption of the perennialist approach to curriculum, according to Robert Hutchins, is that the best of the past—the so-called permanent studies, or classics—remains equally valid for the present.

Essentialists believe that the elementary curriculum should consist of the three Rs, and the high-school curriculum of five or six major disciplines: English (grammar, literature, and writing), mathematics, the sciences, history, foreign languages, and

---


3Theodore Brameld coined these two terms in *Patterns of Educational Philosophy* (New York: Holt, 1950).

### Table 14.1 The Elementary-School Curriculum Proposed by the Committee of Fifteen in 1895

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branches</th>
<th>1st year</th>
<th>2nd year</th>
<th>3rd year</th>
<th>4th year</th>
<th>5th year</th>
<th>6th year</th>
<th>7th year</th>
<th>8th year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>10 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>10 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
<td>3 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling lists</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
<td>4 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English grammar</td>
<td>Oral, 60 minutes a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>Oral, 60 minutes a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Oral, 60 minutes a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural science and hygiene</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. history</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Constitution</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
<td>*5 lessons a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General history</td>
<td>Oral, 60 minutes a week</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
<td>5 lessons a week with textbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical culture</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal music</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
<td>60 minutes a week divided into 4 lessons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
<td>60 minutes a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual training or sewing and cooking</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
<td>One-half day each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hours of recitation (reciting/answering questions)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16¼</td>
<td>16¼</td>
<td>17½</td>
<td>17½</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions**

1. If your major is early childhood or elementary education, study the Committee of Fifteen’s proposals for grades 1–5. How do the suggested subjects and their treatment compare with curriculum treatment you believe students should receive today? List specific additions and deletions.

2. If your major is secondary education, do the same as in question 1 for grades 6, 7, and 8. How do the committee’s suggested subjects and treatment compare with the curriculum treatment you feel students should receive today? List specific additions and deletions.

3. Which theories of education described in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education best fit the Committee of Fifteen’s proposals? What evidence in Table 14.1 supports your choice of theories?

* Begins in second half of year.

Adherents of the essentialist approach to curriculum believe these subject areas constitute the best way of systematizing and keeping up with today’s explosion of knowledge. They argue that mere “basic” skills provide insufficient preparation for life. Students need an academic knowledge base—what they call “cultural literacy” or “essential knowledge”—to deal with new ideas and challenges.

Essentialism shares with perennialism the notion that the curriculum should focus on rigorous intellectual training, training possible only through the study of certain subjects. Both perennialists and essentialists advocate educational meritocracy. They favor high academic standards and a rigorous system of grading and testing to help schools sort students by ability. Today, many parochial schools and academically oriented public schools stress various aspects of the perennialist and essentialist curricula.

**Back-to-Basics Approach to Curriculum** In recent years many educators and laypeople have called for a back-to-basics curriculum. Like the essentialist curriculum approach, “back-to-basics” connotes a heavy emphasis on reading, writing, and mathematics. So-called solid subjects—English, history, science, and mathematics—are required in all grades, and the back-to-basics proponents are even more suspicious than the essentialists of attempts to expand the curriculum beyond this solid foundation. Critics of this approach worry that a focus on basics will suppress students’ creativity and shortchange other domains of learning, encouraging conformity and dependence on authority.

Back-to-basics proponents insist on the need to maintain minimum standards, and much of the state school reform legislation passed in recent years reflects this popular position. The push for national tests in major subject areas and annual assessment of student progress in reading and math required by federal No Child Left Behind legislation further emphasize the importance of mastery of basic subjects. In most states, standardized tests serve a “gatekeeping” function at selected points on the educational ladder. Forty-nine states also require students to pass a statewide exit test before receiving a high-school diploma. For more on state competency tests, see the Taking Issue box.

---


7 See the annual Gallup Polls published in the September or October issues of *Phi Delta Kappan*, 1976 to 2006.


Core Approach to Curriculum

The importance of basic subjects in the curriculum is also expressed by the term *core curriculum*. Unfortunately, in the post–World War II era, this term has been used to describe two different approaches to organizing curricula.

The first approach, which we will call *core curriculum*, originated in the 1940s and 1950s and is popular again today, especially in middle schools. In this approach, students study two closely related subjects taught by the same instructor.

---

**State Competency Tests for Students**

One feature of the back-to-basics movement has been a rise in statewide testing of students. The failure of many students to master even the most basic skills, especially in reading, writing, mathematics, and history, has prompted state, and even federal, lawmakers to demand proof that schools are meeting minimum standards. As a teacher, you will almost certainly be involved in statewide testing of your students. All states now employ statewide testing at one or more stages in the educational process. Many states, in fact, have established minimum competency tests that students must pass before graduating from high school.

**Question**

Should every state require students to pass a statewide competency test to receive a high-school diploma?

**Arguments PRO**

1. Statewide testing for high-school graduation forces schools to improve their minimum standards. Students are no longer passed automatically through the system, and every student is taught the skills required for basic literacy.

2. The rise in minimum standards brought about by statewide testing is especially important for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. To break the cycle of poverty and joblessness, these students must be given the skills needed for productive employment.

3. Besides improving minimum standards, statewide testing helps to shift curriculum emphasis back to the basics. All of our students need a firmer grounding in such essential subjects as reading, writing, and mathematics.

4. Testing for graduation shows the public that schools are being held accountable for their performance. The test results help to identify schools that are not doing their jobs properly.

5. Using the data provided by statewide testing, educators can discover where the overall problems lie. Policies can be modified accordingly, and curricula can be designed to address the problem areas.

**Arguments CON**

1. Statewide testing is cumbersome, costly, and may not lead to much improvement in minimum standards. The effort must come from the local level, where educators know the strengths and weaknesses of their own schools.

2. Statewide tests discriminate against minorities and the urban and rural poor, who fail the tests in disproportionate numbers. This failure stigmatizes them unjustly and further damages their prospects for employment.

3. When schools try to focus on “basics,” they often neglect other important elements of education, such as problem solving and creative thinking. These higher-order abilities are increasingly important in a technological society.

4. Test scores by themselves cannot identify ineffective schools, and it is dangerous to use them for that purpose. There are too many complicating factors, such as the students’ home environment and socioeconomic background.

5. Most teachers already know where the problems lie. Moreover, soon after a statewide test is established, many teachers begin to “teach the test.” Thus, the data obtained from such examinations become meaningless and misleading.
(for example, math and science, or English and social studies). The teacher organizes instructional units in an interdisciplinary manner, showing how diverse subjects relate to one another. This approach, sometimes called block scheduling (a block of time for math and a block for science), is tied to a progressive theory of education.

The second approach, in contrast, was born out of the 1980s educational reform movement and reflects the more conservative theory of essentialism. In this version, which we will call the new core curriculum (core subjects approach), students experience a common body of required subjects—subjects that advocates consider central to the education of all students. Mortimer Adler is best known for popularizing this new core curriculum idea at the elementary-school level. Ernest Boyer, John Goodlad, and Theodore Sizer are best known for their similar influence on high schools.

Both Boyer and Sizer emphasize the humanities, communication and language skills, science, math, and technology. Boyer believes that the core units required for graduation should be expanded from one-half of the total curriculum (now the norm) to about two-thirds. Goodlad would like to see about 80 percent of the curriculum devoted to core courses, with only 20 percent reserved for the development of individual talents and interests.

The proponents of a new core curriculum have helped make subject-matter requirement changes in districts nationwide that you may have noticed as a student. Those changes are summarized in Figure 14.1. In the decades after 1982, the percentage of high-school graduates who completed a basic curriculum in core subjects increased from 14 percent to more than 44 percent.

The new core curriculum approach has drawn criticisms similar to those aimed at the back-to-basics curriculum. It may be argued that the new core curriculum turns the clock back to 1900, when subject-matter emphasis and academic rigor were the order of the day. These days, more students are college bound, and for them the academic core courses may be appropriate; yet increasing numbers of students are graduating from our schools as functional illiterates. To have value for all students, a core curriculum should take into account diverse populations of students, their individual differences, and their career/job preferences.

---


15By the Numbers—Key Subjects,” Education Week, June 14, 1995, p. 4; and Digest of Education Statistics, 2000, Table 141.
In direct contrast to subject-centered curricula, student-centered curricula of various types emphasize student interests and needs, including the affective aspects of learning. At its extreme, the student-centered approach is rooted in the philosophy of Jean Jacques Rousseau, who encouraged childhood self-expression. Implicit in Rousseau’s philosophy is the necessity of leaving the children to their own devices, allowing them the creativity and freedom essential for growth.

Progressive education gave impetus to student-centered curricula. Progressive educators believed that when the interests and needs of learners were incorporated into the curriculum, students would be intrinsically motivated and learning would be more successful. This does not mean that students’ whims or passing fads should dictate the curriculum. However, one criticism of student-centered curricula is that they sometimes overlook important cognitive content.

John Dewey, a chief advocate of student-centered curricula, attempted to establish a curriculum that balanced subject matter with student interests and needs. As early as 1902, he pointed out the fallacies of either extreme. The learner was neither “a docile recipient of facts” nor “the starting point, the center, and the end” of school activity. Dewey tried to emphasize the need for balance.

There are at least five major approaches to organizing student-centered curricula: activity-centered approaches, relevant curriculum, the humanistic approach, alternative or free schools, and values-centered curricula.

---

Activity-Centered Approaches. The movement for an activity-centered curriculum has strongly affected the public elementary schools. William Kilpatrick, one of Dewey’s colleagues, was an early leader. In contrast to Dewey, Kilpatrick believed that teachers could not anticipate the interests and needs of children, which made any preplanned curriculum impossible. Thus, he attacked the typical school curriculum as unrelated to the problems of real life. Instead, he advocated purposeful activities as relevant and lifelike as possible and tied to a student’s needs and interests, such as group games, dramatizations, story projects, field trips, social enterprises, and interest centers. All of these facets of the activity-centered curriculum involved problem solving and active student participation. They also emphasized socialization and the formation of stronger school–community ties. Thus, they have relevance even today.

The recent development of constructivist learning theory, described in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education, draws on these and similar concepts. Constructivists favor an activity-centered curriculum in which students actively (mentally and physically) interact with knowledge and each other to construct meaning and new knowledge for themselves.

Relevant Curriculum. By the 1930s, some reformers complained that the traditional school curriculum had become irrelevant: it had failed to adjust to social change and therefore emphasized skills and knowledge not pertinent to modern society. The 1960s and 1970s saw a renewed concern for a relevant curriculum, but with a somewhat different emphasis. Critics expressed less concern that the curriculum reflect changing social conditions and more concern that the curriculum be relevant to the students’ personal needs and interests.

Proponents of this approach today suggest that educators (1) individualize instruction through such teaching methods as independent inquiry and special projects; (2) revise existing courses and develop new courses on such topics of student concern as environmental protection, drug addiction, urban problems, and cultural pluralism; (3) provide educational alternatives (such as electives, minicourses, and open classrooms) that allow more freedom of choice; and (4) extend the curriculum beyond the school’s walls, through such means as distance learning (described in more detail later in this chapter) and field trips.

Humanistic Approach to Curriculum. A humanistic approach to curriculum emphasizes affective, attitudinal or emotional, in addition to cognitive outcomes. Such a curriculum draws heavily on the work of psychologists Abraham Maslow and Carl Rogers. Advocates of humanistic education contend that the present school curriculum has failed miserably, that teachers and schools are determined to stress
cognitive behaviors and to control students not for students' good but for the good of adults. Humanists emphasize more than affective processes; they seek higher domains of spirit, consciousness, aesthetics, and morality. They stress more meaningful relationships between students and teachers; student independence and self-direction; and greater acceptance of self and others. Your role in teaching from a humanist approach would be to help learners cope with their psychological needs and problems and to facilitate self-understanding among students.

Alternative or Free Schools Programs Today, you are likely to find student-centered curriculum programs in alternative or free schools, often private or experimental institutions, some organized by parents and teachers dissatisfied with the public schools. These schools typically feature much student freedom, noisy classrooms, and a learning environment, often unstructured, where students are free to explore their interests. Most are considered radical and antiestablishment, even though many of their ideas are rooted in the well-known student-centered doctrines of progressivism.

Paul Freire, Henry Giroux, Ivan Illich, Herbert Kohl, and Jonathan Kozol have stressed the need for, and in many cases have established, student-centered alternative or free schools. Critics, however, condemn these schools as places where little cognitive learning takes place and that provide little discipline and order. Proponents counter that children do learn in student-centered alternative schools, which—instead of stressing conformity—are made to fit the students.

A second type of alternative school is that which public-school systems run for students who experience persistent discipline problems (and who often also have learning problems). These schools start from the premise that schools must change to provide a more flexible approach to learning. They generally stress greater collaboration among staff members and between staff and students in terms of both curriculum and instructional methods. Many schools that have developed highly creative approaches are among the best examples of restructured schools in the country—that is, schools reorganized around improved student achievement, effective teaching, and improved school organization. (See the chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States for further discussion of restructuring.)

Values-Centered Curriculum A values-centered curriculum—more popularly known as character education—places special emphasis on moral and ethical issues. For example, advocates of multicultural education stress not only knowledge of American society's diverse cultures and ethnic experiences, but also appreciation and respect for cultures other than one's own. Thus, multicultural education fits

---


into a values-centered curriculum. Even more fundamentally, some educators, parents, and community members have concluded that too many students lack a strong sense of right and wrong. It is up to the schools, these people argue, to teach such basic values as honesty, responsibility, courtesy, self-discipline, compassion, tolerance, and respect for the rights of others.24

---

Kevin Ryan, director of the Center for the Advancement of Ethics and Character, says that the school curriculum, now increasingly devoid of moral authority and ethical language, has become sterile and meaningless. Ryan warns, however, that character education requires more than a quick-fix approach. Educators and their communities must define character education clearly and make it a focal point of the school’s mission, finding a common ground of civic values that do not transgress upon religious and family values.²⁵

One potential drawback to values-centered curricula, like humanistic curricula, is a lack of attention to cognitive learning. Even more important, educators and community members rarely concur about which values to teach or how to teach them. In such controversial areas as sex, religion, and social justice, values education may become a minefield. From Preservice to Practice illustrates educators’ concerns about including values in the curriculum.

Despite these problems, many educators contend that it is possible—even with our multicultural, multireligious population—to establish a set of values that represent an American consensus.²⁶ Table 14.2, for example, lists a “common core of values” developed by the school system in Baltimore County, Maryland. These values are emphasized throughout the curriculum, especially in social studies and English. Although the exact definitions of concepts such as “freedoms,” “patriotism,” and “tolerance” may be hard to determine, many educators believe that finding such a common core is an urgent responsibility of American schools.

Table 14.2: A “Common Core of Values,” as Defined by the Baltimore County (Md.) Public Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compassion</th>
<th>Reasoned argument</th>
<th>Justice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Due process procedures</td>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Loyalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedoms</td>
<td>Tolerance</td>
<td>Rational consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human worth and dignity</td>
<td>Courtesy</td>
<td>Respect for rights of others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Critical inquiry</td>
<td>Responsible citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patriotism</td>
<td>Honesty</td>
<td>Peaceful resolution of conflict</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions
1. Which of the core values in Table 14.2 do you consider most important and why?
2. What core values would you add to Table 14.2?

Source: Task Force on Values Education and Ethical Behavior, 1984 and Beyond: A Reaffirmation of Values (Towson, Md.: Baltimore County Public Schools, 1994).


**Overview 14.1**

**Curriculum Organization Approaches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curriculum Approach</th>
<th>Corresponding Philosophy or Theory</th>
<th>Content Emphasis</th>
<th>Instructional Emphasis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subject-Centered</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject-area</td>
<td>Perennialism, essentialism</td>
<td>Three Rs; academic, vocational, and elective subjects</td>
<td>Knowledge, concepts, and principles; specialized knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennialist</td>
<td>Perennialism</td>
<td>Three Rs; liberal arts; classics; timeless values; academic rigor</td>
<td>Rote memorization; specialized knowledge; mental discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essentialist</td>
<td>Essentialism</td>
<td>Three Rs; liberal arts and science; academic disciplines; academic excellence</td>
<td>Concepts and principles; problem solving; essential skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back-to-basics</td>
<td>Essentialism</td>
<td>Three Rs; academic subjects</td>
<td>Specific knowledge and skills; drill; attainment of measurable ends or competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New core curriculum</td>
<td>Perennialism, essentialism</td>
<td>Common curriculum for all students; focus on academics</td>
<td>Common knowledge; intellectual skills and concepts; values and moral issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(core subjects)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student-Centered</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity-centered</td>
<td>Progressivism</td>
<td>Student needs and interests; student activities; school–community activities</td>
<td>Active, experimental environment; project methods; effective living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant</td>
<td>Progressivism, social reconstructionism</td>
<td>Student experiences and activities; felt needs</td>
<td>Social and personal problems; reflective thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanistic</td>
<td>Progressivism, social reconstructionism, existentialism</td>
<td>Introspection; choice; affective processes</td>
<td>Individual and group learning; flexible, artistic, psychological methods; self-realization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative or free schools</td>
<td>Progressivism</td>
<td>Student needs and interests; student experiences</td>
<td>Play oriented; creative expression; free learning environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values-centered (character education)</td>
<td>Social reconstructionism, existentialism</td>
<td>Democratic values; ethical and moral values; cross-cultural and universal values; choice and freedom</td>
<td>Feelings, attitudes, and emotions; existentialist thinking; decision making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Curriculum Contrasts: An Overview

As we noted earlier, subject-centered and student-centered curricula represent extremes on a continuum. You will find that most schooling in the United States falls somewhere between the two—keeping a tenuous balance between subject matter and student needs, between the cognitive and affective dimensions of students’ development.

Decisions about what you should teach and how your teaching curriculum is organized will be influenced by the philosophical orientation of your school system or school. More traditional schools that subscribe to a perennialist or essentialist philosophy generally lean toward a subject-centered curriculum. Schools oriented more toward progressive or reconstructionist education tend to use a student-centered approach. Overview 14.1 summarizes the various subject-centered and student-centered approaches to curricula and their corresponding philosophies, content emphases, and instructional emphases. As you begin to think seriously about in which district and school you want to teach, consider asking interviewers questions about curricular organization to ensure a “fit” between your philosophy and that of the district or school. In the next section, we move on to the curriculum development process and the main issues it raises.

PROTECTING STUDENTS FROM UNDESIRABLE MATERIAL ON THE WEB

Educators and parents alike agree that adult supervision of students’ website selections, both at home and at school (a form of censorship), is critical. As a teacher, you need to educate your students about responsible online behavior and safety issues. An excellent website for this is Media Awareness Network at www.media-awareness.ca/english/teachers/wa_teachers/index.cfm; scroll down to “Web Awareness for Teachers,” then click on “Safe Passage.” This site alerts teachers and students to safety issues associated with websites, chat rooms, newsgroups, instant messaging, and e-mail. It includes information on the benefits and risks of these activities and offers practical advice on how you can ensure that your students have safe and rewarding experiences. The site also includes a button for parents with much the same information; see “Parental Involvement” and “Be Web Aware.”

In addition to informing students about Internet safety, media awareness groups suggest other measures to ensure that students avoid exposure to inappropriate material online. To begin with, ask your students to sign contracts regarding appropriate Internet behavior. You should also occasionally monitor the sites they visit. The Media Awareness Network suggests easy ways to find out what websites your students have visited in an article called “Tracking Where Kids Have Been Online.” (See www.media-awareness.ca/english/resources/special_initiatives/wa-resources/wa_shared/backgrounders/tracking_kids_online.cfm.)

If you find that students are distracted by visiting irrelevant or inappropriate websites at school, you can use technology to limit their choices. Web Whacker, a commercial program, lets you download websites to your class computers and use the sites without an Internet connection (see www.bluesquirrel.com/). Because the computers are not actually connected to the Internet, this program permits 100 percent control over Web content viewed by children.

Another excellent tool for teachers is the EduHound HotList, which permits teachers (and parents) to create their own Web page of educational resources composed of educational links, questions, directions, explanations, and assignments. HotLists are password protected to ensure privacy and security, and a teacher can develop, free of charge, as many HotLists as he or she wishes (see www.eduhound.com/hotlist/).

The approaches suggested above help teachers and parents ensure that their children spend productive learning time on the Internet, avoid inappropriate and undesirable material, and remain safe from those who would prey on children.

One of the questions at the beginning of the chapter asked you to focus on how curriculum content reflects changes in society. Which societal changes do you believe have been most important in driving curriculum emphases? In your opinion, which social changes should be most important? Why?
Whether the curriculum is subject centered or student centered, the process of developing it involves (1) assessing learners’ needs and capabilities (including those of culturally diverse populations, learners with disabilities, gifted and talented students, college-bound students, and those who wish to enter the work force) and (2) selecting or creating the instructional materials and activities.

At the national level, curriculum making is minimal and indirect, despite recent work on national goals, standards, and assessment. Curriculum development at the state level varies from state to state. Some state departments of education limit their involvement to publishing curriculum guides and booklets. These are prepared by a professional staff in the state department of education, assisted by curriculum consultants and college professors. State publications tend to focus on large-scale concerns such as the need for stronger math and science curricula. In many states, however, the guidelines are more specific, including lists of “core learning goals” and instructional materials either mandated or recommended or in some cases forbidden.

The greatest responsibility for curriculum development generally falls on the local school district—or, as school-based management becomes more widespread, on the schools themselves. Large school districts often employ personnel who specialize in curriculum development, including subject-matter specialists and test consultants. Smaller school districts generally assign curriculum development to a group of teachers organized by subject or grade level; sometimes parents, administrators, and even students participate.

College admission standards exert a strong influence on curriculum choices. Increasingly, too, local curriculum developers must pay close attention to state standards and academic requirements (including voluntary national standards).

Another major influence on curriculum—one whose importance is often underrated—is the textbook. Textbooks have long been the most frequently used instructional medium at all levels beyond the primary grades. As such, they can dominate the nature and sequence of a course and profoundly affect students’ learning experiences. Because courses often reflect the textbook author’s knowledge and biases, curriculum developers may shape the entire course just by choosing the textbook. For this reason, it is important for you to understand factors that govern textbook writing and publication.

In order to have wide application and a large potential market, textbooks tend to be general, noncontroversial, and bland. Because they are usually written for a national audience, they disregard local issues or community problems. Aiming for the greatest number of “average” students, they may fail to meet the needs and interests of any particular group or individual.\(^{27}\) In summarizing large quantities of
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data, they may become superficial and discourage conceptual thinking, critical analysis, and evaluation. Furthermore, with the possible exception of mathematics textbooks, most quickly become outdated. Because they are expensive, however, they often are used long after they should have been replaced.

Considering these criticisms, why do teachers rely so heavily on textbooks? The answer is that textbooks also have many advantages. A textbook provides teachers with an outline for planning lessons; summarizes a great deal of pertinent information; enables the student to take home most of the course material in a convenient package; provides a common resource for all students to follow; includes pictures, graphs, maps, and other illustrative material that facilitate understanding; and frequently includes other teaching aids, such as summaries and review questions.28

Further, textbook authors and publishers are increasing efforts to create materials that help teachers reach state standards. In short, the textbook is an acceptable tool if selected and used properly. However, it should not be the only source of knowledge for students, and it should not define the entire curriculum.

Another issue in curriculum development is the question of censorship. In states that prepare lists of instructional materials for their schools, the trend is growing to “limit what students shall read.” As the chapter on Governing and Administering Public Education indicated, the list of objectionable works has sometimes included such classics as Little Red Riding Hood and Huckleberry Finn. Today, almost any instructional material that contains political or economic messages, obscenity, sex, nudity, profanity, slang or questionable English, ethnic or racially sensitive material, or any material that could be interpreted as antifamily, antireligious, or anti-American is subject to possible censorship. Additionally, the use of commercial videos and unsupervised Internet access, as discussed in the Technology @ School box, is of much concern to teachers and parents.29

Although censorship is often overt, it can operate in subtle ways as well. Curriculum developers may quietly steer away from issues and materials that would cause controversy in the community. Moreover, textbooks often omit topics that might upset potential audiences or interest groups. Even pictures are important; some organizations count the number of pictures of one ethnic group versus another group, of boys versus girls, of business versus labor. Professional associations can also exert a type of censorship when they recommend certain changes in subject content and implicitly discourage other approaches. Educators must be sensitive to censorship because it is always present in one form or another. In dealing with such issues, we often find that Herbert Spencer’s fundamental question “What knowledge is of most worth?” becomes “Whose knowledge is of most worth?”30

As teaching becomes more professionalized, teachers are increasingly expected to deal with curriculum choices and the complex issues they present. To avoid letting
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curriculum become “a political football,” as Michael Apple terms it, you will need a full understanding of community concerns, statewide standards and goals, and student needs.

### Instructional Approaches

Although educators differ in the definition of curriculum, most recognize that curriculum and instruction are interrelated. To carry out the curriculum, one must rely on instruction—programs, materials, and methods. Even more than with curriculum approaches, most teachers incorporate a variety of instructional strategies in their classes. The search for new programs and methods of instruction is continual. The past four decades, in particular, have witnessed a major effort to improve learning outcomes, integrate technology into the lesson, and have students participate firsthand with the new tools of instruction.

Although we cannot survey all the major instructional innovations, the following sections describe several that have drawn considerable attention from educators. The chapter on School Effectiveness and Reform in the United States treats the subject of instructional approaches in the context of school reform and school effectiveness.

### Individualized Instruction

In recent decades, several models have been advanced for individualized instruction. Although these approaches vary, they all try to provide a one-to-one student–teacher or student–computer relationship. Students proceed at their own rate with carefully sequenced and structured instructional materials, and usually with an emphasis on practice and drill.

One of the early examples, the Individually Prescribed Instruction (IPI) project, was developed at the University of Pittsburgh in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Teachers prepared an individual plan for every student, based on a diagnosis of the student’s needs in each skill or subject. Students worked toward specific proficiency levels. Objectives were stated in behavioral terms. Teachers gave students individualized learning tasks and continually evaluated their progress.

Field testing of individualized instruction programs has generally been positive. Reports on IPI and other approaches have shown significant gains in student achievement. Adaptive instruction, adjusted to students’ individual strengths and weaknesses, seems to benefit all kinds of students, especially low-achieving ones or students with mild disabilities. Nevertheless, most schools today continue to employ group methods of instruction and group expectations. Schools often consider
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individualized plans too expensive to implement because of the costs of materials and one-to-one teacher-student relationships.

**Cooperative Learning**

Cooperative rather than competitive learning is also gaining acceptance as an important way to instruct students. In the traditional classroom structure, students compete for teacher recognition and grades. The same students tend to be “winners” and “losers” over the years because of differences in ability and achievement. High-achieving students continually receive rewards and are motivated to learn, whereas low-achieving students continually experience failure (or near failure) and frustration. The idea of cooperative learning is to change the traditional structure by reducing competition and increasing cooperation among students, thus diminishing possible hostility and tension among students and raising the academic achievement of all.

This does not mean that competition has no place in the classroom or school. Under the right conditions, competition can be a source of fun, excitement, and motivation. The chief advocates of cooperation tell us that competition can be used
successfully to improve performance on simple drill activities and speed-related tasks (such as spelling, vocabulary, and simple math computations), in low-anxiety games, and on the athletic field.\(^{34}\)

In cooperative learning, however, competition takes second place. According to a review of the research, cooperation among participants helps build (1) positive and coherent personal identity, (2) self-actualization and mental health, (3) knowledge and trust of one another, (4) communication with one another, (5) acceptance and support of one another, and (6) wholesome relationships with a reduced amount of conflict. The data also suggest that cooperation and group learning are considerably more effective in fostering these social and interpersonal skills than are competitive or individualistic efforts.\(^{35}\)

Of all the cooperative instructional arrangements, the two developed by Robert Slavin are most popular: Student-Teams Achievement Divisions (STAD) and Team-Assisted Individualization (TAI). Both methods have increased student achievement when the proper procedures have been followed.\(^{36}\) In STAD, teams are composed of four or five members, preferably four (an arrangement that contradicts other
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research indicating that groups of four tend to pair off). Teams are balanced by ability, gender, and ethnicity. Team members provide assistance and feedback to each other and receive a group performance score on quizzes. They also receive recognition via bulletin boards, certificates, special activities and privileges, and letters to parents. The teams are changed every five or six weeks to give students an opportunity to work with others and to give members of low-scoring teams a new chance.\(^{37}\)

The TAI approach puts more emphasis on mastery of particular skill sheets and on individual diagnosis through pre- and post-testing. Students first work on their own skill sheets and then have their partners or team members check their answers and provide assistance. Not until the student scores 80 percent or higher on a practice quiz is the student certified by the team to take the final test. Teams are scored and recognized in the same way as with STAD, but criteria are established for “superteams” (high performance), “great teams” (moderate performance), and “good teams” (minimum passing grade). Every day the teacher spends five to fifteen minutes of the forty-five-minute lesson period with two or three groups at about the same point in the curriculum. The other teams work on their own during this time.\(^{38}\)

### Mastery Instruction

**Mastery instruction** is an instructional plan for all grade levels and subjects. The approach most widely used in public schools is the Learning for Mastery (LFM) model, often referred to as mastery learning. Mastery learning has gained supporters, particularly in urban school districts that have an obvious and urgent need to improve academic performance.\(^ {39}\)

Mastery approaches are based on the central argument that nearly all public-school students can learn much of the curriculum at practically the same level of mastery. Although slower students require a longer time to learn the same materials, they can succeed if their initial level of knowledge is correctly diagnosed and if they are taught with appropriate methods and materials in a sequential manner, beginning with their initial competency level.\(^ {40}\)

To accomplish this goal, you would focus attention on small units of instruction and use criterion-referenced tests to determine whether a student has the skills required for success at each step in the learning sequence. An entire course such as third-grade mathematics is too complex to be studied in large units. Instead, you would break it down into smaller modules and see that your students master each of them thoroughly (scoring 80 to 90 percent correct on tests) before moving to the next module.


Hundreds of studies have examined mastery learning. After reviewing this broad span of literature, several observers have concluded that mastery strategies do have moderate to strong effects on student learning when compared to conventional methods. Studies of entire school districts show that mastery approaches also succeed in teaching basic skills such as reading and mathematics on which later learning depends. Moreover, inner-city students profit more from this approach than from traditional groupings of instruction, and even students at risk and those with learning disabilities achieve at mastery levels.

Questions and criticisms remain unanswered, however. Many educators, for example, are unconvinced that mastery approaches can accomplish “higher-order” learning, even though Bloom has reported positive gains in higher-order thinking skills correlated with the mastery learning approach. Educators are also uncertain how well the various mastery approaches work for affective learning or for different types of students. Moreover, it is unknown to what extent teachers are “teaching to the test” to avoid blame for students’ failure to master the material. Other critics claim that even though reading, writing, and mathematics are being broken down into discrete skills and mastered, the students still cannot read, write, or compute any better. Although students show gains on small skill-acquisition items, this does not necessarily prove learning. Finally, mastery learning and other individualized instructional systems are difficult to implement. Responsibility falls on the teacher, who must adapt the instruction to each student. To implement such a plan, you must continually monitor each student’s work, determine what skills and tasks each student has mastered, and provide immediate feedback—a challenge in a class of twenty-five or more students.

**Critical Thinking**

Today, we speak of critical thinking and thinking skills to denote problem-solving ability. Interest in this concept has produced an outpouring of articles in the professional literature, a host of conferences and reports on the subject, and a majority of states’ efforts to bolster critical thinking for all students.

Most of the commentators argue that critical thinking is a teachable form of intelligence. The leading proponents of this school are Matthew Lipman and Robert.
Sternberg. Lipman seeks to foster thirty critical thinking skills, generally designed for elementary-school grades. These skills include understanding concepts, generalizations, cause–effect relationships, analogies, part–whole and whole–part connections, and applications of principles to real-life situations. Lipman’s strategy for teaching critical thinking has children spend a considerable portion of their time thinking about thinking (a process known as metacognition) and about ways in which effective thinking is distinguished from ineffective thinking.

Some critics of critical thinking approaches contend that teaching a person to think is like teaching someone to swing a golf club or tennis racket; it involves a holistic approach, not the piecemeal effort implied by proponents such as Lipman. Critical thinking, the critics say, is too complex a mental operation to divide into small processes; the result depends on “a student’s total intellectual functioning, not on a set of narrowly defined skills.” Moreover, as Sternberg has cautioned, critical thinking programs that stress “right” answers and objectively scored test items may be far removed from the problems students face in everyday life. Thus many educators believe that attempts to teach critical thinking as a separate program or as a particular group of defined skills are self-defeating. Ideally, one might argue, critical thinking should be integrated into all courses throughout the curriculum so that students are continually challenged to develop an inquiring attitude and a critical frame of mind.

Computerized Instruction

The role of computers in our schools continues to increase. In 1980, the nation’s schools used about fifty thousand microcomputers. Twenty years later, by the end of the twentieth century, this number had soared to more than eight million, and it continues to grow.

Patrick Suppes, an early innovator of computer use in schools, coined the term computer-assisted instruction (CAI). Suppes defined three levels of CAI: practice and drill, tutoring, and dialogue. At the simplest level, students work through computer drills in spelling, reading, foreign languages, simple computations, and so forth. At the second level, the computer acts as a tutor, taking over the function of presenting new concepts. As soon as the student shows a clear understanding, he or she moves to the next exercise. The third and highest level, dialogue, involves an interaction between the student and the computer. The student can communicate


52 Digest of Education Statistics, 2002, Figure 31.

with the machine—not only give responses but ask new questions—and the computer will understand and react appropriately.

More recent applications often involve a hypermedia approach, which represents a significant shift in how information is presented and accessed by students. Hypermedia structures information nonsequentially. “Nodes” or information chunks are connected through associative, or topically related, links and presented in the program through text, illustrations, or sound. Thus the hypermedia approach allows learners to browse through an information base to construct their own relationships. This process makes learning more meaningful because it relates to the student’s knowledge structure rather than that of the teacher or a textbook. Many educational CD-ROMs use a hypermedia approach. So, of course, does the World Wide Web, with its rapid links to diverse and far-ranging sites. Increasingly, the Web presents a variety of curriculum options for teachers who want to supplement their curriculum program.

Many teachers, once skeptical of computers, are abandoning the chalkboard in favor of the computer terminal because they believe computers add a challenging and stimulating dimension to classroom learning. Now, the problem that concerns many educators is computer access: as former NEA president Keith Geiger put it, the challenge is “how to ensure every student—rural or urban, rich or poor—access to the most important learning tool of our time.” A majority of students now have at least some computer access. In 2005, the National Center for Educational Statistics reported that 100 percent of the nation’s schools were connected to the Internet (up from 35 percent in 1994). Although only about 14 percent of the nation’s classrooms had Internet access in 1996, the number had reached 93 percent by 2003. The percentage of students with computer access at home (36 percent in 1993) reached 90 percent in 2003. The next challenge is to make good educational use of the computers available.

---

**Hypermedia browsing**

**Problem of equal access**
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Watch the video “Integrating Internet Research: High School Social Studies” to see how a teacher can use technology in the classroom to encourage student engagement and aid in student understanding of important concepts. After watching the video, answer the questions below:

1. Describe the multiple skills and pieces of content that students will master as a result of completing the Civil Rights Scrapbook project.

2. Many teachers may be leery of integrating computer use into their classrooms and lessons. Based on watching the video case, what are your thoughts about the pros and cons of technology use in schools?

---


56Deb Reichmann, “Nerd Discipline Needed in Schools,” The Sun, September 7, 1998, p. C4; and The Condition of Education, 2000, Table 418; Digest of Education Statistics, 2005, Figure 416 and Figure 418.
Early in the computer revolution, some educators worried that computerized instruction involved students with machines and materials that, in themselves, had minimal emotional and affective components. Such critics justifiably contended that substituting a machine for a human teacher left the student with no true guidance and with too little personal interaction. The expansion of the Internet and the increasing access to it in schools across the country should help combat this impersonal aspect of computers. With e-mail and other electronic means of contact, students can reach other people, not merely other collections of information. Moreover, the computer can be used to build a sense of inquiry, to “mess about,” to explore, and to improve thinking skills. When students learn how to think and explore with the computer, their potential for innovation and creativity is unlimited.

**Video and Satellite Systems**

Along with the computer, advances in video technology have brought many other valuable tools for instruction. In foreign language, English, science, history, geography, government, and even the arts (music, drama, dance, creative writing, visual arts), teachers have discovered considerable value in the use of videotapes, videodisks, CD-ROMs, DVDs, satellite links, telecommunication networks (such as Channel 1 for news and current events), and even cable television. They believe this technology makes instruction more accessible and productive.

A study by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting showed that television, video, and the Internet are becoming widely used and more fully integrated into the curriculum. Other studies have shown increased student achievement in courses with integrated video segments. Videotapes, DVDs, and disks serve in classroom instruction, libraries, resource centers, and students’ homes. They can be played at any convenient time, so students need never miss a lesson. Hundreds of catalogs and websites offer videos, CD-ROMs, and DVDs on a wide range of subjects. Many school systems and teachers have also begun to produce their own videos for specific instructional purposes. A videoprinter can reproduce individual images from the screen—photographs, tables, graphs, or any other useful picture—on paper for further study. Educators are also investigating ways to use the popularity of videogames for teaching purposes. Math, reading, and writing lessons can be written in a videogame format, giving students more lively practices and drills in a game atmosphere.

More and more, videos are designed to be interactive—that is, to respond to the student’s input. The term *interactive video instruction* (IVI) applies to realistic simulations and action–reaction situations presented as part of an instructional program. The program can tell the viewer if a response is right or wrong or offer a choice of

---

options and then display the outcome of the option chosen. Interactive videos supply either individual lessons or instruction in small groups.

As one educator says, video technology “is the next best thing to being there.” In fact, some applications invite students to enter a virtual reality far from their classroom. They might interact with zookeepers at the San Diego Zoo, plan their upcoming field trip with local museum staff, or interact directly with White House staffers on a “hot” issue before the Congress. Video use in schools has increased at such a staggering rate that teachers must plan ways to integrate it into the curriculum. In an era when the number of videos rented from video stores surpasses the total number of books checked out of libraries, you should help your students become critical video consumers, aware of how visual images affect us as individuals and as a society.

**Distance Education** Many of the electronic systems discussed in the past two sections have the potential for transporting educational materials and instruction across long distances. “Distance education” refers to the many ways in which schools make use of this technology.

For example, schools may select television programs specifically developed for educational purposes and have them beamed into the classroom by satellite. This is particularly useful for small, rural schools with limited local resources, as well as for colleges and universities as they reach beyond their traditional service areas. Schools can also make use of home cable systems that carry educational programming, such as the Discovery, Learning, and History channels, each of which offers special programs on a wide range of subjects.

With the expansion of videophone links and the Internet, distance education is becoming a resource not just for isolated or small schools but for any school that wants to extend its students’ horizons. Widespread workplace computer use—more than 50 percent of all workers use computers on the job—motivates educators to integrate computer knowledge and skills into classroom instruction. Colleges and universities are outpacing public schools with Web-enhanced courses, distance learning, Web-based courses, and even complete Web-based academic programs. It will be a
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challenge for public-school teachers to match these creative efforts. In addition, Web-based instruction in lifelong learning (see following text) and corporate training programs is growing dramatically. It will be interesting to see if elementary and secondary schools follow this lead. But teachers also are using electronic technology to put their students in touch with experts, teachers, and other students around the globe. The point of contact can be as close as an adjacent school or as far away as the frigid Antarctic.

To some educators, our rapid technological advances spell the eventual demise of “pencil technology.” In the twenty-first century, the textbook may not be the norm that it once was; it may be incidental, or it may take on different forms, such as wireless technology, hand-held devices, and e-mail dialogue; it may monitor student progress and present information in a highly visual and stimulating way. Experts do agree, however, that technological knowledge and skills will be essential components in the preparation and repertoire of all teachers.

Emerging Curriculum Trends: An Agenda for the Future

In discussing computer links and communications technology, we have already begun to step from the present into the future. Student learning will increase through interaction with computers. Not all learning will be centered in the school classroom, and use of computers and the Internet, both in school and at home, will greatly expand how you and your students access information and, just as importantly, what information you access. What other trends will the future bring to American classrooms? We describe several of the most important likely trends in the following list.

1. Lifelong learning. Rapid social, technological, and economic changes have forced people to prepare for second or third careers and to keep themselves updated on new developments that affect their personal and social goals. Lifelong learning will be an especially important element in your professional life as a teacher.

Some observers believe that much of the learning provided by elementary, secondary, and postsecondary schools may in the future come from business and industry, especially to meet the needs of a skilled work force in high-tech
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and information-based industries. Still other scenarios envision educating adolescents and adults through a network of community resources and small learning centers and libraries.

2. *The restoration of geography.* In 1984 the National Geographic Association, in concert with the Association of American Geographers and the Association for Geographic Education, began a national program to improve understanding of the basic principles of geography and special methods for teaching the discipline. As a result of these efforts, geography has been undergoing a renaissance in the school curriculum and is being linked to various curriculum foci, such as back-to-basics, multicultural education, environmental education, and global education.

3. *National curriculum standards.* The U.S. Department of Education has funded the development of national curriculum standards in seven subject fields: history, geography, economics, English, foreign languages, mathematics, and science. Many of these efforts have been spearheaded by professional organizations in the respective disciplines, such as the American Historical Association, the National Academy of Science, and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. Back-to-basics proponents are promoting national curriculum standards as a way to restore the primacy of basic content to the curriculum, and the federal government has offered financial incentives for states to adopt the national curriculum standards. The concept of national curriculum standards has not, however, been sufficiently accepted for states to buy into national standards; thus it remains a subject of considerable discussion among curriculum developers at the national, state, and local levels.

4. *International education.* As many educators and laypeople have pointed out, increasing interdependence among nations demands that Americans become more knowledgeable about distant lands. One area of international education that U.S. schools may particularly need to address is foreign language instruction. Although forty states require schools to offer two years of foreign language study, only twenty-seven states offer it as part of the core curriculum, on an equal footing with other major disciplines. In 2001, 46 percent of U.S. secondary students were enrolled in foreign language classes.
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An elementary-level study found the percentage of foreign language instruction at 30 percent, up dramatically from 20 percent in 1987.\(^\text{72}\)

When students do study foreign languages, they do not necessarily concentrate on those most important in the current world economy. The most common spoken language in the world is Mandarin, for example, and the third most common is Hindi. However, few U.S. public schools teach Mandarin, and practically none offers Hindi. Failure to train students in the world’s most common languages may severely limit the future growth of U.S. trade.

U.S. education probably must become more international in other ways as well. Educators may expand travel exchange programs and perhaps make study in another culture a graduation requirement. Emphasis on international geography, history, political science, and economics may increase. As the world becomes more interconnected and interdependent, such needs will become more evident and more funds may be devoted to the area of global curriculum.

5. Health education and physical fitness. Health trends in the U.S. population are producing new pressures to expand or change the curriculum. For example, the epidemic of AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome), with its dire risk to sexually active adolescents, has forced educators to confront the issue of student health in a new way. However, only twenty-seven states require any form of health or sex education, and when health education is offered, it is more likely to be taught by science, physical education, or other subject-area teachers than by certified health teachers. Elementary teachers are generally poorly trained in this field.\(^\text{73}\) Many educators believe that this problem must and will be addressed in the future.

Drugs are another critical matter. As detailed in the chapter on Culture, Socialization and Education, after a decade of decline in illicit drug use among teenagers, we are seeing a disturbing trend toward increased use of marijuana, alcohol, and other drugs and finding more drugs on school campuses.\(^\text{74}\)

Obesity, dietary habits, and exercise are yet another health concern. As one report summed up the situation, “We presently have a generation of adolescents that is heavier, less physically active and that is smoking more than its parents were at the same age.” Increasingly, weight problems are a concern for elementary-age children, too. For that reason, the American Cancer Society and American Public Health Association have developed a draft set of national


standards for health education and have called for its inclusion in the high-
school curriculum as a core subject.75

6. Technology Education. Teachers, students, and parents find themselves function-
ing, at work and at home, in an increasingly technological environment. In less than
fifteen years, we have gone from audiotapes to iPods, from CDROMs to CD burners, videotapes to DVDs, and transparencies to Power-
Point slides. Who knows what technology we will be talking about in the next
decade, but you can be sure it will be challenging and exciting and have a
significant impact on our lives. Our current generation of students—even
elementary-grade students—are often more technologically proficient than
their teachers and parents. School systems will need to commit both financial
and human resources to help already overloaded faculty stay up-to-date tech-
nologically. Teacher-education programs must prepare new teachers for this
technological world. And, as a teacher, you must continue to stay abreast of
the latest technology before your students outpace you.

7. Immigrant education. The education of immigrant children in America has
growing implications for curriculum. The United States is currently in the
midst of its second largest immigration wave since the beginning of the twen-
tieth century. Legal immigration now accounts for up to one-half of the an-
nual growth in the U.S. population. In fact, nearly one in ten U.S. residents in
1999 was foreign born—double the 1970 figure.76 Additionally, illegal Mexican/
Hispanic immigrants, alone, are currently estimated at over nine million peo-
ple in the United States.

Cultural differences in learning styles or thinking patterns may lead
immigrant children to be mistakenly labeled “learning disabled” or “slow.”
Value hierarchies vary widely across cultures, so that immigrant children
have diverse attitudes about school, teacher authority, gender differences, social
class, and behavior, all of which have implications for their success in school.77

Many educators believe that immigrant children need special pro-
grams, such as expanded bilingual and multicultural education, to help
them acclimate. Multicultural programs also help longer-established groups
understand how much the new immigrants can contribute to American
society. However, as we saw in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational
Opportunity, multicultural education remains a controversial subject, and
schools are still working out their responses to the new rise of immigra-
tion. Some educators believe English-language immersion should take
place as soon as possible. For example, California’s Proposition 227,
enacted in 1998, required the state’s one thousand school districts to
place limited-English-proficient students in English immersion classes rather
than in bilingual classes.78

tional Center for Education Statistics, 2006).
76Center for Immigration Studies, “Immigration Related Statistics,” Backgrounder (July 1995),
pp. 6, 11; Randy Capps, “Hardship Among Children of Immigrants: Findings from the 1999
Survey of America’s Families,” at http://www.urban.org/Content/Research/NewFederalism; and
Alec Gersberg, Beyond Bilingual Education: New Immigrants and Public School Policies (Washing-
Words of Caution

Although curriculum should evolve to serve a changing society, we caution you on several fronts. Change for the sake of change is not good. Schools throughout the ages have thought their programs were on the cutting edge of progress, and they have often been wrong.

New knowledge, indeed, is not necessarily better than old knowledge. Are we to throw away most of Aristotle, Galileo, Kepler, Darwin, and Newton merely because they are not part of this century? If we stress only scientific and technological knowledge, we could languish physically, aesthetically, morally, and spiritually. We must learn to prune away old and irrelevant parts of the curriculum and to integrate and balance new knowledge. As we modify and update content, we need to protect schools and students against fads and frills, and especially against extremist points of view. We must keep in perspective the type of society we have, the values we cherish, and the educational goals we wish to achieve.

REFOCUS Which of the trends listed do you believe will have the strongest influence on your career as a teacher? Why? In what ways will you be affected?

Summing Up

1. In organizing the curriculum, most educators hold to the traditional concept of curriculum as the body of subjects, or subject matter. Nevertheless, contemporary educators more concerned with the learner’s experiences regard the student as the focus of curriculum.

2. Examples of a subject-centered approach include the following types of curriculum: (1) subject area, (2) perennialist and essentialist, (3) back-to-basics, and (4) new core.

3. Examples of a student-centered approach include the following types of curriculum: (1) activity-centered
Discuss the benefits and limitations of using a single textbook as the basis for a course curriculum.

Recent decades have produced significant instructional innovations, including (1) individualized instruction, (2) cooperative learning, (3) mastery instruction, (4) critical thinking, (5) computerized instruction, and (6) the use of video and satellite systems.

The last two areas of innovation have made distance learning an increasingly important resource.

Future curricular trends will probably include the following: (1) lifelong learning, (2) return of geography, (3) national curriculum standards, (4) international education, (5) health education and physical fitness, (6) technology education, and (7) immigrant education.

Key Terms

Curriculum (413)
Subject-centered curricula (414)
Subject-area curriculum (415)
Perennialist approach to curriculum (415)
Essentialist approach to curriculum (417)
Back-to-basics curriculum (417)
New core curriculum (core subjects approach) (419)
Student-centered curricula (420)
Activity-centered curriculum (421)
Relevant curriculum (421)
Humanistic approach to curriculum (421)
Alternative (free) school (422)
Values-centered curriculum (422)
Individualized instruction (429)
Cooperative learning (430)
Mastery instruction (432)
Critical thinking (433)
Hypermedia (435)
Distance education (437)

Certification Connection

Chapter 14 examines issues related to Curriculum and Instruction. In the Praxis II: Subject Assessments, you may be required to develop individual lessons or units that demonstrate their ability to effectively plan and teach content from their chosen discipline. After reflecting on the types of curriculum and instructional innovations presented in the chapter, select a common topic from the teaching field and outline a brief unit that utilizes several of the innovative strategies examined in the chapter.

Discussion Questions

1. Discuss the benefits and limitations of using a single textbook as the basis for a course curriculum.
2. Does your teacher-education program seem to favor one curriculum approach over another? Why might this be so? What kind of curriculum approach would you recommend for a teacher-education program? Relate your approach to your philosophy of education.
4. List curriculum changes you expect to see in the future. How will these affect your work as a teacher?

Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. How is curriculum organized in the schools you visit? Ask to see a curriculum guide for your subject field. Is it a general outline of content and activities, or is it a detailed list of objectives, activities, and materials and resources? Which approach do you believe is better for students? More helpful to teachers?
2. In your visits to schools this semester, talk with teachers about the curriculum they teach. Do they use a subject-centered approach, and, if so, which of the four subject-centered approaches described in this chapter best describes their procedure? If they use a student-centered approach, which of the five student-centered approaches best describes what they do? Of the several schools you have visited, explain which school’s approach best fits your ideas for a curriculum.
3. Talk with members of the educational faculty at your college. What do they see as the pros and cons of subject-centered versus student-centered curricula?
4. Draw up your own version of Table 14.1, reflecting what you see as the proper division of time for today’s
elementary schools. (Or do a similar chart for high schools or middle schools.) Show your chart to one or more classmates and defend your decisions.

6 Explore the Internet and other electronic resources for learning. Identify a series of topics about which you would like to know more to further your professional knowledge and development.

Using the following Internet Resources section, select a topic or unit of instruction and develop a portfolio of resources and sample lesson plans to use as a teacher.

## Suggested Resources

### Internet Resources

The development of national curriculum standards can be followed by checking the latest documents on the subject at the U.S. Department of Education’s Internet site (www.ed.gov) or the ERIC database (www.eric.ed.gov/) and searching for curriculum and instructional resources. There are hundreds of other interesting offerings on the World Wide Web, with new ones appearing every month. For a sample you might look at the Teachers College Record—at www.tcrecord.org/: click on “Featured Articles” and scan the wide array of topics, such as: curriculum, assessment and evaluation, diversity, teaching and teacher education. And don’t overlook the section on Book Reviews.

Information on curriculum and instruction can also be found by exploring state-level sites and other links accessible at www.nasbe.org or by using Google, Infoseek, Yahoo!, Alta Vista, or other search engines for “education journals,” “curriculum,” and “educational issues.”

### Publications


*The Electronic School*, 2006. Supplement to the monthly American School Board Journal. *This quarterly supplement offers excellent suggestions on electronic education and electronic educational resources for students, teachers, administrators, and school system leaders.*


Many educational reformers have suggested that the United States could improve its educational system by emulating other countries. Japanese education has received particular attention because it appears to have contributed in large measure to Japan’s economic success during the past fifty years. But imitating educational practices from other countries raises questions. Would they work in an American context? Do they mesh with American beliefs and values?

Before beginning to answer such questions, we need to understand the varieties of educational systems other countries employ: how they resemble one another, how they differ, and which particular features are most effective in which contexts. In this chapter we offer an introduction to that kind of analysis. We then consider education in developing countries and international studies of school improvement. Finally, we offer a brief comment on the accomplishments of U.S. schools in an international context. As you read the chapter, see what answers you formulate to the following basic questions:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What do educational systems in various countries have in common? In what respects do they differ?
- How do educational systems differ with respect to the resources they devote to education and the percentage of students they enroll?
- How does the achievement of U.S. students compare with that of students in other countries?
- Which countries provide examples of outstanding educational activities that may be worth emulating elsewhere?
What should be done to improve education in developing countries?

How do the purposes and attainments of U.S. schools compare with those of other countries?

Commonalities in Educational Systems

At first glance, classrooms around the world may seem to have little in common. Consider a classroom in a rural Sudanese village and one in contemporary Japan, for example. In Sudan, the building has no electricity and the earthen floor is uncovered. The students are all boys. None of the teachers has a high-school diploma; the curriculum and teaching, which rely heavily on memorization and recitation, are determined by the country’s ministry of education. In highly developed Japan, by

### Overview 15.1

**Areas of Similarities and Differences Among Educational Systems of the World**

**Commonalities—**Many educational systems in the world face the same challenges.

| **Social Class Origins and School Outcomes** | Throughout most of the world, lower-income students are at an educational disadvantage. |
| **Multicultural Populations** | Nearly every nation must find ways to effectively educate diverse student populations. |
| **Teaching Approaches and Conditions** | Teachers in many countries share similar sources of frustration and reward, and research finds remarkable similarity in the teaching and learning processes of different school systems. |

**Differences—**Many areas of distinction define individual countries’ educational systems.

| **Resources Devoted to Education** | The percentage of gross domestic income spent on education varies because of countries’ incomes and the priority they give to education. Larger expenditures allow for more student enrollment and a higher level of educational services. |
| **Extent of Centralization** | Nations vary widely in how much educational decision making occurs at local and national government levels. |
| **Curriculum Content and Instructional Emphases** | The subjects and methods that receive most attention reflect the culture and priorities of each country. |
| **Vocational Versus Academic Education** | After the first few years of common schooling, some nations more commonly separate students into academic or vocational educational tracks for further education. |
| **Enrollment in Higher Education** | Emphasis on academics in earlier schools, resources devoted to education, and occupational requirements in different countries contribute to wide variations in enrollment and completion of college and university studies. |
| **Nonpublic Schools** | Differences in culture and governmental structure contribute to variations in the size and functioning of nonpublic education. |
| **Achievement Levels** | U.S. students rank toward the middle on most international achievement tests, which leads many to conclude that U.S. schools need improvement. |
way of contrast, modern school buildings house classes of boys and girls, almost all of whom will complete high school. Teachers are highly respected professionals with college degrees. They are given considerable latitude in devising activities and adapting materials that satisfy the national guidelines, which emphasize development of children’s thinking and problem-solving skills, as well as social, moral, and physical instruction that benefits the “whole person.”

Despite the great variety in educational systems worldwide, however, certain commonalities exist. The following sections describe widespread characteristics and problems: the strong relationships between students’ social-class origins and their success in school, the educational challenges posed by multicultural populations, typical teaching approaches, and professional conditions teachers face. Overview 15.1 summarizes commonalities and differences among educational systems.

Social-Class Origins and School Outcomes

As we noted in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement, various national and international studies have illustrated the strong relationships between students’ socioeconomic background and their success in school and in the economic system. For example, World Bank studies have reported that family socioeconomic background is a salient predictor of students’ achievement in both industrialized and developing countries. Similarly, Donald Treiman and others have found that individuals’ social-class origins and background relate to their educational and occupational attainment regardless of whether their society is rich or poor, politically liberal or conservative.1 A multitude of studies such as these also demonstrate that the family and home environments of low-income students generate the same kinds of educational disadvantages in other countries as in the United States.2

Multicultural Populations and Problems

Except in a few homogeneous countries, nationwide systems of education enroll diverse groups of students who differ significantly with respect to race, ethnicity, religion, native language, and cultural practices. (Its geographic isolation and cultural insularity make Japan one of the exceptions to this generalization.) Most large nations historically have included numerous racial/ethnic and cultural subgroups, but the twentieth century seems to have greatly accelerated the mixture of diverse groups across and within national boundaries. World and regional wars, global depressions and recessions, migration and immigration to large urban centers that offer expanded economic opportunity—these and other destabilizing forces have led

---

New Perspectives

Dr. Harris addressed the classroom of education majors:

“I’m wondering if any of you know how many languages are spoken in Minneapolis schools, in New York City schools, Houston schools, or Los Angeles schools.”

He answered his own rhetorical question as he introduced his main topic for the day’s discussion. “Right here in Minneapolis, we have students speaking more than ninety different languages.

“How many of you speak more than one language? How many of you have traveled to other countries to see how children are educated in various parts of the world? Whether or not you have traveled, whether or not you are bilingual, you will be working with students from different cultures and with parents who have differing expectations from educators.

“We have a responsibility to serve all our children well,” he continued. “What do you think we can do to help you preservice teachers prepare to deal effectively with students from other countries?”

“One obvious way is to have us read about the different types of schooling and the different approaches to teaching used around the world,” suggested Michael Ervin.

“We could research countries on the Internet to get a sense of how their schools are organized and run,” commented Sally Newman.

“Why don’t we use on-campus resources?” Bob Barrett said. “We could interview international students that we know about their country’s educational process.”

Tanghe Yu added, “I think the best way to gain an appreciation of the different perspectives would be to actually visit the site. That way, whether we’re students or student teachers, we could immerse ourselves in the educational experiences of the students. I moved here from Taiwan when I was eight years old. I can tell you that my schooling there and here, even in the early years, differed substantially.”

Dr. Harris responded. “Yes, those are all great suggestions. Tanghe, I think your idea has special merit. Since the 9/11 incidents, I have been thinking that we really need to help build more understanding between and among different groups. Who would like to travel and learn about schools in other countries?” About half of the class raised a hand. “Right now, we don’t have the funds,” he commented, “but perhaps we can start by looking at grant opportunities that may help us.”

Questions

1. How are you, as a preservice teacher, preparing yourself to deal with the students and families who come to public schools with languages and cultural backgrounds different from your own?
2. How have the schools you observed in your own community worked with students and families with varying cultural backgrounds? What strategies do you consider most effective?
3. Would you want to participate in a program such as the one suggested by Dr. Harris? If so, to which country would you travel, and why? If not, why not?

some historians to see recent decades as the era of the migrant and the refugee. These forces more or less ensure that you, as a teacher, will have students from other nations in your classes. As the In This Case feature describes, you might consider using the ease of global travel to your advantage now, to help you prepare for the opportunities and challenges of teaching international students.

Not surprisingly, then, other countries encounter challenges in multicultural education similar to those of the United States: ineffective traditional instruction, providing bilingual education, and desegregating minority students. (See also the chapters on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity and The Purposes of Education.) This is

partly because minority racial, ethnic, and religious groups in many nations, as in the United States, frequently are low in socioeconomic status. England, France, the Netherlands, and other European countries, for example, have many lower-income students from Africa, Asia, the Caribbean, and other distant locations. Germany is struggling to provide effective education for the children of Romany (Gypsy), Slavic, and Turkish migrants, and most West African nations include students from numerous disadvantaged tribal and minority-language groups.4

**Teaching Approaches and Conditions**

Although instructional approaches vary considerably from one teacher to another and the conditions for teaching and learning change accordingly in different classrooms and schools, practices emphasized around the world typically show much similarity. Two researchers who analyzed data on fifth- through ninth-grade classes in mathematics, social studies, and science in ten countries found “remarkable similarity with respect to the teaching and learning process.” In general, in all ten participating countries, the primary classroom activities included teacher-presented lectures or demonstrations plus seatwork activity.5

Scholars in many countries report that teachers also share similar sources of frustration or reward. Around the world, teachers typically cite the following sources of “professional discouragement”: lack of time to accomplish priority goals, a multiplicity of sometimes conflicting role demands, and lack of full support from administrators. Sources of “professional enthusiasm” generally center on relationships with students and satisfaction with students’ accomplishments. Just as in the United States, these sources of teacher enthusiasm and discouragement reflect “the reality of schools.”6

**Differences in Educational Systems and Outcomes**

Each nation’s educational system also differs in important ways from other systems. We’ll discuss some of the most significant differences in the following sections.

**Resources Devoted to Education**

One fundamental way in which nations differ is in the percentage of their resources they devote to education rather than to priorities such as highways, health care, and military forces. As a percentage of gross domestic product (wealth produced
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annually), public expenditures on K–12 and higher education range from 3 to 4.5 percent in nations low in average income and/or that place relatively little priority on education, to more than 7 percent in nations with high average income and/or that emphasize education. In the world’s poorest countries, average per capita expenditures on military forces are nearly one-third greater than per capita spending for education.7

Student–Teacher Ratios at the Primary Level  Relatively wealthy nations, as well as nations that allocate many of their resources to education, can provide a higher level of services than poor nations that mobilize relatively few resources for their schools. For example, average primary-level student–teacher ratios tend to be much higher in poorer regions than in wealthier regions. More than half of African nations report an average student–teacher ratio of more than thirty to one, whereas most European and North American nations average twenty to one or fewer. Large differences also emerge, however, when we compare wealthy countries with each other, and when we compare poor countries with other poor countries.8

Enrollment Ratios  The resources devoted to education also help determine whether most children and youth attend school and whether they obtain diplomas or degrees. Data collected by UNESCO indicate that in “more developed regions”
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(Australia, Japan, New Zealand, North America, and most of Western Europe) nearly all children attend elementary schools. In what UNESCO designates as “less developed regions” (including most of Africa, the Arab states, much of Asia, and Latin America), nearly 20 percent of elementary-age children do not attend school. (In underdeveloped nations such as Liberia and Sudan, more than 60 percent of children are not in school.) Discrepancies in enrollment ratios between developed and less developed nations become even greater at the secondary and higher-education levels.9

**Male and Female Enrollments** We noted in the chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education that U.S. girls have higher reading scores than boys, and that females have become a majority in higher-education institutions. The same pattern has appeared in other developed nations. With a few exceptions, such as Japan and Turkey, female enrollment in colleges and universities in wealthy nations has been growing to the extent that more women than men obtain first degrees. However, the pattern is different in developing nations, where males frequently vastly outnumber females in higher education, secondary schools, and, sometimes, even elementary schools. Many analysts believe that the low enrollment ratio for girls compared to boys in many low-income countries in Africa and Asia is both a cause and an effect of economic development problems.10

**The United States Among Industrial Nations** For certain purposes it is instructive to compare wealthy or highly industrialized nations with each other rather than with poor or economically underdeveloped nations. Other factors remaining equal, nations with less wealth and fewer resources have a much harder time supporting education or other government services than do those with a strong economic base. Thus, to analyze how well the United States mobilizes resources for education, we should compare it with other developed countries.11

Several recent controversies have erupted about this subject. Although public-school critics have claimed that American education expenditures are “unsurpassed,” many researchers disagree. When we subtract funding for higher education, the United States ranks below the top on education expenditures. Figure 15.1 shows such a comparison in graphic form. In terms of public education expenditures for grades 1 through 12 as a percentage of gross domestic product, the United States ranks only fifth among twelve industrial countries.12

Analysts also debate whether U.S. teacher salaries are high or low in comparison with those of other industrial countries. Data on teacher salary averages indicate that for both beginning and experienced teachers, average salaries in countries
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11“Developed” nations as classified by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) include Australia, Canada, most of Europe, Israel, Japan, South Africa, the former USSR, the United States, and New Zealand. All others are classified as “developing” nations.

such as Ireland and Norway are a good deal lower than in the United States, but in some other countries they are generally higher.13

Sometimes the comparisons expand to other types of resources that support children’s well-being and development. For example, Timothy Smeeding, comparing the United States with Australia, Canada, Germany, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, found that these five countries average about the same for government expenditures on children’s education and health services as a percentage of gross domestic product. However, he also found that U.S. government expenditures to help provide income security for children’s families are less than half the average for these countries. Smeeding concluded that high rates of divorce, out-of-wedlock births, and other social forces are creating a larger “urban and rural underclass,” making it “increasingly hard to argue that all U.S. children have equal life chances.” A later study by UNICEF supported these conclusions in reporting that the United States stood very near the bottom among twenty-one industrialized nations ranked on various measures of childhood well-being.14
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**Figure 15.1**

Measures of Public Expenditure for Elementary and Secondary Education in Selected Industrial Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Public Education Expenditures as Percentage of Gross Domestic Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Expenditure data are for first grade through high school but exclude capital outlay and debt service. Various adjustments have been made to enhance comparability of the original data. The “Elementary and Secondary Education” category includes nontertiary postsecondary education.


---


Extent of Centralization

All governments must decide whether to emphasize decentralized decision making, which allows for planning and delivering instruction in accordance with local circumstances, or centralized decision making, which builds accountability up and down a national or regional chain of command. Examples go far in either direction. In the United States, most important decisions are decentralized across thousands of diverse public school districts. At the other extreme, France, Greece, and Japan, for example, have highly centralized educational systems and decisions, following nationwide standards concerning acceptable class size and what will be taught in a given subject at a particular grade and time. In some countries, centralization has led to long lines of citizens from all parts of the nation waiting outside the ministry of education for appointments with central school officials who determine what schools children will attend and how students will be treated. We consider centralized versus decentralized systems in the Taking Issue box.

Curriculum Content and Instructional Emphasis

Although, as we have seen, much instruction worldwide consists of teacher lectures and student seatwork, nations do differ with regard to curriculum content and instructional emphasis. The following well-known practices make certain countries distinctive:

- New Zealand primary schools are known for their systematic emphasis on learning to read through “natural language learning.” Using this approach, children learn to figure out words in context as they read, rather than through phonics and decoding instruction.

- The education system in Finland has become known for high achievement and attainment at all levels from preschool through higher education (see Tables 15.1 and 15.2). Various observers have cited features they believe help account for this success: a national core curriculum that emphasizes thinking and students’ active role in learning, an almost complete absence of streaming and tracking, a highly qualified teaching force, provision and updating of science laboratory equipment and materials and computer hardware and software, and early intervention to help struggling students in elementary and secondary schools.

- Schools in certain Islamic countries build much of the curriculum around religious content and emphasize didactic memorization of religious precepts.

---


Establishment of a National Curriculum

Countries with highly centralized public education generally expect teachers to follow a national curriculum that specifies the topics to be taught and the objectives and materials to be emphasized in each subject and grade level. Countries that follow a decentralized pattern primarily relegate decisions about subject matter and materials to a regional group of schools (such as a school district) or individual faculties or teachers. Government officials in some highly decentralized nations such as the United States are considering whether a national curriculum should be established to provide for a more standardized approach in planning and delivering instruction.

Question

Would a United States national curriculum be preferable to decentralized policies that allow individual school districts, schools, or teachers to select instructional objectives and materials?

Arguments PRO

1. Availability of a national curriculum is partly responsible for the high achievement levels in Japan, Korea, and other countries.
2. A national curriculum based on the careful deliberation of subject-area specialists and experienced teachers makes it easier to achieve in-depth teaching of well-sequenced objectives and materials.
3. Uniformity in objectives and materials reduces the inefficiencies and learning problems that occur when students move from one classroom, school, or district to another.
4. A national curriculum will improve teacher education because preparation programs can concentrate on objectives and materials that trainees will teach when they obtain jobs.
5. Because it draws on a large base of resources, national curriculum planning can incorporate the best current thinking in each subject area and help prepare technically excellent tests.

Arguments CON

1. Establishment of a national curriculum runs counter to promising trends toward school-based management and professional autonomy for teachers.
2. A national curriculum is undesirable because its objectives and materials will be too difficult for many students and too easy for others.
3. Particularly in large and diverse countries such as the United States, the standardized materials that form the basis for a national curriculum will be uninteresting and demotivating for many students.
4. Even if the national curriculum allows flexibility in objectives and materials, teachers will be pressured to follow the same path as everyone else, and most likely funds will be unavailable for alternative materials. Therefore students and classes that might benefit from alternatives will suffer.
5. The extreme difficulty in preparing challenging national curriculum materials appropriate to use across a wide range of classrooms will reinforce tendencies to emphasize low-level skills and uncreative materials.

Vocational Versus Academic Education

School systems around the world also differ greatly in how they are organized to provide education through the postsecondary level. Although most nations now provide at least four years of first-level education during which all students attend “primary” or “elementary” schools, above that level systems diverge widely. Most students continue in “common” first-level schools for several more years, but in many countries students are divided between academic-track schools and vocational schools after four to eight years of first-level education. This arrangement, which corresponds to the traditional European dual-track pattern described in the chapter on World Roots of American Education, is often known as a bipartite system.
The proportion of secondary students enrolled in primarily vocational programs varies from less than one-tenth in industrial countries such as Denmark and the United States to more than one-fifth in others such as Germany. Similar variation appears in academic tracks. Some countries, beginning at the secondary level and extending into postsecondary education, enroll large proportions of students in academic schools designed to produce an “elite” corps of high-school or college graduates. In others, including Canada and the United States, most secondary students continue to attend “common” or “comprehensive” schools, and many enroll in colleges that are relatively nonselective.17

**Enrollment in Higher Education**

Countries that channel students into vocational programs tend to have low percentages of youth attending institutions of higher education. By contrast, more youth go on to higher education in countries that provide general academic studies for most high-school students. Other factors that help determine enrollment in higher education include a nation’s investment of resources in higher education, emphasis on postsecondary learning rather than job market entry, traditions regarding the use of higher education to equalize educational opportunities, and the extent to which colleges and universities admit only high-achieving students.

Developing countries with relatively little funding available for higher education and struggling to increase elementary and secondary enrollment levels predictably have low proportions of youth participating in higher education. Thus Afghanistan, China, Ethiopia, Ghana, and many other developing nations enroll less than 20 percent of their young people in higher education. Most industrial countries provide postsecondary education for more than a third of their young adults (see Table 15.1).

Once high-school graduates are enrolled in postsecondary institutions, numerous considerations determine whether they will stay enrolled and eventually gain their degrees: curriculum difficulty, financial aid opportunities, motivation levels, and access

| Table 15.1 Percentage of Twenty-five- to Thirty-four-Year-Olds Who Have Attained Postsecondary (Tertiary) Education, Selected Countries, 2003 |
|---|---|---|
| Australia | 36 | Italy | 13 |
| Belgium | 39 | Japan | 52 |
| Canada | 53 | Korea (South) | 47 |
| Denmark | 36 | Netherlands | 28 |
| Finland | 40 | Norway | 40 |
| France | 37 | Spain | 38 |
| Germany | 22 | Sweden | 40 |
| Iceland | 29 | United Kingdom | 55 |
| Ireland | 57 | United States | 39 |

*Source: Adapted from OECD Factbook 2006 (Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2006), Table B11.*
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to preferred institutions and courses. Industrial nations differ greatly in the proportion of young people who obtain postsecondary degrees. As shown in Table 15.1, for example, the percentages of young adults who complete postsecondary education in industrial nations varies from 13 percent in Italy to more than half in Japan and Canada.

**Decline in U.S. Ranking for College Participation** Until the 1990s, the United States generally had higher percentages of young people attending and completing higher education institutions than any other nation. Only Canada came close. The data in Table 15.1 indicate that this pattern no longer holds. Several nations now surpass the United States in postsecondary participation, and others are gaining rapidly. This change led the author of a study conducted for the Educational Commission of the States to conclude that “if current trends persist and students in the United States continue to enroll in college at the rate they do now, America is likely to slip further behind the growing number of developed nations that have stepped up their efforts over the last decade to increase educational attainment.” She further warned of a serious risk “that competing public priorities and shrinking resources will put access to an affordable and high-quality college education further out of reach for more and more Americans.”

**Nonpublic Schools**

Depending on their histories, political structures, religious composition, legal frameworks, and other factors, nations differ greatly in the size and functions of their nonpublic education sectors. In a few countries, such as the Netherlands, more than half of elementary and secondary students attend private schools. At the other extreme, governments in Cuba, North Korea, and other nations have prohibited nonpublic schools in order to suppress ideologies different from those supported by the state. In most countries, private-school students constitute less than 10 percent of total enrollment.

Nations also vary widely in the extent to which they provide public support for nonpublic schools or students. They differ as to government regulation of nonpublic systems, people’s perceptions of public and nonpublic schools, and the role that private schools are expected to play in national development. In some countries, nonpublic schools enroll a relatively small, elite group of students who later enter the most prestigious colleges; in others they serve a more representative sample of the nation’s children and youth. In some countries, many private schools are small “shoe-string” operations enrolling poor students in urban slums. Given this variety, it is not possible to cross-nationally define a “private school” or generalize about policies that encourage or discourage nonpublic schools. Clearly, productive national policies on nonpublic schools must reflect each country’s unique mix of circumstances and challenges.

---

Achievement Levels

Differences in school achievement among nations have received considerable attention since the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) began conducting cross-national studies in the 1960s. One of the first major IEA projects collected and analyzed data on the achievement of 258,000 students from nineteen countries in civic education, foreign languages, literature, reading comprehension, and science. This study showed a wide range in average achievement levels across nations. In general, the United States ranked close to the middle among the nations included in the study. Later studies, such as the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA), the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS), and the Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), also have found that our students above the fourth grade generally rank near the average for industrial countries (see Table 15.2), but their relative performance appears to have been slipping in recent years.21

Analyzing data from these international studies, scholars have reached conclusions that include the following:22

- National scores in subjects such as reading, math, and science tend to be highly correlated. For example, seven of the eight nations with the highest reading scores in data collected by PISA also were in the highest eight nations with respect to math and science scores.

- As shown in Table 15.2, U.S. students scored well below students in the highest-scoring nations. Some nations, including the United States, have a much greater spread between the performance of low- and high-achieving students than do others such as Finland, Japan, and Korea. The performance of high-achieving American students, however, is comparable to that of the highest performers in other nations.

- Social class correlates strongly with achievement test scores in nearly all nations. However, the spread between working-class and middle-class students is much greater in nations such as the United States than in others such as Finland and Japan that have high average scores and relatively low spread between high and low achievers.

- Instructional characteristics (including class size, amount of time allocated to instruction, teachers’ experience, and amount of homework) generally do not correlate with achievement test scores. For example, most countries studied,

---


including the United States, commonly use mathematics instruction based on “tell and show” approaches that emphasize passive, rote learning. Because some of these countries had scores considerably higher than U.S. scores, however, such approaches could not account for mediocre U.S. performance levels except in interaction with other variables.

Some analysts have concluded that U.S. curricula and instruction, particularly in mathematics, generally are a “mile wide and an inch deep” and that the mediocre performance resulting from this superficial teaching poses a serious threat to our international competitiveness. Several scholars studying the U.S. math curriculum in an international context concluded that it is **unfocused**, with too many topics in too little depth; **highly repetitive; incoherent**, with little logical order to topics; and **undemanding**, particularly at the middle-school level. In addition, U.S. mathematics curricula, in contrast to many other nations, are highly differentiated. That is, our middle-level students tend to be sorted into mathematics tracks that stress algebra and other advanced topics for high-achieving students and simple arithmetic for low achievers. Thus many students with low or medium achievement levels have little opportunity to proceed beyond basic skills. This is in marked contrast to Finland, Hungary, Japan, and some other locations where most students are challenged to perform at a higher level. Most analysts who have reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 15.2</th>
<th>Reading Literacy Scores of Fifteen-Year-Olds in Thirty-One Nations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Korea</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = non-OECD nations. The average score is for the twenty-seven nations belonging to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

these patterns believe that action must be taken to reduce this kind of curriculum differentiation.23

Improvement in U.S. student performance will require systemic change involving setting of standards, assessment of students, teacher preparation, instructional methods, and other aspects of our educational system.

Publication of the PIRLS, PISA, and TIMSS studies has helped ignite emotional controversies. On one side, observers claim that our educational system is more satisfactory than it is often portrayed. While admitting that it needs major improvements, these observers point to such factors as the following:24

■ Our students generally perform at a relatively high reading level through the fourth grade.

■ Cultural factors, not deficiencies in the schools, may be causing much of the relatively low student performance. For example, the high levels of mathematics achievement reported for Hungary, Japan, and Korea may be attributable primarily to the great value their cultures attach to mathematics performance and to strong family support for achievement.

■ Contrary to critics’ statements, achievement in U.S. schools has improved during the past few decades, particularly considering the increased enrollment of minority students from low-income families. These improvements may be attributable in part to the positive effects of compensatory education and school desegregation (see the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement) and to efforts at educational reform.

Critics of U.S. performance have been unappeased by such arguments. Frequently pointing to the particularly low scores that our students register on tests assessing higher-order skills such as math problem solving, they reiterate the importance of improving students’ skills in comprehension, geography, math, science, and other subjects. They conclude that the rankings of U.S. students in numerous international achievement studies represent a deplorable performance level that cannot be corrected without radical efforts to reform or even replace our current system of education.25


Earlier in this chapter we saw that educational inadequacies in developing countries are both a cause and a result of poverty. For this reason, national governments and international organizations have strongly supported bolstering the economies of developing countries by expanding and improving their educational systems. Education usually is considered critical for economic development because it can give people the skills and knowledge to compete in international markets and because it can help bring about a more equitable distribution of wealth and power, which in turn contributes to political stability and long-term economic growth.

However, it has proved exceedingly difficult to achieve widespread, lasting, and balanced improvement of educational systems in many developing countries. For example, extreme poverty in countries such as Rwanda has been partly responsible for restricting the availability of funds to less than one hundred dollars per primary student per year. Developing countries such as India and Nigeria also struggle to overcome educational problems associated with the use of dozens or even hundreds of different languages among their multietnic populations. Numerous developing countries also confront a problem known as brain drain: the number of high-school and university graduates increases, but with no well-paid jobs suitable to their level of education, these well-trained people emigrate to wealthier countries with better employment opportunities.

To improve education in developing countries, researchers have suggested the following steps:

1. Invest more in primary schools to broaden the base of students who can participate in higher levels of education.
2. Avoid emphasizing higher-education subjects that students will tend to study abroad and perhaps not return.
3. Make private schools an integral part of educational expansion plans.
4. Expand efforts to improve students’ cognitive functioning.
5. Work on overcoming obstacles that limit the education of girls and women.
7. Use modern technologies to expand educational opportunities at all levels.

Exemplary Reforms: A Selection

As in the United States, educators in other parts of the world are introducing reforms to make schools more effective. Some of these reforms are based on studies of unusually successful schools and how they function. Most research on these effective schools occurred in the United States, but important studies have also taken place in Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and other countries. In this chapter we’re considering substantial reforms many nations have introduced.
in their educational systems. Some countries have been respected for many decades for the quality and effectiveness with which they provide early childhood opportunities, mathematics instruction, vocational schooling, or other important educational experiences. In the next chapter we’ll explore in detail characteristics of effective schools, as well as research indicating that systematic change and long-term commitment are the keys to successful schools.

Early Childhood Education in France

Recognizing the critical importance of the preschool years in a child’s social, physical, and educational development, many countries have taken steps to provide stimulating learning opportunities and positive day-care arrangements for most or all young children. For example, more than 90 percent of three- to five-year-olds in Belgium, Hong Kong, and Italy are enrolled in early childhood education programs, compared with little more than half in the United States. Outstanding child-care arrangements for infants are easily accessible to families throughout Scandinavia. The mix of preschool and day-care programs varies considerably from one country to another, as does the extent to which early childhood educators work with parents and families. Overall, however, early childhood education has become a topic of urgent interest throughout much of the world.

France has what many observers consider a “vintage” approach to preschool services. Nearly all three- to five-year-olds are enrolled in preschool programs, and average salaries of preschool teachers are considerably higher than in the United States or most other countries. Participating children pursue stimulating activities before and after school, during vacation, and at other times when school is out. Equally important, parents have financial incentives to enroll their children in high-quality programs that provide pediatric and other preventive health services. Child-care specialists and civic leaders who examined the French system have reported the following aspects of French programs as worth considering in the United States:

- Virtually all children have access to a coordinated system linking early education, day care, and health services.
- Paid parental leave from jobs after childbirth or adoption helps to nurture positive parent–child relationships.
- Good salaries and training for early childhood teachers help to keep turnover low and program quality high.
- Nearly all young children are enrolled in preschool programs.
- The government provides additional resources to ensure high quality at locations enrolling low-income children.

---


Elementary-School Reading and Mathematics in England

One of the most impressive school reform efforts has been in England’s reading and mathematics instruction. Following recommendations of literacy and numeracy task forces, the national government initiated actions and activities, including the following, that affected about three million students in approximately twenty thousand elementary-level schools:\footnote{Michael Barber, “Large-Scale Reform Is Possible,” \textit{Education Week}, November 15, 2000; Stephen Machin and Sandra McNally, “The English Experiment,” \textit{Education Next}, no. 3 (2005), available at \url{www.educationnext.org}; Richard Garner, “The Big Question,” \textit{The Independent}, March 19, 2007, available at \url{www.independent.co.uk}; and various publications at \url{www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/literacy/publications}.}

- A requirement that every school have at least a daily literacy hour and a daily mathematics hour, together with guidance and standards on what content should be emphasized at each grade level for students five to eleven years old.
- A reduction in prescribed curriculum content outside these core subjects; the director of the government Standards and Effectiveness Unit described this reduction as “huge.”
- Additional funds and other resources for low-performing schools.
- Providing the services of hundreds of expert literacy and numeracy consultants at the local level.
- An emphasis on early intervention and catch-up for students who fall behind, including after-school, weekend, and holiday classes for students who need extra help.
- The appointment of more than two thousand math teachers and several hundred literacy teachers as lead teachers to model best practice for their colleagues.
- Major investments in books for schools.
- Regular monitoring and extensive evaluation by a national inspection agency.

As a result of these actions and activities, the percentage of students scoring at least 4 (on a scale of 1 to 5) in reading increased from 48 percent in 1996 to 79 percent in 2006, and the corresponding percentages in mathematics were 44 percent in 1996 and 76 percent in 2006. Education officials in England are now working to increase student performance to still higher levels and to extend these gains to higher grades. For more on school reform in England, see the Technology @ School box.

Mathematics and Science Education in Japan

International achievement studies indicate that Japanese students consistently attain high scores in mathematics, science, and other subject areas. For example, the second International Study of Achievement in Mathematics reported that eighth graders in Japan on average answered 62 percent of the test items correctly, compared with 45 percent in the United States and 47 percent across the eighteen countries included in the study. With respect to science achievement among eighth
 graders, Japanese students attained an average score of 571, compared with an average of 541 for other industrial nations included in the third assessment.30

Certain aspects of Japanese education and society may help account for high achievement levels among Japanese youth. Most of the following characteristics apply to Japanese education in general, not merely to math and science programs. The list of pertinent factors is long, and researchers remain unsure which are important. Perhaps they all are.31

■ Outstanding day care helps prepare children for school success. In addition, socialization practices in the family and in early childhood education help students learn to adapt to classroom situations and demands. U.S. schools, in contrast, tend to attain good discipline by making instruction attractive and

---


by “bargaining” with students to obtain compliance (see the chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education), at great cost to academic standards and rigor.

■ Intense parental involvement is expected. In particular, mothers feel great responsibility for children’s success in school. Families provide much continuing support and motivation, ranging from elaborate celebration of entry into first grade to widespread enrollment of children in supplementary private cram schools (juku), which students attend after school and on weekends. Compared with U.S. parents, Japanese parents emphasize effort over ability when asked to identify causes of success or failure in school.

■ Students attend school 240 days a year (compared with less than 200 in the United States).

■ Students are given much responsibility for school work and learning, beginning at an early age.

■ Large amounts of homework correlated with classroom lessons contribute to high student performance.

■ Careful planning and delivery of a national curriculum help students acquire important concepts within a sequential and comprehensive framework.

■ Compared with elementary-school practices in the United States and in many other countries, lessons de-emphasize rote learning.

■ Language patterns in Japan and other Asian countries facilitate academic learning. For example, math may be easier to learn in Japanese than in English because numbers are designated in a “ten plus one, two, etc.” system.
The schools emphasize the development of students’ character and sense of responsibility through such practices as assigning students chores and having them help each other in learning.

Educators tend to take responsibility for students’ learning. For example, many teachers contact parents to recommend homework schedules and curfews.

Prospective teachers must pass rigorous examinations and are intensely supervised when they enter the profession.

Japanese educators have relatively high social status, which enhances their authority in working with students and parents. Partly for this reason there are numerous applicants for teaching positions, thus allowing administrators to select highly qualified candidates.

School schedules provide considerable time for counseling students, planning instruction, and engaging in other activities that make teachers more effective.

Generous time and support available to help slower students helps produce less variability in achievement than in the United States and most other countries. Japanese schools have relatively few extremely low achievers.

People familiar with the Japanese educational system also point out some apparently negative characteristics:32

Emphasis appears relatively slight on divergent thinking. Some observers believe that insufficient emphasis on creativity may severely hamper future social and economic development in Japan.

Opportunities for working-class students and women to attend postsecondary institutions and gain high occupational status appear severely limited. For example, one study found that only 11 percent of students in college-prep high schools had fathers who had not completed high school, compared with 32 percent of students in less academic high schools.

Partly because of restricted higher-education opportunities, secondary education is exam driven—instruction covers immense quantities of factual information likely to be tested on entrance examinations. In turn, examination pressures further stifle divergent thinking and frequently lead to mental distress and even suicide.

Students face relatively few demands once they are admitted to colleges and universities.

Behavioral standards and expectations in many Japanese schools are so narrow and rigid that some educators believe they generate too much conformity. In accordance with the old Japanese proverb “The nail that sticks out gets hammered down,” students are told what school uniform to wear at each grade. In some cases, they have been required to dye their hair to conform to school regulations. However, rules governing student appearance and behavior have been significantly loosened in recent years.

---

More than ever before, young people in Japan seem to be rejecting the traditional customs and values on which the educational system is founded.

Many students with disabilities receive little help.

Bullying appears to be a widespread and growing problem in the schools.

Japanese schools have done relatively little to introduce computers and other aspects of modern technology.

In reviewing its various strengths and weaknesses, several thoughtful observers have concluded that we have much to learn from the Japanese educational system, but they add that we should make sure that promising practices from elsewhere are workable and appropriately adapted to our own situation. Likewise, government commissions in Japan have been considering reform proposals that incorporate the more positive aspects of education in the United States (for example, to reduce the emphasis on conformity). A professor of Japanese studies at Harvard University has summarized the situation in this way: “As a mirror showing us our weakness and as a yardstick against which to measure our efforts,” Japanese education has great value for us. We should not, however, “allow ourselves either to ignore or to imitate” its approach. Instead, we should “look periodically into the ‘Japanese mirror’ while we quite independently set out to straighten our schools and our system within our own cultural and social context.”

Multicultural Education in Europe and North America

Probably no country has responded adequately to the challenges posed by multicultural populations. However, many nations have made important efforts to deliver educational services suitable for diverse groups of students, particularly minority students who experience racial, ethnic, or religious discrimination or who do not learn the national language at home. Approaches like the following may become future models:

- As we discussed at length in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity, the United States is trying to provide bilingual education for millions of English language–learner students.
- Canada has implemented sizable bilingual education programs, as well as numerous approaches for promoting multiethnic curriculum and instruction.
- France has provided in-service training nationwide to help teachers learn to teach French as a second language.
- Belgium provides reception classes in which immigrant children receive up to two years of instruction from both a Belgian teacher and a native-language teacher.

Neither ignore nor imitate


Some observers believe that international study of education is becoming increasingly useful because developed societies are growing more alike. Throughout the world, more citizens are becoming middle class, and school systems and other institutions are emphasizing preparation for dealing with advanced technology and rapid social change. Mass media and other technologies exert a common influence across national borders. Even so, no two societies ever will be exactly alike, nor will cultural and social differences disappear entirely. Still, characteristics of social institutions (including the family and the school) will likely converge. For example, Kenichi Ohmae has remarked that Japan’s “Nintendo Kids”—youngsters who have grown up with computers, video games, and global media—“have more in common with similar youngsters outside Japan than with other generations within Japan.”

If that is true, we have much to learn from studying effective education in other nations. Likewise, other nations can learn from the United States. Despite its many shortcomings described in this book, the United States has been an international leader in striving to educate all students regardless of their social background or previous achievement. Richard Kahlenberg and Bernard Wasow examined educational systems and achievement patterns internationally and reached the following conclusions with respect to implications for the United States: “. . . American public schools have helped make Americans out of wave after wave of immigrants. . . . That said, the public school system fails a substantial segment of the population, and this failure aligns sharply with class and race. Reform must preserve the achievements of the system while correcting the failures.”

---

REFOCUS Do you believe that increasing similarities between developed nations will eventually lead to increasingly similar educational systems?

---

Although educational systems differ considerably between nations, they tend to confront the similar problem of providing effective instruction for large numbers of students whose opportunities and performance relate to their social and cultural background.

Teaching conditions throughout the world appear fundamentally similar. In most countries, teachers and curricula emphasize presentation of information, and teachers struggle to find time to accomplish difficult and sometimes conflicting goals.

School systems around the world differ greatly in the resources they devote to education, enrollments, student–teacher ratios, male–female student ratios, the extent of centralization or decentralization, curriculum content and instructional emphasis, higher education and vocational education opportunities, nonpublic school availability and roles, and student achievement.

Scholars studying education in developing countries advocate an emphasis on improving teacher preparation and primary education, developing student cognitive functioning, and expanding education for girls and women.

Educational services or practices appear exemplary in several countries: early childhood education in France,
elementary-school reading and mathematics in England, and mathematics and science education in Japan. Canada and the United States seem to be succeeding in some aspects of multicultural education. Researchers can learn much from studying educational systems in other countries, but it is not always easy to identify the reasons for a system’s success or failure or its implications for different societies.

The United States has been an international leader in the effort to provide equal and effective educational opportunities for all groups of students, but it has been slipping in this regard in comparison with other nations.

**Key Terms**

- bipartite system (455)
- International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) (458)
- Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) (458)
- brain drain (461)
- effective schools (461)

**Certification Connection**

Chapter 15 discusses international education. Many countries have a national curriculum. In the US, each local school district, using state guidelines and national education standards, develops the curriculum for each subject and grade level. As a result, the curriculum may be vastly different. In one state, you might teach multiplication in grade 2 while another state might wait until grade 3. One of the important tasks for a teacher is to understand the curriculum. In your journal, reflect on the curriculum for the grade level or subject that you plan to teach. Remember that it may change to reflect new information or changing standards.

**Discussion Questions**

1. Why are teaching methods and teachers’ joys and frustrations so similar from one country to another?
2. What are the most important educational problems in developing countries? What policies might be most appropriate in addressing these problems?
3. To what extent should U.S. education policies and practices emulate those in Japan? Which practices might be most “transportable,” and which may be undesirable?
4. What are the advantages and disadvantages of offering higher education opportunities for a large proportion of young people? What might or should be done to counteract the disadvantages?

**Suggested Projects for Professional Development**

1. Use Internet resources to find recent arguments for and against national tests and/or a national curriculum. Does the current seem to be moving toward or away from national standards and curricula? Searching for these terms at www.ed.gov can provide a useful start.
2. Examine one or more international studies of educational achievement (such as the ones cited in this chapter) to determine how far the United States ranks from the top or bottom. Has the U.S. position been improving or declining?
3. Research two or three educational policies or approaches used in other countries but not in the United States. Do they seem applicable to the United States? If so, what problems might occur in implementing them? How would you prepare a plan to convince school officials to let you try such a policy or approach in your subject or teaching field?
**Internet Resources**

Good sources of information regarding developments in educational systems elsewhere include publications such as *Ed News*, at [www.ednews.org](http://www.ednews.org), and organizations such as the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement ([www.iea.nl](http://www.iea.nl)). Another useful Internet location is the World Bank's site dealing with school and teacher effectiveness internationally ([www1.worldbank.org/education/est](http://www1.worldbank.org/education/est)). Much information about education in Europe is available at [www.eurydice.org](http://www.eurydice.org).


**Publications**


Comparative Education Review. *This journal emphasizes such topics as the development of national school systems, education and economic development, comparisons across nations, and international aspects of multicultural education.*

*International Journal of Educational Research*. Recent theme issues have dealt with equal opportunity, giftedness, private education, science education, and other topics of worldwide concern.

Lane, John J., ed. *Ferment in Education: A Look Abroad*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1995. *In addition to several general essays, this book includes chapters dealing with Africa, China, Israel, Japan, and Russia and Eastern Europe.*
Much of this book is concerned with problems and trends in the reform of elementary and secondary schools. The material in this chapter deals even more explicitly with selected issues in school effectiveness and reform. After highlighting several major challenges that confront the U.S. educational system, we will examine research into the characteristics of effective instruction and effective schools. We will also look at the process of school improvement and reform and other important areas often discussed under the heading of school effectiveness.

Debates about school reform can be resolved only by analyzing actual research evidence. This chapter cannot discuss every suggested change, but it will examine some of the proposals that seem to hold particular promise or that have received widespread attention. As you read, consider which ideas have solid evidence to support them. Think also about the prerequisites for success, the underlying conditions that may help make each suggested reform appropriate or inappropriate, and how they may affect your career as a teacher. Keep the following basic questions in mind:

**FOCUS QUESTIONS**

- What are the characteristics of effective teaching and effective schools?
- What are some keys to implementing effective school reform?
- How can we improve instruction at the classroom and school levels?
- How can schools help special populations of students such as low-income students, rural students, or gifted and talented students?
- What is the role of magnet and alternative schools?
What reform efforts are being initiated in school districts and state school systems?
Are nonpublic schools more effective than public schools?
Will expansion of school choice plans improve education?

### Imperatives to Improve the Schools

**Underprepared workers**

Concern about American schools largely focuses on the need to bolster the nation’s international economic competitiveness by teaching students work-related skills and on the related imperative to improve performance among disadvantaged students.

Several major national reports and studies have suggested that American students are leaving school unprepared to participate effectively in jobs that will, in an increasingly sophisticated and technology-based world economy, require them to “reason and perform complex, nonroutine intellectual tasks.” For example, surveys of employers have reported that nearly half of current workers are deficient in basic skills.¹

Nearly all the recent reports and studies dealing with educational reform call for improving the performance of economically disadvantaged students in order to make educational outcomes more equitable. In addition to the desire for fairness, educational equity has also been related to the need for economic competitiveness. For example, the Forum of Educational Organizational Leaders concluded that “if we wish to maintain or improve our standard of living, we must work smarter... but it is not possible to succeed if only middle class people from stable families work smarter... [This capacity] must—for the first time in human history—be characteristic of the mass of our population.”

Specific areas of concern for educators working to reform educational opportunities for disadvantaged students include the following:

**Compelling need for equity**

**CCSSO recommendations**

- **At-risk students and schools.** Social and economic opportunities have declined rapidly for low-achieving students and those without good postsecondary credentials. Perhaps the farthest reaching set of proposals for helping at-risk and disadvantaged students is in the policy statements of the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO). CCSSO’s statements argue that state laws should “guarantee” educational programs and other services “reasonably calculated to enable all persons to graduate from high school.” Such a guarantee policy, the CCSSO has indicated, may require such strong measures as “state takeovers” of distressed school districts, support for students to transfer from low-achieving schools or districts to “successful” locations elsewhere, and reduction in the concentration of students at low-income schools.

---

Coordinated reform needed to combat declining opportunities

Inner-city poverty. As we pointed out in the chapter on Social Class, Race, and School Achievement and elsewhere, educational problems are particularly severe in inner-city minority neighborhoods of concentrated poverty. A workable response to the problems in these neighborhoods will involve employment, transportation, housing, affirmative action, and social welfare supports; desegregation and deconcentration of poverty populations; decreasing crime and delinquency; and other efforts—in which elementary and secondary education must play a pivotal part.

Concentrated rural poverty. Some rural areas have communities of concentrated poverty similar in many respects to those in big cities. Among these are the Appalachian region in the eastern United States and the Ozarks region in the South. Although many poor rural communities have mostly nonminority populations, indicators of social disorganization—high teenage pregnancy rates, widespread juvenile delinquency, extremely low school achievement, and pervasive feelings of hopelessness—run as high or nearly as high as those in poor minority urban neighborhoods. For the U.S. economy as a whole to “work smarter,” these rural students, like their inner-city counterparts, need effective education.

Many observers believe that our response to these challenges will be of historic importance in determining whether the United States pros pers or declines in the twenty-first century.

Characteristics of Effective Classrooms and Schools

The push for greater educational effectiveness became a national growth industry in 1983, and since then it has generated hundreds of research studies as well as thousands of discussion papers and improvement plans. Many studies have been designed to identify the characteristics of effective classroom teaching and effective schools.

Classroom Management

Research on classroom management indicates that effective teachers use a variety of techniques to develop productive climates and to motivate students. Effective teachers emphasize practices like the following: (1) making sure that students know what the teacher expects; (2) letting students know how to obtain help; (3) following through with reminders between activities and rewards to enforce the rules; (4) providing a smooth transition between activities; (5) giving students assignments of sufficient variety to maintain interest; (6) monitoring the class for signs of confusion or inattention; (7) being careful to avoid embarrassing students in front of their classmates; (8) responding flexibly to unexpected developments; (9) designing tasks that draw on students’ prior knowledge and experience; (10) helping students develop self-management skills; (11) attending to students’ cultural backgrounds; and (12) ensuring that all students are part of a classroom learning community.
Time-on-Task

Effective teaching as portrayed in various studies brings about relatively high student time-on-task—that is, time engaged in learning activities. As you might expect, students actively engaged in relevant activities tend to learn more than do students not so engaged. Time-on-task studies have pointed out that classrooms can be managed to increase the time students spend on actual learning activities. The school day and the school year can be extended to support academic learning. However, student learning involves more than time spent on academic work. Other variables, such as the suitability of the activities, the students’ success or failure in the tasks attempted, and the motivating characteristics of methods and materials, are also important.2

Questioning

One way to stimulate student engagement in learning is to ask appropriate questions in a manner that ensures participation and facilitates mastery of academic content. Several studies have identified questioning skills as an important aspect of effective teaching. In particular, research indicates that longer “wait time” (the interval between the posing of a question and selecting or encouraging a student to answer it) significantly improves student participation and learning. Research also indicates that “higher-order” questioning that requires students to mentally manipulate ideas and information is more effective than “lower cognitive” questioning that focuses on verbatim recall of facts.3


Direct Instruction and Explicit Teaching

The terms *direct instruction* and *explicit teaching* (frequently used as synonyms) usually refer to teacher-directed instruction that proceeds in small steps. (Direct instruction also is sometimes referred to as “active teaching.”) Research has shown a link between this method, properly implemented, and high levels of student achievement. Barak Rosenshine identified the following six teaching “steps” or “functions” as central to direct instruction:

1. Begin lessons with a review of relevant previous learning and a preview and goal statement.
2. Present new material in steps, with clear and detailed explanations and active student practice after each step.
3. Guide students in initial practice; ask questions and check for understanding.
4. Provide systematic feedback and corrections.
5. Supervise independent practice; monitor and assist seatwork.
6. Provide weekly and monthly review and testing.

Explicit Comprehension Instruction

Direct instruction has often been criticized for its tendency to neglect important higher-order learning (reasoning, critical thinking, comprehension of concepts) in favor of small-step learning of factual material. In many schools where teachers have been told to follow a prescribed sequence of this kind, the practice emphasizes

---

low-level learning and mindless regurgitation of facts and leaves little room for creativity and analytical thinking.\(^5\)

However, direct instruction need not concentrate on low-level learning. Educators have been refining classroom techniques for explicitly teaching comprehension in all subject areas. David Pearson and his colleagues refer to many such approaches as explicit comprehension instruction. Barak Rosenshine has characterized the development of this approach since 1970 as an “enormous accomplishment” in which educators should take great pride.\(^6\)

Like explicit teaching, explicit comprehension instruction emphasizes review and preview, feedback and correctives, and guided as well as independent practice, but experts suggest that teachers also systematically model conceptual learning, help students link new knowledge to their prior learning, monitor students’ comprehension, and train students in summarizing, drawing inferences, and other learning strategies. Techniques and strategies associated with explicit comprehension instruction include the following:\(^7\)

- “Prediction” activities in which students predict what will be found in the text based on their prior knowledge
- “Reciprocal teaching,” student team learning, and other approaches to cooperative learning, through which students learn to take more responsibility for helping each other comprehend material
- “Semantic maps” and “thinking maps” that organize information
- Computer simulations designed to develop concepts and thinking skills
- “Metacognitive” learning strategies through which students monitor and assess their own learning processes

### Cognitive Instruction for Low-Achieving Students

Emphasis on passive learning of low-level skills seems particularly pervasive in schools with concentrations of working-class students and low achievers. A change in this pattern will require new approaches for delivering cognitive instruction, as
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well as fundamental improvements in programming throughout the educational system.\(^8\)

Specific programs aimed at improving the thinking skills of low achievers include the Higher Order Thinking Skills Program (discussed later in this chapter) and the Chicago Mastery Learning Reading (Insights) Program. Research suggests that such approaches have indeed improved performance. However, specific obstacles must be addressed, including the preference many students have developed for low-level learning, teachers' low expectations for low achievers, and the high financial cost of effective instruction that emphasizes cognitive development.\(^9\)

In summary, research on effective teaching and instruction suggests that successful reform projects should include several changes, including improving teachers' classroom management and questioning skills, increasing time-on-task, expanding the use of direct instruction and explicit comprehension instruction, and introducing cognitive instruction for low-achieving students.

**Effective Schools Research**

---

**Focusing on larger contexts**

The preceding sections addressed effective teaching and instruction at the classroom level. However, reformers must also pay attention to the school as an institution and, in the final analysis, to the larger context of the school district and the environment in which schools operate. How effective schools and whole districts help determine what happens in each classroom.

**Elementary Schools**

Most of the research on effective schools focuses on elementary education. Researchers usually define effectiveness at least partly in terms of outstanding student achievement. For example, Ronald Edmonds and others described an effective school as having characteristics such as the following:\(^10\)

1. A safe and orderly environment conducive to teaching and learning and not oppressive
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2. A clear school mission through which the staff shares a commitment to instructional priorities, assessment procedures, and accountability

3. Instructional leadership by a principal who understands the characteristics of instructional effectiveness

4. A climate of high expectations in which the staff demonstrates that all students can master challenging skills

5. High time-on-task brought about when students spend a large percentage of time “engaged” in planned activities to master basic skills

6. Frequent monitoring of student progress, using the results to improve both individual performance and the instructional program

7. Positive home–school relations in which parents support the school’s basic mission and play an important part in helping to achieve it

Another characteristic that contributes to school effectiveness is curriculum alignment—the coordination of instructional planning, methods, materials, and testing. When staff development focuses on such coordination, teachers are less likely to rely solely on textbooks and more likely to select or create materials that are most appropriate for teaching a specific skill to a particular group of students.11

According to several research reports, other key features of unusually effective schools are (1) attention to goals involving cultural pluralism and multicultural education; (2) emphasis on responding to students’ personal problems and developing their social skills; (3) faculty who strive to improve students’ sense of efficacy; (4) continuous concern for making teaching tasks realistic and manageable; (5) targeting interventions on low-performing students; and (6) collaborative problem-solving by the entire faculty. Researchers at the Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory have identified more than one hundred specific practices, grouped in eighteen categories, that contribute to school effectiveness.12

High Schools

Relatively few studies have concentrated solely on the characteristics of unusually effective senior high schools. Because high-school goals and programs are so diverse and complex, it is difficult to conclude that one is more effective than another, particularly when the social class of the student body is taken into account. In
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addition, hardly any high schools enrolling mostly working-class students stand out as being relatively high in achievement.13

However, in recent years, researchers have identified and described some high schools that appear unusually effective in educating a broad range of students. In general, these schools heavily emphasize helping low achievers in the entry grade (that is, ninth or tenth grade) and on providing additional support in later grades. They also strive to personalize instruction and avoid rigid grouping into permanent, separate tracks for low, medium, and high achievers. In addition, the following approaches have frequently been successful:14

1. **Schools-within-a-school for low achievers.** Students who read more than two or three years below grade level are assigned to a special unit of eighty to one hundred students at the entry grade. If their teachers are selected for ability and willingness to work with low achievers, participating students can make large gains in basic skills and transfer to regular courses.

2. **Career academies.** Functioning as schools-within-a-school that enroll students of various abilities across several grades, career academies focus on such fields as computers, biology or other science, humanities or the arts, or occupational studies such as law enforcement or journalism. Positive data have been reported regarding student engagement and achievement at career academies.

3. **Smaller high-school units in general.** High schools that have low enrollment or have been divided into smaller units such as schools-within-a-school have more student engagement and higher achievement than traditional large high schools with similar students. Assigning students to these smaller schools or units can create a more personalized environment in which staff provide individual help to students.

**Evaluation of Effective Schools Research**

Keep in mind the following points as we evaluate research on effective schools. First, we should recognize the widespread confusion about definitions. There are nearly as many definitions of effective schools as there are people discussing them. While some people have in mind a school with high academic achievement (taking account of social class), others are thinking about a “self-renewing” school that can
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identify and solve internal problems, a school that promotes students’ personal growth, a school that has shown improvement in achievement, or a school that concentrates on developing independent study skills and love for learning.

Second, many rigorous studies have focused on high-poverty elementary schools in which academic achievement is higher than at most other schools with similarly disadvantaged students. It is more difficult to identify unusually effective high schools and schools outside the inner city, where high achievement is more common. In addition, the key components of effectiveness outside the inner city may differ somewhat from those at poverty schools.\textsuperscript{15}

Third, other methodological problems have left much of the research vulnerable to criticism. For example, schools identified as effective in a given subject (say, reading) during a given year may not be effective on other measures or in the next year. In addition, controls for students’ social class and family environment are frequently inadequate. For instance, magnet schools enrolling inner-city students may be judged as unusually effective; but if later research shows that those schools draw their students from highly motivated poverty families dissatisfied with neighborhood schools, the high achievement might be attributable more to the students’ background than to school characteristics.\textsuperscript{16}

Fourth, the literature often tends to beg the question of what teachers and principals should do in the schools. For example, the claim that a school requires good leadership and a productive climate fails to specify what these are or ways to accomplish them.\textsuperscript{17}

### Characteristics of Successful School Reforms

From analysis of past school improvement efforts, we have some understanding of the steps that will ensure reform efforts of significant and lasting impact. We describe lessons learned from past efforts below.

1. **Adaptive problem solving.** An innovation frequently has little or no effect on students’ performance because a host of problems arise to stifle practical application. For example, experts may devise a wonderful new science curriculum for fourth graders and school districts may purchase large quantities of the new curriculum materials, but teachers may either choose not to use them or not know how to use them. Innovations usually fail


2. **School-level focus, with external support.** Because the innovating organization must solve day-to-day problems, it must focus at the individual school level, where many problems occur. Conversely, however, a school seeking to improve requires various kinds of guidance and support from central administrators and/or other external agents.

3. **Potential for implementation.** Successful school reform also depends on whether changes can feasibly be implemented in typical schools. Three characteristics that make successful implementation more likely are an innovation’s **compatibility** with the context of potential users, its **accessibility** to those who do not already understand the underlying ideas, and its **doability** in terms of demands on teachers’ time and energy. Levine and Levine have pointed out that many approaches have high “potential for mischief” because they are so difficult to implement.

4. **Leadership and shared agreements.** Meaningful innovation requires change in many institutional arrangements, including scheduling of staff and student time, selection and use of instructional methods and materials, and mechanisms for making decisions. The building principal usually is the key person in making these arrangements, but the faculty also must have a shared vision of and must be involved in possible necessary changes. Otherwise, staff members will likely discount proposals that ask them to make significant changes.

5. **Staff training.** Staff development is a core activity in the school improvement process. In an elementary school, the entire staff should participate; in secondary schools, departments may be the appropriate unit for certain
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activities. Staff development should be an interactive process in which teachers and administrators work together at every stage.22

6. Coherence. Coherence in school reform efforts has at least two major dimensions. The first refers to coherence across grade levels: teachers in each grade must be willing to help students master the curriculum and standards established for their grade, or students will lack the skills required for success in the next grade. Coherence also refers to consistency and compatibility across the instructional programs and approaches used in the school. For example, some students probably will struggle to master reading if their teachers use differing materials that introduce key skills at different times and thus conflict with rather than reinforce each other. Some students will not master social skills if their teachers establish greatly different rules of behavior from one class to another.23

7. Professional community. Schools can ensure that all students learn only if teachers work together, trust their colleagues, and challenge each other to take responsibility for the difficult task of helping low achievers master increasingly challenging material. Analysts refer to this aspect of reform as development of a “professional community.”24

From Preservice to Practice describes a school improvement plan that features many hallmarks of effectiveness. As you read through it and the rest of this chapter, note which reform programs described seem to exemplify each of these best practices.

**Improvement Approaches Across Grade Levels**

The effective teaching practices cited earlier in this chapter work in individual classrooms, but numerous instructional approaches are designed for use at several or all grade levels in a school. For example, many reading improvement programs often target students in kindergarten and the primary grades. We’ll discuss several such improvement efforts in this section.
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Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Program

Developed by Stanley Pogrow and his colleagues, the HOTS program is specifically designed to replace remedial-reading activities in grades 4 through 6. The HOTS approach has four major components: (1) use of computers for problem solving; (2) emphasis on dramatization techniques that require students to verbalize, thereby stimulating language development; (3) Socratic questioning; and (4) a thinking-skills
curriculum that stresses metacognitive learning, learning-to-learn, and other comprehension-enhancement techniques of the kinds described earlier. Now used in more than a thousand schools, HOTS frequently has brought about extensive improvements in student performance in both reading and math. According to Pogrow, results of the HOTS program show that at-risk students have “tremendous levels of intellectual and academic potential” but that many do not “understand ‘understanding.’” This “fundamental learning problem can be eliminated if enough time and enough resources are made available.”

Success for All

Possibly the most comprehensive intervention for improving the reading achievement of disadvantaged students, Success for All provides intensive instructional support for students in elementary schools. It also emphasizes cooperative learning and mastery instruction (described in the chapter on Curriculum and Instruction), with technical support and staff development provided by full-time coordinators and resource persons assigned to participating schools. Measurable improvements in student achievement have been documented at numerous low-income schools in both urban and rural districts. According to its developers, Success for All demonstrates that schools neither exceptional nor extraordinary can routinely ensure success for disadvantaged students. However, the program does require a serious commitment to restructure elementary schools and to reconfigure the use of available funds.

Degrees of Reading Power Comprehension Development Approach

Based in part on the Degrees of Reading Power (DRP) test originally developed by the College Board, the DRP approach is being implemented successfully at many urban schools. The test is unlike other standardized reading measures in that it assesses how well a student actually can comprehend written prose he or she encounters in or out of school, not just whether the student is above or below an abstract grade level. After using the DRP to determine their students’ comprehension levels, teachers in all subject areas align their instruction accordingly. For homework and other independent assignments, they select materials that challenge but do not frustrate students; they use class work materials slightly beyond students’ comprehension in order to help them improve.
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Comer School Development Program

Developed by James Comer and his colleagues at Yale University, the School Development Program aims to improve achievement at inner-city elementary schools through enhanced social and psychological services for students, emphasis on parent involvement, and encouragement and support for active learning. Participating faculties involve parents in all aspects of school operation (including governance), and teachers, parents, psychologists, social workers, and other specialists form “Mental Health Teams” that design and supervise individualized learning arrangements for students with particular problems. Curriculum and instruction are coordinated across subject areas to emphasize language learning and social skills. Schools in various districts have produced improvements in student achievement and behavior after implementing the School Development Program along with other innovations.28

The Equity 2000 and Algebra Projects

The Equity 2000 project addresses aspects of mathematics education in secondary schools. Students receive assistance in prealgebra, algebra, geometry, and other courses. The Algebra Project involves curriculum interventions that use disadvantaged students’ personal experiences and intuitions to help them shift from arithmetic to algebraic thinking. Data collected for both approaches suggest that students frequently register large gains in mathematics performance, and that many are succeeding in algebra and other advanced math courses they otherwise would not be taking.29

Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP)

KIPP promotional information describes its schools as “open-enrollment public schools where underserved students develop the knowledge, skills, and character traits needed to succeed in top quality high schools, colleges, and the competitive world beyond.” Among the central operational themes is more time for learning: KIPP schools typically function from 7:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. on weekdays, and students also attend every other Saturday and for three weeks during the summer. KIPP further describes its approach as emphasizing rigorous “college-preparatory instruction . . . balanced with extracurricular activities, experiential field lessons, and character development.”30

Since its first school was opened in 1994, KIPP has expanded to include forty-five middle schools, two senior high schools, and two elementary schools, mostly charter schools in a number of big cities. The creators of this model are carefully implementing plans to obtain dedicated staff willing to work long hours with struggling students.30
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students, and to train and evaluate administrators and teachers, develop networks of supportive KIPP schools nearby, and articulate an effective program ranging from kindergarten through high-school graduation.

Results to date appear to be impressive. Most KIPP high-school students are on track to graduate, and its largely inner-city population of students generally is making good progress. For example, the average student in the fifth grade has started at the thirty-fourth percentile in reading and the forty-fourth percentile in math. If completing the seventh grade, that student has advanced to the fifty-eighth percentile in reading and the eighty-third percentile in math. However, some analysts caution that such results may be hard to replicate with other inner-city populations because KIPP students volunteer to attend and because KIPP cannot provide much specialized service for students with disabilities.

**Advancement Via Individual Determination Program (AVID)**

AVID is a support program for grades 5–12 that prepares students for college eligibility and success. Aimed particularly at middle- to low-performing students at schools with significant proportions of disadvantaged students, AVID provides many kinds of support, including help in mastering study skills and learning strategies, personal and career counseling and mentoring, and assistance in enrolling in and completing advanced courses. First developed in San Diego in 1980, AVID is now functioning in more than 2,700 schools internationally and has compiled an impressive record in helping participating students graduate from high school and enter postsecondary institutions. In fact, nearly all AVID students graduate from high school, 75 percent of 2006 AVID graduates were accepted by a four-year college, and more than 60 percent of recent AVID eighth graders enrolled in and passed algebra (compared with about 20 percent nationally).31

**Reform Programs to Improve Whole Schools**

As described earlier, many reforms involve instructional interventions designed for single class use or across several grades. More ambitious programs, however, seek to improve most or all subject areas throughout all grades in an entire school. These efforts are variously referred to as “whole-school reform,” “comprehensive building reform,” and “school-level restructuring.” Such initiatives generally reflect research-based recognition (described earlier in this chapter) that faculty at the building level ultimately determine whether change efforts are successful. They also allow for the intensive, ongoing staff development and technical assistance required to help teachers master new or different instructional approaches. The most prominent of these initiatives involves the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration Program.

The federal Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD) Program was initiated in 1998. The program provides up to seventy-five thousand dollars per year for three years to help participating Title 1 schools introduce “whole-school reform” models that affect all aspects of the school’s operation and that have had
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documented success in improving student performance at other locations. Original applicants were encouraged to select among twenty-seven models for which data were available to support their potential effectiveness. By 2007, more than fifty models were available for school-level implementation. Models include, among others, the Direct Instruction approach for elementary schools, the Comer School Development Program, the Effective Schools approach, Outward Bound experiential learning, and the Paideia “Great Books” approach (described in the chapter on Philosophical Roots of Education). As an alternative to a predeveloped program, schools can receive funding for locally developed approaches that meet government criteria for comprehensiveness. Congress has appropriated several billion dollars for CSRD activities since 1999.

Related Efforts and Aspects Involving Educational Effectiveness

We lack space to describe all of the many activities and proposals related to the innovations discussed so far, but we’ll mention several of the more important efforts in the following pages and summarize them in Overview 16.1.

Cooperation and Participation with Business, Community, and Other Institutions

Many schools and school districts are attempting to improve the quality of education by cooperating with other institutions, particularly those in business and industry. Promising efforts include the following:

- “Partnership” or “adopt-a-school” programs in which a business, church, university, or other community institution works closely with an individual school, providing assistance such as tutors or lecturers, funds or equipment for vocational studies, computer education, or help in curriculum development
- Provision of expert help and financial assistance that helps charter schools deal with start-up problems and operating challenges such as evaluation and accounting
- Operation of professional development schools at which teachers and teacher educators work together to improve training and instruction
- Funding of student awards for reading books or other positive behaviors
- Donations of equipment and supplies
- Development of approaches that enable employers to check students’ school performance records before making hiring decisions
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A far-reaching example of cooperation with public schools is the Boston Compact. In forming the Compact in 1982, business leaders agreed to recruit at least two hundred companies that would hire graduates of the Boston public schools, as well as providing employment opportunities for students. In return, school officials agreed to establish competency requirements for graduation, increase placement rates of graduates into higher education as well as into full-time employment, and reduce dropout and absenteeism rates. City students got the message: “If you stay in school, work hard, and master the basics, you will be helped to find a job.”

By the early 2000s, more than four hundred companies were participating in the Compact. Activities had expanded to include more than twenty local colleges and universities, and tens of thousands of Boston students had been placed in summer jobs programs or had received help in obtaining full-time jobs after graduation. Data collected by Compact officials indicate that high proportions of high-school graduates in Boston either enter college or are employed full time, and most college entrants are persisting to graduation.35
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The apparent success of the Boston Compact has helped stimulate major corporate and foundation efforts to help improve education. For example, the MacArthur Foundation provided forty million dollars to support reform efforts in the Chicago public schools, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Annenberg Foundation each have provided hundreds of millions of dollars to improve schools in Chicago, Los Angeles, New York, and many other school districts.  

Technology in School Reform

Educators confront many questions and challenges with respect to the introduction of new and emerging technologies as part of school reform efforts. We will consider several major topics, including the effective introduction of new technologies in schools and classrooms, equity and technology use in education, and cautions regarding developments that have occurred during the past decades.

Effective Introduction of Computers and Other Technologies

Analysts have identified many considerations that determine whether the introduction of computer-based technologies will produce or help produce substantial improvements in the performance of elementary and secondary students. It is useful to view such considerations as having implications for policy and practice at each level of the educational system: federal, state, district, school, and classroom. Federal officials and legislators have been grappling with broad issues, such as how to make Internet connections affordable for schools, how to obtain and disseminate research dealing with the effective use of computers, and how to help colleges and universities improve teacher education with respect to instructional technologies. State and district decision makers have been considering and often acting on recommendations such as the following:

- State and district leaders must have a clear plan for supporting district efforts in introducing new technologies.
- Teachers must receive ongoing training and technical support in how to use technologies effectively. Technical support staff should be available at both the district and school levels.
- Teacher licensing standards should include assessment of knowledge and skills involving incorporation of technology in classroom lessons.

At the classroom level, researchers have found that variables associated with the successful implementation of computer-based technologies include the following:

- Computers must be sufficiently concentrated to make a difference. For example, one study found that placing one computer in a classroom did not
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change student achievement but that providing classrooms with three or more computers did produce better outcomes.

- Training must be sufficiently intensive to make a difference. For example, several studies found that providing teachers with more than ten hours of training results in much more change in instruction than shorter training periods.

- How teachers use computers helps determine student outcomes. For example, one major study using data from hundreds of schools supported the conclusion that eighth graders whose teachers emphasized problem solving and learning of concepts using computers learned significantly more than did those whose teachers emphasized low-level “drill and kill” exercises.

- Training needed

- Plans for computer use must coordinate with arrangements for scheduling, testing, class size, and other aspects of instruction. If class periods are too short or classes are too large to allow the teacher to deliver a lesson effectively, or if teachers are preoccupied with preparing students for tests or with other urgent tasks, computer availability may make little or no difference.

- Teachers who use technology heavily should not neglect motivational and affective aspects of their instruction.

- Coordination needed

The predominant theme throughout our preceding review of computer-based technologies and successful school reform is that substantial, appropriate teacher training is definitely a prerequisite. The federal government has recognized this imperative in provisions of the No Child Left Behind Act that require states to develop plans to ensure that teachers can use new technologies effectively. Many of these plans focus on training teachers to implement improved technologies in the classroom.39

- Poverty schools bypassed

**Equity and the Use of Technology** Another key issue, particularly at national and state levels, is ensuring equal opportunity for all students to access the benefits of technology improvements. Whether in their schools or homes, low-income students generally have less access to certain computer-based learning opportunities than do middle-income students. Until recently, many low-income families have been unable to afford computers. Schools enrolling high percentages of low-income students generally have computers available, but in recent years increasing reliance on Internet and multimedia usage in U.S. schools has bypassed numerous high-poverty schools in big cities. In addition, as we noted in the chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education, girls also lag behind boys in certain indicators involving computer access and use. Educators have been studying disparities among groups of students in opportunities to learn with and from computer-related technologies. They have offered many recommendations, including the following, for addressing the situation in a school or district:40
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Many school districts are cooperating with business and industry to improve education quality in their schools. Business partners supply schools with mentors, supplies, funds, and apprenticeship opportunities or guaranteed postsecondary school funding for students. (© Susie Fitzhugh)

Addressing inequities
- Gather usage and course enrollment data and examine the data on the basis of race, gender, language status, disability status, and income.
- Collect and disseminate information on promising intervention strategies and discuss these possibilities with the staff.
- Evaluate staff on how well they incorporate activities ensuring equitable computer use.

Community technology centers
- Many efforts to enhance equity in technology access involve making computers available not in schools but in community locations. Neighborhood computer centers in low-income urban and rural communities are allowing residents—both adults and children—to learn about and benefit from advanced technologies. One researcher commented that “community technology centers ensure that we don’t leave part of our population behind with nineteenth-century skills as we move into a twenty-first-century economy.” This approach may become widespread in the future and may tie in with school-based efforts to reduce technology-related inequities.41

Silicon snake oil?

Cautions Regarding Computer-Based Technologies in Education

Not everyone is optimistic about the likelihood that technology will produce productive reforms in the educational system. Skeptics abound, and their ranks include some of the most knowledgeable analysts of recent developments in the schools and of the evolution of computers in general. For example, Clifford Stoll, widely known for his contributions to Internet development, has written a book titled *Silicon Snake Oil* in which he points out that although computers may be fun to use in the classroom, entertainment is not synonymous with learning. Stoll sees computers as potentially
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equivalent to the grainy films and the disjointed filmstrips that teachers used years ago mainly to keep their students occupied.42

Similarly, Jane Healy had become well known as an enthusiast about the computer’s potential for opening new worlds to students and then shocked many of her readers with a 1998 book in which she questioned the effects of new technologies on children both inside and outside the schools. After two years spent visiting classrooms, she concluded that computers in many classrooms are supervised by “ill-prepared teachers” whose students engage mostly in mindless drills, games unrelated to coherent learning objectives, “silly surfing,” and/or “idle clicking.” Other analysts, also on the basis of visits to numerous schools and classrooms, have reached the conclusion that expensive multimedia setups frequently serve more as a medium for classroom control than as a learning tool. In reaching such conclusions about computer-based technologies in the schools, Healy and other skeptics typically offer the following cautions and criticisms:43

- Research indicating that computers are producing widespread gains in student performance frequently is poorly designed or otherwise invalid.
- Computers too often detract from students’ creativity by constraining them within prescribed boundaries of thought and action.
- Schools respond to perceived or real public demands and expectations that classrooms should be loaded with advanced technologies by buying expensive equipment that soon becomes obsolete.
- Particularly for young children, time on the computer too often replaces time needed to develop motor skills and logical thinking.
- Even more than television, digital technology is reducing the attention span of children and “screenagers” (adolescents growing up in the Internet era).
- Fantasy worlds and other imaginary digitized environments are distorting children’s sense of reality.
- According to Paul Saffo, digitized technologies are an “even more potent time-sink” than television, “serving up parent-fretting violence and vapid, contentless drivel” that constitutes a vast, “cyberspace wasteland.”
- Isolated examples of a few classrooms, schools, and even districts that effectively use computer-based technologies do not tell us anything about what is happening or is likely to happen in most situations.

In reviewing these cautions, we should keep in mind preceding parts of this section as well as earlier parts of this chapter that identified actions associated with the successful implementation of computer-based technologies and other substantial efforts to reform the schools. Will schools and districts provide large-scale and
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ongoing training and the meaningful technical support required for teachers to use technology effectively? Will educators carefully align the introduction of such technologies and coordinate them with curriculum objectives, testing, and school climate improvements? Fortunately, many educators are working to make this happen.

**Rural Education**

About 20 percent of students and 30 percent of schools are located in rural areas, and about one-half of school districts are rural. In trying to improve rural education, educators must confront the extreme diversity of rural locations, which makes it difficult to generalize across communities. One group of observers defined rural school districts as those that have fewer than 150 residents per square mile and are located in counties in which at least 60 percent of the population resides in communities with populations under 5,000. Even within this fairly restricted definition, rural communities exemplify hundreds of “subcultures” that differ in racial and ethnic composition, extent of remoteness, economic structure, and other characteristics.44

This diversity is partly why the particular problems of rural schools have received relatively little attention during the past fifty years. Recently, however, a small group of scholars has been trying to determine how to provide high-quality education in a rural setting. They have reached several major conclusions:45

1. The tremendous diversity in rural America requires similarly diverse school improvement efforts that also address multicultural education goals.
2. The small scale of rural schools offers advantages. Teachers can know students and parents personally, and schools can work closely with community agencies.
3. Teachers in rural schools frequently require substantial technical support.
4. Many rural schools can benefit from distance learning and other forms of advanced technology.

Other authors have concluded that teacher-training programs for rural areas should prepare teachers in more content areas and for a broader age range of students than do conventional programs. As the chapter on Governing and Administering Public Education points out, educators also are reassessing the desirability of school consolidation in light of the possible advantages small schools offer.

Many rural schools face serious problems in attracting qualified teachers. States have increased certification requirements and reduced the flexibility to employ teachers without proper certification, which has left many rural districts unable either to
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find or to afford sufficient teaching personnel, particularly in science, math, and foreign languages. But school systems can overcome this problem, in part, by using television, interactive computers, and other forms of distance education that deliver cost-effective instruction.46

**Gifted and Talented Students**

Research on the education of gifted and talented students has increased. Widespread program trends include “radical acceleration” of learning opportunities for gifted and talented students; special “mentoring” assistance; increased emphasis on independent study and investigative learning; use of individualized education programs (IEPs), as with students with disabilities; opportunities to engage in advanced-level projects; instruction delivery in accordance with students’ learning styles; special schools, Saturday programs, and summer schools; increased community resource use; varied instructional approaches to match student interests and abilities; and “compacting” curriculum to streamline content that students already know and replace it with more challenging material.47

A major issue involving gifted and talented students is the selection of effective approaches to curriculum and instruction. In general, educators have tended to emphasize either acceleration through the regular curriculum or enrichment that provides for greater depth of learning, but some have argued for a “confluent” approach that combines both. Developing this idea, analysts have advocated combining elements: (1) a “content” model, which emphasizes accelerated study; (2) a “process-product” model, which emphasizes enrichment through independent study and investigation; and (3) an “epistemological” model, which emphasizes understanding and appreciation of systems of knowledge.48

Much concern has been expressed about the low participation of minority students and economically disadvantaged students in gifted education. Evidence indicates that selection criteria frequently fail to identify disadvantaged students who might benefit from participation. For this reason, many efforts are under way to broaden definitions of giftedness to include indicators such as very strong problem-solving skills, high creativity, high verbal or nonverbal fluency, and unusual artistic accomplishments and abilities.49
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Increasing Teaching and Learning Time

Several national reports, including *A Nation at Risk* and *Prisoners of Time*, have recommended providing more time for teaching and learning. Possible approaches include extending the school year, lengthening the school day, or offering after-school and summer learning programs.

**Longer School Years or School Days** Numerous school districts have lengthened the school day or the school year. Some offer *year-round schools* that run on rotating schedules so that three-quarters of students attend for nine weeks while the remaining quarter are on vacation for three weeks. Year-round schools have usually been established where schools are seriously overcrowded. More than two million students now attend year-round schools.

Such moves usually provoke controversy because they require a significant increase in staff costs and may disrupt parents’ child-care arrangements. Action to extend time for learning also will require substantial changes in curriculum and instruction if it is to improve student achievement. Massachusetts has begun an effort to help districts and schools restructure their instructional practices and their daily, weekly, and annual schedules to provide students with more time for learning.50 For a debate on this topic, see the Taking Issue box.

**After-School and Summer Programs** Rather than increase the school year or school day for all students, many districts have been initiating or expanding after-school and summer learning programs. After-school and summer programs can provide struggling students with more time for learning or offer safe and educational opportunities for latchkey and other children (see the chapter on Culture, Socialization, and Education). These programs have grown rapidly in recent years as the No Child Left Behind Act resulted in the identification of thousands of schools not making adequate yearly progress. The federal government now provides millions of dollars annually to support them under the 21st Century Community Learning Centers legislation, and state and local sources provide still more support. The 21st Century Community Learning Centers, supported through the No Child Left Behind Act, provide tutoring, after-school classes, summer school, and other academic enrichment activities for students attending low-performing schools. Analysts and evaluators who have studied the centers and similar efforts have reached several conclusions:51

1. After-school and summer programs can improve academic performance if they are implemented well.
2. Implementation considerations include selecting and training staff, providing appropriate materials, and making sufficient resources available.

---


Progress is difficult to measure because students typically make relatively small gains on tests that assess regular schooling, let alone after-school and summer programs. Therefore officials should assess attendance, parent support, student effort, and other possible correlates of improved attitudes.

Nonacademic goals such as providing a safe environment, expanding students’ interests, and developing social skills are worthwhile and should be addressed in implementing after-school and summer programs.
School Choice

In recent years, school choice plans have been advocated as a way to introduce greater flexibility and accountability into education. The basic idea is to enhance students’ opportunities to choose where they will enroll and what they will study. School choice is a broad goal and many different programs offer students and their families varying levels of choices. Advocates of school choice point out that parents typically find only one model of education in any given public-school neighborhood. Some advocates argue for creating choices within the public-school system; others contend that the only true alternatives are outside the system. Recent efforts to increase school choice represent a spectrum of plans representing these two views and ideas between the two extremes. They include the following:\(^2\)

- **Magnet and Alternative Schools.** Many school districts offer their students the opportunity to choose magnet schools or alternative schools within the district. **Magnet schools**, as described in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity, are designed to attract voluntary enrollment by offering special programs or curricula that appeal to students from more than one neighborhood. They are often part of a reform effort aimed at decreasing segregation and providing students with opportunities to participate in instructional programs not available in their local schools. More than one thousand magnet schools are now functioning in public school districts.

  Alternative schools provide learning opportunities unavailable in the average public school. From this point of view, magnet schools are a type of alternative school. So, too, are many parochial and other nonpublic schools and institutions such as street academies, storefront schools, and high-school “outposts” designed to make education more relevant for inner-city students. Studies of alternative schools have indicated that they usually enroll students who have not succeeded in traditional schools or who want a different kind of education.

  Compared to traditional schools, alternative schools allow for greater individualization, more independent study, and more openness to the outside community. They tend to offer small size, high staff morale, high attendance, satisfied students, freedom from external control, and strong concern for non-cognitive goals of education.\(^3\)

- **Charter schools.** As discussed in the chapter on Financing Public Education, charter schools funded by public sources also frequently provide opportunities for parents and students to choose among schools. Charter schools can be established by school districts or by other chartering authorities. Some are

---


managed by private profit-making corporations. Charter schools typically have more leeway in spending funds, utilizing faculty, and carrying on other operations than do regular public schools. They also can have their charter revoked if they are not successful. More than forty states now allow for establishment of charter schools, and more than four thousand charter schools are operating nationally. Many are attended largely by students who have been low achievers susceptible to dropping out of school. In several urban districts, such as Dayton, Kansas City, and Washington, D.C., charter schools enrolled between 20 and 30 percent of public-school students by 2007.54

■ Open public-school enrollment. As noted in the chapter on Providing Equal Educational Opportunity, provisions of the No Child Left Behind Act require that school districts provide opportunities for students at schools designated as not making adequate yearly progress to transfer to other schools in the district.

Several states have also created plans that allow all students the option to transfer to their choice of public schools within, or sometimes outside, their local school district. Colorado laws, for example, require that all districts allow students to transfer freely within their boundaries. Minnesota’s comprehensive choice plan not only supports both intradistrict and interdistrict transfers but also expands alternative schools and programs. Washington’s legislation provides students who experience a “special hardship or detrimental condition” with an absolute right to enroll in another school district that has available space; it also requires districts to accept students who transfer to locations close to their parents’ place of work or child-care site.

■ Privately funded school choice vouchers. Philanthropists in numerous locations have provided vouchers to enable students to attend nonpublic schools. After a group of business executives funded scholarships that helped 2,200 New York City students (out of more than 40,000 applicants) to attend nonpublic schools in 1998 and 1999, a larger group raised millions of dollars for a national program to provide inner-city students with similar scholarships. Thousands of students have received such scholarships. Income tax deductions for contributions to private voucher programs also are allowed in Florida and Pennsylvania.

■ Arizona tax credits. Initiated in 1997, Arizona’s universal tax credit approach allows any taxpayer who pays tuition for a child attending a public or nonpublic school to take a dollar-for-dollar deduction (up to half what the local public schools would have spent) in state tax liability. In addition, taxpayers can receive a credit for donations to “private tuition” charities that spend at least 90 percent of this income for tuition assistance to low-income students. Several other states also have begun to provide this type of assistance.

■ Taxpayer-funded scholarships. Choice in Arizona received a further boost in 2006 when the state began paying non-public-school tuition for disabled students and foster-care children. Several other states also provide scholarships to help some students attend private elementary or secondary schools.

■ Publicly funded school choice vouchers. Although small government-funded voucher programs have been in place in Cleveland and in Colorado, Florida, Maine, Ohio, and Vermont, the best-known voucher project is the sizable

---

program funded by the Wisconsin legislature for low-income students in Milwaukee. Originally initiated to help students attend nonreligious private schools, the Milwaukee program expanded in 1998 to include attendance at religious schools. By 2007, vouchers could be used at 125 private schools, more than 80 of which were religious (mostly Catholic and Lutheran). Major government-funded voucher programs also were approved for the District of Columbia beginning in 2004 and the state of Utah in 2007.55

**Controversy About School Choice**

As programs have been implemented to expand school choice, numerous recommendations for and against additional action are being put forward. Those who support choice recommend policies such as enrollment across school district boundaries, vouchers to attend both public and nonpublic schools, magnetization and/or characterization of entire school districts and regions, and creation of alternative-school networks. Supporters of choice emphasize the following arguments:56

- Providing choice for disadvantaged students will enable them to escape from poorly functioning schools.
- Achievement, aspirations, and other outcomes will improve for many students because they will be more motivated to succeed at schools they select.
- Both existing public schools and alternative learning institutions (whether public or nonpublic) will provide improved education because their staffs will be competing to attract students.
- Increased opportunities will be available to match school programs and services with students' needs.
- Parents will be empowered and encouraged to play a larger role in their children's education.

Critics of school choice plans question these arguments, particularly in cases that involve public financing of nonpublic schools. The critics maintain the following:57

- Choice plans will reinforce stratification and segregation because highly motivated or high-achieving white and minority students will be disproportionately likely to transfer out of schools that have a substantial percentage of students with low achievement or low social status.

---


Much of the student movement will consist of middle-class students transferring to nonpublic schools. That will reduce the middle class’s willingness to support the public schools.

Public financial support for nonpublic schools is unconstitutional.

Competition among schools to attract transfer students will not by itself result in improved achievement; other emerging reforms described in this chapter are more important.

The opening and closing of numerous schools based on their competitive attractiveness will disrupt the operation of the entire educational system.

There is little or no reason to believe that most schools that presently enroll relatively few disadvantaged students will be more successful with such students than are their present schools.

Even if one assumes that schools capable of substantially improving the performance of low achievers are widely available in a choice plan, many students and parents lack the knowledge necessary to select them, and these outstanding schools may not accept many low achievers.

Although accountability may increase in the sense that unattractive schools will lose students and may even be closed, overall accountability will be reduced because nonpublic schools receiving public funds frequently are not subject to government standards and requirements, such as obligations to enroll special-education students and to administer state tests. Alternatively, increasing such requirements for nonpublic schools would result in more government regulation of those schools.

Public financing of nonpublic institutions will result in the establishment of “cult” schools based on divisive racist or religious ideologies.

These worries about school choice are shared even by people who have supported proposals to expand student options. For example, John Leo thinks that school choice is “reform’s best choice” but also is education’s “600-pound gorilla.” He believes it may harm education by funding schools that encourage social, racial, and economic separatism. In this context, many analysts have been trying to identify policies that could make choice plans as constructive as possible. They have suggested policies including the following:58

- Ensure that students and parents receive adequate counseling and information.
- Provide free transportation, scholarships, and other support to make sure that choices are fully available and do not depend on social status.
- Include guidelines to avoid segregation and resegregation.
- Include provisions to release government-operated schools from regulations not imposed on nonpublic schools.
- Create an environment in which both “regular” public schools and alternatives provide effective instruction. Many observers believe that both regular

---

and alternative schools enrolling low-income students would benefit particularly from loosening or eliminating seniority rules currently a major aspect of collective bargaining agreements with teachers. Such changes would allow schools to replace unqualified or ineffective teachers with others better able to address their students’ needs.

- Do not ignore other reform necessities and possibilities; instead, treat choice as part of a comprehensive reform agenda that involves systemic reform of the kind described elsewhere in this chapter.

Research to date has not conclusively established whether or not students exercising school choice achieve at a higher level than comparable students in regular public schools. Evaluations of voucher programs, charter schools, and other choice initiatives have tended to conclude that achievement gains generally were small and inconsistent. 59

Research comparing the effectiveness of public and nonpublic schools has spurred debate among researchers and others particularly interested in choice plans that include funding for students to attend private schools. For example, James Coleman and his colleagues compared nonpublic and public schools and concluded that (1) after accounting for family background variables, students in nonpublic schools have higher achievement than students in public schools; (2) nonpublic schools provide a safer and more orderly environment; (3) except for Catholic schools, nonpublic schools are smaller, have smaller classes, and encourage more student participation than do public schools; (4) nonpublic schools require more homework and have better attendance; and (5) superiority in school climate and discipline accounts for the higher achievement of students in nonpublic schools. 60

John Chubb and Terry Moe of the Brookings Institution also have studied achievement differentials between public and nonpublic schools. They, too, concluded that nonpublic schools produce higher achievement. A major reason for this difference, they said, is that nonpublic schools tend to function more autonomously and with less bureaucracy. For example, principals of public schools are much more constrained than their counterparts in nonpublic schools with respect to hiring and firing teachers. In addition, public schools tend to be more complex and more susceptible to pressures from a variety of external constituencies (such as central offices, state government officials, or groups representing taxpayers). As a result, teachers and students in public schools are more likely to receive mixed messages about the priority goals in their schools, and they are less inclined to work together to achieve these goals. 61

---


However, many educators disagree with the conclusion that nonpublic schools produce higher achievement than public schools. Critics give the following reasons for their disagreement: (1) obtaining better measures or otherwise taking better account of family background variables virtually eliminates the achievement superiority of nonpublic students; (2) taking account of achievement level upon entry to high school also eliminates or greatly reduces the achievement difference between public and nonpublic students; (3) the statistical methods the researchers employed were inappropriate and led to misleading and unjustified conclusions; and (4) whatever differences may exist between Catholic or other nonpublic schools on the one hand and public schools on the other are trivial and have few long-term effects.62

Systemic Restructuring and Standards-Based Reform

In recent years many reform efforts have been discussed in terms of restructuring all or part of the educational system. Although this term has been interpreted in many different ways, it increasingly is used to indicate the need for systemic improvement—that is, reform that simultaneously addresses all or most major components in the overall system. For example, officials of the Education Commission of the States have stated that all parts of the educational system from “schoolhouse to statehouse” must be restructured to bring about systematic improvement in teaching and learning. Systemic restructuring deals with instructional methods; professional development; assessment of student, teacher, and/or school performance; curriculum and materials; school finance; governance; course requirements; and other aspects of education.63

When many changes are introduced simultaneously, restructuring and reform activities must be coherent; they must be compatible with and reinforce each other, rather than becoming isolated fragments that divert time and energy from priority goals. Because systemic reforms work for coherence by identifying student performance standards and then aligning testing, instructional methods and materials, professional development, and other aspects of education, they frequently are referred to as “standards-based” reforms.

State-Level Systemic Reform

One of the best examples of state-level systemic reform is in Kentucky, where in 1989 the state supreme court declared the state’s “system of common schools” unconstitutional on the grounds that it was ineffective and inequitable. The court

---


then instructed the legislative and executive branches to improve the “entire sweep of the system—all its parts and parcels.” As a result, the following changes, among others, have been phased in as part of the Kentucky Education Reform Act (KERA):64

- Curriculum, instruction, and student assessment are performance-based, emphasizing mastery-oriented learning and criterion-referenced testing.
- Schools have established governance councils with authority to make decisions on curriculum and instruction and on budget allocations.
- Parents can transfer their children out of schools they consider unsatisfactory.
- Faculty at unsuccessful schools receive help from state-appointed specialists.
- Youth and family service centers have been established in communities where 20 percent or more of students are from low-income families.
- All districts offer preschool programs for disadvantaged four-year-olds.
- Taxes have been increased by billions of dollars to pay for the changes.

Texas educators and legislators also have been introducing many components of systemic reform. The Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) has been used to identify and provide support for modifying instruction in low-performing schools.

and districts. Particularly large gains have been reported for low-income students and for minority students. Among schools in Brazosport, for example, intensive staff development, tutoring for low achievers, and other reform efforts helped increase the percentage of low-income students passing the mathematics test from 55 percent in 1992 to 96 percent in 2001. For the state as a whole, the percentage of low-income students passing the reading, writing, and math sections of the TAAS increased from 39 percent in 1994 to 70 percent in 2000. In 2006, 87 percent of students passed the state reading tests, and 75 percent passed the math tests. Although some observers complain that too much time is spent preparing students for tests, that the tests are too easy, and that too many low achievers are excluded from testing, many analysts have applauded Texas teachers' efforts to improve the performance of all students.65 For Internet sources of information on state reforms, see the Technology @ School box.

**District-Level Systemic Reform**

In the preceding section we cited systemic reforms in Brazosport, Texas. Many other districts throughout the nation also have initiated outstanding reform approaches that are producing large gains on their states' standards-based assessments of student performance. In addition, we are learning much about what districts should do to make their reform plans successful. For example, a study conducted for the Educational Research Service reported that the following practices were characteristic of six districts rated high in performance, based on having brought about substantial achievement improvements while enrolling significant proportions of low-income students:66

- The superintendent and other leaders developed widely shared beliefs about the necessity for high expectations.
- Extensive work was done to align curriculum with state tests.
- Regular assessments of student performance helped ensure tutoring for students falling behind.

Districts registering clear gains in student performance on state standards-based assessments include some of the nation's largest districts that enroll disproportionately large percentages of low-income students, minority students, and limited-English learners. The Council of Great City Schools has surveyed its big-city member districts and reported the following:67

---


The Great City Schools have made meaningful gains in math scores on state assessments and also on other independent tests such as the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

Gains also have been registered on state assessments of reading, but these gains have not been as well confirmed independently using other tests.

Preliminary evidence indicates that gaps in math achievement between minority and nonminority students may be narrowing as districts report progress in reducing the gaps at certain schools.

Impressive examples of gains in student performance on state assessments and other tests have been particularly evident in Baltimore, Charlotte-Mecklenburg (North Carolina), and several other cities. In Baltimore, students have improved both their reading and math scores at every grade tested by the state. Baltimore elementary students also made major progress on nationally normed tests. The percentages of fifth graders reading at or above the proficient level increased from 44 percent in 2003 to 59 percent in 2006, and comparable scores for third graders improved from 39 percent to 65 percent. In Charlotte-Mecklenburg the percentage of third graders at or above grade level in reading increased from 63 percent in 1997 to 82 percent in 2005, and the comparable percentages for fifth graders increased from 66 to 88 percent. Observers have attributed these gains to such coordinated reform initiatives as professional development dealing with balanced literacy; standards-based teaching in reading, writing, and math; increased early childhood, after-school, and summer learning opportunities; and requirements for student promotion from one grade to the next.68

Conclusion: The Challenge for Education

To help meet national challenges to compete internationally and to address the problems of disadvantaged citizens, education in the United States must become more effective than it is today. This is particularly true with respect to the development of higher-order skills in all segments of the student population and specifically among disadvantaged students.

Recent national proposals for educational reform have reflected these emerging concerns. During the same time, we have learned much about improving educational effectiveness at district, school, and classroom levels. However, using this knowledge to fundamentally improve the schools is a difficult and complex task. As a teacher, you will face this task because you will play an important part in determining whether the reform effort is successful.

Summing Up

1. The educational system is being challenged to improve achievement in order to keep the United States internationally competitive and to provide equity for disadvantaged and other at-risk students.

2. Research on effective teaching and instruction provides support for appropriate emphasis on efficient classroom management, direct instruction, high time-on-task, skillful questioning of students, explicit comprehension instruction, and other methods that promote achievement.

3. Research indicates that schools unusually effective in improving student achievement have a clear mission, outstanding leadership, high expectations for students,

---

positive home–school relations, high time-on-task, frequent monitoring of students’ achievement, and an orderly, humane climate. Research also has identified somewhat more specific characteristics such as curriculum alignment and schoolwide emphasis on higher-order skills. Regarding high schools, researchers have found that schools-within-a-school, career academies, and smaller units sometimes are effective.

It now seems possible to create more effective schools, provided educators use what we have learned about the school improvement process.

Many efforts, such as the Higher-Order Thinking Skills Program, seek to improve instruction across grade levels.

Research efforts designed to improve whole schools stem from research indicating that the individual school level is crucial in bringing about reform.

Emerging technologies offer great potential for improving elementary and secondary education, but effective use of technologies will require considerable planning, effort, and resources.

Many possibilities exist for improving education through expanding school choice, but many potential dangers also exist.

Highly promising efforts are now under way to bring about systemic, coherent restructuring and reform.
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**Certification Connection**

Chapter 16 examines school effectiveness and school reform. An integral part of reform at the local school level is the development of behavior management plan. In the Praxis II Principles of Learning and Teaching, several questions cover behavior management topics. In addition, success in behavior management prior to student teaching is often a predictor of first year teaching success. To prepare for teaching, identify a behavior management philosophy that is consistent with your teaching philosophy. In your journal, reflect on how you will manage your classroom using consequences, organize your materials, establish classroom rules and routines, and arrange students for successful learning.

**Discussion Questions**

1. What are some major obstacles in working to improve students’ higher-order skills? What can be done to attain this goal?
2. Why is school effectiveness so dependent on what happens in the school as a whole, not just in individual classrooms? What are the most important actions a teacher can take to help improve school effectiveness?
3. What does research say about the effectiveness of non-public schools? Do they produce higher achievement than public schools? If yes, what considerations account for the difference?
4. Why should educators be somewhat cautious in interpreting research on effective schools? What mistakes in interpretation are most likely?
5. Would you be willing to work in a high-poverty school even though teaching there might be more difficult than in a middle-class school? What philosophical commitments might be important in undertaking this assignment?
Suggested Projects for Professional Development

1. Collect and analyze information about cooperation between schools and other institutions (such as businesses and colleges) in your community. To what extent has such cooperation helped the schools?

2. Visit a nearby school with a high proportion of low-income students. Talk with both teachers and students. Write a description of the school comparing its programs and practices with the characteristics of unusually effective schools discussed in this chapter. Organize your material for inclusion in your personal portfolio.

3. List the first actions you would take to improve the effectiveness of a typical high school. Defend your list.

4. In a recent book, journal, or article available on the Internet, find a proposal for a basic reform or restructuring in the public schools. What does the author propose to reform? How? Is the proposal realistic? What philosophic perspectives does it represent? What conditions or resources would be required to implement it successfully? What is the likelihood of success?

Suggested Resources

Internet Resources

The Internet is a treasure trove of places where you can read descriptions and analyses of successful schools and instructional practices as well as other reform topics discussed in this chapter. The following are a brief sampling:

- the state of Maryland’s “Benchmarking Successful Schools” site at www.mdk12.org/process/benchmark/benchmark.html
- the Achievement Alliance’s description of unusually effective schools at www.achievementalliance.org: click on “Success Stories”
- “Schools to Watch” from the National Forum to Accelerate Middle-Grades Reform, www.mgforum.org/Improvingschools/STW/STWbackground.htm
- The Fall 2006 issue of Northwest Education, available at www.nwrel.org/nwedu, devoted to the theme “Literacy Coaches”
- Recent information about school choice compiled at www.schoolchoiceinfo.org, www.ecs.org, and www.publiccharters.org


The National Association for Gifted Children (www.nagc.org) provides research-based materials for teachers of gifted students.

“Tech in a Flat World,” a special report published by the American School Board Journal and available at www.asbj.com, includes sections dealing with professional development and classroom implementation involving technology. Search www.nea.org for “technology” to find numerous useful articles on applying technology in the classroom.

Many of the topics discussed in this chapter are updated frequently in the bimonthly newsletter of the 21st Century Schools Project available at www.ppionline.org: click on “Education,” and the weekly bulletin Education Gadfly available at www.edexcellence.net/institute. Both offer free subscriptions.

Information on school reform is published biweekly at www.educationsector.org and is frequently cited at a companion blog, www.eduwonk.org.


Publications


A Nation at Risk A landmark national report critical of public education in the United States that resulted in raising high school graduation requirements in most states.

apperceptive mass The belief, associated with Herbart, that instruction creates a network of ideas in the mind that form a cognitive map on which to relate more ideas, particularly those that are congruent to each other.

a priori ideas Ideas derived from self-evident first principles; they are deductions or conclusions based on reason alone. The theory of a priori ideas is associated with realism, Thomism, and perennialism. They are attacked by Pragmatists such as Dewey as not being based on experience.

academic freedom A protection permitting teachers to teach subject matter and choose instructional materials relevant to the course without restriction from administrators or other persons outside the classroom.

academy A type of private or semipublic secondary school dominant in the United States from 1830 through 1870. It was an institutional predecessor of the high school.

accountability Holding teachers, administrators, and/or school board members responsible for student performance or for wise use of educational funds.

activity-centered curriculum A type of student-centered curriculum that emphasizes purposeful and real-life experiences and, more recently, student participation in school and community activities.

adequate yearly progress The regular increments of achievement gain that schools and districts must register to have all students attain academic proficiency in 2013–2014.

aesthetics The branch of axiology that examines questions of beauty and art.

alternative certification Teacher certification obtained without completing a traditional teacher-education program at a school or college of education.

alternative school A school, public or private, that provides learning opportunities different from those in local public schools. Some such schools follow a student-centered curriculum characterized by a great deal of freedom for students and a relative lack of structure.

American Federation of Teachers (AFT) The second largest organization that represents teachers in America. Affiliated with the AFL-CIO, it often is associated with union representation.

Americanization The dominant ideology in public schools with regard to immigrant and minority group children in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The emphasis was on teaching in English rather than the language the children spoke at home and rejecting the values of the minority child in favor of what was termed American values.

axiology The area of philosophy that examines value issues, especially in morality, ethics, and aesthetics.

back-to-basics curriculum A type of subject-centered curriculum that emphasizes the three Rs at the elementary level and academic subjects at the secondary level; also includes a defined minimum level of academic standards.

basic skills testing Testing that examines preservice teachers’ basic skills with respect to subjects such as reading, mathematics, and communications.

bilingual education Instruction in their native language provided for students whose first language is not English.

bipartite system A dual-track system consisting of academic schools and vocational schools.

block grants General-purpose funding from the federal government, allowing each state considerable freedom to choose specific programs on which to spend the funds.

boarding schools Residential institutions where students live and attend school. Boarding schools were used to assimilate Native American children into white culture by insisting they speak only English and study a required industrial training curriculum. In these schools, Native American pupils were not permitted to use their own vernacular languages and engage in tribal customs.

brain drain The emigration of educated people to wealthier nations.

breach of contract What occurs when one side fails to perform as agreed in a contract.

categorical grants Funds designated for specific groups and purposes; the standard method of federal education funding before the 1980s and again more recently.

central office staff A cadre of supervisors and specialists who work closely with the superintendent to carry out school board policy.
certification  State government review and approval that permits a teaching candidate to teach.

character education  See values-centered curriculum.

charter school  A public school governed by a community group granted a special contract (charter) by the state or the local school board. Charter schools often form to offer educational alternatives unavailable in regular public schools.

chief state school officer  The chief executive of the state board of education; sometimes called the state superintendent or commissioner of education.

child benefit theory  A theory that government aid directly benefits the child rather than a nonpublic institution he or she attends.

child deprivacy theory  A theory that children, because of their sinful nature, tend to be disorderly and lazy and need strict discipline to create a personal sense of order and civility.

classical humanists  The leading educational theory and general method during the Renaissance. Refers to the study of the classical Greek and Roman texts with an emphasis on their humanistic (human-centered) meaning.

collective bargaining  A procedure for resolving disagreements between employers and employees through negotiation. For teachers, such negotiation pertains to many aspects of their work and salary as well as their relationship with students, supervisors, and the community.

Commission on the Reorganization of Secondary Education  A commission appointed by the National Education Association to study and make recommendations for reforming American secondary education. Its 1918 report, The Cardinal Principles of Secondary Education, recommended a curriculum based on fundamental personal, social, cultural, and economic needs within a comprehensive institutional setting.

Committee of Ten  A committee, chaired by Charles Eliot, appointed by the National Education Association in 1892 to bring greater coherence to secondary education in the United States. It recommended a four-year program and a curriculum that emphasized academic subjects for all students.

common school  A publicly supported and locally controlled elementary school.

community control  An elected community council or board that shares decision-making power with the local school board.

community education  The school serves as a partner or coordinating agency in providing educational, health, social, legal, recreational, and/or cultural activities in the community.

community participation  Citizen advisory committees at either the local school or school board level.

compensatory education  An attempt to remedy the effects of environmental disadvantages through educational enrichment programs.

Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration (CSRD) Program  A federal program that provides three years of funding to help participating Title 1 schools introduce whole-school reform models.

concrete-operational period  A stage of human development identified by Jean Piaget that occurs from ages seven to eleven years, when children organize their concepts in performing increasingly complex mental operations.


consolidation  The combining of small or rural school districts into larger ones.

constructivism  A learning theory that emphasizes the ways in which learners actively create meaning by constructing and reconstructing ideas about reality.

continuing contract  An employment contract that is automatically renewed from year to year without need for the teacher's signature.

controlled choice  A system in which students can select their school as long as their choices do not result in segregation.

cooperative learning  A form of instruction in which teams of students work cooperatively on specific tasks or projects.

critical theory  (critical pedagogy)  An interpretation of schooling that views public school systems as functioning to limit educational opportunities for students marginalized because of race, class, and gender biases. Proponents argue that teachers should be “transformative intellectuals” who work to change the system. Also known as “critical discourse.”

critical thinking  Solving problems by means of general concepts or higher-order relationships. Instruction in critical thinking generally emphasizes basic analytical skills applicable to a wide variety of intellectual experiences.

cultural pluralism  Acceptance and encouragement of cultural, ethnic, and religious diversity within a larger society.

cultural relativism  This theory asserts that ideas and values are products of specific cultural groups formulated during a particular historical period. Ideas and values depend on the place, time, circumstances, and situations in which they arise. Cultural relativism denies the existence of universal and eternal truths and values. It is associated with pragmatism, progressivism, social reconstructionism, and critical theory.

culture  Patterns of acquired behavior and attitudes transmitted among the members of society.

curriculum  Planned experiences provided through instruction through which the school meets its goals and objectives.

curriculum alignment  Coordination of instructional planning, methods, materials, and testing in order to accomplish important learning objectives.
deconstruction Critical examination of texts or canons to determine the power relationships embedded in their creation and use. Often used by educators who follow a postmodernist philosophy.

deductive logic The process of thinking by which consequences or applications are drawn out of general principles or assumptions; the process of thought in which conclusions follow from premises.

de facto segregation Segregation associated with and resulting from housing patterns.

de jure segregation Segregation resulting from laws or government action.

Deprofessionalization The process of removing from professional status.

desegregation Attendance by students of different racial backgrounds in the same school and classroom.

direct instruction A systematic method of teaching that emphasizes teacher-directed instruction proceeding in small steps, usually in accordance with a six- to eight-part lesson sequence.

distance education Instruction by people or materials distant from the learner in space or time; many distance education projects use interactive television, the Internet, and other modern communication technologies.

dual-track system of schools The traditional European pattern of separate primary schools for the masses and preparatory and secondary schools for males in the upper socioeconomic classes.

due process A formalized legal procedure with specific and detailed rules and principles designed to protect the rights of individuals.

due process clause A Fourteenth Amendment statement that government shall not deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.

Ebonics Frequently used as a synonym for Black English. Ebonics also refers to analysis of a dialect used by many African Americans and of how it might play a part in teaching Standard English.

ecological intervention Comprehensive efforts to improve the environments of young children.

Education for All Handicapped Children Act (Public Law 94–142) A law passed in 1975 that mandated that children with handicaps must have access to a full public education in the least restrictive educational environment.

educational ladder The system of public schooling developed in the United States that begins with kindergarten, proceeds through elementary education, continues through secondary education, and leads to attendance at a college or university.

educational voucher A flat grant or payment representing a child’s estimated school cost or portion of the cost. Under a typical voucher plan, the parent or child may choose any school, public or private, and the school is paid for accepting the child.

effective schools Schools that are unusually successful in producing high student performance, compared with other schools that enroll students of similar background; sometimes defined as schools in which working-class students achieve as well as middle-class students.

empiricism An epistemology that relies on human experience, especially sensation and observation, as the source of knowledge about reality. It emphasizes experimentation and the scientific method.

enculturation The process beginning at infancy by which a human being acquires the culture of his or her society.

environmentalist view of intelligence The belief that intelligence is mostly determined by environment.

epistemology The area of philosophy that examines knowing and theories of knowledge.

equal protection clause A Fourteenth Amendment statement that government shall not deny any person the equal protection of the law.

essentialism An educational theory that emphasizes basic skills and subject-matter disciplines. Proponents generally favor a curriculum consisting of the three Rs at the elementary level and five major disciplines (English, math, science, history, and foreign language) at the secondary level. Emphasis is on academic competition and excellence.

essentialist approach to curriculum A subject-centered educational theory based on six major disciplines: English, mathematics, the sciences, history, foreign languages, and geography. Contemporary essentialists also add computer literacy.

establishment clause A constitutional provision that prohibits the establishment of a government-sanctioned religion.

ethics The branch of axiology that examines questions of right and wrong and good and bad.

ethnic group A group of people with a distinctive history, culture, and language.

ethnicity A shared cultural background based on identification and membership with an ethnic group.

exclusive product rights Special privileges whereby commercial enterprises pay a fee for the exclusive right to market their product (for example, Pepsi Cola) in the school district.

existentialism A philosophy that examines the way in which humans define themselves by making personal choices.

experience As defined by John Dewey, the interaction of a person with his or her environment.

explicit comprehension instruction Classroom techniques specifically for teaching comprehension.

explicit teaching See direct instruction.

fair use A principle allowing use of copyrighted material without permission of the author, under specific, limited conditions.

Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (Buckley Amendment) A law passed in 1974 to curb possible abuses at institutions receiving federal funds.
first-language maintenance Continued teaching in a language while introducing instruction in another language.

Follow Through A program that concentrated on improving achievement of low-income children in the primary grades.

formal-operational period A stage of child development identified by Jean Piaget that occurs from age eleven through early adulthood, when individuals formulate abstract generalizations and learn how to perform complex problem-solving processes.

free exercise clause A constitutional provision that protects rights of free speech and expression.

gender roles Socially expected behavior patterns for girls and boys, men and women.

goals Broad statements of educational purpose.

Goals 2000 The 1994 revision of the National Educational Goals that added two additional goals and updated states’ progress on the National Educational Goals while providing additional services, programs, and classes as needed.

Head Start A federal government program that provides preschool education for economically disadvantaged four- and five-year-old students.

hereditary view of intelligence The belief that intelligence is mostly determined by heredity.

hidden curriculum What students learn, other than academic content, from the school milieu or environment.

high school A school for students in the upper secondary grades, commonly serving grades 9 or 10 through 12.

highly qualified teachers An aspect of the No Child Left Behind Act, which specifies that teachers should have (1) a bachelor’s degree; (2) full state certification and licensure as defined by the state; and (3) demonstrated competency as defined by the state in each core academic subject he or she teaches.

homogeneous grouping The practice of placing together students with similar achievement levels or ability.

hornbook A single sheet of parchment, containing the Lord’s Prayer, letters of the alphabet, and vowels, covered by the translucent, flattened horn of a cow and fastened to a flat wooden board. It was used during the colonial era in primary schools.

humanistic approach to curriculum A student-centered curriculum approach that stresses the personal and social aspects of the student’s growth and development. Emphasizes self-actualizing processes and moral, aesthetic, and higher domains of thinking.

hurried children Children highly pressured to excel at an early age.

hypermedia A computer approach that allows learners to browse through an information base to construct their own knowledge relationships and connections.

idealism A philosophy that construes reality to be spiritual or nonmaterial in essence.

inclusion Educating students with disabilities in regular classrooms in their neighborhood schools, with collaborative support services as needed.

individualized education program (IEP) Plans including both long- and short-range goals for educating students with disabilities.

individualized instruction Curriculum content, instructional materials, and activities designed for individual learning. Considers the learner’s pace, interests, and abilities.

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Legislation enacted in 1990.

Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA) A 2004 law which requires that if a child is identified as disabled, school officials must conduct a functional assessment and develop suitable intervention strategies.

inductive logic The process of reasoning from particulars to generalities, from the parts to the whole, and from the individual to the general. It is the basis of the scientific method, emphasized by Dewey and the pragmatists.

in loco parentis The idea that schools should act “in place of the parent.”

integration The step beyond simple desegregation that includes effective action to develop positive interracial contacts and to improve the performance of low-achieving minority students.

intelligent design The argument that life is too complex to be formed through natural selection as portrayed by Darwin, therefore it must be directed by an “intelligent designer.”

intermediate unit An educational unit or agency in the middle position between the state department of education and the local school district; usually created by the state to provide supplementary services and support staff to local school districts. Also known as a regional educational service agency (RESA).

International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) A research group that began conducting cross-national studies in the 1960s.

Islam Religion founded by Mohammed (569–632); currently practiced in many Middle Eastern and other countries.

Judeo-Christian Culture The belief that western civilization, including American culture, has been largely shaped by the ethics embodied in Judaism and Christianity.

junior high school A two- or three-year school between elementary and high school, commonly for grades 7–9.

Koran The most sacred book of the Islamic religion and culture.

land grant An arrangement used to found many of today’s state universities. The Morrill Act of 1862 granted thirty thousand acres of public land for each senator and representative in Congress, the income from
which was to support at least one state college for agricultural and mechanical instruction.

**land-grant college** A state college or university offering agricultural and mechanical curricula, funded originally by the Morrill Act of 1862.

**latchkey children** Children unsupervised after school.

**Latin grammar school** A college preparatory school of the colonial era that emphasized Latin and Greek languages and studies.

**learning styles** Distinctively different ways students learn, such as emphasis on oral or visual activities.

**least restrictive environment** A term used in educating students with disabilities to designate a setting that is as normal or regular as possible. Federal law requires that children with disabilities be placed in special or separate classes only for the amount of time necessary to provide appropriate services.

**liberation pedagogy** An educational theory advanced by Paulo Freire that encourages students to develop a critical consciousness of the conditions that oppress them and to free themselves from this oppression.

**litigants** Parties in a lawsuit.

**local school board** A body of citizens, either appointed or elected, who set policy regarding schools in a local school district.

**macrocosm** The universal whole or entirety. In idealism, it is the most universal, complete, and abstract idea from which all subordinate ideas are derived.

**magnet school** A type of alternative school that attracts voluntary enrollment from more than one neighborhood by offering special instructional programs or curriculum; often established in part for purposes of desegregation.

**mainstreaming** Placing students with disabilities in regular classes for much or all of the school day, while also providing additional services, programs, and classes as needed.

**mastery instruction** An approach in which students are tested after initial instruction, and those who fail to master the objectives receive corrective instruction and retesting. Emphasizes short units of instruction and learning defined skills.

**mediated entry** The practice of inducting persons into a profession through carefully supervised stages.

**mental discipline approach** Strengthening the mind through mental activities, just as the body is strengthened through exercise.

**merit pay** A plan that rewards teachers partially or primarily on the basis of performance or objective standards.

**metaphysics** The area of philosophy that examines issues of a speculative nature dealing with ultimate reality.

**microcosm** A miniature version of the larger part, the macrocosm, from which it is derived.

**middle class** Professionals and small-business owners, as well as technicians and sales and clerical workers.

**middle school** A two- to four-year school between elementary and high school, commonly for grades 6–8.

**mill** A unit of the local tax rate representing one thousandth of a dollar.

**monitorial method** A method of instruction also known as mutual instruction and designed by Andrew Bell and Joseph Lancaster, working independently of each other in the early nineteenth century. It sought to provide an inexpensive form of mass basic schooling by using more advanced students—monitors—to teach less advanced students.

**Montessori schools** Early childhood institutions that follow Maria Montessori’s philosophy and method of instruction. They emphasize that children learn by developing sensory, motor, and intellectual skills by using didactic materials in a structured environment.

**multicultural education** Education that focuses on providing equal opportunity for students whose cultural and/or language patterns make it difficult for them to succeed in traditional school programs. Many multicultural programs also emphasize positive intergroup and interracial attitudes and contacts.

**municipal overburden** Severe financial crunch caused by population density and a high proportion of disadvantaged and low-income groups.

**National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)** A periodic assessment of educational achievement under the jurisdiction of the Educational Testing Service, using nationally representative samples of elementary and secondary students.

**National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS)** A national nonprofit organization that issues certificates to teachers who meet its standards for professional ability and knowledge.

**National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE)** Prestigious national organization that works closely with state departments of education to review and evaluate teacher-education programs at colleges and universities.

**National Education Association (NEA)** The largest organization that represents teachers in the United States.

**The National Education Goals** A 1990 National Governors’ Conference report on education in America that delineated six educational guidelines for state and local education agencies; revised in 1994.

**National Education Goals Panel Report** A 1998 report that reviewed the progress on the eight goals and twenty-six indicators in Goals 2000.

**national reports** Major reports in the 1980s and 1990s that spurred reform by designating student low performance and other problems as deficiencies in the educational system.

**Nation at Risk, A** A landmark national report critical of public education in the United States that resulted in
raising high-school graduation requirements in most states.

naturalistic theory An educational theory that argues that the natural stages of human development should be the basis of instruction and education.

new core curriculum (core subjects approach) A curriculum of common courses that all students are required to take. Emphasis is usually on academic achievement and traditional subject matter.

No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act passed in 2001, which requires states and school districts that receive federal funding to show adequate yearly progress, as measured by standardized tests of students in grades 3–8, and to provide all students with “highly qualified” teachers.

normal school A two-year teacher-education institution popular in the nineteenth century.

norms A social entity’s rules of behavior.

nuclear family Mother and father living with their children.

object lesson A method developed by Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi, who used concrete objects as the basis of form, number, and name lessons.

objectives Specific statements of educational purpose, usually written for a particular subject, grade, unit, or lesson; commonly defined in behavioral terms so that student experiences and performance can be observed and measured.

occupational prestige The special status accorded to certain occupations and not to others.

outcomes-based education (OBE) Education guided by the principle that success should be judged by student “outcomes” (generally seen in terms of abilities to function in real-life contexts) rather than by “inputs” such as programs, courses, or funding. Many proponents would revise traditional curricula that fail to produce desired outcomes.

overloaded schools Schools with a high incidence of serious problems that make it difficult for educators to function effectively.

The Paideia Proposal The Paideia Proposal, developed by Mortimer J. Adler, uses the Greek term paideia, the total educational formation, or upbringing of a child in the cultural heritage, to assert that all students should pursue the same curriculum consisting of intellectual skills and organized knowledge in language, literature, the arts, sciences, and social studies. It is a modern form of perennialism.

Parent Teacher Association (PTA) A national organization of parents, teachers, and students to promote the welfare of children and youth that has affiliated local groups in school communities.

parent-teacher group An organization of parents and teachers in a local school community.

peer culture Behaviors and attitudes of similar-age children or youth in an institution or society.

perennialism An educational theory that emphasizes rationality as the major purpose of education, asserting that the essential truths are recurring and universally true. Proponents generally favor a curriculum consisting of the three Rs at the elementary level and the classics, especially the “great books” at the secondary level.

perennialist approach to curriculum A fundamentally subject-centered educational theory that the main purpose of education is the cultivation of the best of the past, the classics.

personal income tax A tax based on a percentage of personal income.

philosophies Fully developed bodies of thought each representing a generalized worldview.

plaintiffs Persons who sue.

Plato’s Republic Plato’s most systematic philosophical statement on politics and education. Using the format of dialogues, it portrays a perfect city ruled by philosopher-kings according to the principle of justice.

postmodernism A philosophy that is highly skeptical of the truth of metanarratives, the canons, that purport to be authoritative statements of universal or objective truth. Rather, postmodernists regard these canons as historical statements that rationalize one group’s domination of another.

pragmatism A philosophy that judges the validity of ideas by their consequences in action.

preoperational stage A stage of human development identified by Jean Piaget that occurs from age two to seven years, when children create categories, classify, add to, and reconstruct their conceptions of reality through systematic environmental explorations.

principal The chief administrative officer of the school, responsible for school operation.

profession An occupation that rates high in prestige and requires extensive formal education and mastery of a defined body of knowledge beyond the grasp of laypersons. Members of many professions control licensing standards and have autonomy in their work environment.

professional development school (PDS) An elementary or secondary school operated jointly by a school district and a teacher-training institution that emphasizes thoughtful analysis of teaching and learning. The participants usually include future teachers as well as practicing teachers, administrators, and teacher educators.

professional practice board A state or national commission that permits educators to set professional standards and minimal requirements of competency.

progressive taxes Taxes based on the taxpayer’s ability to pay, for example, income taxes or property taxes.

progressivism An antitraditional theory in American education associated with child-centered learning through activities, problem solving, and projects. The Progres-
school choice A system that allows students or their parents to choose the schools they attend.

school infrastructure The basic physical facilities of the school plant (plumbing, sewer, heat, electric, roof, windows, and so on).

scientific method A systematic approach to inquiry in which hypotheses are tested by replicable empirical verification. Although usually identified with the laboratory method in the natural sciences, the scientific method is also used in philosophy, where it is associated with pragmatism.

sensorimotor stage A stage of child development identified by Jean Piaget that occurs from birth to two years, when children develop their earliest concepts by environmental exploration.

Social Darwinism An ideology that applies Darwin’s biological principles of the “survival of the fittest” and competition to individuals in society. During the late nineteenth century, it was a highly influential rationale for unregulated capitalism as the economic system best designed to promote progress.

social reconstructionism A group of progressive educators who believe schools should deliberately work for social reform and change.

socialization The process of preparing persons for a social environment.

socialized education The educational philosophy developed by Jane Addams, who advocated that schools emphasize teaching about urbanization, industrialization, technology, and cultural diversity.

socioeconomic status (SES) Relative ranking of individuals according to economic, social, and occupational prestige and power; usually measured in terms of occupation, education, and income and generally viewed in terms of social-class categories ranging from working class to upper class.

Socratic method An educational method attributed to the Greek philosopher Socrates by which the teacher encourages the student’s discovery of truth by asking leading and stimulating questions.

Sophists Members of a group of itinerant educators in ancient Greece during the period from 470 to 370 B.C. who emphasized rhetoric, public speaking, and other practical skills. Their approach contrasts with that of the speculative philosophers Plato and Aristotle.

staff development Continued education or training of a school district’s teaching staff. Such programs often emphasize teacher input as well as collaboration between the school district and a college or university.

state board of education An influential state education agency that advises the state legislature and establishes policies for implementing legislative acts related to education.

state department of education An agency that operates under the direction of the state board of education. Its functions include accrediting schools, certifying teachers, apportioning state school funds, conducting...
research, issuing reports, and coordinating state education policies with local school districts.

**state school code** A collection of state laws that establish ways and means of operating schools and conducting education.

**state standards** Performance indicators showing students have achieved academic mastery at levels set by state boards of education.

**student-centered curricula** Curricula that focus on the needs and attitudes of the individual student. Emphasizes self-expression and the student’s intrinsic motivation.

**subject-area curriculum** A type of subject-centered curriculum in which each subject is treated as a largely autonomous body of knowledge. Emphasizes traditional subjects that have dominated U.S. education since the late nineteenth century, including English, history, science, and mathematics.

**subject-centered curricula** Curricula defined in terms of bodies of content or subject matter. Achievement is judged according to defined outcomes such as test scores, correct answers, or responses deemed appropriate.

**superintendent of schools** The chief executive officer of the local school district, implements policies adopted by the school board.

**supply and demand** Market conditions that affect salaries such that pay decreases when there is a large supply of teachers and rises when supply is low and teachers are in high demand.

**synthesizers’ view of intelligence** The belief that intelligence is determined by interaction of environment and heredity.

**systemic improvement** Reform efforts that simultaneously address all or most major components in the overall educational system.

**tax base** Basis upon which taxes to support public schools are assessed at state and local levels—for example, property tax, sales tax, transportation taxes, and special fees.

**taxpayer resistance** When taxpayers show reluctance to continue paying increased taxes to support public schools.

**teacher empowerment** The process of increasing the power of teachers and their role in determining school policies and practices.

**tenure** Permanence of position granted to educators after a probationary period, which prevents their dismissal except for legally specified causes and through formalized due-process procedures.

**theories** Sets of ideas or beliefs, often based on research findings or generalizations from practice, that guide educational policies or procedures.

**Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS)** A research group that has conducted cross-national research since 1996.

**time-on-task** Classroom time engaged in learning activities.

**Title 1** A portion of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act that provides funds to improve the education of economically disadvantaged students.

**torts** Civil wrongs involving individuals who sue because of improper conduct of others.

**town school** The eighteenth- and early-nineteenth-century elementary school of New England that educated children living in a designated area.

**traditional view of schools** The belief that the educational system provides economically disadvantaged students with meaningful opportunities.

**transitional bilingual education (TBE)** A form of bilingual education in which students are taught in their own language only until they can learn in English.

**tuition tax credits** Tax reductions offered to parents or guardians of children to offset part of their school tuition payments.

**21st Century Learning Centers** These centers, supported through the No Child Left Behind Act, provide tutoring, after-school classes, summer school, and other academic enrichment activities for students attending low-performing schools.

**underclass** Section of the lower working class subject to intergenerational transmission of poverty.

**upper class** Wealthy persons with substantial property and investments.

**U.S. Department of Education** A cabinet-level department in the executive branch of the federal government, in charge of federal educational policy and the promotion of educational programs.

**user fees** Special fees charged specifically to those who use a facility or service (for example, recreational facilities, bus service, or after-school centers).

**utilitarian education** The teaching of skills and subjects applicable to daily life, work, and society. Herbert Spencer argued that the subject of most use, or utility, was science. Utilitarian education is often contrasted with the Greek and Latin classical curriculum.

**values-centered curriculum** Places special emphasis on moral and ethical issues. More popularly known as character education.

**vernacular schools** Primary institutions that provided instruction in students’ common language, in contrast to schools that instructed in classical languages such as Greek or Latin.

**whole-child concept** The view that schools must concern themselves with all aspects of students’ growth and development, not merely with cognitive skills or academic learning.

**working class** Skilled crafts workers and unskilled manual workers.

**year-round schools** Rotating schedules that allow students to attend school for three out of four quarters during a chronological year.
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Overview Charts identify key information on issues such as employment, organizations, historical events and laws, and guidelines and approaches.

1.1 Ways to Improve Your Employment Prospects 6
2.1 Comparison of the National Education Association (NEA) and the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) 45
2.2 Major Specialized Professional Organizations for Teachers 48
2.3 Professional Organizations Students Can Join 49
3.1 Key Periods in Educational History 58
3.2 Major Educational Theorists, to CE 1600 80
3.3 Significant Events in the History of Western Education, to CE 1650 82
4.1 Educational Pioneers 96
5.1 Significant Events in the History of American Education 124
6.1 Philosophies of Education 163
6.2 Theories of Education 180
8.1 Other Income Sources by Level and Spending Pattern 228
9.1 Selected U.S. Supreme Court Decisions Affecting Teachers’ Rights and Responsibilities 256
9.2 Selected U.S. Supreme Court Decisions Affecting Students’ Rights and Responsibilities 264
9.3 Guidelines on Religion in the Schools, from the U.S. Department of Education 284
10.1 Effects of Major Socializing Institutions 292
11.1 Obstacles to Achievement for Working-Class Students by Area of Influence 333
12.1 Pros and Cons of Alternatives for Teaching English Language Learners 372
13.1 Goals and Objectives of Education 399
14.1 Curriculum Organization Approaches 425
15.1 Areas of Similarities and Differences Among Educational Systems of the World 447
16.1 Examples and Trends Involving Efforts at School Reform or Improvement 488

NEW From Preservice to Practice feature provides case scenarios that help students apply and think critically about concepts discussed in each chapter.

Considerations 4
The SBDM Team 44
Connecting the Past and the Present 56
A New Lesson 115
Some Differing Viewpoints 149
Students’ Perceptions and Feelings and the Official Curriculum 194
A Partnership in Decision-Making? 202
Funding Woes 235
Advising a Student Newspaper 265
Tuning In 297
Hoping for Success 329
Meeting All Needs 383
Goals and Objectives 398
Curriculum Choices 423
New Perspectives 449
School Reform 483
Taking Issue charts present arguments on both sides of controversial topics in the education field.

- Alternative Certification 13
- Merit Pay 42
- Universal Truth or Cultural Relativism? 69
- Dewey’s Learning by Experience 108
- Schools and American Culture 130
- Education: Child Centered or Subject Matter? 186
- Charter Schools as Public School Reform 211
- Expanding Funding for Public Education 231
- Tenure for Teachers 253
- The Influence of Television 307
- Homogeneous Grouping 339
- Magnet Schools and Desegregation 360
- National Reports: Are They Useful? 408
- State Competency Tests for Students 418
- Establishment of a National Curriculum 455
- More Time in School 496

Technology @ School boxes highlight key issues and applications related to educational technology.

- An Internet Location for Prospective Teachers 21
- Professional Development Opportunities on the World Wide Web 40
- Building Your Philosophy of Technology in Education 118
- Studying the One-Room School 136
- The Debate over Standardized Testing 172
- School Governance Information Available on the Internet 220
- Finding School Financing Information on the Web 240
- Legal Issues Involving Technology in Schools 268
- Helping Students Develop Media Literacy 310
- Dealing with the Digital Divide 349
- An Internet Location About Successful Title 1 Schools 363
- Finding and Assessing National, State, and Local School District Goals 405
- Protecting Students from Undesirable Material on the Web 426
- An Internet Site Dealing with School Reform in England 464
- Accessing Information on State Assessment and Accountability Practices 503
The changes, upgrades, and new additions do not stop with the printed text!

Using the Instructor Resources:

- **Updated** Instructor’s Resource Manual includes new chapter outlines, lecture ideas, discussion questions, and classroom activities.

- **Updated** PowerPoint Slides are accessible through the Instructor Website. Available for each chapter of the text, these slides can be used to enhance any classroom presentation.

- **New** HM Testing software delivers test questions in an easy-to-navigate format and contains multiple choice and essay questions with answers. It contains all the tools instructors will need to create/author/edit, customize, and deliver in multiple type questions. Instructors can import questions directly from the test bank, create their own questions, or edit existing questions, all within Diploma’s powerful electronic platform.

Guide to the Student Website:

Encourage your students to use the below resources available to them on the Student Website:

- **HM Video Cases.** These short video clips present scenes of teachers and students in real classrooms, plus interviews in which the teachers discuss important issues related to their teaching. The cases also include short bonus videos, viewing questions, a transcript of the interview with the teacher, and classroom artifacts (such as lesson plans, materials used in the lesson, and the products created by students). Assign these videos as homework or show them in class to prompt discussion.

- **ACE Practice Quizzes.** These short objective tests quiz students on their knowledge of chapter concepts, are automatically scored, and provide instant feedback. Students can complete the quizzes on their own, discuss them with you in a student-teacher conference, or collect them in a portfolio.

- **Flashcards.** This feature highlights essential terms and provides students with a unique resource for reviewing vocabulary when preparing for class quizzes or tests.
■ **Chapter Objectives and Focus Questions.** This feature allows students to test themselves on chapters’ key concepts and themes. Students can print these out for a quick review before a test or quiz.

■ **Your First Year.** Students can use this feature to think about possible scenarios that may take place during their first year of teaching. Connecting preservice to practice, this feature can help students make the link between foundation content and real-world teaching. After reading the scenarios, students can answer the reflection questions and email their answers to you.

■ **Web links and Technology @ School.** These features give students the opportunity to explore online resources that connect to chapter content. Assign these links as homework and use them as a basis of classroom discussion.

**Guide to the Eduspace Course**

■ The **UPDATED** Eduspace Course allows flexible, efficient, and creative ways to present learning materials and online interactions. With this new content students can dig deeper into the themes of the textbook. Houghton Mifflin’s online learning tool powered by Blackboard, provides text-specific online course content. In addition to handy course management tools, the *Foundations of Education* course includes case studies homework assignments, certification homework assignments, discussion questions, and journal activities all connected to the instructor gradebook.